

Filed on behalf of: InductEV, Inc.

Entered: June 4, 2024

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INDUCTEV, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

WITRICITY CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2024-00140
Patent 8,466,654

PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO PATENT OWNER'S EVIDENCE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Petitioner InductEV, Inc. ("Petitioner") submits the following objections to evidence submitted by Patent Owner WiTricity Corporation ("Patent Owner"). Petitioner's objections apply equally to Patent Owner's reliance on this evidence in any subsequently filed documents or further proceedings in this matter. These objections are timely, having been filed and served within ten business days of institution of trial. Notwithstanding these objections, Petitioner expressly reserves the right to rely on any evidence submitted by Patent Owner, including on the ground that such evidence constitutes a party admission.

Exhibit 2001

Petitioner objects to this exhibit as inadmissible hearsay under FRE 801 and 802 not falling under any exception, and as improper expert testimony under FRE 702 and 703, to the extent it impermissibly acts as a conduit for hearsay, including the hearsay objected to herein, and does not rely on the kinds of facts or data that experts in the relevant fields would reasonably rely on in forming an opinion on the subject without providing the underlying facts, data, and other required disclosures.

Petitioner further objects to this exhibit for lack of foundation and lack of personal knowledge under FRE 602, and as improper lay testimony under FRE 701, to the extent it offers testimony in areas outside of Dr. Horenstein's area of expertise or fails to properly provide the underlying facts, data, and other required disclosures.

Exhibits 2003-2004

Petitioner objects to these exhibits as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because Patent Owner has not presented sufficient evidence to show that they are authentic or self-authenticating under FRE 902. To the extent Patent Owner relies on these documents for the truth of the matter asserted, Petitioner objects to these exhibit as inadmissible hearsay under FRE 801 and 802 that do not fall under any exceptions, including those of FRE 803, 804, 805, or 807.

Exhibits 2005-2009

Exhibits 2005-2009 were improperly filed with Patent Owner's Preliminary Sur-Reply in violation of 37 C.F.R. § 42.23. Patent Owner has withdrawn Exhibits 2005-2009 and requested that they be expunged from the record. The Board granted Patent Owner's request in an email dated April 16, 2024, but the exhibits have not yet been removed from the P-TACTS system. To the extent Patent Owner or the Board consider Exhibits 2005-2009 as part of the record, Petitioner objects as follows, in addition to objecting to their inclusion as improper under § 42.23.

Petitioner objects to Exhibit 2005 as inadmissible hearsay under FRE 801 and 802 not falling under any exception, and as improper expert testimony under FRE 702 and 703, to the extent it impermissibly acts as a conduit for hearsay, including the hearsay objected to herein, and does not rely on the kinds of facts or data that experts in the relevant fields would reasonably rely on in forming an opinion on the

subject without providing the underlying facts, data, and other required disclosures.

Petitioner further objects to Exhibit 2005 for lack of foundation and lack of personal knowledge under FRE 602, and as improper lay testimony under FRE 701, to the extent it offers testimony in areas outside of Dr. Horenstein's area of expertise or fails to properly provide the underlying facts, data, and other required disclosures.

Petitioner objects to Exhibits 2006-2009 as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because Patent Owner has not presented sufficient evidence to show that they are authentic or self-authenticating under FRE 902. To the extent Patent Owner relies on these documents for the truth of the matter asserted, Petitioner objects to these exhibit as inadmissible hearsay under FRE 801 and 802 that do not fall under any exceptions, including those of FRE 803, 804, 805, or 807.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 4, 2024

By: / David A. Zucker /

David A. Zucker (Reg. No. 74,095)
david.zucker@lw.com
Latham & Watkins LLP
555 Eleventh Street, NW, Ste. 1000
Washington, D.C. 20004-1304
Telephone: 202.637.2200
Fax: 202.637.2201

*Counsel for Petitioner
InductEV, Inc.*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e), I certify that on this 4th day of June, 2024, a true and correct copy of the foregoing **Petitioner's Objections to Patent Owner's Evidence** was served by electronic mail on Patent Owner's lead and backup counsel at the following email addresses:

Lance A. Lawson, P.E. (Reg. No. 44,862)
Andrew T. Spence (Reg. No. 45,699)
Burr & Forman LLP
One South at the Plaza, Suite 2610
101 S. Tryon Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28280
Tel.: 704.347.6475
llawson@burr.com
aspence@burr.com
ip-docket@burr.com

Ryan M. Corbett (Reg. No. 63,724)
Burr & Forman LLP
201 North Franklin Street, Suite 3200
Tampa, FL 33602
Tel.: 813.221.2626
rcorbett@burr.com

Misha Hill (Reg. No. 59,737)
57 Water Street
Watertown, MA 02472
Tel.: 617.926.2700
misha.hill@witricity.com

By: / David A. Zucker /

David A. Zucker (Reg. No. 74,095)
david.zucker@lw.com
Latham & Watkins LLP

555 Eleventh Street, NW, Ste. 1000
Washington, DC 20004-1304
Telephone: 202.637.2200
Fax: 202.637.2201

Counsel for Petitioner
InductEV, Inc.