Trials@uspto.gov
571-272-7822

Paper 60
Entered: April 14, 2025

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AYLO FREESITES LTD and FUBOTV MEDIA INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

DISH TECHNOLOGIES L.L.C.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00045[1]
Patent 10,469,554 B2

Before THU A. DANG, MICHELLE N. WORMMEESTER, and JOHN A. HUDALLA, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

HUDALLA, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Conduct of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

On April 14, 2025, we entered a Final Written Decision in this proceeding determining that Petitioner had demonstrated, by a preponderance of the evidence, that claims 1–3, 5, 16–20, 22, 26–28, and 30

[1] fuboTV Media Inc., which filed a petition in IPR2024-00903, has been joined as a petitioner in this proceeding.

of U.S. Patent No. 10,469,554 B2 are unpatentable. Paper 59 ("Decision"). We also determined that Petitioner had not demonstrated, by a preponderance of the evidence, that claims 4, 7, 8, 10–14, and 23–25 of U.S. Patent No. 10,469,554 B2 are unpatentable. *Id.* The Decision discusses or cites information that has been designated confidential under the Protective Order that was entered in this case. *See* Paper 35 (granting-in-part Motion to Seal), Ex. 2088 (Default Protective Order). Accordingly, we have entered the Decision in the Board's P-TACTS system as "Board and Parties Only" to afford the parties an opportunity to identify any confidential information to which we have referred in the Decision.

Petitioner and Patent Owner are to file jointly, within ten (10) business days of the entry of this Order, a proposed redacted version of the Decision, or, in the alternative, a Notice stating that the Decision does not contain any confidential information. *See* Paper 9, 3 ("Redactions to documents filed in these proceedings should be limited to the minimum amount necessary to protect confidential information, and the thrust of the underlying argument or evidence must be clearly discernible from the redacted versions.").

We remind the parties that documents in a proceeding may be made available to the public. 37 C.F.R. § 42.14; Paper 9, 3 ("We also advise the parties that information subject to a protective order may become public if identified in a final written decision in these proceedings, and that a motion to expunge the information will not necessarily prevail over the public interest in maintaining a complete and understandable file history.").

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED that, within ten (10) business days of the entry of this Order, Petitioner and Patent Owner are to file jointly a proposed redacted version of the Decision, or, in the alternative, a Notice stating that the Decision does not contain any confidential information.

For PETITIONER:

Frank Gasparo
Ian Paquette
Philip Sheng
Parker G. Zimmerman
VENABLE LLP
fmgasparo@venable.com
igpaquette@venable.com
ptsheng@venable.com
PGZimmerman@Venable.com

Inge Osman
Tara Elliott
Richard Frenkel
Rebecca Rabenstein
Steven Peters
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
inge.osman@lw.com
tara.elliott@lw.com
rick.frenkel@lw.com
rebecca.rabenstein@lw.com
steven.peters@lw.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Eliot D. Williams
G. Hopkins Guy III
Ali Dhanani
Thomas B. Carter Jr.
Andrew Wilson
Jamie R. Lynn
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
DishvMindGeek@BakerBotts.com
Eliot.williams@bakerbotts.com
Hop.guy@bakerbotts.com

Ali.dhanani@bakerbotts.com
Thomas.carter@bakerbotts.com
Andrew.wilson@bakerbotts.com
Jamie.lynn@bakerbotts.com