

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PRIME TIME TOYS LLC, PRIME TIME TOYS LTD., and
EASEBON SERVICES LTD,
Petitioner,

v.

SPIN MASTER, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2023-01339 (Patent 8,640,683 B2),
IPR2023-01348 (Patent 8,371,282 B2),
IPR2023-01461 (Patent 8,596,255 B2)¹

Before HYUN J. JUNG, NEIL T. POWELL, and BRENT M. DOUGAL,
Administrative Patent Judges.

POWELL, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

ORDER

Extending One-Year Pendency for Good Cause
35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11); 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c)

¹ This Order addresses matters common to all above-captioned proceedings. We exercise our discretion to issue one Order to be filed in each proceeding. The parties are not authorized to use this style heading in subsequent papers.

IPR2023-01339 (Patent 8,640,683 B2)
IPR2023-01348 (Patent 8,371,282 B2)
IPR2023-01461 (Patent 8,596,255 B2)

Petitioner filed Petitions requesting *inter partes* review of claims 4–9, 12, and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 8,640,683 B2², claims 1–15, 17, and 19–21 of U.S. Patent No. 8,371,282 B2³, and claims 1, 2, 13, and 15–20 of U.S. Patent No. 8,596,255 B2. IPR2023-01339, Paper 2; IPR2023-01348, Paper 2; IPR2023-01461, Paper 2. We issued a Decision granting institution of *inter partes* review in each proceeding on August 15, 2024. IPR2023-01339, Paper 14; IPR2023-01348, Paper 14; IPR2023-01461, Paper 14 (Decisions on Institution). The one-year period normally available to issue a Final Written Decision expires on August 15, 2025.

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11), “the final determination in an inter partes review [shall] be issued not later than 1 year after the date on which the Director notices the institution of a review under this chapter, except that the Director may, for good cause shown, extend the 1-year period by not more than 6 months” The Director has delegated the authority to extend the one-year period to the Chief Administrative Patent Judge. See 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c). In particular, 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c) provides:

An *inter partes* review proceeding shall be administered such that pendency before the Board after institution is normally no more than one year. The time can be extended by up to six months for good cause by the Chief Administrative Patent Judge

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c), the Chief Administrative Patent Judge has determined that good cause exists to extend the one-year

² Claims 1–3, 10, and 11 have been disclaimed. IPR2023-01339, Ex. 2014.

³ Claims 1 and 4 have been disclaimed. IPR2023-01348, Ex. 2013.

IPR2023-01339 (Patent 8,640,683 B2)
IPR2023-01348 (Patent 8,371,282 B2)
IPR2023-01461 (Patent 8,596,255 B2)

period for issuing a Final Written Decision here. IPR2023-01339, Paper 43;
IPR2023-01348, Paper 44; IPR2023-01461, Paper 36; 37 C.F.R.

§ 42.100(c). Accordingly, the time to administer the present proceeding is
extended by two months. A Final Written Decision will be issued by
October 15, 2025.

It is:

ORDERED that good cause exists to extend the time of pendency in
this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that this proceeding is extended by two
months; and

FURTHER ORDERED that a Final Written Decision will be issued
by October 15, 2025.

IPR2023-01339 (Patent 8,640,683 B2)
IPR2023-01348 (Patent 8,371,282 B2)
IPR2023-01461 (Patent 8,596,255 B2)

FOR PETITIONER:

Kenneth P. George
Brian A. Comack
AMSTER, ROTHSTEIN & EBENSTEIN LLP
kgeorge@arelaw.com
bcomack@arelaw.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Kenneth W. Darby
Linghong Zhang
Ethan Rubin
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
kdarby@fr.com
lwzhang@fr.com
rubin@fr.com

Jennifer C. Bailey
ERISE IP, P.A.
jennifer.bailey@eriseip.com