

NOTE: This order is nonprecedential.

**United States Court of Appeals
for the Federal Circuit**

LUMINEX INTERNATIONAL CO., LTD.,
Appellant

v.

SIGNIFY HOLDINGS B.V.,
Cross-Appellant

2025-2093, 2026-1044

Appeals from the United States Patent and Trademark
Office, Patent Trial and Appeal Board in Nos. IPR2024-
00101 and IPR2024-01469.

ON MOTION

Before DYK, LINN, and CUNNINGHAM, *Circuit Judges*.
LINN, *Circuit Judge*.

O R D E R

The same day Luminex International Co., Ltd. filed its
notice of appeal from the Patent Trial and Appeal Board's
decision, Signify Holdings B.V. filed an otherwise timely
request for Director review of the same decision. In light
of the notice of appeal, the Director dismissed for "lack of

2 LUMINEX INTERNATIONAL CO., LTD. v. SIGNIFY HOLDINGS B.V.

jurisdiction.” ECF No. 6-7 at 2. Signify now moves this court for limited remand to allow the Director to consider the merits of its request for review. Luminex opposes and argues that if remand is granted, it “should be limited to the issues specifically raised in Signify’s already-filed [r]equest.” ECF No. 13 at 13.

Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

(1) The motion is granted to the extent necessary to allow the Director to consider the merits of Signify’s rehearing request of the Board’s decision.

(2) The court retains jurisdiction over these appeals, and all proceedings are stayed pending further notice.

(3) Within 14 days of the Director’s ruling on the request for Director review, the parties are directed to inform this court how they believe these appeals should proceed.

FOR THE COURT



Jarrett B. Perlow
Clerk of Court

October 20, 2025
Date