

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MEDIT CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

3SHAPE A/S,
Patent Owner.

IPR2023-01315
Patent 10,695,151 B2

Before HUBERT C. LORIN, MICHELLE N. WORMMEESTER, and
JAMES J. MAYBERRY, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

MAYBERRY, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

TERMINATION
Due to Settlement After Institution of Trial
35 U.S.C. § 317; C.F.R. § 42.74

I. INTRODUCTION

With the Board’s authorization, Petitioner and Patent Owner (collectively referred to as “the Parties”) filed a Joint Motion to Terminate the above-identified proceeding due to settlement. Paper 26 (“Joint Motion”). In support of the Joint Motion, the Parties filed a Settlement Agreement (Ex. 1021), as well as a Joint Request to File Settlement Agreement as Business Confidential Information and Be Kept Separate pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c) (Paper 27 (“Joint Request”)).

II. DISCUSSION

In the Joint Motion, the Parties represent that they have reached an agreement to jointly seek termination of the above-identified *inter partes* review proceeding, and that the filed copy of the Settlement Agreement is a true and complete copy. Joint Motion, 2. The Parties further represent that their Settlement Agreement resolves all currently pending Patent Office and District Court proceedings between the Parties involving the above-identified patent at issue. *Id.*

We instituted a trial in the above-identified proceeding on March 28, 2024. Paper 6. We have not yet decided the merits of the proceeding, and a final written decision has not been entered. Notwithstanding that the proceeding has moved beyond the preliminary stage, the Parties have shown adequately that the termination of the proceeding is appropriate. Under these circumstances, we determine that good cause exists to terminate the proceeding with respect to the Parties.

The Parties also filed a Joint Request that the Settlement Agreement be treated as business confidential information and be kept separate from the file of the patent involved in this *inter partes* proceeding. Paper 27. After

reviewing the Settlement Agreement between Petitioner and Patent Owner, we find that the Settlement Agreement contains confidential business information regarding the terms of settlement. We determine that good cause exists to treat the Settlement Agreement between Petitioner and Patent Owner as business confidential information pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

This Order does not constitute a final written decision pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a).

III. ORDER

Accordingly, for the reasons discussed above, it is:

ORDERED that the Joint Motion to Terminate is *granted*, and the proceeding is *terminated*;

FURTHER ORDERED that the Joint Request is *granted*, and the Settlement Agreement shall be kept separate from the files of U.S. Patent No. 10,695,151 B2 and made available only to Federal Government agencies on written request, or to any person on a showing of good cause, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

IPR2023-01315
Patent 10,695,151 B2

For PETITIONER:

Douglas F. Stewart
Jeffrey E. Danley
Jared D. Schuettenhelm
Patrick Connolly
Christopher Crumbley
BRACEWELL, LLP
doug.stewart@bracewell.com
jeff.danley@bracewell.com
jared.schuettenhelm@bracewell.com
patrick.connolly@bracewell.com
kit.crumbley@bracewell.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Todd R. Walters
Roger H. Lee
Mythili Markowski
Andrew R. Cheslock
James T. Moore
BUCHANAN INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC
todd.walters@bipc.com
roger.lee@bipc.com
mythili.markowski@bipc.com
andrew.cheslock@bipc.com
james.moore@bipc.com