

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LENOVO (UNITED STATES) INC.,
Petitioner

v.

INTELLECTUAL VENTURES, II LLC
Patent Owner

Inter Partes Review No.: IPR2024-00124

U.S. Patent No. 7,325,140 B2

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 42.70(a), Petitioner, Lenovo (United States) Inc., requests oral argument on the issues set forth below at a place and time set by the Board. Oral argument is presently scheduled for November 19, 2025 (Paper No. 8, “Scheduling Order”).

Issues to be Presented by Petitioner at Oral Argument:

1. Proper construction of the relevant claims of the ’140 patent;
2. Arguments and evidence that claims 1-4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 13 and 16 are rendered obvious by Neufeld (Ground 1);
3. Arguments and evidence that claims 11 and 12 are rendered obvious by Neufeld in view of Syvanne (Ground 2);
4. Arguments and evidence that claim 14 is rendered obvious by Neufeld in view of Fowler (Ground 3);
5. Arguments and evidence that claim 13 is rendered obvious by Neufeld in view of Fowler (Ground 4);
6. Arguments and evidence that claims 1-3, 6, 7, 10 and 11 are rendered obvious by IPMI in combination with Lawrence (Ground 5);
7. Arguments and evidence that claim 14 is rendered obvious by IPMI in combination with Leedy (Ground 6);
8. Arguments and evidence that claims 11 and 12 are rendered obvious by IPMI and Lawrence in combination with Syvanne (Ground 7);

9. Arguments and evidence that claims 13 and 14 are rendered obvious by IPMI and Lawrence in combination with Fowler (Ground 8);
10. Arguments and evidence that claim 16 is rendered obvious by IPMI in combination with Lawrence and RFC1492 (Ground 9);
11. Rebuttal to Patent Owner's arguments and evidence on all matters including the issues listed above;
12. The timeliness of the arguments raised in the proceedings; and
13. Any other issues that the Board deems necessary for issuing a Final Written Decision, including any questions, rebuttals, or arguments that may arise during the oral argument.

Petitioner requests that the oral hearing take place at USPTO headquarters in Alexandria, VA. Petitioner requests the ability to present demonstratives and exhibits to the Board and the ability to have additional counsel, including in-house counsel, attend the video conference from separate locations.

Dated: October 3, 2025	Respectfully submitted,
	<u>/James M. Heintz 41,828/</u> James M. Heintz Reg. No. 41,828 DLA Piper LLP One Fountain Square 11911 Freedom Drive, Suite 300 Reston, VA 20190-5602 Phone: + 1 703 773 4000 Fax: + 1 703 773 5000 jim.heintz@us.dlapiper.com

	<i>Attorney for Petitioner Lenovo (United States) Inc.</i>
--	--

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.6(e) and 42.105(b), the undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing Petitioner's Request for Oral Argument was served on Patent Owner by emailing a copy of same (by agreement) to the following attorneys of record for the Patent Owner:

Brandon R. Theiss
BTheiss@vklaw.com

Daniel H. Golub
DGolub@vklaw.com

Ryan W. O'Donnell
RODonnell@vklaw.com

Robert D. Leonard
RLeonard@vklaw.com

Russell J. Rigby
rrigby@intven.com

Dated: October 3, 2025

Respectfully Submitted,

/ James Heintz 41,828/

James M. Heintz

Reg. No. 41,828

Attorney for Petitioner Lenovo (United States) Inc.