

From: Jay Kesan <jay.kesan@kkd-law.com>

Sent: Thursday, May 1, 2025 4:09 PM

To: Trials <Trials@USPTO.GOV>

Cc: Andrew Wright <andrew@brusterpllc.com>; scott.hughes@hoganlovells.com; Crowson, Celine J. <celine.crowson@hoganlovells.com>; Raffetto, Joseph <joseph.raffetto@hoganlovells.com>; Wong, Ky-Yen <ky-yen.wong@hoganlovells.com>; Shawn Latchford <shawn@brusterpllc.com>; Cecil Key <cecil.key@kkd-law.com>; She Jia <she.jia@kkd-law.com>; phil@finjan.com

Subject: Final Written Decision Typos -- IPR2024-00029, Walt Disney Parks and Resorts U.S., Inc. v. Agile Journeys LLC

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Trials,

I am counsel for Patent Owner in *Walt Disney Parks and Resorts U.S., Inc. v. Agile Journeys LLC*, Case No. IPR2024-00029. I am writing regarding the Board's Final Written Decision, Paper 20, dated April 14, 2025. The Parties have identified what appear to be typographical errors in the Final Written Decision with respect to the identification of claims that were challenged and found unpatentable.

There are references to claim 9 being challenged and found unpatentable, but claim 9 was not challenged in the Petition and is not discussed in the Final Written Decision. There are also places where claim 8, which was challenged, is not listed when summarizing the outcome. The Parties believe the reference to Claim 9 is a typographical error and that the omission of Claim 8 from the outcome lists on Pages 108 and 109 of the Final Written Decision was inadvertent.

In particular, the Parties noted the following (emphasis added):

- On page 107: the list of claims the Board finds unpatentable is stated as "Challenged Claims 1, 3–5, 7, **8–10**, 12–14, 16–19, 20, 21, 24, and 25," but this should read "Challenged Claims 1, 3–5, 7, **8, 10**, 12–14, 16–19, 20, 21, 24, and 25"
- On page 108: the "Overall Outcome" line at the bottom of the table includes "1, 3–5, 7, **9**, 10, 12–14, 16–21, 24, 25," but this should read "1, 3–5, 7, **8**, 10, 12–14, 16–21, 24, 25"
- On page 109: the statement "ORDERED that claims 1, 3–5, 7, **9**, 10, 12–14, 16–21, 24, and 25 are unpatentable" should read "ORDERED that claims 1, 3–5, 7, **8**, 10, 12–14, 16–21, 24, and 25 are unpatentable"


The Parties therefore respectfully request that the Board issue a corrected Order, or take other appropriate action, addressing these apparent typographical errors. This request is made solely to ensure the accuracy of the record and is in no way an admission or waiver of rights by either Party.

Counsel for Patent Owner and Petitioner met and conferred before the sending of this e-mail. The Parties agree on the form and content. Petitioner's counsel is copied on this correspondence.

Sincerely,

/s Jay P. Kesan

Counsel for Patent Owner Agile Journeys LLC

	Jay P. Kesan, Ph.D., J.D. Patent Attorney & Founding Member PHONE (217) 377-9905 EMAIL jay.kesan@kkd-law.com WEB https://kkd-law.com ADDRESS 1050 Connecticut Ave. NW Suite 500, Washington, DC. 20036
---	---