

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

URNSIGNL, INC. ANDURNSIGNL FOUNDATION,
Petitioners,

v.

REDMON JEANG LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00102 (Patent 11,443,395 B2)¹
IPR2024-00103 (Patent 11,494,861 B2)
IPR2024-00104 (Patent 11,494,862 B2)

Before JENNIFER S. BISK, MICHELLE N. WORMMEESTER, and
ANDREW L. NALVEN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

NALVEN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION
Settlement Prior to Institution of Trial
37 C.F.R. § 42.74

¹ This Decision applies to each of the above-captioned proceedings. The parties are not authorized to use this style heading in any subsequent papers.

IPR2024-00102 (Patent 11,443,395 B2)
IPR2024-00103 (Patent 11,494,861 B2)
IPR2024-00104 (Patent 11,494,862 B2)

I. INTRODUCTION

With the Board’s authorization (Paper 9),² the parties filed a Joint Motion to Terminate each of the above-identified proceedings (Paper 10 (“Joint Motion”)), along with a copy of their written Settlement Agreement (Ex. 1047 (“Settlement Agreement”)). The parties further filed a Joint Request to treat the Settlement Agreement as business confidential information and to keep the Settlement Agreement separate from the patent files. Paper 11 (“Joint Request”). For the reasons discussed below, we grant the Joint Motion and Joint Request.

II. ANALYSIS

These proceedings are in a preliminary stage, and the Board has not decided whether to institute an *inter partes* review in any of the above-identified proceedings. In each of the proceedings, the parties represent that their Settlement Agreement “effectively resolves all disputes related to the [challenged] patent,” and that Exhibit 1047 is “a true copy” of the Settlement Agreement. Joint Motion 2, 4. The parties also “certify there are no collateral agreements or understandings made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of this proceeding.” *Id.* at 4.

Under these circumstances, we determine that it is appropriate to dismiss the petitions and terminate these proceedings. *See* 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.71(a), 42.74(a). After reviewing the Settlement Agreement, we also determine that good cause exists to treat the Settlement Agreement as

² All citations refer to Papers and Exhibits filed in IPR2024-00102. Similar Papers and Exhibits were filed in IPR2024-00103 and IPR2024-00104.

IPR2024-00102 (Patent 11,443,395 B2)
IPR2024-00103 (Patent 11,494,861 B2)
IPR2024-00104 (Patent 11,494,862 B2)

business confidential information and to keep it separate from the patent files. *See* 35 U.S.C. § 317(b); 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

This Decision does not constitute a final written decision pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a).

ORDER

For the reasons given, it is

ORDERED that the Joint Motion in each of the above-identified proceedings is granted;

FURTHER ORDERED that the Petition in each of the above-identified proceedings is dismissed and that each proceeding is terminated as to all parties; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the Joint Request in each of the above-identified proceedings is granted, and that the Settlement Agreement in each proceeding shall be treated as business confidential information, kept separate from the files of the above-identified patents, and made available only to Federal Government agencies on written request, or to any person on a showing of good cause, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

IPR2024-00102 (Patent 11,443,395 B2)

IPR2024-00103 (Patent 11,494,861 B2)

IPR2024-00104 (Patent 11,494,862 B2)

PETITIONER:

Mark Nelson

Daniel Valenzuela

BARNES & THORNBURG LLP

mnelson@btlaw.com

dvalenzuela@btlaw.com

PATENT OWNER:

Wei Wei Jeang

Jane Du

FULTON JEANG PLLC

wjeang@fultonjeang.com

du@fr.com