

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PRIME TIME TOYS LLC, PRIME TIME TOYS LTD., and
EASEBON SERVICES LTD,
Petitioner,

v.

SPIN MASTER, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2023-01339 (Patent 8,640,683 B2)
IPR2023-01348 (Patent 8,371,282 B2)
IPR2023-01461 (Patent 8,596,255 B2)

GRANT OF GOOD CAUSE EXTENSION
35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11); 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c)

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11), “the final determination in an inter partes review [shall] be issued not later than 1 year after the date on which the Director notices the institution of a review under this chapter, except that the Director may, for good cause shown, extend the 1-year period by not more than 6 months” The Director has delegated the authority to extend the one-year period to the Chief Administrative Patent

IPR2023-01339 (Patent 8,640,683 B2)
IPR2023-01348 (Patent 8,371,282 B2)
IPR2023-01461 (Patent 8,596,255 B2)

Judge. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c). In particular, 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c) provides:

An *inter partes* review proceeding shall be administered such that pendency before the Board after institution is normally no more than one year. The time can be extended by up to six months for good cause by the Chief Administrative Patent Judge

In each of the captioned proceedings, the Board denied institution of *inter partes* review following a determination that Petitioner had not demonstrated a reasonable likelihood of prevailing in the respective proceedings. IPR2023-01339, Paper 10; IPR2023-01348, Paper 10; IPR2023-01461, Paper 10 (Decisions Denying Institution). Petitioner requested Director Review of the Decisions Denying Institution, and on July 9, 2024, the former Director issued a decision granting Director Review, reversing the Board’s Decisions Denying Institution, and remanding to the Board to institute *inter partes* review and conduct further proceedings consistent with the decision. IPR2023-01339, Paper 12; IPR2023-01348, Paper 12; IPR2023-01461, Paper 12 (Director Review Decision). Pursuant to the Director Review Decision, the Board issued a Decision granting institution of *inter partes* review in each proceeding on August 15, 2024. IPR2023-01339, Paper 14; IPR2023-01348, Paper 14; IPR2023-01461, Paper 14 (Decisions on Institution).

In the absence of any extension, the Final Written Decisions resolving these proceedings would be due no later than August 15, 2025. 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11) (Final Written Decision presumptively due no later than one year after entry of Decision on Institution). However, due to complex issues involved in these proceedings, as well as panel workload issues, the Chief

IPR2023-01339 (Patent 8,640,683 B2)
IPR2023-01348 (Patent 8,371,282 B2)
IPR2023-01461 (Patent 8,596,255 B2)

Administrative Patent Judge has determined that good cause exists under the unique circumstances of these proceedings, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c), to extend the one-year period for issuing Final Written Decisions in these proceedings.

Users,

Boalick, Scott

Digitally signed by
Users, Boalick, Scott
Date: 2025.08.07
00:47:22 -04'00'

Scott R. Boalick
Chief Administrative Patent Judge

IPR2023-01339 (Patent 8,640,683 B2)
IPR2023-01348 (Patent 8,371,282 B2)
IPR2023-01461 (Patent 8,596,255 B2)

PETITIONER:

Kenneth P. George
Brian A. Comack
AMSTER, ROTHSTEIN & EBENSTEIN LLP
kgeorge@arelaw.com
bcomack@arelaw.com

PATENT OWNER:

Kenneth W. Darby
Linghong Zhang
Ethan Rubin
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
kdarby@fr.com
lwzhang@fr.com
rubin@fr.com

Jennifer C. Bailey
ERISE IP, P.A.
jennifer.bailey@eriseip.com