

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAFE HAVEN WILDLIFE REMOVAL AND PROPERTY
MANAGEMENT EXPERTS LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

MERIDIAN WILDLIFE SERVICES LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2023-01340 (Patent 9,943,073 B2)
IPR2023-01341 (Patent 10,154,663 B2)¹

Before LYNNE H. BROWNE, MICHAEL L. WOODS, and
AMEE A. SHAH, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PER CURIAM.

ORDER
Granting Petitioner's Motions for *Pro Hac Vice*
Admission of John F. Morrow, Jr.
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

¹ This Order addresses issues that are the same in each of the above-identified proceedings. We issue one Order to be entered in each proceeding. The parties are not authorized to use this style caption unless later permitted.

On September 18, 2023, Petitioner filed a Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of John F. Morrow, Jr. in each of the above-identified proceedings. Paper 5.² The Motion in each proceeding is supported by a Declaration of Mr. Morrow. Ex. 1019. Patent Owner does not oppose the Motions.

Upon review of the record before us, we determine that the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 42.10 have been met and there is good cause to admit Mr. Morrow *pro hac vice*. In particular, Mr. Morrow declares that he is “an experienced intellectual property litigation attorney” and is “familiar with the subject matter at issue in” the above-identified proceedings. Ex. 1019¶ 9.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED that Petitioner’s Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of John F. Morrow, Jr. are granted; Mr. Morrow is authorized to act only as back-up counsel in the above-identified proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for the above-identified proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Morrow is to comply with the Consolidated Trial Practice Guide³ (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and the Board’s Rules of Practice for Trials as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

² For expediency, we cite to papers in IPR2023-01340. Similar papers were filed in IPR2023-01341.

³ Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2023-01340 (Patent 9,943,073 B2)
IPR2023-01341 (Patent 10,154,663 B2)

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Morrow is to be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*⁴

⁴ Mr. Morrow states that he will “be subject to the USPTO *Code of Professional Responsibility*” (Ex. 1019 (emphasis added)), rather than the USPTO *Rules of Professional Conduct*. We deem this to be harmless error.

IPR2023-01340 (Patent 9,943,073 B2)
IPR2023-01341 (Patent 10,154,663 B2)

PETITIONER:

Preston H. Heard
James E. Dority
WOMBLE BOND DICKINSON (US) LLP
Preston.Heard@wbd-us.com
James.Dority@wbd-us.com

PATENT OWNER:

Christopher B. Kelly
Warren J. Thomas
MEUNIER CARLIN & CURFMAN LLC
ckelly@mcciplaw.com
wthomas@mcciplaw.com

Siraj M. Abhyankar
ALSTON & BIRD LLP
shri.abhyankar@alston.com