

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PRIME TIME TOYS LLC, PRIME TIME TOYS LTD. and EASEBON
SERVICES LTD.

Petitioner,

v.

SPIN MASTER, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2023-01348
Patent 8,371,282

PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a), Patent Owner submits this Request for Oral Argument on the challenged claims and instituted grounds in IPR2023-01339 (US Patent No. 8,640,683), IPR2023-01348 (US Patent No. 8,371,282), and IPR2023-01461 (US Patent No. 8,596,255). Given the overlapping subject matter, parties, and panel members between these proceedings, Patent Owner submits that one consolidated oral argument is the most efficient course. *See also* Paper 30 (Petitioner requesting a consolidated argument).

During the consolidated oral argument, Patent Owner requests (without waiving consideration of any other issues) to address at least the following:

- 1) Any issue raised in these proceedings by the Board, Patent Owner, or Petitioner;
- 2) Any rebuttal to Patent Owner's remarks on record or during the oral hearing; and
- 3) Any additional clarification the Board may seek.

Patent Owner requests an in-person oral argument at the USPTO headquarters in Alexandria, Virginia or a USPTO Regional Office convenient for the Panel, where each party is allotted 45 minutes for their presentations. Patent Owner further requests the ability to use audio visual equipment to display demonstrative exhibits, including the use of a projector and screen that connects to a laptop computer. Patent Owner's counsel will use a laptop computer with an HDMI-type connector.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 1, 2025

/Kenneth Wayne Darby Jr./
Kenneth W. Darby Jr., Reg. No. 65,068
Fish & Richardson P.C.
60 South Sixth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
T: 202-783-5070
F: 877-769-7945

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e)(4), the undersigned certifies that on April 1, 2025, a complete and entire copy of this Patent Owner's Request for Oral Argument were provided via email, to the Petitioner by serving the email correspondence addresses of record as follows:

Kenneth P. George
Brian A. Comack
Amster, Rothstein & Ebenstein LLP
405 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10174

Email:
282-IPR@arelaw.com
kgeorge@arelaw.com
bcomack@arelaw.com

/Crena Pacheco/
Crena Pacheco
Fish & Richardson P.C.
60 South Sixth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
pacheco@fr.com