

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PLR WORLDWIDE SALES LIMITED,
Petitioner

v.

FLIP PHONE GAMES, INC.,
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2024-00133
Patent No. 8,688,089

PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a), and the Revised Scheduling Order (Paper 16), Patent Owner Flip Phones Games, Inc. (“Patent Owner”) requests that the Board hear oral argument in this matter. The Scheduling Order sets September 10, 2025, as the date for oral argument. Patent Owner requests: (1) that the oral argument be conducted remotely via video and (2) that it be given (60) sixty minutes to present its arguments for this proceeding.

Patent Owner specifies the following issues to be argued:

1. Whether Petitioner has carried its burden of establishing invalidity of any of Challenged Claims 1–10 by a preponderance of the evidence.
2. Whether Petitioner has carried its burden of establishing a motivation to modify WO 2008/008038 (“Ho”) based on WO 2000/070489 (“Jiau”) and U.S. 2007/0174490 (“Choi”).
3. Whether Petitioner has carried its burden of establishing Challenged Claims 1–3 and 6–8 are obvious in view of the combination of Ho, Jiau, and Choi.
4. Whether Petitioner has carried its burden of establishing a motivation to modify Ho, based on Jiau, Choi, and U.S. Patent No. 8,825,521 (“Ellis”).
5. Whether Petitioner has carried its burden of establishing Challenged Claims 4–5 and 9–10 are obvious in view of the combination of Ho, Jiau, Choi, and Ellis.
6. Whether Petitioner has carried its burden of establishing a motivation to modify WO 2006/071246 (“Sturman”) based on Ho.

7. Whether Petitioner has carried its burden of establishing Challenged Claims 1–10 are obvious in view of the combination of Sturman and Ho.
8. The construction of any claim term necessary to determining the validity of any of Challenged Claims 1–10.
9. Whether Petitioner has met its burden of establishing that certain claim terms, including “non-promotional” and “background” should not be afforded patentable weight, and whether those terms should be afforded patentable weight.
10. The appropriate weight to be afforded to each party’s expert witness.
11. All issues raised in Petitioner’s request for oral hearing.
12. Any outstanding motions, pleadings, or other issues that the Board raises or deems necessary for issuing a Final Written Decision.

Dated: July 18, 2025

By: /Michael Morlock/
Michael Morlock
Reg. No. 62,245
Lead Counsel for Patent Owner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing Patent Owner's Request for Oral Argument has been served electronically via email upon the following:

James M. Glass – jimglass@quinnemanuel.com

Quincy Lu – quincylu@quinnemanuel.com

Ron Hagiz – ronhagiz@quinnemanuel.com

Nima Hefazi – nimahefazi@quinnemanuel.com

Dated: July 18, 2025

By: /Michael Morlock/
Michael Morlock
Reg. No. 62,245
Lead Counsel for Patent Owner