

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

VIZIO, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

POLARIS POWERLED TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00073
Patent 7,843,148 B2

Before JOHN F. HORVATH, DANIEL J. GALLIGAN, and
KARA L. SZPONDOWSKI, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

HORVATH, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

Granting Patent Owner's Unopposed Motion for
Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of Aidan M. Brewster
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

On April 22, 2024, Patent Owner filed a Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Aidan M. Brewster in the above-identified proceeding. Paper 10 (“Motion”). The Motion is supported by a Declaration of Mr. Brewster. Ex. 2001 (“Declaration”). Patent Owner represents that Petitioner does not oppose the Motion. Motion 1.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), we may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. The representative Order authorizing motions for *pro hac vice* admission requires a statement of facts showing there is good cause for us to recognize counsel *pro hac vice*, and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7).

Based on the facts set forth in the Motion and the accompanying Declaration, we determine that all requirements for admission *pro hac vice* have been met and there is good cause to admit Mr. Brewster *pro hac vice*.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that Patent Owner’s Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Aidan M. Brewster in the above-captioned proceeding is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for the above-identified proceeding, but that Mr. Brewster is authorized to act as back-up counsel;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Brewster comply with the Consolidated Trial Practice Guide (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019))¹ and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Brewster is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 et seq.

¹ Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2024-00073
Patent 7,843,148 B2

PETITIONER:

Sheila Swaroop
Marko Zoretic
Daniel Kiang
KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP
2sns@knobbe.com
2mrz@knobbe.com
2dck@knobbe.com

PATENT OWNER:

David Alberti
Russell Tonkovich
KRAMER ALBERTI LIM & TONKOVICH LLP
dalberti@krameralberti.com
rtonkovich@krameralberti.com

Jason Fitzsimmons
Richard Bemben
Jennifer Chagnon
Michael Specht
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC
jfitzsimmons-ptab@sternekessler.com
rbemben-ptab@sternekessler.com
jchagnon-ptab@sternekessler.com
mspecht-ptab@sternekessler.com