

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CIRRUS LOGIC, INC., and
OMNIVISION TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

GREENTHREAD LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00001 (Patent 10,734,481 B2)
IPR2024-00016 (Patent 10,510,842 B2)
IPR2024-00017 (Patent 8,421,195 B2)
IPR2024-00018 (Patent 9,190,502 B2)
IPR2024-00019 (Patent 11,316,014 B2)
IPR2024-00020 (Patent 11,121,222 B2)
IPR2024-00021 (Patent 11,121,222 B2)¹

Before GRACE KARAFFA OBERMANN, DONNA M. PRAISS,
JON B. TORNQUIST, JEFFREY W. ABRAHAM,
MONICA S. ULLAGADDI, and JULIA HEANEY,
*Administrative Patent Judges.*²

PER CURIAM.

ORDER
Denying Patent Owner's Motions to Seal Without Prejudice
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.14, 42.54

¹ This Order addresses similar issues in the above-identified proceedings. We issue one Order to be filed in each proceeding. The parties are not authorized to use this caption without express permission of the Board.

² This listing of Administrative Patent Judges does not indicate an expanded panel.

IPR2024-00001 (Patent 10,734,481 B2)
IPR2024-00016 (Patent 10,510,842 B2)
IPR2024-00017 (Patent 8,421,195 B2)
IPR2024-00018 (Patent 9,190,502 B2)
IPR2024-00019 (Patent 11,316,014 B2)
IPR2024-00020 (Patent 11,121,222 B2)
IPR2024-00021 (Patent 11,121,222 B2)

Patent Owner filed several Motions to Seal (Papers 8, 12, 14, 31, 44, “Patent Owner’s Motions”)³ in each of the above identified proceedings, in which Patent Owner moves to seal, in their entirety: Patent Owner’s Motion for Additional Discovery (Paper 9), Reply in Support of the Motion for Additional Discovery (Paper 13), Preliminary Response (Paper 15), Request for Director Review (Paper 32), and Response (45); and Exhibits 2011, 2016, 2022, 2028, 2032, 2034, 2037, 2038, 2044, 2047, 2050, and 2072. Patent Owner also requests entry of a modified protective order. Paper 31, 2; *see also* Papers 8, 12, 14, 44.⁴

On July 10, 2024, the Board requested that the parties “file proposed redacted documents in *each* case, including any proposed protective orders (and how they may deviate from the proposed default protective order).” Ex. 3007.

Patent Owner subsequently filed redacted versions of its Motion for Additional Discovery (Paper 40), Reply in Support of the Motion for

³ For expediency, we cite to Motions in IPR2024-00001. Similar Motions were filed in the other above-identified proceedings.

⁴ Patent Owner initially requested that the Board’s Default Protective Order (Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide, App. B (Nov. 2019) (“Trial Practice Guide”), *available at* <http://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>) apply in the above-identified proceedings. *See* Papers 8, 12, 14. Patent Owner later updated this request, seeking to enter a modified protective order. *See* Paper 31, 2.

IPR2024-00001 (Patent 10,734,481 B2)
IPR2024-00016 (Patent 10,510,842 B2)
IPR2024-00017 (Patent 8,421,195 B2)
IPR2024-00018 (Patent 9,190,502 B2)
IPR2024-00019 (Patent 11,316,014 B2)
IPR2024-00020 (Patent 11,121,222 B2)
IPR2024-00021 (Patent 11,121,222 B2)

Additional Discovery (Paper 41), Preliminary Response (Paper 42), Request for Director Review (Paper 43), and Response (47); and Exhibits 2011, 2016, 2022, 2028, 2034, 2037, 2038, 2044, and 2072. Patent Owner, however, did not file redacted versions of Exhibits 2032, 2047, and 2050.

Petitioner filed redacted versions of its Response to Patent Owner's Motion for Additional Discovery (Paper 38) and its Reply to Patent Owner's Preliminary Response (Paper 39).

Although Patent Owner asserts that it "previously requested entry of a modified protective order" (Paper 31, 2; *see also* Paper 44, 2 (same)), we see no such request in the records of these proceedings. In addition, as noted above, Patent Owner has not submitted proposed redacted versions for each of the documents sought to be sealed. *See* Papers 8 (seeking to seal Exhibit 2032), Paper 14 (seeking to seal Exhibits 2047, 2050).

Accordingly, we *deny* Patent Owner's Motions without prejudice, and provide Patent Owner the opportunity to file new motions to seal and a modified protective order in each proceeding, as well as proposed redacted versions for all documents sought to be sealed.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that Patent Owner's Motions are *denied* without prejudice; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is authorized to file new

IPR2024-00001 (Patent 10,734,481 B2)
IPR2024-00016 (Patent 10,510,842 B2)
IPR2024-00017 (Patent 8,421,195 B2)
IPR2024-00018 (Patent 9,190,502 B2)
IPR2024-00019 (Patent 11,316,014 B2)
IPR2024-00020 (Patent 11,121,222 B2)
IPR2024-00021 (Patent 11,121,222 B2)

motions to seal and a modified protective order in each of the above-identified proceedings, and to file proposed redacted versions for all documents subject to any new motion to seal.

IPR2024-00001 (Patent 10,734,481 B2)
IPR2024-00016 (Patent 10,510,842 B2)
IPR2024-00017 (Patent 8,421,195 B2)
IPR2024-00018 (Patent 9,190,502 B2)
IPR2024-00019 (Patent 11,316,014 B2)
IPR2024-00020 (Patent 11,121,222 B2)
IPR2024-00021 (Patent 11,121,222 B2)

For PETITIONER:

Scott C. Weidenfeller
Anupam Sharma
Raj Paul
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
sweidenfeller@cov.com
asharma@cov.com
rpaul@cov.com

Bert Greene
Andrew Liddell
DUANE MORRIS LLP
bgreene@duanemorris.com
waliddell@duanemorris.com

Daniel G. Nguyen
Emma A. Bennett
LOCKE LORD LLP
dnguyen@lockelord.com
emma.bennett@lockelord.com

David H. Bluestone
BENESCH FRIEDLANDER COPLAN & ARONOFF LLP
dbluestone@beneschlaw.com

IPR2024-00001 (Patent 10,734,481 B2)
IPR2024-00016 (Patent 10,510,842 B2)
IPR2024-00017 (Patent 8,421,195 B2)
IPR2024-00018 (Patent 9,190,502 B2)
IPR2024-00019 (Patent 11,316,014 B2)
IPR2024-00020 (Patent 11,121,222 B2)
IPR2024-00021 (Patent 11,121,222 B2)

For PATENT OWNER:

Alan Whitehurst

Nicholas T. Matich

Arvind Jairam

Stuart McCommas

Archis “Neil” Ozarkar

MCKOOL SMITH, P.C.

awhitehurst@mckoolsmith.com

nmatich@mckoolsmith.com

ajairam@mckoolsmith.com

smccommas@mckoolsmith.com

nozarkar@mckoolsmith.com