IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CIRRUS LOGIC, INCORPORATED,
OMNIVISION TECHNOLOGIES, INCORPORATED, and
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED,
Petitioners,

v.

GREENTHREAD, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2024-00001[1]
Patent No. 10,734,481

PETITIONER'S CORRECTED REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

[1] Texas Instruments Incorporated, which filed a petition in IPR2024-00772, has been joined as a party to this proceeding.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a) and the Board's Scheduling Order (Paper No. 30), Petitioner respectfully requests that oral argument be held on the currently scheduled December 10, 2024 date (*see* Paper No. 30). Pursuant to the Scheduling Order (Paper No. 30 at 6), the parties have met and conferred, and request that four proceedings, IPR2024-00001, IPR2024-00016, IPR2024-00019, and IPR2024-00020, involve overlapping issues and thus should be addressed during a joint oral argument in the interest of efficiency. The parties further request that the proposed consolidated hearing for these four proceedings should be three hours in duration, with each side having 90 minutes of combined argument time.[2]

Petitioner requests to present arguments on the following issues:

1. The grounds of unpatentability identified in the Petitions;
2. Any issue raised by any party in a motion to exclude, objections to demonstrative exhibits, or any other motion or paper filed by any party before oral argument;
3. Any further response to Patent Owner's presentation on all matters; and

[2] Pursuant to the Scheduling Order (Paper 30 at 6), the parties met and conferred and Patent Owner does not oppose Petitioner's request for oral argument. The parties agreed to request a joint oral argument for the four proceedings and to the duration of oral argument.

4. Any other issues that the Board deems necessary for issuing a final written decision.

Petitioner requests that oral argument be conducted virtually by videoconference. If the Board agrees to conduct the oral argument virtually, Petitioner requests the ability to present demonstratives and exhibits to the Board remotely. Further, Petitioner requests the ability to have additional counsel (including backup counsel) and one or more client representatives attend the argument from separate locations.

Dated: December 11, 2024

Respectfully submitted

/Scott C. Weidenfeller/

Scott C. Weidenfeller
Registration No.: 54,531
Raj Paul
Registration No. 64,492
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
One CityCenter,
850 Tenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20001-4956
Sweidenfeller@cov.com
Rpaul@cov.com

Anupam Sharma
Registration No. 55,609
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
3000 El Camino Real,
5 Palo Alto Square,
Palo Alto, CA 94306
Asharma@cov.com

Counsel for Petitioner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6, I hereby certify that on the date listed below, a copy of Petitioner's Corrected Request for Hearing was served on the Patent Owner by electronic mail to the following counsel of record for Patent Owner:

Alan L. Whitehurst, awhitehurst@mckoolsmith.com
Nicholas T. Matich, nmatich@mckoolsmith.com
Arvind Jairam, ajairam@mckoolsmith.com
Stuart McCommas, smccommas@mckoolsmith.com
Archis "Neil" Ozarkar, nozarkar@mckoolsmith.com
Greenthread-Cirrus-MS@mckoolsmith.com
Greenthread-Omnivision-MS@mckoolsmith.com

Date: December 11, 2024

/Scott C. Weidenfeller/

Scott C. Weidenfeller
Registration No. 54,531