



Cornell University
Library

[Cornell University Library](#)

[We gratefully acknowledge support from
the Simons Foundation
and member institutions](#)

[arXiv.org](#) > [help](#)

▼

[\(Help | Advanced search\)](#)
[Help Table of Contents](#) |

To replace an article

You can replace a publicly announced article by selecting the Replace () icon for the article you want to replace on your [user page](#).

Note that if your article or replacement has not yet been publicly announced you may select the Unsubmit () icon next to the submission on your [user page](#) to return it to the [incomplete status](#) allowing modification and later resubmission via the Update () icon.

Considerations for replacing articles

- [It is not possible to make changes without generating a new version.](#)
- [Indicate the nature of the changes.](#)
- [Same day replacements do not generate a new date/version stamp.](#)
- [Previous versions of your article will remain publicly available.](#)
- [Revisions after version 5 are not listed in the daily mailings.](#)

It is not possible to make changes without generating a new version

Why? To allow authors to make changes to the comments and other metadata fields of publicly announced articles without generating a new version, and hence date stamp, would effectively mean that all changes would be backdated to the time the last version was submitted. This is open to abuse and thus not allowed.

The exceptions to this rule are the addition of [journal reference and DOI information](#). The journal reference field may contain only full publication information for the article; the DOI field may contain only a DOI.

Indicate the nature of the changes

Readers like to know why an article has been replaced. Often, changes are of a minor nature, but if the author does not indicate this then readers will generally assume the worst. Therefore, you should give some reason for the replacement. This should appear in the Comments: field of the new submission.

0001

Some typical reasons for replacing an article:

- Added references.
- Corrected typos.
- Revised argument in section 3, results unchanged.

Example comments upon replacement:

- Comments: 32 pages harvmac; added references for section 5
- Comments: 8 pages, LaTeX; typos added, references removed

Remember to merge your original comments with the new ones. If you do not, then the old comments will be lost. For instance, in the examples above, the TeX related comments and page counts were copied from the previous versions of the comments, since they are still valid and useful.

Same day edits do not generate a new version stamp.

Edits made during the same day before 14:00 US Eastern Time (EDT/EST) Monday to Friday will not generate a new version stamp in the archives and will not delay announcement.

Edits made during the same day after 14:00 US Eastern Time (EDT/EST) but before public announcement will not generate a new version stamp in the archives but **will irrevocably delay announcement**.

You may wish to check [current local time](#) at the main site. Note that for these purposes Friday 14:00 to Monday 14:00 is considered "one day". This allows you to immediately check your article and correct any trivial mistakes, especially mistakes in the title/abstract itself, without a "penalty". **Check your submissions!**

Previous versions of your article will remain publicly available.

arXiv provides public access to all previous versions of submitted articles. See the discussion on [availability of previous versions](#).

Revisions after version 5 are not listed in the daily mailings

This policy is to avoid unnecessary noise in the email announcements.

[Contact](#)

