Trials@uspto.gov
571-272-7822

Paper 10
Date: January 3, 2024

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CROWDSTRIKE, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

TAASERA LICENSING LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2023-01463 (Patent 9,608,997 B2)
IPR2023-01465 (Patent 8,850,517 B2)
IPR2024-00027 (Patent 7,673,137 B2)
IPR2024-00038 (Patent 8,955,038 B2)
IPR2024-00039 (Patent 9,923,918 B2)

Before WILLIAM V. SAINDON, JAMES P. CALVE, JUSTIN T. ARBES, and ARTHUR M. PESLAK, *Administrative Patent Judges*.[1]

ARBES, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION
Settlement Prior to Institution of Trial
37 C.F.R. § 42.74

---

[1] This is not a decision of an expanded panel. The panel for Cases IPR2023-01463, IPR2024-00027, IPR2024-00038, and IPR2024-00039 is Judges Saindon, Arbes, and Peslak. The panel for Case IPR2023-01465 is Judges Calve, Arbes, and Peslak.

The parties filed, in each of the instant proceedings, a joint motion to terminate on the basis of a settlement reached by the parties. *See* Paper 6 ("Mot.");[2] 35 U.S.C. § 317(a); 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.72, 42.74. The parties also filed, in each proceeding, a copy of their written settlement agreement (Exhibit 2001) and release (Exhibit 2002), along with a joint request (Paper 7) to treat the agreement and release as business confidential information under 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

A decision on whether to institute a trial has not been made yet in any of these proceedings. In the joint motions to terminate, the parties represent that they "have reached a resolution as to all the disputes in th[e] proceeding[s] and as to [the challenged patents]," they filed "true and correct" copies of the agreement and release, and "there are no other agreements, oral or written, between the [p]arties made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of th[e] proceeding[s]." Mot. 1–3. Under these circumstances, we determine that it is appropriate to terminate the instant proceedings without rendering any further decisions.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby:

ORDERED that the parties' requests that the agreement (Exhibit 2001) and release (Exhibit 2002) be treated as business confidential

[2] The parties filed similar documents in each of the instant proceedings. *See* IPR2023-01463, Papers 6, 7, Exs. 2001, 2002; IPR2023-01465, Papers 8, 9, Exs. 2001, 2002; IPR2024-00027, Papers 6, 7, Exs. 2001, 2002; IPR2024-00038, Papers 6, 7, Exs. 2001, 2002; IPR2024-00039, Papers 6, 7, Exs. 2001, 2002. We refer to those filed in Case IPR2023-01463 for convenience

information, kept separate from the files of the patents challenged in these proceedings, and made available only to Federal Government agencies on written request, or to any person on a showing of good cause, under 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c), are *granted*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the joint motion to terminate in each of the instant proceedings is *granted* and the proceedings are hereby *terminated*.

IPR2023-01463 (Patent 9,608,997 B2)
IPR2023-01465 (Patent 8,850,517 B2)
IPR2024-00027 (Patent 7,673,137 B2)
IPR2024-00038 (Patent 8,955,038 B2)
IPR2024-00039 (Patent 9,923,918 B2)

FOR PETITIONER:

W. Karl Renner
David Holt
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
axf-ptab@fr.com
holt2@fr.com

Adam P. Seitz
Paul R. Hart
ERISE IP, P.A.
adam.seitz@eriseip.com
paul.hart@eriseip.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Peter Lambrianakos
Vincent J. Rubino, III
Joseph M. Mercadante
FABRICANT LLP
plambrianakos@fabricantllp.com
vrubino@fabricantllp.com
jmercadante@fabricantllp.com