

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

STA GROUP LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC.,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Case No. 2:22-CV-00381-JRG-RSP

SUPPLEMENTAL CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ORDER

Plaintiff STA Group LLC and Defendant Motorola Solutions, Inc. identified in supplemental briefing after the conclusion of the claim construction hearing held on March 15, 2024 a further dispute regarding the order of steps of asserted apparatus claims. Dkt. No. 243 (Motorola’s supplemental brief); Dkt. No. 244 (STA’s supplemental brief). After consideration, the Court finds that there is no difference in the ordering of the elements of the apparatus claims when compared to their method claim counterparts. Further, the Court finds that the language supports that the same ordering held for the method claims should be imposed on the apparatus claims.

Specifically, Claim 15 of U.S. Patent No. 8,994,830 will be held to the construed order of steps for Claim 1 of the ’830 Patent, Claims 18 and 19 of U.S. Patent No. 7,324,802 will be held to the construed order of steps for Claim 1 of the ’802 Patent, Claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 8,489,134 will be held to the construed order of steps for Claims 8 and 9 of the ’134 Patent, and Claim 16 of U.S. Patent No. 8,831,664 will be held to the construed order of steps for Claim 1 of the ’664 Patent. *See* Dkt. No. 360 at 14–16.

The Court adopts the constructions above for the disputed terms of the Asserted Patents. Furthermore, the parties should ensure that all testimony that relates to the terms addressed in this Order is constrained by the Court's reasoning. However, in the presence of the jury the parties should not expressly or implicitly refer to each other's claim construction positions and should not expressly refer to any portion of this Order that is not an actual construction adopted by the Court. The references to the claim construction process should be limited to informing the jury of the constructions adopted by the Court.

SIGNED this 4th day of June, 2024.


ROY S. PAYNE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE