

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

VIDEO DEPOSITION OF ROBERT DREW MAJOR
September 17, 2024

AYLO FREESITES, LTD and fuboTV MEDIA, INC.,

Petitioner,

vs.

DISH TECHNOLOGIES, L.L.C.,

Patent Owner.

IPR2024-000431 (Patent 11,677,798 B2)

IPR2024-00044 (Patent 11,470,138 B2)

IPR2024-00045 (Patent 10,469,544 B2)

IP42024-00046 (Patent 10,951,680 B2)

IPR2024-00146 (Patent 10,469,555 B2)2

APPEARANCES:

VENABLE, LLP

By Ian Paquette, Esq.

151 West 42nd Street

New York, New York 10036

3212.218.2347

igpaquette@venable.com

Appearing on behalf of Petitioner

By Philip Sheng, Esq.

101 California Street, Suite 3800

San Francisco, California 94111

415.343.4493

ptsheng@venable.com

Appearing on behalf of Petitioner

HUDSON COURT REPORTING & VIDEO (800) 310-1769

New York
212-273-9911

Hudson Court Reporting & Video
1-800-310-1769

New Jersey
732-906-2078

Aylo Exhibit 1021

Aylo Freesites v. DISH Technologies, IPR2024-00146

1 APPEARANCES: (Continued)

2 BAKER BOTTS

By G. Hopkins Guy, III, Esq.

3 1001 Page Mill Road

Building One, Suite 200

4 Palo Alto, California 94304-1007

650.739.7510

5 hop.guy@bakerbotts.com

Appearing on behalf of Patent Owner

6

By Ali Dhanani, Esq.

7 910 Louisiana Street

Houston, Texas 77002

8 713.229.1234

ali.dhanani@bakerbotts.com

9 Appearing on behalf of Patent Owner

10 ALSO PRESENT:

11 Dwayne Beuthel, Videographer

Andrew Wilson (Appearing by Zoom)

12 Jamie R. Lynn (Appearing by Zoom)

James Edell (Appearing by Zoom)

13 James Hanft (Appearing by Zoom)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Pursuant to Notice, the video
2 deposition of ROBERT DREW MAJOR, called by
3 Petitioner, was taken on Tuesday, September 17, 2024,
4 commencing at 9:00 a.m., at 1144 15th Street, Suite
5 3600, Denver, Colorado 80202, before Teresa L.
6 Cardenas, Registered Professional Reporter and
7 Certified Realtime Reporter within the State of
8 Colorado.

I N D E X

EXAMINATION PAGE

By Mr. Paquette 7

By Mr. Guy 177

MAJOR EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION INITIAL REFERENCE

1 US Patent 8,868,772 B2, 154

10/21/14

2 Patent 2005/10262257 A1, 155
11/24/05

PREVIOUSLY MARKED EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION INITIAL REFERENCE

2010 Declaration Of Robert Drew 16

Major In Support Of DISH
Technologies L.L.C.'s Patent
Owner Response To Petitioner's
Petitions For Inter Partes
Review2012 Technical Overview Of Move 62
Networks Implementation

2013 Sling TV Press Release 101

2015 Article Entitled "American 173
Fork company Move Networks
Rebounding with new business"

2022 Webware Article 168

2031 Email to Edwards and Taylor 134
from Smith, 5/15/07,
Subject: RE: Follow up,
with attached email

1 PREVIOUSLY MARKED EXHIBITS

			INITIAL
	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	REFERENCE
2			
3	2032	Email to Honeybone, et al.,	134
4		from Smith, 6/16/07,	
5		Subject: RE: Move Networks	
6		Presentation on Thursday?,	
7		with attached emails	
8			
9	2033	Email to Carper from	134
10		Smith, 11/26/07, Subject:	
11		Re: VC1/Silverlight,	
12		with attached emails	
13			
14	2042	Move Networks Releases Live	126
15		Streaming Data for 2010	
16		FIFA World Cup Internet	
17		Broadcasts by Televisa	
18	2043	The Wayback Machine	126
19		Blend Television News	
20		Release, ABC Offering	
21		Full Screen Streaming	
22		HD Content, 04/03/07	
23	2051	Y Magazine Article,	117
24		"To the Ends of the Earth"	
25			
	2055	Apple Computer, Inc.,	147
		Confidentiality Agreement	
	2068	Press Release, "Drew Major	33
		Induction Into UVEF Hall	
		of Fame for Lifetime	
		Achievement	
	2069		

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record at
3 9:00. Today is September 17th, 2024. This begins
4 the video-recorded deposition of Drew Major taken in
5 the matter of Aylo Freesites LTD, et al. versus DISH
6 Technologies.

7 This deposition is being taken at 1144 15th
8 Street, Suite 3600, Denver, Colorado 80202. The
9 court reporter today is Teresa Cardenas. The
10 videographer is Dwayne Beuthel. Counsel will
11 introduce themselves and the parties they represent,
12 beginning with the plaintiffs' counsel first.

13 MR. PAQUETTE: I suppose that's
14 petitioner. So Ian Paquette with Venable, LLP. Also
15 with me is Philip Sheng representing petitioner, Aylo
16 Freesites.

17 MR. GUY: Hopkins Guy and Ali Dhanani with
18 Baker Botts. And also with us is James Hanft from
19 DISH.

20 ROBERT DREW MAJOR,
21 having been first duly sworn to state the whole
22 truth, testified as follows:

23 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: You may begin
24 examination.

25

1 EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. PAQUETTE:

3 Q Good morning, Mr. Major.

4 A Good morning.

5 Q Would you please state your full name and
6 address for the record.

7 A Robert Drew Major, 863 West 600 South,
8 Warren, Utah 84058.

9 Q You've been deposed before, right?

10 A A couple of times.

11 Q Okay. So you're at least moderately
12 familiar with the process?

13 A Moderately.

14 Q So I'll just briefly go through a couple
15 of the ground rules.

16 I'll be asking questions and you'll be
17 providing answers, right?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And your attorney may object from time to
20 time, but unless you're instructed not to answer, you
21 continue to answer the question. Agreed?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And we'll be taking breaks throughout the
24 day. I just ask if I have a question pending, answer
25 the question, and we can take a break. Sound good?

1 A Fine with me.

2 Q And also for the benefit of the court
3 reporter and the transcript, let's just keep, you
4 know, verbal answers, yes, no, not so much head nods.
5 Agreed?

6 A Okay.

7 Q And as a reminder, now that the deposition
8 has begun, you're not to discuss the substance of
9 your testimony today with anyone, including your
10 counsel, even during breaks. Agree?

11 A I agree.

12 Q Do you have any questions about the
13 procedure before we continue?

14 A No.

15 Q Okay. Is there any reason you can't
16 provide full and accurate and truthful testimony
17 today?

18 A No.

19 Q Okay. Now, I understand you live in Utah.
20 Is there a reason Denver was more convenient for you
21 today for this deposition?

22 A Well, yeah. I travel to Denver quite
23 often; so I figured I needed to get out here anyway
24 and visit with DISH, so. . .

25 Q Okay. So do you have an understanding of

1 what inter partes review or IPR proceedings are?

2 A Just at a very high level.

3 Q And what is your understanding of what the
4 IPRs are?

5 A The patent -- patents that have been
6 issued is being challenged.

7 Q Right. And you understand it's an
8 administrative proceeding to challenge the validity
9 of patents, essentially, correct?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Yeah. And so you submitted a declaration
12 in the IPRs at issue in this case, correct?

13 A Yes.

14 Q What was the purpose of you submitting
15 that declaration?

16 A Well --

17 MR. GUY: Objection as to form. It may
18 call for attorney-client communication. Answer of
19 the witness's own background.

20 MR. PAQUETTE: Is that a privilege
21 objection?

22 MR. GUY: Yes.

23 MR. PAQUETTE: Okay.

24 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) Without revealing any
25 attorney-client communication, what do you believe is

1 the reason you submitted a declaration today?

2 A Because I was asked to by my company, and
3 because I'm -- I'm motivated to defend the patent.

4 Q And what's the reason you're motivated to
5 defend the patent?

6 A Because it's a great patent.

7 Q Is there any other reason?

8 A No.

9 Q Aren't you an inventor of --

10 A Well, because I'm an inventor --

11 Q Okay.

12 A -- of the patent and I'm defending my
13 work.

14 Q Okay. Before we continue on talking about
15 the patent and patents, let's establish some
16 terminology.

17 In your declaration, you refer to these
18 patents as the ABR patents, also termed the -- sorry,
19 what do you call them? Adaptive Bitrate Streaming
20 patents; is that true?

21 MR. GUY: Objection, compound.

22 A I -- yeah, that's -- that's one way of
23 naming them. I quite often call them the HTTP
24 Adaptive Rate --

25 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) Okay.

1 A -- Streaming, HTTP-based Adaptive Rate
2 Streaming.

3 Q Okay. So if I refer to these patents as
4 the HTTP Adaptive Rate Streaming patents or the ABR
5 patents, you would know what I'm talking about?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Okay. Is it your understanding that these
8 ABR patents are related to one another?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Do you have any understanding of what that
11 means from a patent law perspective?

12 MR. GUY: Objection, calls for a legal
13 conclusion.

14 Answer, if you know.

15 A They're -- they're related, different
16 aspects of the -- the core invention, the core ideas
17 that -- that, you know, as we developed streaming,
18 ATV adapted rate streaming, we -- we continue to come
19 up with new ideas. And because no one else had built
20 this before. So we were just coming up with new
21 ideas and so new things would come up and be patented
22 based on the -- some of the original ideas.

23 But that's the reason I believe there's
24 multiple patents.

25 Q Okay. So it's fair to say that they share

1 a common specification --

2 A Yeah.

3 Q Okay. So referring to one -- your answers
4 that apply to one of the IPR patents could quite
5 often apply to the others; is that true?

6 A Quite often.

7 Q Okay. Now, did you review any of the IPR
8 petitions in preparation for your deposition today?

9 MR. GUY: Again, caution the witness to
10 answer the question and not to reveal any
11 attorney-client communication.

12 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) I was asking, did you
13 review -- as a factual matter, did you review any of
14 the IPR petitions before your deposition today?

15 MR. GUY: Same objection.

16 A No.

17 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) Do you have an
18 understanding of what an IPR petition is?

19 A Other than high level, it's a challenge to
20 the patent.

21 Q Okay. Did you review any prior art before
22 your deposition today?

23 MR. GUY: Objection, vague; calls for a
24 legal conclusion.

25 MR. PAQUETTE: Please limit your

1 objections to form.

2 MR. GUY: Huh?

3 MR. PAQUETTE: Please limit your
4 objections to form.

5 A I don't think -- no.

6 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) You hesitated a little.
7 Are you sure you didn't review any prior art?

8 A Well --

9 MR. GUY: Same objection, form.

10 A I'm trying to think, because I -- I
11 reviewed some of our, you know, stuff on my side.

12 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) You didn't review
13 anything cited in the petition is what you're saying?

14 A Yeah, not -- well, yeah, I didn't -- I
15 didn't review the petition you guys did, yeah. I
16 didn't. I'm just trying to sort out my English.

17 Q I understand "prior" is a term of art, for
18 lack of a better word, but --

19 A Yeah.

20 Q -- it's -- have you ever spoken to DISH's
21 expert, Dr. Jeffay?

22 A No.

23 Q Okay. I want to go into a little bit of
24 the process of this declaration you submitted. Is
25 this something -- strike that.

1 Did you draft the declaration that you
2 submitted?

3 A Yeah. I drafted it. It was based -- with
4 lawyers -- based on what we previously done that I
5 was also involved in drafting. And then I edited it
6 a bit.

7 Q And when you say what you'd previously
8 done, what are you referring to?

9 A The previous declarations, depositions in
10 the past for previous lawsuits.

11 Q And you're -- you're referring to the
12 prior ITC --

13 A Yes.

14 Q -- proceedings? Okay.

15 A And perhaps even -- there was something
16 even earlier than that. A few years earlier. I
17 don't know. I can't remember it, but -- I know it
18 happened, I just don't remember --

19 Q Understood.

20 A -- where it all came from.

21 Q So do you have a familiar -- any
22 familiarity with the petitioner in these IPRs, Aylo
23 Freesites?

24 A No.

25 Q Do you understand what they do and what

1 their line of business is?

2 A I've heard they're -- they're involved in
3 streaming and pornography.

4 Q That's true, yeah. And do you have any
5 familiarity with their specific websites or --

6 A No.

7 Q Okay. Are you aware generally of the
8 various other litigations going on between DISH and
9 Aylo Freesites?

10 A I'm really only aware of this litigation.

11 Q Okay. So, Mr. Major, what did you do to
12 prepare for this deposition?

13 A Well, we prepared the response, the --
14 my -- my -- my -- my statement. I reviewed the
15 supporting documents around that. We had one phone
16 call a few days ago for an hour and then we met
17 yesterday with the lawyers.

18 Q Okay. So you reviewed your declaration,
19 you said?

20 A Yes.

21 Q You read it through several times?

22 A Several times.

23 Q And you reviewed the exhibits cited
24 therein?

25 A Yes.

1 Q And then you said you had two meetings to
2 prepare, one phone?

3 A One phone call that was more the logistics
4 of what was going to happen. And then the other one
5 was preparation yesterday.

6 Q Who was involved in these meetings?

7 A Alley, Hopp, and Jim, and maybe someone
8 else. I don't know. Not yesterday. These three
9 were involved yesterday.

10 Q Okay. Okay. I'm going to start by
11 handing you your declaration.

12 MR. PAQUETTE: If you could hand that to
13 him. Thanks. I think I have enough for all of you.

14 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) All right. So,
15 Mr. Major, if you just look at the cover of the
16 Exhibit 2010 I just handed you, is this the
17 declaration you submitted in these IPR proceedings?

18 A It appears to be.

19 Q And is that your signature on the final
20 page?

21 A Yes, that's my signature.

22 Q All right. Now, sticking with the front
23 cover here, there's five different IPR numbers
24 listed. Is it the case that you submitted the same
25 declaration for all five of these IPRs?

1 A Yes.

2 Q Okay. If you could, please, Mr. Major,
3 turn to paragraph 3 of your declaration.

4 Now, I know we briefly talked about the
5 ABR patents. It appears that you're listing out some
6 of the ABR patents in this paragraph; is that true?

7 A Yes.

8 Q I just want to draw your attention to
9 one -- I believe it's a typo. You refer to the
10 patent number 11,430,138?

11 A 114 --

12 Q Do you see that in paragraph 3?

13 A 114 what? 30 --

14 Q 430,138?

15 A Okay.

16 Q I believe it's actually 11,470,138. Does
17 that sound right?

18 A I don't know.

19 Q I'll represent to you that it's
20 11,470,138. But as we discussed, if I just refer to
21 these ABR patents by their last digits, such as the
22 138 or the 555, you would know I'm speaking about
23 specific ABR patents, correct?

24 A Yes.

25 Q So if we look at just the first sentence

1 of your paragraph 3 statement, if you could just read
2 that to yourself and let me know when you're done.

3 A Okay.

4 Q So your declaration submitted here,
5 Exhibit 2010, is at least in part about the ABR
6 patents that you're an inventor on that relate to
7 streaming video over the internet, true?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And if we look at the next paragraph,
10 paragraph 4 of your declaration, this is where you
11 say that you are one of the inventors of the ABR
12 patents, correct?

13 A Yes.

14 Q Are you a named inventor on all of the ABR
15 patents?

16 A I think so.

17 Q Do you have any reason to think you
18 wouldn't be?

19 A Most of the patents that were done, at
20 least at Move were -- I was involved reading them,
21 but I wasn't involved in the preparation, per se. I
22 had some -- my co-inventors were more involved. So
23 all specifics I'm not totally up -- up with, but,
24 yeah.

25 Q Okay. Fair enough. But you believe you

1 are an inventor of --

2 A Oh, yes.

3 Q -- at least the listed ABR patents you
4 have in paragraph 3?

5 A Yes, I am.

6 Q So as a named inventor, what do you
7 believe you contributed to the ABR patents?

8 MR. GUY: Objection, calls for a legal
9 conclusion.

10 Answer, if you can.

11 A The -- the core thing on -- on the
12 HTTP-based ABR patents was the idea to take video and
13 instead of video being a stream of bits flowing, like
14 cable, satellite, antenna, or video being a giant
15 file, like DVR or even -- not DVR -- DVD, Blue-ray or
16 whatever, instead, the idea was that video should be
17 represented in discrete segments. And by doing that,
18 there was a plethora of cool things that happened
19 that worked that made it -- made video delivery over
20 the internet work way better, given the issues sort
21 of surrounding internet delivery.

22 So the core idea was that video needs to
23 be represented in smaller pieces, moved together.

24 The other core idea was that the intelligence
25 surrounding decisions about what video quality to

1 render would be done by the client and not by the
2 server. So the client device that's playing the
3 video, it would decide.

4 And that combination made video delivery
5 extremely friendly to internet, very -- it just --
6 just generic proxy caches that -- in content delivery
7 networks. And it was in many ways radically
8 different than what the state of the art was or what
9 the industry felt like the way to deliver internet --
10 video over the internet should go, or how it should
11 go.

12 It was based on other protocols and
13 intelligent servers and dumb clients, and the data
14 was just getting pushed to the clients. Similar to
15 how television works, and how everyone had kind of --
16 they -- they had almost taken the television --
17 traditional television distribution model and applied
18 it to the internet.

19 And besides over the internet, they also
20 had their IP TV streaming, which wasn't a big deal,
21 but it was the same thing, it was push, just use the
22 internet as a -- as a -- as a delivery mechanism
23 similar to how everything else had been done.

24 And my idea was, no, the client is going
25 to pull the data, and it's going to have the

1 intelligence because it knows what it's seeing --
2 what it's seeing, how fast the internet is right now,
3 and if you arrange and take the -- the video and put
4 it in different bitrates and -- and where each of the
5 bitrates are different HTTP objects stored in caching
6 using the traditional web protocols, those -- those
7 video chunks -- it would make it so that the
8 intelligent client could easily switch between
9 bitrates and its intelligence. It knows what it's
10 seeing. It -- it asks for a two-second video chunk
11 and it takes it four seconds to get there. Well,
12 then it knows -- obviously it's asking for video
13 that's too high quality because it's too big.

14 The beauty of video is you can vary the
15 quality, which varies the size -- the amount of data
16 you have to deliver. And so I took advantage of that
17 fact and pushed that to the client, and the client
18 then says, okay, well, I -- I asked for a
19 high-quality video thing, it took four seconds, so
20 now I'm going to ask for something significantly
21 lower quality and hopefully that takes less than four
22 seconds, so it will likely take maybe a second or
23 two. And by doing that, I can catch up. I can stay
24 connected to live. I can -- and particularly, I
25 don't -- I won't be hiccupping in the playback.

1 I think everyone remembers that during the
2 2000s, you know, either the video was very small or
3 you had to download it. And in the old days was the
4 way you watched video was you selected low, medium,
5 high. And the low was -- would come faster and the
6 high would take better, but, you know, you went -- if
7 you wanted to look at any video, you had -- the user
8 had to decide and had to download it and then play
9 it, because the internet was so variable.

10 And my invention automated all of that,
11 made it dynamic, made it work for live, made it work
12 so that it -- that it's very rare that you would have
13 a hiccup. But it also always gave you the highest
14 quality that was possible at the time.

15 And we tried very hard when we figured out
16 the different bitrates to match them. Sometimes we
17 were matching up to 9 to 12 bitrates so that if it
18 was moving up and down, the user would like -- likely
19 don't even per -- perceive that there was any change,
20 if it went to a lower bitrate or a higher bitrate.

21 So we took advantage of flexibility by
22 this new way of doing it, new architecture, to --
23 to -- to give the user a beautiful premium streaming
24 experience without hiccups. And you compare that
25 with what -- how video was like over the internet,

1 you know, 20 years ago, and it was night and day.
2 And so -- but the core of it was, yeah, move the
3 intelligence to the client, use the traditional HTTP
4 delivery mechanisms that were way cheaper, more
5 scalable, and -- and then take the video and chunk
6 it.

7 And when we did all those things together,
8 we created something that was very revolutionary.
9 Everyone that saw it, first of all, couldn't believe
10 it, and then endorsed it.

11 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) We'll come back to some
12 of these things --

13 A Okay.

14 Q -- a little later, but let's just stick
15 with paragraph 4 now --

16 A All right.

17 Q -- of your declaration where you say,
18 "This declaration is also about Move Networks,
19 Incorporated."

20 A Yes.

21 Q What is Move Networks, Incorporated?

22 A Move Networks was a company that I founded
23 and funded for a number of years. I had
24 originally -- it started out actually as a different
25 company I called Ex-Long for extra large object

1 something -- I don't even know the name right now,
2 something. It was a -- it was a -- going to be a
3 form of a content delivery network that was going to
4 focus just on large objects, that would be downloads
5 of software or the -- of course the biggest one is
6 video.

7 So -- and so -- and I formed that company
8 while I was employed by Novell, Incorporated. Part
9 of it -- motivation was at Novell I built an HTTP
10 proxy cache that was extremely scalable. And to the
11 side when I -- I found out that people didn't need
12 scaling because video -- video -- well, the founder
13 of Akamai told me, Hey, your proxy cache is ten times
14 faster than ours. And Akamai was the leading content
15 delivery company at the time.

16 Said your -- I still remember the
17 discussion. He said -- his name is Danny Lewin. He
18 talked to me and he said, Your content delivery,
19 your -- your -- your proxy cache is ten times faster
20 than what we've got. But it doesn't matter to us
21 because they were putting caches in 10,000 places,
22 and they were just delivering up web pages. You
23 know, which, again, this is prior to very much video
24 on the internet.

25 And so it wasn't that important. That

1 kind of -- because I was trying to talk -- talk him
2 into doing it. And he didn't want to buy it. So
3 then I said, Well, okay, I'll start my own company
4 instead and do it. Direct -- direct understanding.

5 So -- so I invented XLon. The problem was
6 then the video streaming didn't work with those
7 caches and video wasn't working. And then later I
8 realized I had to invent how to do that to do it, to
9 -- to -- to take advantage of this capability. And
10 then -- and then I realized the real value wasn't in
11 the CDN side but it was in the streaming side. And
12 we renamed the company from XLon to Move and focused
13 on licensing the streaming.

14 That's a long explanation, but it's kind
15 of how I --

16 Q Yeah, that makes sense.

17 A -- evolved into even figuring out -- to do
18 this. Actually, I want my -- my XLon company was
19 sort of failing and I wanted to save it, and I kept
20 expecting that someone was going to fix streaming on
21 the internet. And no one did, and then I realized I
22 had to if it was going to get fixed.

23 Q Okay. So just to pick up on a few things.
24 You were at Novell, and this was the '90s?

25 A Late '90s when I founded XLON, yes.

1 Q Okay. And was XLON founded to do video
2 streaming or was it founded --

3 A It was founded to do large object
4 distribution, which video would be part of it. And
5 so, for example, one of our businesses was going --
6 was -- we delivered video ads -- the first video ads
7 that AOL Instant Messenger did. We did a billion
8 video ads, and those were large objects. It wasn't
9 really streaming, though, it was download.

10 Q And what do you mean by download? You
11 download the whole video and then play it?

12 A Well, there's -- there's -- there's two
13 kinds of downloads. There's download where you wait
14 for it to come down and then you play it. And,
15 again, that was how a lot of people did it. In the
16 2000s if you wanted to watch a trailer for a movie,
17 you would click it, download it, then push play.
18 YouTube and other people realized that you could
19 start playing it earlier. If you had enough network
20 bandwidth, you could download it at the same time you
21 were playing it.

22 Now, that was dangerous because you could
23 download it and think the internet was fast, and so
24 you start playing it and then the data isn't there on
25 time because the internet hiccupped. And so you --

1 that's -- that was the source of a lot of the hiccups
2 on the internet. And so, of course, guys like
3 YouTube got around it by just doing small video.

4 And -- and, of course, AOL wanted to
5 deliver video ads. That was going to be a huge --
6 remember, AOL was huge at the time; but -- well, part
7 of what motivated me to -- to invent this was that --
8 first of all, people don't like to watch ads. So if
9 you got to wait for a little while to watch it, so
10 you're in the middle of AOL Instant Messenger and it
11 puts up -- it's going to put up an ad but -- but you
12 see black for a little while, that's -- that's bad.
13 Or -- but then if you play it and it hiccups, that's
14 bad, too. And you can avoid that, but then you have
15 to do low quality, and advertisers don't want
16 low-quality video. Anyway, so that was -- that was
17 the -- the -- the problem I was thinking about in
18 dealing with it.

19 And so back to fully answering your
20 question, XLon, again, was getting deals like this
21 thing with AOL, to deliver video over the internet,
22 but the progressive download way of doing it had some
23 major problems. And that's exactly what motivated me
24 to fix it.

25 Q So the progressive download is what you

1 were referring to where you start to download and you
2 start to play while it's still coming?

3 A While it's still coming, but you wait --
4 you sometimes have to wait a little while because you
5 don't know, again, the quality. So quite often you
6 would wait. I've had one time where I requested in
7 the -- when Netflix was doing downloads, I was at a
8 WiFi in an airport and Netflix literally said, you
9 can watch this video in three hours. Literally.
10 That was my experience. Because Netflix at that time
11 originally was doing progressive download. But
12 that's what they had to do. They had to say, well,
13 the data is coming. We want to do this movie, so you
14 can watch it in three hours.

15 So, anyway, all of that was a big problem,
16 which, again, and then I was kind of in a position to
17 see a solution.

18 Q So at XLon you were doing some progressive
19 downloading of video, is that what --

20 A Well, we were just the back end --

21 Q Okay.

22 A -- that was serving the video, this
23 content delivery network.

24 Q Was it ordinary web server caches?

25 A Yeah, ordinary web server caching, just

1 large ones, and, of course, video is the large one,
2 largest -- it's the largest.

3 Q So would this be considered streaming in
4 the early 2000s?

5 A It was a -- you know, downloading and
6 watching it over the internet you could call it
7 streaming, perhaps, but it really wasn't practically.

8 Q In the sense that you haven't downloaded
9 the full video but you're watching it before --

10 A That's right. And it's just, it's a very
11 inferior version of streaming. Most people here have
12 experienced that, if they remember how video was like
13 20 years ago.

14 Q Okay. So we have video downloading,
15 right?

16 A Yeah.

17 Q We have progressive downloading, which is
18 a form of streaming, right?

19 A Yes.

20 Q And then you spoke about -- well,
21 actually, let's look at it in your declaration.

22 A Yeah, let's -- okay.

23 Q Actually, before we move on to that, I
24 just wanted to close the loop on Move.

25 A That's fine.

1 Q So when did Move come into existence?

2 A I'm not exactly sure of the date when we
3 renamed it.

4 Q Um-hum.

5 A But it was around the time when I invented
6 this new way of streaming.

7 Q Okay. Do you remember when -- strike
8 that.

9 Move had a player that it eventually came
10 out with, right?

11 A Yeah, we needed the intelligent client,
12 yeah.

13 Q And that would be on the client?

14 A It would be on the client that was
15 downloading and playing the video, yes.

16 Q And I think that was -- was that the 2006
17 time frame?

18 A We had ones working early in 2005.

19 Q Okay.

20 A Late. And we demonstrated it first
21 publicly in October of 2005.

22 Q And then eventually Move was acquired by
23 EchoStar in 2010 --

24 A That's right.

25 Q -- which is part of DISH now, correct?

1 A That's correct, yeah.

2 Q Okay. So Move no longer exists as an
3 entity, it's been absorbed?

4 A All the -- everything has been absorbed.

5 Q Okay.

6 A Yeah.

7 Q Now, did you -- you personally work at
8 EchoStar after the acquisition?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And what was your role there?

11 A I was senior vice president and CTO of
12 DISH Digital originally is what they called it. And
13 I -- I guess I'm considered the CTO of Sling TV right
14 now.

15 Q Okay. So right now you're the chief
16 technical officer of Sling TV?

17 A Kind of.

18 Q Kind of? Okay. Fair enough.

19 If we can just briefly go to paragraph 2
20 of your declaration.

21 A Okay.

22 Q You say -- you say there, "My compensation
23 is not based on the content of my opinions or the
24 resolution of this matter."

25 Could you just explain to me what you mean

1 by that?

2 A I'm not going to get a bonus. I'm not
3 going to -- you know, I'm -- I'm on -- I'm on certain
4 incentive -- internal incentive plans totally
5 independent of this.

6 Q Okay. So you have no financial interest
7 in the outcome of -- of these litigations?

8 A I have no financial interest.

9 Q No -- you don't get any royalties from
10 licenses for the ABR patents?

11 A I don't get any royalties. I wish I did,
12 but I don't. I didn't negotiate well enough when I
13 sold the company.

14 Q So who -- who is paying your salary today?
15 Is it Sling TV?

16 A Yeah, DISH.

17 Q DISH?

18 A DISH. They pay my salary, DISH, yes.

19 Q But we already established you have,
20 obviously, a personal interest in upholding the
21 validity of these patents, correct?

22 A Yes, yes. I'm very proud of these
23 patents.

24 Q All right. Let's go ahead and look at
25 paragraph 6 of your declaration.

1 A Okay.

2 Q Now, here you say, "Because of my
3 inventions, which included those disclosed in the ABR
4 patents, I've been" -- "I have been inducted into the
5 Utah Valley Entrepreneurial Forum Hall of Fame." And
6 then you cite to Exhibit 2068. Do you see that?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And then you go on to say, and you've been
9 inducted, I suppose, into the Utah Technical Council
10 Hall of Fame, and you cite to Exhibit 2069.

11 A Yeah.

12 Q And here you say that this was -- for
13 previous work you did at Novell, you were also
14 inducted into the National Computer Industry Hall of
15 Fame in 1999, right?

16 A Yes.

17 Q So starting with the Utah Valley
18 Entrepreneurial Forum, how does one get elected to
19 that?

20 A I don't know.

21 MR. GUY: Objection to form.

22 Answer.

23 A I don't know. I was just called. They
24 said they wanted to do it.

25 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) So you have no idea who

1 submitted you or anything like that?

2 A I suspect it's a lawyer who likes me at
3 Novell.

4 Q Okay.

5 A But honestly --

6 Q So you think it was tied to your work at
7 Novell?

8 A Yeah, it was -- it was -- it was tied to
9 work at Novell, but also at that time the streaming
10 was going, too, so -- and I, you know, started at
11 Novell, and I started Move Networks. You know, what
12 date was that? That was -- I can't remember the
13 dates. I think Move was still independent at the
14 time.

15 Q Okay.

16 A I think.

17 Q And we can come back to that later.

18 Let's -- let's move on to paragraph 7 of your
19 declaration, please.

20 A Okay.

21 Q Do you see that first sentence -- just
22 please read the first sentence of paragraph 7 to
23 yourself, and just let me know when you're done.

24 A Yes.

25 Q So here you're referring to something

1 called RTP/RTSP. Could you tell me what those mean?

2 A That was a standard for streaming video
3 over the internet that was a published standard. I'm
4 not sure exactly which organization supported it, but
5 it was considered the correct way to stream video
6 over the internet.

7 Q How so?

8 A Well, that's what Microsoft and Apple and
9 Adobe and RealNetworks used to stream. They --
10 they -- they took it and then implemented a
11 proprietary version. And that was QuickTime and -- I
12 can't remember all the names, RealNetworks streaming
13 all was based on that protocol. And it was a client
14 server protocol, again, where the intelligence was in
15 the server.

16 Q Okay. So these were -- Real-Time was
17 doing video streaming using their Real-Time Streaming
18 Protocol, right?

19 MR. GUY: Objection, form.

20 A Yeah. I -- I believe -- yeah, again,
21 my -- my understanding was that all of these
22 companies were using different proprietary versions
23 of RTP/RTSP.

24 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) Okay. But this was for
25 video streaming?

1 A For video streaming.

2 Q Okay. And this is before the ABR patents,
3 this was the state --

4 A That was the state of the ABR patent. I
5 think it was also used for audio streaming.

6 Q Okay.

7 A Especially by RealNetworks. I believe,
8 but I'm -- yeah.

9 Q Do you know did Real-Time do live video as
10 well?

11 A RealNetworks did live video as well, yes.

12 Q And isn't it true that Real-Time would
13 also adjust the Bitrate based on the bandwidth?

14 MR. GUY: Objection, form, foundation.

15 A RTP/RTSP did adjust bit -- bitrate
16 according to -- according to bandwidth, but very
17 poorly.

18 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) Okay. How so?

19 A It -- I -- I -- I don't really understand
20 why it was implemented the way it was. I just know
21 when I tested it and people tested it and used it, it
22 didn't work definitely as well as what I did.

23 Q Okay. Understood.

24 A So I -- I -- I -- again, I think some of
25 it is just because the server -- the client knows

1 more what's happening than the server does. So it
2 was kind of a delayed reaction. And it was again a
3 push model, server knows best, server knows, oh,
4 well, you got to have lower bitrate, oh, you gotta
5 have higher bitrate.

6 Q Okay.

7 A But the server didn't know best, the
8 client does.

9 Q So along those lines, continuing on in
10 paragraph 7, the next sentence you say, "These
11 protocols were implemented using expensive
12 specialized servers."

13 A Yes.

14 Q Could you expand on that? What made them
15 specialized?

16 A Well, they had to have -- in the case of
17 each of these four companies, they had to have their
18 own back-end server that would deliver the data to
19 their proprietary -- to their -- to their client.
20 And so, for example, Akamai was, again, the largest
21 CDN in the world. They had to have four different
22 servers for streaming in their thousands of -- of
23 head-ends or nodes, whatever they -- they called them,
24 because each of them were different. And so if
25 someone bought QuickTime, they had to use a QuickTime

1 server. If you wanted to use Adobe Flash streaming,
2 you had to use that Flash server. If you wanted to
3 do RealNetworks streaming, you had to -- and so Adobe
4 -- or no, Akamai responding to that business
5 requirement had to deploy four servers in thousands
6 of places to do the streaming. And then the
7 servers -- because the servers were -- you know, they
8 can only do so much. They had to do all the work,
9 and as a single piece of hardware, and push it to all
10 these people. So -- so it wouldn't scale to very
11 many, you know, users. So it was, in my mind, an
12 inferior way of -- of doing streaming.

13 Q Yeah. And then you go on in that
14 paragraph 7 to talk about what we already discussed,
15 video downloading and progressive downloading, right?

16 A That's right, yeah. I think some of that
17 came because of the problems with RTP/RTSP. So
18 people said, Well, screw this, it doesn't work very
19 well. We'll just download the files.

20 Q Okay.

21 A And it will probably work as good as this
22 complicated system, and it will be cheaper and we'll
23 just download the files.

24 Q Okay. So people knew at the time that
25 this expensive specialized streaming was not ideal;

1 is that fair?

2 A Yeah. And so everyone was moving to
3 progressive download. That's what YouTube did,
4 that's what Netflix did originally.

5 Q And did progressive download use ordinary
6 protocols?

7 A Yes, they used a GP normal protocols, and
8 a little more of the intelligence was in the client
9 because the client would have to ask for the data,
10 see how long it took, and then judge and say, well, I
11 can start right now or I can wait for five seconds
12 and then I can start, or -- and in the extreme case I
13 cited you got to wait for several hours --

14 Q Right.

15 A -- you know. So there was more
16 intelligence in the client but just a little bit.

17 Q Okay. So at this time, we have -- if
18 somebody is using progressive downloading in 2003,
19 their client would be connecting to, like, an
20 ordinary web server?

21 A Correct.

22 Q And it would be requesting, say, a video
23 file?

24 A Correct.

25 Q And it would start to download the file,

1 right?

2 A It would be asking for a giant -- it would
3 be asking for the entire video file.

4 Q Right.

5 A The entire video file.

6 Q Understood. And that would be over like a
7 TCP connection, right?

8 A Yeah, TC -- HTP, which is -- it runs on
9 TCP, yeah.

10 Q The transmission control --

11 A Control protocol, the underlying protocol
12 for the internet today, yeah.

13 Q So -- and all of this was going on before
14 2004, right?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Okay.

17 A There was -- there was -- I -- I -- I
18 sensed a -- it seemed like there was frustration.
19 Because I remember the NFL announced a little earlier
20 sometime -- and I'm just guessing -- they -- they
21 announced that they were going to put their games on
22 the internet. And it just didn't work. Major League
23 Baseball tried to do it and it just sort of didn't
24 work. Everyone thought that the internet should be
25 able to deliver video, but it didn't work.

1 Q And what time frame was this?

2 A This was right -- 2000s, early 2000s.

3 Q And was part of that problem that a lot of
4 people didn't have very much bandwidth at the time,
5 true?

6 A Exactly.

7 Q Right.

8 A But the protocols also did not -- were --
9 were very -- didn't -- didn't work very well either,
10 even if you had more bandwidth, so. . .

11 Q Okay. Let's go on to paragraph 9, please,
12 of your declaration.

13 A Okay.

14 Q In that first sentence you talk about how
15 "In the 2003 time frame, options for accessing video
16 content online were limited."

17 I guess my question is, have you done an
18 investigation into what systems were available in
19 2003?

20 A You know, I, again, had just general
21 understanding of stuff; but I -- you know, I -- I had
22 a company called XLon at the time that was trying to
23 participate in this, and other than doing progressive
24 download, was not doing anything. You know, it just
25 was still not happening.

1 Q Let's see. I wanted to ask about one
2 thing in here. We've already talked about how these
3 realtime servers were expensive.

4 Could you please just read the second
5 sentence in paragraph 9 to yourself, and let me know
6 when you're done.

7 A Second sentence? Yeah.

8 Q So I think we've talked about this a
9 little bit already, but is it fair to say that
10 freezing and buffering was a known problem in 2003?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And I guess my -- my next question is,
13 does your -- does the ABR patents, if you implement
14 them, do they completely prevent any buffering or
15 freezing?

16 A There are occasions it can happen; but
17 it's, like, many times less likely, you know.

18 Q Okay.

19 A Dozens, hundreds -- I mean, you know, just
20 rarely happen. Def -- sometimes -- you know,
21 sometimes the internet actually goes away, you know,
22 and then you can't get it; but it's very rare.

23 Q And then the last sentence of paragraph 9,
24 do you see where you say, "These specialized servers
25 supported only a small number of concurrent viewers"?

1 A Yes.

2 Q Why was that the case?

3 A Just because of capacity. The server had
4 to do all the work, and there's only so many CPU
5 cycles in a server; so you do it. Whereas with the
6 client doing it, each client brings its own compute.
7 So the -- the -- the dynamics of -- of -- of
8 decision -- you know, of -- of -- of how it scales is
9 dif -- fundamentally different. Because each client
10 can do all of that work. All you have to have now is
11 a dumb -- a -- not dumb, a -- a -- a server that just
12 delivers the data. That's all it does is deliver the
13 data.

14 Q It just responds to requests from --

15 A Responds to simple requests for -- from
16 pull requests, yeah.

17 Q We'll come back to pull in a minute.

18 But paragraph 10, this is where you start
19 talking about the problems in the industry. You
20 mention co-inventors Mark Hurst and David Brueck.

21 A Yes.

22 Q Who was Mark Hurst?

23 A Mark Hurst is a partner and a friend of
24 mine for 40 years.

25 Q And he was a co-inventor on the ABR

1 patents as well?

2 A ABR patents.

3 Q What did you think his contribution to the
4 invention was?

5 MR. GUY: Object to the extent it calls
6 for a legal conclusion.

7 Answer.

8 A Mark actually implemented it, and figured
9 out the core algorithms for deciding shifting up and
10 down, did a bunch of math and a bunch of testing to
11 evaluate it, and actually built the code as well.

12 I came up with the original concepts.
13 Mark at the time was not working at XLon. And I had
14 just left Novell. I left Novell in March of 2003.
15 And in April of 2003 is when I -- when I actually got
16 into -- you know, I -- I had funded XLon but now I
17 was free to innovate, you know, because I wasn't
18 employed by Novell anymore, and that's why it all
19 came in that next month.

20 But right as soon as -- as I figured it
21 out, I -- I actually had another company going, too,
22 that I was going to work full-time to, so I thought,
23 you know, who is the guy who can implement it? And
24 Mark Hurst was the man. He's done protocol -- we
25 built protocols and stuff.

1 So I immediately called him. He quit his
2 other job, and -- and came and implemented it.

3 Q Okay. So it's fair to say you came up
4 with the core idea, right?

5 A The core -- the core -- start of the idea.
6 But, of course, it's a whole bunch of stuff built
7 around --

8 Q Fair enough.

9 A -- the idea of chunking and using
10 intelligent clients, yeah.

11 Q Yeah. So you came up with the -- creating
12 the segments?

13 A Yeah.

14 Q And coding the segments and different
15 quality levels?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And you came up with using the ordinary
18 web servers?

19 A Yes.

20 Q And you came up with using the client
21 intelligence to pull --

22 A Pull -- pull it, yeah, and then --

23 Q And then Mark --

24 A -- Mark came up with a lot of the
25 algorithms for deciding when to go up and down

1 intelligently and, you know, there's a long process
2 between idea and actually making it real and actually
3 making it, you know, it working in the market and
4 turning the whole industry's head around. And Mark
5 did that.

6 Q Have you ever spoken to Mark Hurst about
7 these IPRs?

8 A I told Mark that the patent was being
9 challenged, and that's -- that's it.

10 Q Okay. And what about David Brueck, who is
11 he?

12 A Dave was another employee, I believe, at
13 XLon at the time. And he -- we asked him to build
14 the back-end segmenting and the coding. Because,
15 remember no -- no coders existed at this time that
16 did this. You know, the -- and -- and he had to
17 figure out how to -- to actually implement that. And
18 he came up with some great ideas in the process of
19 doing that as well. And so that's why they are --
20 he's a co-inventor.

21 Q Okay. So he came up with more the aspect
22 of -- you -- you had already come up with the
23 segmentation aspect, right?

24 A Yes.

25 Q And so he came up with taking those

1 segments and encoding them into specific --

2 A How to -- how to -- how to actually
3 implement that and make that work and make that
4 scale. And they both came up with the idea of the
5 virtual timeline. That's kind of implied by my
6 ideas, but they actually -- when they actually had to
7 implement the thing they recognized that we need this
8 capability here to make it actually work, especially
9 for live streaming. They invented that. I didn't.
10 But it was based on -- you know, it was kind of a
11 natural evolution of -- of my core ideas.

12 Q Okay. So David Brueck. You mentioned the
13 virtual timeline. Is that -- please explain what you
14 mean by that.

15 A That's just a way of describing what a vid
16 -- an adaptive-rate video streaming is. It says the
17 video consists of this piece and then this piece and
18 then this piece and then this piece. And -- and
19 it -- it's -- it's stitched together. Because, you
20 see, in the past it would be, the video consists of
21 this giant file.

22 Now, it's the video consists of this and
23 this and this and this. And, of course, for live,
24 that's very dynamic.

25 Q So it would say Segment 1?

1 A Segment 1 is at this time, Segment 2 is at
2 this time, Segment 3.

3 Q And it would have the time parameters
4 specified for each segment?

5 A I -- I think so.

6 Q Okay. Have you spoken to Mr. Brueck about
7 these IPRs?

8 A No.

9 Q Okay. When is the last time you spoke to
10 Mr. Brueck? Do you remember?

11 A It's probably been about five years.

12 Q All right.

13 MR. PAQUETTE: I think I'm at a good place
14 for a break, if you guys are good.

15 THE DEPONENT: Okay.

16 MR. PAQUETTE: Or we can keep going. I
17 will defer to you, Mr. Major.

18 THE DEPONENT: I need some water here.

19 MR. GUY: There's waters right here.

20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:50.

21 We're off the record.

22 (Recess taken, 9:50 a.m. to 10:03 a.m.)

23 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:03.

24 We're back on the record. And before we go back, I
25 was asked to have people online to identify

1 themselves for the court reporter, please.

2 MR. EDELL: This is Jim Edell for the
3 patent owner, in-house counsel.

4 MR. LYNN: This is Jamie Lynn from Baker
5 Botts. Go ahead, Andrew.

6 MR. WILSON: Andrew Wilson, Baker Botts
7 for DISH.

8 MR. GUY: That was Andrew Wilson.

9 MR. LYNN: And Jamie Lynn with Baker Botts
10 for DISH.

11 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) All right. Welcome
12 back, Mr. Major.

13 Did you speak to anyone during the break?

14 A I visited a bit with my lawyers, but just
15 said nothing.

16 Q You visited but said nothing?

17 A Yeah. Just went back and, well, we can't
18 talk.

19 Q What did you talk about?

20 A Oh, I just said that I talk too much.
21 That's all.

22 Q You said that?

23 A I said that. I said that.

24 MR. GUY: Caution the witness not to
25 disclose attorney-client communication.

1 THE DEPONENT: Okay.

2 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) Let's stick with your
3 declaration, Exhibit 2010.

4 A Okay.

5 Q Moving on to paragraph 11, please.

6 A Okay.

7 Q Would you please read the first sentence
8 of that paragraph to yourself and let me know when
9 you're done.

10 A Okay.

11 Q Now, there you're talking about creating
12 video streamlets that were short in length. Earlier
13 you used the word segment. Is that a reference to
14 streamlets?

15 A Yes, same type of -- I -- I will call them
16 sometimes video chunks or video streamlets or video
17 segments.

18 Q Is streamlets a word that you came up
19 with?

20 A I believe Mark Hurst came up with the
21 word.

22 Q And in that sentence, you say that your
23 invention involved creating video streamlets that
24 were short in length and separately compressed and
25 encoded.

1 So, first, what do you mean by short in
2 length? Are you talking duration?

3 A Yeah, duration in length. They were
4 short like, typically two seconds, but it can be any
5 size, short size.

6 Q So just said slightly differently, you
7 have a series of segments or streamlets that are
8 short, two seconds?

9 A Short in length, but --

10 Q But consecutive?

11 A Consecutive, yeah.

12 Q And together they make up a larger video
13 presentation?

14 A That's right.

15 Q Okay. So if you were to take -- like,
16 let's use your ad example. If you took two segments
17 of video, you added a third segment, that was an ad,
18 and then you added two more segments of video, you
19 would create streamlets, true, of different videos?

20 A Well, usually there would be multiple ones
21 of each because they would be smaller than the full
22 ad.

23 Q Oh, I see what you're saying. So an ad
24 would have multiple streamlets, too?

25 A Yeah. A 30-second ad would probably have

1 15 segments.

2 Q But if you took all those segments and
3 arranged them in order, you would create a larger
4 video, true?

5 A That's correct.

6 Q Okay. How short does a streamlet have to
7 be to be considered a streamlet in the ABR patents?

8 MR. GUY: Objection, calls for a legal
9 conclusion.

10 Answer.

11 A They can be -- they can -- they can be
12 longer certainly, four seconds, eight seconds, maybe
13 even 16 seconds. You do lose, particularly with
14 live, the ability to start quickly as they get
15 bigger.

16 Q Okay. So --

17 A So smaller.

18 Q For live, the benefit is if you have a
19 shorter streamlet, you can start -- you can create
20 the streamlet and start encoding it --

21 A Faster.

22 Q -- faster. Okay.

23 A And you can -- and it's faster for the
24 client to get it, too. So --

25 Q Okay.

1 A -- that's -- that's the advantage of
2 having short versus long, but the patent allows
3 flexibility.

4 Q Okay. So it's sort of a tradeoff is what
5 you would say?

6 A There's tradeoffs there, yeah.

7 Q So -- so your invention, just to break it
8 down, is you're taking -- you're creating video
9 streamlets or segments, which are the same thing,
10 right?

11 A Yeah.

12 Q And you're encoding each segment
13 individually into, say, Bitrate A, Bitrate B, Bitrate
14 C?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Okay. You also -- you say they are
17 compressed and encoded. Could you describe what you
18 mean by that?

19 A Well, that they're kind of one thing.
20 When -- when video -- you know, raw video is massive
21 data. So it's always compressed, different --
22 different ways of compressing it. And as part of
23 that, it's encoded, too, so it's kind of one and the
24 same thing; but it's mostly compression.

25 Q Okay. So the encoding aspect is -- is it

1 fair to say that's a function of it being a digital
2 video that --

3 A Yeah -- well, and there's -- there was
4 different -- there's different formats of -- of --
5 of -- of ways that the video can be a container --
6 con -- you know, different ways of containing the
7 video, too, so. . .

8 Q So you're -- when you compress a video,
9 you're talking about encoding it to a specific
10 bitrate; is that what you're referring to?

11 A Yeah.

12 Q Okay.

13 A Probably, yeah.

14 Q So let's just say as an example, I have a
15 video from, like, a Disney movie, and I take it and I
16 chop it up into, say, two-second segments. And are
17 you with me so far?

18 A Yes.

19 Q You take each segment, so you have Segment
20 1, Segment 2, Segment 3, I take each segment and I
21 encode it to say Bitrate A and Bitrate B. Is that --
22 is that fair?

23 A Yeah, that's part of the process, yes.

24 Q That's part of your invention. So what
25 you end up with in your invention is -- let's just

1 use two bitrates as an example. You end up with
2 Segment 1 at Bitrate A, Segment 1 at Bitrate B.
3 Segment 2 at Bitrate A, Segment 2 at Bitrate B. Is
4 that fair?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Okay. And those are -- I guess said
7 slightly differently, Segment 1 at Bitrate A would be
8 the same bitrate of Segment 2 at Bitrate A, but it
9 would be different than -- let's stop there. Segment
10 1 at Bitrate A would be the same bitrate as Segment 2
11 at Bitrate A, correct?

12 A Likely, yeah.

13 Q Is there any instances where it wouldn't
14 be?

15 A Probably not.

16 Q Okay.

17 A It -- it -- technically it could switch,
18 if you wanted to, but you don't have to. You --
19 like, it usually doesn't happen.

20 Q So the -- but the Segment 1 across the
21 bitrates would be --

22 A Yes.

23 Q -- different -- different encoding rates,
24 correct, different bitrates?

25 A Yeah, each -- each -- each of the

1 segments, each of the versions of -- yeah, of Segment
2 1, there will be different bitrates that will have
3 different sizes.

4 Q But they would have -- they would have the
5 same corresponding content, it would just be --

6 A Same corresponding content. Same
7 corresponding timeline.

8 Q Okay.

9 A Preciseness -- one advantage is the
10 timeline. They start at the same time exactly. So,
11 again, switching.

12 Q And is that part of the -- creating the
13 segment and then encoding to create the perfect
14 timeline?

15 MR. GUY: Excuse me. Can I have that
16 question read back?

17 (The last question was read back as
18 follows: "And is that part of the -- creating the
19 segment and then encoding to create the perfect
20 timeline?")

21 MR. GUY: Incomplete hypothetical.

22 Answer, if you can.

23 A Basically, one way of implementing it was
24 just to give the same exact set of video -- two
25 seconds of video to multiple encoders at all the

1 different bitrates so they would obviously have the
2 exact same timeline.

3 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) Okay. And that was
4 beneficial why?

5 A Because then the client can switch between
6 different bitrates and there's no gaps. So the
7 client can ask for the first at Bitrate 1, and then
8 the second one because he has more bandwidth, it can
9 be a different bitrate and a different thing. But
10 for the user's playback experience, it's transparent.

11 Q Okay. So --

12 A It looks the same.

13 Q -- if it wasn't the case, then the user
14 would miss things --

15 A Yeah.

16 Q -- or when you switched you would lose
17 scenes, right?

18 A You could lose pieces, probably.

19 Q Pieces, okay. And that would be
20 undesirable? Everybody -- you didn't want that,
21 right?

22 A Yeah.

23 Q Okay. So you also in this paragraph talk
24 about how -- this is paragraph 11 --

25 A Yeah.

1 Q -- the different quality levels have
2 different sizes --

3 A Yes.

4 Q -- and required different amounts of
5 internet bandwidth to be successfully delivered on
6 time.

7 Could you just go into a little detail
8 about why a different quality level would have a
9 different size?

10 A Well, the different quality levels
11 typically is reflected in either -- on a
12 compression -- on a video compression it's reflected
13 either in the number of bits, you know, the
14 resolution, if it's HD, if it's 10 ATP, if it's 4K.
15 That's the number of dots. And so there's a lot
16 more -- the higher quality requires a lot more data.

17 Q Right. So if you have a -- if I had a --
18 a segment that was 600 kilobytes per second and 30
19 frames a second, the same segment encoded at 400
20 kilobytes per second and 15 frames per second, that
21 would be --

22 A That would be one instance --

23 Q Okay.

24 A -- of that, yeah. But it's -- it's --
25 yeah. Yeah. So there's -- there's several ways to

1 change how things are compressed. And they --

2 Q Right.

3 A -- all typically end up with different
4 sizes.

5 Q Okay. Could you give me some examples?

6 A Oh, yeah. 4K versus 10 -- 10 -- 24K, or
7 10 -- what is it?

8 Q 1080p?

9 A Oh, yeah, 1080p. Sorry. I froze up on
10 that. Those take different -- that's -- that's a
11 different size.

12 Q Okay. Understood. So that -- that larger
13 file size, that would take longer to download,
14 correct?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Over the same internet bandwidth?

17 A Same internet bandwidth, yeah.

18 Q Okay. So all other things being equal,
19 you know, if you have a two-second segment and it
20 will take you five seconds to download, you'd say
21 that's probably not the right one for this client,
22 correct?

23 A Yeah. Well, what will happen is it will
24 shift down and the next one will be a lot smaller to
25 catch up, because obviously it took five seconds and

1 we only played it for two seconds. We're getting
2 behind. We get behind unless we catch up. The user
3 will see a -- a hiccup.

4 Q So when you say "get behind," what are you
5 talking about?

6 A Get behind on the playback.

7 Q Okay. So --

8 A On the -- on the download that's feeding
9 the playback. The downloading of the data is feeding
10 the playback.

11 Q So are you talking about a buffer?

12 A Yeah. The -- the client will -- all --
13 all video playback has a buffer --

14 Q Okay.

15 A -- of some size. And, again, if the data
16 is coming in slower than it is getting -- taken out,
17 that's how -- that's how a hiccup happens, is if you
18 don't correct that problem.

19 Q Okay. So even before the ABR patents, all
20 video players had a buffer, right?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And they would download and they would put
23 things -- put data in the buffer to build up a
24 cushion, I suppose, for lack of a better word, right?

25 A That's right, yeah, a little spinning

1 wheel which you'll see often.

2 Q Right. And so that's what -- that's what
3 would happen with progressive downloading?

4 A Yes.

5 Q Okay.

6 A But it happened -- it happens with any
7 streaming, honestly.

8 Q Okay.

9 A And it just doesn't happen very often
10 with -- with my invention.

11 Q And the -- so the Move -- the Move player,
12 I think you mentioned sometimes the Move player had
13 nine or so different quality levels at one time?

14 A Sometimes we used -- we could use nine
15 different quality levels, yes.

16 Q And would the Move player vary frame rate
17 as well as bitrate?

18 A Well, the -- the frame rate will change
19 the bitrate, yes.

20 Q Okay. Understood. So I think I saw that
21 sometimes there was, say, 30 frames per second at the
22 highest quality, and sometimes you would have -- I
23 think the lowest quality was 12 frames per second.
24 Does that sound right?

25 A I don't know what the numbers were.

1 Q Okay. Let's actually look at Exhibit --
2 I'm handing you Exhibit 2012 that's been previously
3 marked.

4 So the front page here, this says, "Move
5 Networks, Technical Overview of Move Networks
6 implementation." Do you recognize this?

7 A I'm sure I saw it in the past. I haven't
8 seen it in a long time.

9 Q I just want to look at one thing. If you
10 go to page -- page 19.

11 A Okay.

12 Q Now, it appears at the bottom here this
13 chart is giving some examples of --

14 MR. GUY: I'm sorry. What page are you
15 on?

16 MR. PAQUETTE: 19.

17 THE DEPONENT: Page 19.

18 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) At the bottom it
19 appears this chart is giving some examples of Move's
20 quality versions. Does that look right?

21 A It says high definition, yeah.

22 Q And it's got a -- if you see the left
23 column there, it has Total Rate, then Audio Rate,
24 then Video Rate. Do you see that?

25 A Yes.

1 Q It appears that the total rate is a sum of
2 the audio rate and the video rate. Does that look
3 correct?

4 A Yeah, that's what it appears to be.

5 Q So it looks like the Move player had -- I
6 don't know how many are here, but it had many
7 different quality levels.

8 In sort of the middle of this chart, do
9 you see FPS as a column?

10 A Yeah. FTS -- PS, yeah.

11 Q Would that be frames per second?

12 A Yes.

13 Q See, this is what I was referring to. So
14 some -- say the lowest quality I think would be rate
15 -- Total Rate 32 at the top there, right?

16 A Total Rate 32. Okay. Yeah.

17 Q Do you see that? And that would have a
18 small frame size of 96 by 54, and it would have a
19 frame per second of 12. Is that true?

20 A That's what the chart looks like, yes.

21 Q Okay. And then if we go all the way down
22 to the bottom, we see it goes as high as Total Rate
23 of 2400, and that would be a frames per second of 30,
24 right?

25 A Yes.

1 Q Now, in the Move player, these -- these
2 different versions, they would be the same video,
3 right?

4 A Yes. It would be the same video, just --
5 yeah.

6 Q So even though you would have, say,
7 reduced motion in the -- the lower 32-rate 12 frames
8 per second, you'd still consider that the same video,
9 true?

10 MR. GUY: Objection. Could I have that
11 read back? Just hold on.

12 THE REPORTER: "So even though you would
13 have, say, reduced motion in the -- the lower 32-rate
14 12 frames per second, you still consider that the
15 same video, true?"

16 MR. GUY: Objection to form.

17 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) I'll ask it again.

18 In this example from Move, would the
19 12-frames-per-second video be the same as the
20 30-frames-per-second video?

21 A It would look tremendously fuzzy and --
22 and jerky, but it would be the same underlying video,
23 just not very -- extremely poor quality.

24 Q Okay. So it would be -- you said it would
25 be jerky. Why would that be?

1 A Well, watching a 12-frames-per-second
2 video versus a 30-frames-per-second video, 30 seconds
3 is -- it doesn't appear to be jerky, but 12 usually
4 does.

5 Q And that would just be because, I mean,
6 you have fewer frames per second. So one frame is on
7 the screen for longer, correct?

8 A I -- yeah.

9 Q Okay.

10 A I suppose that's -- that's -- that's --
11 that's what that means, yes.

12 Q Okay. Let's go back to your declaration,
13 please.

14 A Okay.

15 Q Let's talk a little bit more about
16 paragraph 11 where you -- do you see where you say,
17 "The client playback device is in control of
18 switching between the different quality streamlets"?

19 A Yes.

20 Q And we already touched on this a little
21 bit, but could you -- could you explain a little bit
22 more about what makes the client playback device in
23 control?

24 A The client playback device decides -- it's
25 -- it's presented several, if not maybe even nine or

1 whatever, different versions of the same video, and
2 it knows what the sizes of those video is and it
3 knows what the bandwidth it's just recently seen.
4 And so it makes a decision for every two-second video
5 streamlet, which size to get. And -- and all of that
6 intelligence and that monitoring is done by the
7 client itself.

8 Q And so that would -- this is something
9 that happens automatically, right?

10 A Well, there's code that does that.

11 Q Right?

12 A But that's part of the playback is -- that
13 was -- one of the uniquenesses of the adaptive -- of
14 the Move Adaptive Bitrate Player was that no other
15 player did it at this time like this. So, yeah.

16 Q So it was -- it was -- it was an
17 improvement upon a user clicking a quality level?

18 A It was an improvement, and it was just --
19 yeah, it worked better, but it was also cheaper to
20 deploy, too. Because, again, you didn't have to
21 have -- buy expensive servers to -- to do that.
22 Every client brought his own computer. And so all of
23 that processing was done by the client, and so it
24 could scale. Instead of one server doing it all,
25 each -- more -- the more players you -- the more

1 clients you had, the more compute power you had to do
2 it. Each had its own compute power.

3 Q Okay. So, for example, the Move player
4 would be downloaded and installed on, say, someone's
5 laptop, right?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And the code for the Move player would --
8 let me back up.

9 So then how would a user select a video?

10 A Oh, typically, off of a web page or
11 something, like, push play.

12 Q And they would just select the video.
13 They wouldn't select the quality?

14 A No, they wouldn't select the quality.

15 Q And then all of the intelligence on the
16 player would execute the code to determine which next
17 segment --

18 A That's right.

19 Q -- quality level to --

20 A Yeah, the -- the Move players that play
21 this video over here, goes to get the data about it
22 and starts playing it. And then in realtime figures
23 out what the bandwidth was and adjusts the quality
24 and the bitrate function of exactly what it was
25 measuring at that time of internet bandwidth that was

1 available to it.

2 Q Now, you also mentioned -- I think you
3 said measuring every two seconds. Is that a function
4 of your invention?

5 A Yeah. To make it work you obviously had
6 to measure the internet bandwidth, and the decision
7 had to be made every two seconds.

8 Q Okay.

9 A What am I going to get next?

10 Q And would that -- is that a function of
11 the streamlet size, I guess is my question, or is it
12 always two seconds?

13 A Yeah, the two seconds is a function of the
14 streamlet size; because, again, that's the decision
15 that needs to be made every two seconds, or whatever,
16 the next video, how big should it be? How -- how
17 quality -- how much quality should -- can I support
18 on this next segment.

19 Q Okay. So does your invention have to
20 measure the bandwidth every two seconds or does it
21 just have to make the decision every two seconds?

22 MR. GUY: Objection, may call for a legal
23 conclusion.

24 Answer.

25 A It could do either way. It could be

1 measuring it. It just has to have it some way. The
2 measure -- the actual how it measures it is an
3 implementation detail.

4 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) Okay.

5 A But definitely a decision has to be made
6 every two seconds, a function of that data as to what
7 the next video quality should be.

8 Q And if there's no change, would the player
9 just continue on at the same quality level?

10 A Quite often it does that.

11 Q Okay.

12 A Most of the time, it does that. It does
13 try to get to the highest quality that it can support
14 always.

15 Q And how does it know it can support a
16 higher quality?

17 A Because it tries and it works.

18 Q I guess, is that purely a bandwidth
19 calculation?

20 A It's prime -- it's primarily a bandwidth
21 calculation. However, at that time some computers
22 did not have the horsepower to do the highest quality
23 video. If the device itself doesn't have the power
24 to render a 4K video or a 2K video, or whatever,
25 the -- the -- the -- the player also knew that. It

1 could figure that out and decide not to go to the
2 highest bitrates because it's going to overcome the
3 capacity of the -- the -- the actual computer.

4 Q Okay. So you're talking about taking CPU
5 capacity into account as well, potentially?

6 A Yeah, CPU and video frame rendering, you
7 know, the whole -- the whole thing that's required
8 to -- to deliver video.

9 Q So I guess relatedly, you talk about in
10 the paragraph 11 the -- this enabling smooth
11 non-interrupted viewing?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And I think -- I think we already touched
14 on this, but you're not saying that freezing or
15 buffering is impossible. It's just unlikely?

16 A It's significantly less likely.

17 Q And your -- when you switch, because you
18 have those common time borders, the user won't
19 perceive the change, is that correct?

20 A The user doesn't perceive -- now,
21 obviously, if it switched from 1080p down to postage
22 size, the user would see that. But most of the time
23 we designed it to where it would just kind of go up
24 and down a little bit and it would be imperceptible.

25 Q Okay. So often you would -- let's say --

1 let's say you think you can switch to the highest
2 quality but you're not sure, you might go up to the
3 next one, I believe and --

4 A Yeah, we would ratchet our way up.

5 Q Okay. So you might go to the second
6 highest, and then if things are still okay, you'd go
7 up to the --

8 A Yeah. Yes.

9 Q So sticking with paragraph 11, do you see
10 kind of toward the middle bottom-ish of the paragraph
11 you say, "All of these capabilities use the standard
12 web servers, standard Content Delivery Networks,
13 (CDN)s, and standard internet protocols, like HTTP"?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And we already discussed the ordinary
16 standard web servers. You -- you -- you came up with
17 the ordinary web server aspect, right?

18 A Well, the -- the fact that it would use
19 HTTP, and HTTP is the core part of the web, yeah.

20 Q Right. And so that's like -- the HTTP was
21 the critical part for enabling the adaptive nature of
22 your invention?

23 A Well, we could use other transports; but
24 HTTP is the one that's -- that's available and CDNs,
25 that's what's there. And it gets -- goes through --

1 goes through firewalls. It's -- you know, any time
2 you do a web page. So it was just obvious to try to
3 use it. And that, again, that was one of the
4 disadvantages of RTP/RTSP. It was not a standard.
5 It was a standard, but it did not -- yeah, it was --
6 it was specialized and --

7 Q Right. It was proprietary as well? Is
8 that --

9 A Well, the implementation would be
10 proprietary.

11 Q Oh, I see, I see.

12 A Again, so that you couldn't mix the
13 systems. Whereas the web is -- is open, you know, I
14 can use a Chrome browser or an Edge browser or
15 whatever and everything works.

16 Q Okay. So we've got using HTTP over
17 ordinary web servers --

18 A Yes.

19 Q -- to request segmented video, right?

20 A Yes.

21 Q At different quality levels?

22 A That's right.

23 Q And that's the core of your invention,
24 right?

25 MR. GUY: Objection, characterization.

1 Answer.

2 A That's a big part of it, but not -- not
3 everything. It's really the combination of all of
4 these things together into a system that -- that --
5 that -- that worked. And it was cheaper.

6 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) So in the next sentence
7 in paragraph 11, you say, "The use of standard HTTP
8 GET requests also allowed for concurrent delivery of
9 different parts of the video."

10 A Yes.

11 Q So HTTP GET requests, if I understand it
12 correctly, that's the standard way for clients to ask
13 for things from a server, correct?

14 A That's right, that's -- yeah.

15 Q And that was all -- I mean, HTTP is
16 well-known?

17 A That's standard.

18 Q Goes way back before 2003, right?

19 A Probably the vast majority of everything
20 uses HTTP today, yeah.

21 Q And what do you mean by "allowed for
22 concurrent delivery of different parts of the video"?

23 A Well, this was another clever thing we did
24 is, there's a capability on HTTP called range
25 requests. And range requests lets you get part of

1 the video -- part of the object. You don't have to
2 get the entire part. And so we would actually open
3 sometimes three TCP connections. And the first one
4 would get the first third, the next one would get the
5 next third, and then the third one would get the --
6 the bottom third concurrently.

7 Q Okay.

8 A And effectively it was like adding lanes
9 to the freeway, more lanes to the freeway because you
10 have parallelisms. And the reason HTTP -- the reason
11 TCP, which is what HTTP is built on, works is that it
12 occasionally -- if there's congestion points in the
13 network, there's effectively some of the TCP streams
14 slow down dramatically for a little bit. You'd --
15 you'd observe it when you watch a download, watch --
16 watch the spinning thing on a download, it will be
17 fast and it will be slow. What you're seeing there
18 is TCP responding on -- on different things. And so
19 that -- of course, that wreaks havoc with video
20 streaming, potentially. So -- but it's -- it's
21 statistically random.

22 So if you have three TCP connections going
23 at the same time bringing the data down, it's very
24 unlikely that all three of them are stopping. Maybe
25 one or two would stop. But actually in the third

1 one, it would probably be going faster because it
2 would have more unthrottled bandwidth.

3 So, again, it's sort of similar to adding
4 more lanes on the freeway. And so, again, that would
5 allow us to get -- again, remember, people's internet
6 bandwidth and what was provided -- you know, in 2003,
7 you know, 2004, you know, people thought they had
8 great bandwidth when they had 2 megabytes, you know.

9 And this allows -- and -- and this
10 allows -- it -- it largely overcome -- came the
11 inherent problem with TCP by doing multiple TCP
12 connections.

13 Q And the -- the inherent problem with TCP
14 was that congestion?

15 A The congestion avoidance shuts -- slows
16 things down. And the way you -- and -- and you're
17 familiar with it even though you don't know it, when
18 you watch downloads.

19 Q So when you talk about requesting parts,
20 are we talking about parts of a segment or are we
21 talking about whole segments?

22 A Parts of the video segment, also sometimes
23 multiple segments at the same time.

24 Q Okay. So another important aspect of your
25 invention was to take either parts of a segment or

1 request whole segments concurrently and parallel?

2 A Yeah, that -- that was not -- it's not
3 required, but it was something that improves it
4 significantly.

5 Q Because it alleviated some congestion?

6 A Yeah, it --

7 Q Sometimes?

8 A -- alleviates the behavior -- it minimizes
9 the damaging behavior of TCP in dealing with network
10 congestion.

11 Q Okay. All right. Let's go to
12 paragraph 12, please.

13 A Okay.

14 Q Now, here you start talking about
15 streaming of live TV. Do you see that?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Do you see where you say -- the second
18 sentence in paragraph 12, you see, "changed the live
19 video feed into a sequence of segments so each
20 segment could be encoded and compressed on the fly in
21 various bit rates" -- or "into various bit rates,"
22 excuse me.

23 A Yes.

24 Q I just want to ask what "on the fly" means
25 in this instance?

1 A Well, just -- just a term that says it's
2 -- there -- it's -- it's all happening on the fly.
3 It's just that's part of what's happening with video
4 -- with live, you're getting a stream of video,
5 whereas if you're compressing video on demand, you're
6 getting a file, giant file. This was on the fly we
7 were chunking it. We're basically, okay, as soon as
8 two seconds came, okay, take that, go give it to
9 typically multiple serve -- multiple servers, but
10 different entities to compress it at the different
11 bitrates. And then the next two seconds shows up.
12 Okay, give it, maybe, to a different set of servers
13 to compress it at the different bitrates.

14 And the fact that you could do multiple
15 servers and the -- the video was so easy to handle in
16 terms of two-second chunks meant we could use 10 or,
17 you know -- you know, more than one server to do the
18 compression for all of the different bitrates. And
19 if we wanted to add more bitrates, we just added more
20 servers.

21 And that was, by the way, very different
22 than how traditional video compression was happening
23 on live streams by, you know, cable companies and
24 satellite companies.

25 Q Because they were -- they were encoding

1 entire files?

2 A They were just doing the stream, whereas
3 we're chunking it, giving it to multiple servers
4 to -- to do it.

5 Q Okay. So just to break it down, you
6 have -- you have, say, a raw video coming in, right?

7 A Yeah, which is typically a stream of bits.

8 Q Right. And you can measure the duration
9 as it's coming, right?

10 A That's right, there's time -- time stamps.

11 Q And then say every two seconds you create
12 a new segment?

13 A We take that -- the two seconds and make
14 that, that's a file.

15 Q It's a file. Okay.

16 A Piece of data, as many bits from here to
17 here, and then we give it to multiple servers to
18 compress, or one server, you know, if it has enough
19 capacity. But in those days, you know, computers
20 were probably 100 times less powerful, or dozens of
21 times less powerful than they are today in terms of
22 compression. So -- and then we could, of course, do
23 a better job compressing it that way.

24 Q You talk about live video. There's
25 obviously an inherent delay with live --

1 A Yes.

2 Q -- to some extent. At what point does
3 live become no longer live, in your opinion?

4 MR. GUY: Objection, may call for a legal
5 conclusion.

6 A It's --

7 MR. GUY: Answer if you know. Please wait
8 for -- please wait until I finish the objection.
9 Answer.

10 A Okay. I think it's a matter of taste. I
11 would think if you're more than a couple of minutes
12 behind live, it's not live.

13 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) Okay. Fair enough.

14 A And I think it's more taste.

15 Q All right. If we could just briefly go to
16 paragraph 19 of your declaration. That will be on --

17 A Okay.

18 Q -- page 9.

19 A Yes.

20 Q In the second sentence of paragraph 19,
21 you say that your "technology works almost exactly
22 the same way regardless of whether it is used for
23 live TV or video on demand."

24 Is that because you're still creating the
25 segments and then encoding them into different

1 bitrates?

2 A Yeah. It's whether -- the difference is
3 baud. Video on demand you get the entire thing
4 upfront, and with live, you're getting it coming.
5 But in the end of the day, both of them end up with
6 the same data. In fact, you can then use the live
7 encoded as video on demand.

8 Q Right. So you could take -- once the live
9 event is over, you could store it on a server?

10 A Yeah, well, it's already stored on a
11 server.

12 Q Already stored on a server?

13 A And it's video on demand. The other big
14 advantage is we got time shifting. So you could go
15 back in time on a live and play it back, too. So
16 you've actually got video on demand, live video on
17 demand and time shifting of live video on demand all
18 with a single architecture and all basically the
19 same.

20 Q Okay.

21 A And the only difference with live is you
22 don't have all the data upfront.

23 Q So you don't know how long the video is
24 going to be, but --

25 A Yeah, but you just -- usually you're just

1 constantly doing it forever because -- yeah.

2 Q All right.

3 A Like a TV channel, you're just -- you're
4 just always doing it.

5 Q Let's go to paragraph 17 on page 8,
6 please, of your declaration.

7 A Okay.

8 Q If you could please just read the first
9 sentence to yourself, and just let me know when
10 you're done.

11 A Which -- which sentence?

12 Q Sorry, paragraph 17, the first sentence.

13 A The first sentence, yeah. Okay.

14 Q When you refer to small here, for small
15 individually included streamlets, we're still talking
16 about duration?

17 A I'm talking about duration, yes.

18 Q Okay. And we've already covered
19 individually encoding, that's compressing each
20 segment to the various bitrates, correct?

21 MR. GUY: Objection, characterization.

22 You can answer.

23 A Yes, they're encoded in different
24 bitrates, yes.

25 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) Now, you say here that

1 these segments "are aligned by starting time and
2 substantially the same duration (typically two
3 seconds)."

4 What do you mean by they're substantially
5 the same duration?

6 A Well, what I mean here is, if you are --
7 like, for example, splicing an ad into a live video
8 stream, the ad would begin not typically on the
9 two-second boundary. So what you would do is you
10 would tell the player to play maybe the first second
11 of the -- the live video stream, but only do the
12 first second and then switch to start running the ad,
13 okay? And then -- that's almost like you're
14 switching video underneath. You're obviously going
15 to a different place to get the ad, so you go get the
16 ad, then you're going to come back to the live video
17 stream, in which case then you have to sometimes go
18 into -- partly into the two seconds to the -- into
19 the two-second video, partly into it to splice --
20 come back into the live. Because, again, the two
21 seconds aren't going to exactly align where the ad
22 came and left and -- and came back.

23 So that's what I mean by substantially, is
24 that you don't always play a two-second video.
25 Sometimes you play part of it, sometimes you play the

1 first part of it, sometimes you play the last part of
2 it as you're splicing, particularly advertising,
3 into -- into a live stream.

4 Q Okay. So you could -- you could pull, I
5 guess, a different segment and insert it into the --

6 A Yeah. Yeah, you're -- yeah, you're --
7 you're -- you're going to a different place
8 temporarily to go get the ad.

9 Q Okay. And then you're resuming the video?

10 A Then I'm going to go back to the original.

11 Q Okay.

12 A And that's -- so that's -- I'm just
13 mentioning that's how you do ad. That's why I just
14 say it's not always playing two seconds.
15 Sometimes --

16 Q So --

17 A -- it only plays part of the two-second
18 segment, yeah.

19 Q So sometimes -- sometimes it's two
20 seconds, sometimes it's about two seconds?

21 A Yeah.

22 Q Fair?

23 A And it's -- you know, it's pretty rare,
24 but still happens. We all like ads, right?

25 Q Yeah, when I -- when I originally read

1 that I thought you were saying that the streamlets
2 are typically two seconds. But sometimes they're not
3 two seconds?

4 A Well, they're -- they're -- I should have
5 been clearer there. They -- they're -- typically
6 they're two seconds long or four seconds. Other
7 people -- some people do four seconds. But the
8 playback, sometimes you do a partial playback.

9 Q Okay.

10 A When you're splicing ad -- particularly
11 when you're splicing ads in.

12 Q Okay. So just to make sure --

13 A Let's clarify that, yeah.

14 Q -- I understand. You could have, say --
15 say you have -- the video is five streamlets, and
16 they're each -- the first one is two seconds, the
17 second one is 2.1 seconds.

18 A No. All of the -- all of the video -- all
19 of the streamlets would be two seconds.

20 Q They would all be the same?

21 A But the playback --

22 Q The playback is what changed?

23 A -- could be told to only play the first
24 second of the two-second videos segment. So the
25 player only plays part of the segment, then it goes

1 somewhere else and starts a different set of streams,
2 which happens to be the ad -- the ad that's being
3 spliced into the video stream.

4 Q Okay. So --

5 A It's play -- it's -- yeah. Yeah. So --
6 so maybe it's unclear here. But it's -- it's --
7 yeah, it's playback.

8 Q Okay. So this is referring to playback.
9 But -- but it's important that each streamlet is the
10 exact same duration, in your invention?

11 A Pretty much, yeah.

12 Q Each sequential streamlet.

13 A There's no reason to change it.

14 Q There's no reason, okay.

15 A There's no reason to change it.

16 Q So you wouldn't have, like, a two-second
17 and then a 2.1 second?

18 A That could have been done, but -- and I'll
19 -- I was thinking about maybe it may make sense,
20 but -- and I think the patent allows for that. I'm
21 not totally sure on that. But in practice it's
22 always just been two seconds or four seconds.

23 Q What about when a video doesn't have a
24 clean end point, you know, like, it's not perfectly
25 divisible by two seconds or four seconds?

1 A Well, again, the very last video frame
2 you'll tell it -- again, it will say, here's this
3 video and play one second of it. Because that's --
4 that's its size, yeah. So yeah, definitely at the
5 end of a video on demand thing, the very last segment
6 is going to be smaller.

7 Q It would actually be smaller, it wouldn't
8 just be filled with, like, dummy data or something?

9 A Yeah, it would be smaller.

10 Q Okay.

11 A It doesn't need to be. Because, again,
12 the player can be told to only play partial. It
13 says, here's my two seconds, you know, here's the
14 video, play two seconds of it. Here's this video's
15 chunk, play one second of it, and maybe the video
16 underneath is two seconds.

17 Q Okay.

18 A So, yeah. That's what that's talking
19 about.

20 Q So it could play precisely the two
21 seconds --

22 A Yeah.

23 Q Or it could play 1.9 seconds and that
24 would be substantially --

25 A Yeah, it's fine --

1 MR. GUY: Please let him finish his whole
2 question, so I can then object.

3 THE DEPONENT: Okay.

4 MR. GUY: My objection is incomplete
5 hypothetical because I never heard the whole thing.
6 So why don't we try again.

7 THE DEPONENT: Okay.

8 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) So my question was, you
9 could take a two-second streamlet and play back
10 precisely two seconds, or you could take a two-second
11 streamlet and play back 1.9 seconds to insert an ad,
12 and they would both be substantially the same
13 duration, correct?

14 MR. GUY: Again, incomplete hypothetical.

15 A The underlying object would be the same
16 object. It's just now this is -- this is how the
17 player is interpreting that object. The player is
18 told not to play the entire two seconds, the player
19 is to -- it's to play 1.9 seconds, in your example.

20 Q Yeah, I guess I'm just confused about the
21 playback aspect, because this first sentence in
22 paragraph 17 talks about creating the individually
23 encoded streamlets or segments which are
24 substantially the same duration, typically two
25 seconds.

1 A Yeah. And that's -- that's -- that's
2 totally true. But again --

3 Q But you're saying they would always be two
4 seconds?

5 A Yeah, yeah, the segments would be two
6 seconds, but the player can be told to play
7 different --

8 Q Okay.

9 A -- on the playback. But the -- the -- the
10 segments would likely be two seconds, yeah.

11 Q Is this at odds at all with the next
12 sentence in this where you say, "The contiguous
13 playback yields seamless playback of the full
14 content"?

15 It sounds -- it seems to me if you're
16 inserting an ad, you're -- you're not doing seamless
17 playback.

18 MR. GUY: Objection, speculation.

19 Answer.

20 A The way it works, it looks seamless. The
21 user has no idea. Really doesn't have any idea that
22 it's -- that it's -- that it's switching. But this
23 is just how you do it. Regular television, when they
24 insert ads, they do something similar.

25 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) So on this contiguous

1 playback point you make here, you say, "The time
2 indexed order of the streamlets independently yields
3 seamless playback of the full content."

4 I'm a little confused by the word
5 "independently" here. Could you explain what you
6 mean by that?

7 A Okay. "Continuous playback of the time
8 index order independently yields seamless playback."

9 I think what I meant there is that, just
10 the fact that they're all contiguous in time with --
11 you know, I just brought up an exception with ads,
12 but, you know, that's very -- but -- and so they're
13 all -- it's -- you just have to keep playing them
14 one -- one after another and it works.

15 I may be referencing there just -- just
16 the -- you know -- well, I don't know. I don't know.

17 MR. GUY: Caution the witness not to
18 speculate.

19 A Yeah, I won't speculate.

20 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) So if we read this
21 without the word "independently," it says,
22 "Contiguous playback in the time indexed order of
23 streamlets yields seamless playback of the full
24 content."

25 A Yeah.

1 Q Is that probably what you meant?

2 MR. GUY: Objection, speculation, form.

3 A Yeah, I'm not totally sure what
4 "independently" meant.

5 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) Okay. That's fine. I
6 was just a little confused. I was trying to figure
7 out --

8 A Yeah.

9 Q -- if it meant something specific.

10 But on contiguous playback, you're talking
11 about the fact that the segments are each in a time
12 order, correct?

13 A Yes.

14 Q So Segment 1 would be time zero to two
15 seconds. Segment 2 would be time two seconds to four
16 seconds, and so on, right?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And you're saying that you -- by -- by
19 requesting from an ordinary web server, right, and
20 downloading each individual segment to your computer
21 and playing them back in order, you're yielding the
22 entire video; is that fair?

23 A That's how -- that's how streaming
24 playback works, yes.

25 Q Okay. Do they have to be requested and

1 delivered in order?

2 A No.

3 Q So you could request Streamlet 3 before
4 you need it?

5 A Yeah, there -- there can be buffering
6 issues if you got enough buffer. And as I mentioned
7 before, I can have multiple TCP connections going.
8 So one could arrive before the other. But as long as
9 the other arrives in time, it doesn't really matter.
10 So they're -- in that sense, they're kind of
11 independent of each other. What matters is are they
12 there at the time needed to play.

13 Q Okay. So the player would say, I need to
14 play Segment 2, is it here?

15 A Yes.

16 Q If it's not here, I need to ask for it?

17 A Yeah. Well, it would have asked for it a
18 long -- a fair -- earlier.

19 Q Okay.

20 A You know, two seconds earlier, and then
21 it's hoping.

22 Q Hoping it arrived in time?

23 A It -- you know, catastrophic -- a
24 catastrophic -- or a congestion problem happens and
25 it just hasn't shown up yet. That's the case where

1 sometimes it will hiccup, not play. But, again, with
2 all the things done that happens extremely rarely
3 with our implementation -- with this patent, yeah.

4 Q All right. So based on paragraph 17 and
5 what we've been discussing, would you agree that the
6 core of your invention is creating these segments
7 that make up a larger video, each segment is a
8 different size at different quality levels, and the
9 intelligence is on the client?

10 MR. GUY: Objection, mischaracterizes
11 prior testimony.

12 Answer.

13 A Yeah, that's -- that's the core of it, but
14 not all of it certainly.

15 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) Okay.

16 MR. PAQUETTE: Would you like to take a
17 break?

18 THE DEPONENT: No, I'm fine.

19 MR. GUY: Sure. Yeah, if we -- is there
20 another conference room?

21 MR. PAQUETTE: I don't believe so. We can
22 put you in a visitor office if you want.

23 MR. GUY: Okay.

24 MR. SHENG: Yeah, just grab a --

25 MR. GUY: I think that's what we did last

1 time. As long as you don't mind that.

2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Are we taking a break?

3 The time is 10:54. We're off the record.

4 (Recess taken, 10:54 a.m. to 11:09 a.m.)

5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:09.

6 We're back on the record.

7 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) Hi, Mr. Major. Did you
8 speak to anyone during the break?

9 A Not my legal counsel.

10 Q I'm sorry, what was that?

11 A I didn't speak to legal counsel.

12 Q You didn't speak with legal counsel?

13 A Yeah.

14 Q Did you speak to anyone else?

15 A I spoke about Lake Powell and the

16 Colorado River, but. . .

17 Q So we've discussed a lot about these
18 streamlets that you came up with. I just wanted to
19 make sure I understood. If we look at paragraph 16
20 of your declaration, please, do you see in that first
21 sentence on the third line there, you say, "short
22 (e.g., 2-second) encoded streamlets."

23 So I'm just struggling, I guess, to see if
24 the e.g. -- let me ask it this way: So you're
25 saying, for example, all of the streamlets could be

1 two seconds?

2 A Yes, they could be.

3 Q But you're also saying -- are you saying
4 also all of the streamlets could be four seconds?

5 A Yeah. I -- I'm just saying two seconds is
6 an example.

7 Q Okay.

8 A It could be different sizes, and
9 potentially even mixed; but --

10 Q So they could --

11 A -- practically that could -- that could
12 happen, but it doesn't -- it doesn't practically
13 happen.

14 Q What do you mean by it doesn't
15 practically?

16 A Well, when people deploy it, they -- I
17 think usually it's two seconds or four-second chunks
18 size.

19 Q So --

20 A I'll just stick with that, yeah.

21 Q There would be no reason to have a two and
22 then a four and then a two?

23 A No, there's no reason.

24 Q Okay. And sorry, I'm just hung up on this
25 word "substantially" in paragraph 17 --

1 A 17.

2 Q -- because you say in that first sentence,
3 about three lines down, where it starts with "which,"
4 you say, "which," referring to the segments or
5 streamlets, correct?

6 A Yeah.

7 Q You say, "Which are aligned by starting
8 time and substantially the same duration (typically
9 two seconds)."

10 So I just wanted to make sure, when you
11 say substantially the same duration, do you mean
12 approximately the same duration?

13 A No, they're --

14 THE DEPONENT: Oh, sorry.

15 MR. GUY: Objection, form.

16 A They are -- they are -- they are typically
17 always two seconds long, with the exception of the
18 very last segment would be shorter typically. So,
19 yeah, I was just trying to say that there can be
20 exceptions, but, you know, 99.99 percent of the time,
21 they're two seconds long, or whatever the --

22 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) How does the "Align by
23 starting time" fit in with this "Substantially the
24 same duration"?

25 A Well, that's just two statements there,

1 that they are typically -- well, they're always
2 aligned by starting times, you know, because you
3 have -- that's -- that's a hard requirement. And
4 almost always they're two seconds, or four seconds or
5 whatever you pick.

6 Q Okay. So when you say, "Substantially the
7 same duration," you mean precisely the same duration
8 or almost the same duration?

9 A Yeah. But --

10 MR. GUY: Hold on. Wait. Asked and
11 answered. Objection to form.

12 A Yeah. Always the starting time is the
13 same between all the sets.

14 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) Right. But I'm asking,
15 when you say, "Substantially the same duration,"
16 you're saying that the streamlets could be exactly
17 two seconds or they could be close to two seconds,
18 true?

19 A It's --

20 MR. GUY: Asked and answered, object to
21 the form.

22 THE DEPONENT: Yeah.

23 MR. PAQUETTE: Well, you're not letting
24 him answer. Please answer the question.

25 MR. GUY: No, he's already answered.

1 A Yeah. It's possible it doesn't ever
2 happen. So --

3 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) It doesn't ever happen?

4 A Well, when people configure it. It's
5 always -- they always configure it for two seconds.

6 Q Okay.

7 A But they -- the invention does not require
8 everything to be two seconds. It just requires -- it
9 requires them to be aligned at the same time.

10 Q Okay. Let's move on to -- let's go to
11 paragraph 18.

12 A Okay.

13 Q And here you're talking about EchoStar not
14 merged with DISH, purchased Move for 45 million.

15 A Yes.

16 Q I assume that purchase included the ABR
17 patent portfolio, correct?

18 A Yes.

19 Q Could you please read paragraphs 19 and 20
20 to yourself, and just let me know when you're done.

21 A Okay.

22 Q You don't cite any exhibits to support the
23 statements in paragraph 19, correct?

24 A Yeah, I -- there's no exhibit cited there.

25 Q And you also don't cite anything to

1 support your statements for paragraph 20, true?

2 A Not in that paragraph, but it's other
3 places.

4 Q So for the statement that "DISH TV grew to
5 over 2 million subscribers based on its adoption of
6 the inventive technology," what are you citing as
7 evidence for that?

8 A Well, my own knowledge that's -- that
9 helped build Sling TV, we use the adaptive rate
10 streaming patents. The capabilities of --

11 Q So your testimony is that Sling TV grew
12 because of the adaptive bitrate patents?

13 A That was an element of it, but obviously
14 there was a whole bunch of other things that have to
15 happen, too, like content rights, had to build
16 everything else around it, yeah.

17 Q So what are some other examples of that?

18 A Well, the -- the systems that manage users
19 and give people rights and, you know, and all the
20 players and the websites and the -- you know,
21 there's -- there's -- if you have a television
22 service, there's a whole bunch of stuff in it.

23 Q And was -- was the content that's being
24 provided by Sling important as well?

25 A Well, it was -- it was the first Pay-TV

1 pack -- general package available over the internet.

2 Q Now, what -- what is your basis for your
3 statement in paragraph 19 where you say that "Sling
4 TV uses the technology of the ABR patents?"

5 A It would be my knowledge having helped
6 build it.

7 Q Did Sling TV incorporate the Move player
8 or just rename the Move player?

9 A Renamed it, and evolved it, and put it in
10 devices like Rokus and Apple TVs and --

11 Q Does Sling --

12 A -- iPads.

13 Q Does Sling TV use the Move QMX files?

14 A I believe it still does. I'm not sure.

15 Q Wasn't there a time where Sling TV used
16 HLS for HTTP live streaming?

17 A I'm not sure.

18 Q So in paragraph -- if -- if Sling did use
19 HLS, would that surprise you?

20 A If they used it, it probably would just be
21 to get commercials and stuff. It wouldn't surprise
22 me. There's -- you can run different players, and
23 Sling has decided to use different players, native
24 players on the devices.

25 Q So Sling TV has different players on

1 different devices?

2 A Yeah, now.

3 Q Okay. Was that always the case?

4 A Originally, it was only Move players,
5 because that was the only player available on most of
6 those devices.

7 Q Okay. So would the Move -- was the Move
8 player compatible with HLS, then, is that what you're
9 saying?

10 A Not -- no. It -- it can't play an HLS.

11 Q Okay.

12 A The play -- the Move player. But, again,
13 the -- the -- over time, devices have got their own
14 players, native players. And it's made sense for us
15 to start using their native player instead of our own
16 proprietary player.

17 Q I understand.

18 A But we did have a proprietary originally
19 because these others didn't exist.

20 Q And what time frame was this?

21 A The time frame around when Sling was
22 announced, you know, probably 2015.

23 Q Okay. So let's look at paragraph 21.

24 A Okay.

25 Q Here again, you talk about Sling TV. You

1 say, "Sling TV was awarded a Technology & Engineering
2 Emmy Award by the National Academy of Television Arts
3 and Sciences for its groundbreaking work recognizing
4 'excellence in engineering' for Sling TV."

5 Do you see that?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And then you cite to Exhibit 2013.

8 A Yes.

9 Q So I'm handing up Exhibit 2013 now.

10 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Please unmute the
11 witness's microphone.

12 THE DEPONENT: Do I need my microphone
13 higher?

14 MR. PAQUETTE: No, I think it was a Zoom
15 issue. Don't worry about it.

16 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) Okay. So I've just
17 handed you Exhibit 2013.

18 A Yes.

19 Q This is a press release by Sling TV
20 announcing it received an Emmy, correct?

21 A That's what it looks like.

22 Q And this was -- it appears to be from
23 Sling TV's website?

24 A I don't know where it came from.

25 Q Okay. So you -- you didn't download this

1 exhibit from the Sling TV website?

2 A No, I didn't.

3 Q Have you seen this before?

4 A Yes.

5 Q When?

6 A When we prepared my statement here. It
7 was one of the collateral things. So --

8 Q Okay. So this was provided to you to
9 draft your statement, your declaration?

10 A Yeah.

11 Q So the date here is -- on the left side --
12 January 15th, 2020. Were you -- let me ask it --
13 were you invited to the Emmy award ceremony?

14 A I think I was going to go.

15 Q What happened?

16 A COVID.

17 Q Oh. Okay. So the award actually came out
18 in January 2020. Is that your understanding, if the
19 award was given in 2020?

20 A Reading this, yes.

21 Q Okay. So 15 years after you filed for
22 your ABR -- your first ABR patent, true?

23 MR. GUY: Objection, mischaracterizes his
24 testimony.

25 A Well, yeah, but the patent was filed in

1 2005.

2 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) Right. And 15 years
3 later, Sling gets an Emmy for technology and
4 engineering?

5 A Yes.

6 Q So you believe that your ABR patents
7 revolutionized the industry in 2006, correct?

8 A It was new then, but obviously it had to
9 be sold and deployed and used.

10 Q So I guess I'm just -- my question is,
11 Move never received an Emmy, correct?

12 A What?

13 Q Move never received an Emmy, correct?

14 A Move did not receive an Emmy.

15 Q Okay. Do you see on the first paragraph
16 of this Exhibit 213 -- 2013, excuse me, it says,
17 "This Emmy is being given 'for its groundbreaking
18 work developing and launching the nation's first live
19 over-the-top television service'."

20 Do you see that?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Does anywhere in this sentence mention
23 adaptive bitrate?

24 A No.

25 Q Can you tell me what's over-the-top

1 television service?

2 A I think what they meant is that it was
3 going over the common internet and not through a
4 proprietary channel, like, cable or -- or satellite
5 or IP TV. It was going -- it's going over -- over
6 the internet. That was -- that was a term we used
7 prior to using "streaming" as the term describing it.

8 Q Over-the-top is a -- is that a cable
9 industry term?

10 A Over -- over -- over the internet. But it
11 was where the -- we did not have to own the
12 distribution. Sling used other people to distribute
13 the video service, whereas, you know, cable and
14 whatever they -- they owned the pipe, they owned the
15 entire distribution path. And so I think that's what
16 that references.

17 Q Okay. But you're not -- you're not sure
18 what over-the-top means in this context?

19 A Well, it's going over --

20 MR. GUY: Objection, mischaracterizes the
21 testimony.

22 Answer.

23 A Yeah, it means going over the internet,
24 the common internet. That's what it means.

25 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) What's the common

1 internet?

2 A The internet, it's everywhere. And,
3 again, it's -- it's the internet that's not owned by
4 DISH, or by anyone. You know, a whole bunch of
5 people own components of the internet.

6 Q Okay. So just to sum up, the Emmy was
7 awarded for DISH launching this live television over
8 the internet, fair?

9 MR. GUY: Objection, mischaracterizes his
10 testimony. Asked and answered.

11 Answer, if you can.

12 A That was part of it.

13 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) What else was part of
14 it?

15 A Well, the fact that it was -- that it was
16 new and it worked, you know.

17 Q What was -- what was new about it?

18 A Well, no one had ever done Pay-TV to that
19 degree over the internet.

20 Q Okay. So it was -- it was new to offer
21 paid TV over the internet?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And the Emmy award is praising the
24 groundbreaking work of launching this Pay-TV over the
25 internet; is that fair?

1 A Yes.

2 Q Okay. Let's briefly go back to your
3 declaration, Exhibit 2010. And in paragraph 21, if
4 you turn the page to page 10, there's -- there's a
5 picture of what purports to be this Emmy award. Do
6 you see that?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Now, this says 2018 to 2019 technology
9 Emmy award. Do you see that?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Do you have any idea why the press release
12 is from 2020, but this says it was awarded in 2018?

13 MR. GUY: Objection, mischaracterizes his
14 testimony.

15 A I don't know why.

16 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) Okay. I was just
17 curious.

18 Did you take this picture of the Emmy?

19 A No.

20 Q Do you know where it came from?

21 A DISH must have taken the picture, someone
22 at DISH.

23 Q Have you seen the Emmy?

24 A Maybe. I don't really remember.

25 Q Didn't leave a big impression?

1 A No. Well, I was excited we got it,
2 but. . .

3 Q All right. So you have -- in your
4 declaration there, you have a statement right next to
5 the picture. It has a quote. The statement next to
6 the picture says, the Emmy award recognized the
7 "development that 'considerably improves existing
8 technology' - related to its adaptive bitrate
9 streaming technology."

10 Do you see that?

11 A Yes.

12 Q All right. So let's go back to the
13 Exhibit 2013, please, and turn to page 2.

14 A Page 2.

15 Q Press release, Exhibit 2013, page 2. So
16 that quote that I just read from your declaration,
17 "considerably improves existing technology," that
18 shows up about the middle of this page.

19 Do you see under the heading "About the
20 71st Engineering Emmy Awards"? If you go to the last
21 sentence --

22 A Yeah.

23 Q -- it says, "An Emmy Award for technology
24 or engineering represents acknowledgment of
25 engineering development that considerably improves

1 existing technology, or innovations that materially
2 affect the transmission, recording or reception of
3 television."

4 Do you understand this to just be
5 generally talking about what the award is?

6 A I don't know who wrote that paragraph, but
7 that's what it appears.

8 Q Well, it says, "Source Sling TV, LLC."

9 A But where they got that, I don't know.

10 Q Okay. But it would appear that this
11 statement is not speaking directly about your ABR
12 patent technology, true?

13 MR. GUY: Objection, foundation. Calls
14 for speculation.

15 Answer.

16 A Well, this is basically defining that a --
17 you know, a technology that -- a development of --
18 engineering development that considerably improves
19 technology or innovations and materially affects
20 transmission, recording, or reception of television.
21 Definitely what we did with adaptive rate streaming
22 fits that cat -- fits that.

23 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) So you're -- you're --
24 you're saying -- well, let me ask it this way:

25 There's no mention of adaptive rate streaming in this

1 paragraph, right?

2 A Not in this paragraph, no. This is just
3 talking about what the Emmy is.

4 Q Right. That was my question. Right. So
5 if we go up to the top paragraph on page 2 of this
6 Exhibit 2013, do you see where it says, "The service
7 continues to define the rapidly growing streaming TV
8 industry with its unique and flexible model that
9 empowers customers to customize their programming."

10 I assume the service is --

11 MR. GUY: Where are you reading?

12 MR. PAQUETTE: I'm sorry, the top of
13 page 2 in Exhibit 2013.

14 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) The sentence, "The
15 service continues." By "the service," do you
16 understand this to be referring to Sling TV's
17 over-the-top television service?

18 A That's what the press release is about,
19 so, yes.

20 Q And would you agree that this statement is
21 praising "Sling's unique and flexible model that
22 allows customers to customize their programming"?

23 A That's what it says.

24 Q And, again, there's no mention of adaptive
25 bitrate in this statement, correct?

1 A No mention.

2 Q If we move on, same page, same sentence,
3 just continuing, it says, "Starting with a base
4 service that includes free cloud DVR, and adding only
5 the genre-based 'Extras' they choose."

6 Do you also understand this to be praising
7 the ability to add genre-based extras?

8 A That's what the word says, yeah.

9 Q And it mentions a free cloud DVR. Could
10 you tell me a bit about that, if you know?

11 A Well, we built an adaptive rate compatible
12 cloud DVR as part of the Sling TV service, and
13 that's -- that's a different kind of DVR than the
14 traditional cloud DVRs.

15 Q How so?

16 A Videos in chunks, videos served in chunks,
17 not in a stream.

18 Q But the -- I suppose the -- my question
19 would be, is the storage ability of a cloud DVR, is
20 that -- is the storage different because you're
21 storing chunks, or would it be the same?

22 A It's -- it's very similar, but there's
23 some changes, some differences needed, yeah.

24 Q Like what?

25 A Again, it's asked for by a client in

1 chunks, not, give me the entire file, or stream the
2 entire -- give me a stream of bits. You know, the --
3 the playback mechanism was adaptive rate friendly.

4 Q Right. But I'm just asking about the DVR,
5 the cloud aspect.

6 A The cloud, yeah.

7 Q So the storage is just storage, right?

8 A The storage is still files, big files,
9 yeah.

10 Q Okay. But it's praising the free aspect,
11 I suppose. Is that fair?

12 A Yeah.

13 Q So -- and what -- again, there's --
14 there's no mention explicitly of adaptive rate
15 streaming, correct?

16 A Not there.

17 MR. GUY: Objection, mischaracterizes
18 testimony.

19 A Yeah. This is just talking about Sling TV
20 that was enabled by the patents, so. . .

21 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) And then the last
22 sentence on that first -- that same paragraph we've
23 been in, at the very end it talks about offering "a
24 suite of free content, a la carte channels and
25 services, transactional live events and movies

1 on-demand, without requiring a base subscription."

2 Do you see that?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Do you understand this also to be praising
5 the ability to stream without needing a base
6 subscription?

7 A That's how it reads.

8 Q Do you have any reason to disagree with
9 that?

10 A No.

11 Q Could you show me where, if you can, in
12 this article, this article describes adaptive bitrate
13 streaming?

14 MR. GUY: Ask the witness to read the
15 entire article.

16 MR. PAQUETTE: You can take time to look
17 at it.

18 A Okay.

19 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) It was nowhere?

20 A What?

21 Q Do you see anywhere that it mentions
22 adaptive?

23 MR. GUY: Do you have the question in
24 mind? He had a question pending.

25 THE DEPONENT: Well, ask -- ask me the

1 question again.

2 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) Could you show me
3 where, if you can, in this article this article
4 describes adaptive bitrate streaming?

5 A This doesn't directly describe it, but it
6 implies it very heavily, starting with, "First live
7 over-the-top television service," which would not
8 work without adaptive rate streaming. It's an award
9 for technical excellence in engineering and
10 creativity, and it's disrupting the industry,
11 leadership -- and showing leadership in live
12 streaming TV.

13 And, you know, today all live -- pretty
14 much all live streaming TV uses the ideas in our
15 patent. So it doesn't call it directly out, but
16 you -- you ask anyone how -- how streaming works, and
17 they will describe how live streaming works. They
18 will describe at the technical level how it is
19 implementation of this patent. So, yeah, it's not
20 directly, but it's definitely indirect.

21 Q Okay. So just -- my question is just, you
22 can't point to anywhere in that press release,
23 Exhibit 2013, that says adaptive rate streaming,
24 true?

25 MR. GUY: Objection, mischaracterizes the

1 document. Asked and answered.

2 MR. PAQUETTE: Please limit it to form.

3 MR. GUY: It's not a form question.

4 You're mischaracterizing the document. I have a
5 right to object -- I get to object to that. If you
6 have an objection, we can call the -- we can call the
7 court.

8 MR. PAQUETTE: Okay.

9 MR. SHENG: You want to call the court to
10 ask the judge whether you're limited to form
11 objections; is that what you're saying?

12 MR. GUY: No, I get to ask -- I get to
13 make an objection if you mischaracterize the
14 document.

15 MR. SHENG: That's not a form objection?

16 MR. GUY: Not in this case.

17 MR. SHENG: Not in this case?

18 MR. GUY: It's asked and answered.

19 MR. SHENG: That's not a form objection?
20 Isn't asked and answered a form objection?

21 MR. GUY: You know, at some point it does
22 get old, and it's harassment. I've been polite about
23 it. I have very few objections. So why don't we
24 just keep going.

25 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) We'll keep going. I

1 think I had a question pending. Let me read it back.

2 MR. GUY: Why don't we have the court
3 reporter read it back?

4 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) So my question is, you
5 can't point to anywhere in that press release,
6 Exhibit 2013, that says adaptive rate streaming,
7 correct?

8 A Those words are not in this press release.

9 Q Let's look at paragraph 22. So this --
10 here you say, "Within a couple of years after our
11 product release in fall of 2006."

12 Was this talking about the Move player?

13 A This is talking about the Move player and
14 publishing system, the -- the entire thing.

15 Q So the product release for the Move player
16 was publicly released in the fall of 2006; is that
17 true?

18 A It had been released testing-wise earlier,
19 like, in October of 2005; but with commercial -- I
20 think the 2006 is talking about, you know, where --
21 where someone was paying for it.

22 Q Okay.

23 A Like Disney. Disney was now paying for
24 it.

25 Q Okay. So continuing on there, you say,

1 "Move Networks had about 35 million people download
2 the Move Network's client."

3 Where did that number come from?

4 A Just internal counts that -- that Move
5 made.

6 Q How do you know how many people downloaded
7 it as opposed to how many downloads there were of the
8 player?

9 A I'm not sure how they counted it.

10 Q So you don't know where this number came
11 from precisely?

12 A Well, it came from Move, and we were
13 tracking every time people opened it and downloaded
14 it and we were counting that.

15 Q So it could be the same person watching
16 multiple videos, getting multiple accounts?

17 A Well, multiple devices, maybe. If you had
18 two PCs, you could have two downloads. So, yeah, if
19 you had two PCs, you could download it twice, yeah.
20 And we wouldn't know that. We would think it's two
21 downloads because we had no user knowledge. We were
22 just downloading. But that's -- that's what all
23 downloading is.

24 Q And you don't provide any exhibit
25 citations for this paragraph 22, correct?

1 A No, I did not. This was just my own
2 knowledge. There's been previous testimony about
3 that by John Edwards and stuff that --

4 Q Who is John Edwards?

5 A The CEO of Move.

6 Q Is he involved in DISH or Sling?

7 A No. No.

8 Q In paragraph 23, you talk about the early
9 testing. Is this what you were mentioning --

10 A Yes.

11 Q -- the Church of Jesus Christ of
12 Latter-Day Saints testing in October 2005?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And here, you say in the last sentence,
15 "As a result, we proved our technology worked, and no
16 one was doing anything of this kind at the time."

17 Do you see that?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And you cite to the Exhibit 2051, right?

20 A Yes.

21 Q All right. So I'm handing you now
22 Exhibit 2051. This is an article in Brigham Young
23 University Y Magazine titled "To the Ends of the
24 Earth," right?

25 A Yes.

1 Q And this was what you were citing to in
2 that -- for that --

3 A That's right.

4 Q Yeah. Now, it looks like -- if you can
5 just briefly look at the first five pages. This
6 appears to be talking about BYU Television. Is that
7 correct?

8 A Yeah. This -- this is an all-encompassing
9 article about all the stuff BYU Television has done,
10 including cable and streaming, yeah.

11 Q Okay. And it looks like if you go to
12 page 6 of the exhibit, there's a subheading called
13 "Now Streaming."

14 A Yes.

15 Q Is this what you were intending to cite to
16 in your declaration?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Now, if we go down to the second paragraph
19 here, it mentions the individual you just mentioned,
20 John Edwards, and it says, "Through bleeding-edge
21 technology pioneered by alumni John W. Edwards (BS
22 '87) and R. Drew Major (BS '80) (a founder of
23 Novell), both channels are available to anyone with
24 an Internet connection anywhere in the world."

25 Do you see that?

1 A Yes.

2 Q So John Edwards, you said he was -- was he
3 the CEO of Move?

4 A The CEO of Move.

5 Q Was he -- do you consider him to have
6 pioneered the technology along with you?

7 A He did the business side. He didn't do
8 the technology side.

9 Q Okay. And this Drew Major is you,
10 correct?

11 A That is me, yes. That's my first initial,
12 Robert.

13 Q Understood. And so did Mr. Edwards -- did
14 he ever tell you he considered himself an inventor?

15 A I don't think he considered himself an
16 inventor.

17 Q Okay. Staying in that same section
18 here -- actually, let's go -- if you go to the next
19 paragraph on the same page, page 6 of Exhibit 2051,
20 and just read that to yourself, please, and let me
21 know when you're done.

22 MR. GUY: Which paragraph?

23 MR. PAQUETTE: Starting at the -- the last
24 paragraph on page 6, it spills over to the top of
25 page 7.

1 A Okay.

2 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) So it talks about Move
3 being at the forefront of the web television
4 movement, and it mentions other publishers like ABC,
5 FOX, ESPN, and Disney, right?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And then it goes on to say, not one --
8 "Though not one of those networks maintains a station
9 that airs 24 hours a day seven days a week," right?

10 A Yeah, that -- that's what he said, yes.

11 Q Do you understand BYU's television
12 streaming distinguishing factor to be their 24/7
13 streaming, according to this article?

14 A That's the claim Edwards is making here,
15 yes.

16 Q And was he speaking for Move?

17 A Likely.

18 Q All right. If you could just review this
19 subsection, "Now Streaming" briefly for me and let me
20 know if it mentions adaptive bitrate streaming. That
21 would be starting on page 6 and going to --

22 A Yeah.

23 Q -- the middle of page 8.

24 A Okay.

25 Q Is there anywhere that mentions adaptive

1 bitrate streaming?

2 A Not directly.

3 Q Let's look at page 7, please, of
4 Exhibit 2051. In the first full paragraph on page 7,
5 do you see -- it's the second sentence, it starts
6 with, "Priced out." Do you see that?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And it says, "Priced out, streaming both
9 channels would cause BYU an estimated \$1 million
10 annually. BYU Broadcasting, however, receives a
11 service from Move Networks free of charge."

12 A Yes.

13 Q Do you know why Move offered a million
14 dollars' worth of streaming services to BYU?

15 MR. GUY: Objection, mischaracterizes the
16 document.

17 Answer.

18 A Because it was being tested there, and it
19 was a great beta test to test it worldwide. You
20 know, the CDM quality, you know, no one was streaming
21 like BYU. We were looking for content at that time,
22 people to put their content on the internet. And BYU
23 was willing to -- BYU.tv was willing to do it, and
24 so -- yeah. And I don't know where he came up with
25 the \$1 million number.

1 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) Okay. So leaving aside
2 the -- the number of 1 million, Move was basically
3 making a donation to BYU of streaming services,
4 correct?

5 A In ex -- yes, in exchange for allowing --
6 being able to test it all and -- and put, you know --
7 we wanted to test it somewhere that was big before we
8 gave it to Disney and Fox. That made a lot of sense.

9 Q And so do you see -- let's go to page 8,
10 please.

11 A Okay.

12 Q And the last statement on that section of
13 page 8, it's the middle of the page, it starts with,
14 "Just like BYU Television." So it says, "'Just like
15 BYU Television, we're interested in getting good,
16 family-centered content on the Web in an
17 increasing' -- 'increasing, ample supply,' said
18 Edwards. 'There's already plenty of content on the
19 Internet that we wish was never there,'" which is
20 also a quote from Edwards, true?

21 A That's what he just wrote, yes, or said.

22 Q Was Edwards also speaking for Move at this
23 time?

24 A I think he was playing to the audience
25 that this article was written for.

1 Q And that would be the Church of Jesus
2 Christ of Latter-Day Saints?

3 A Well, the alumni of BYU, which are
4 predominantly Church of Jesus Christ Latter-Day
5 Saints.

6 Q And I mean no disrespect, but the church
7 has a very strong anti-online pornography stance,
8 correct?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And do you personally share that
11 anti-pornography stance?

12 MR. GUY: One moment. I'll -- I think
13 it's irrelevant and it's harassing. And I'll mark
14 it, and I'll -- I'll consider letting him answer.

15 MR. PAQUETTE: We'll just move on.

16 MR. GUY: Okay.

17 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) Okay. If we go back to
18 your declaration, please. If we can look at now
19 paragraph 24, which is on page 11.

20 Now, here the first sentence says, "The
21 industry reaction was overwhelmingly positive."

22 What do you mean by "the industry" in this
23 instance?

24 A The television content, you know, the TV
25 industry.

1 Q Okay. So would that include people doing
2 streaming, or include -- let me ask it this way:
3 Does the industry -- industry include, say, Cisco,
4 who does videoconferencing?

5 A I don't know. Probably not, because they
6 are at such a lower level.

7 Q Does the industry include, say, Comcast,
8 who does cable television at the time, no streaming?

9 A I'm not sure. They would have -- they --
10 but, you know, they -- they delivered internet
11 connectivity and make more money at it, I believe.
12 And they benefited from this, eventually.

13 Q Okay. So I'm just -- I'm just --

14 A Yeah.

15 Q I'm just trying to drill down on what's an
16 industry and what's an industry person. If you can
17 let me know what you mean by that.

18 A Well, I think, again, the -- the -- the
19 people that had content that wanted to stream it on
20 the internet, it didn't work, and it was really
21 expensive.

22 So, you know, as soon as Disney saw it and
23 tested and did an AV test with us against what they
24 were doing originally, which was Flash, they
25 immediately jumped on our stuff and invested and let

1 us put ESPN on the internet and got very excited
2 about what delivering over the internet could mean to
3 them. So that's -- that's what I'm referring to.

4 Q So the industry people would be people who
5 have content that they wanted to stream?

6 A That would be a big portion of it, yes.

7 Q Would it include anything else?

8 A Well, the -- the CDN people liked it, too,
9 because it was cheaper for them to deliver that, and
10 they could make more money. And they didn't have to
11 buy these expensive servers traditionally being sold
12 by Microsoft, Real, Apple and Adobe.

13 So, you know, anyone -- if you were in the
14 internet industry and suddenly the streaming works,
15 that was viewed very positive by everyone. It was,
16 like, wow. And --

17 Q So the -- sorry, I cut you off.

18 A And, again, lots of articles that
19 expressed that exact thing.

20 Q I'm -- I'm more interested, I guess, in
21 what you're thinking is an industry -- what's not an
22 industry. Is a -- is a regular person at home on his
23 laptop watching a video, is that part of the
24 industry?

25 A Well, he's getting a service from the

1 industry. He's getting available content on the
2 industry he couldn't get before.

3 Q So if he said -- if -- if some -- some guy
4 is watching an ABC episode of Lost and says, Wow,
5 this looks great, you would consider that to be
6 industry praise?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And what about -- what about people who
9 blogged about television shows? Would they be part
10 of the industry?

11 A I don't know. They certainly talk about
12 it. Are critics part of the theater industry?
13 Maybe. I don't know.

14 Q Okay. So for the statement in this
15 paragraph 24, you cite to two exhibits, which were EX
16 2042 and EX 2043, correct?

17 A Yes.

18 Q All right. I'm going to hand you -- let's
19 do EX 2043.

20 A Okay.

21 Q Okay. This appears to be a blog post of
22 some sort; is that fair?

23 MR. GUY: Objection, characterization,
24 form.

25 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) What does this appear

1 to be to you, Mr. Major?

2 A Someone review -- who reviews television,
3 looks like Blend Television.

4 Q Are you familiar with Blend Television?

5 A No.

6 Q What about the author, Mr. Steve West, it
7 appears?

8 A I'm not familiar with him.

9 Q Did you retrieve this article from the
10 Wayback Machine?

11 A DISH did, yes.

12 Q But you didn't?

13 A Personally, no.

14 Q Is Steve West an industry person, as
15 you've defined it?

16 A I don't know.

17 Q So the title of this post is "ABC Offering
18 Full Screen Streaming HD Content."

19 Do you see that?

20 A Yes.

21 Q And in the second paragraph, it talks
22 about CBS using Real Player, right?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And NBC uses Flash, right?

25 A Yes.

1 Q It goes on to say, "Neither provides great
2 visual quality. ABC player uses a player by Move
3 Networks, which offers a much clearer picture
4 quality," right?

5 A Yes.

6 Q So would you agree that this person, Steve
7 West, is praising Move's picture quality as compared
8 to ABC and NBC?

9 A Yes. And Real and Flash.

10 Q Right. So Mr. West, I guess, more
11 specifically, he's praising the clear picture quality
12 of Move as compared to the picture quality of Real
13 Player and the picture quality of Flash?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Okay. Is there anything here about
16 surprise? Because in your declaration, you say,
17 "There was surprise after Move's demonstrations
18 defeated skepticism." And you cite to -- this is one
19 of the exhibits you cite, correct?

20 MR. GUY: Objection, form.

21 A Well, I -- he -- he certainly didn't
22 expect it, comparing the other two. But how that
23 registers, I -- you know, it was just like my own
24 personal experience. You showed -- showed it to them
25 and they -- people were always amazed. Wow, that

1 works. I remember walking on an airplane and
2 streaming and the guy looked over, "Are you watching
3 video here?" It was, like, yeah. No one was doing
4 it. It was surprising to the people who had been
5 following it.

6 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) Okay. But my question
7 is, it's not -- in this Exhibit 2043, it's not
8 expressed, right?

9 A It's not expressed, but it's certainly in
10 my opinion implied.

11 Q Where is it implied?

12 A Well, he's comparing it with these other
13 ones, and he's -- it's like he's discovering this.
14 He -- he -- wow, this is HD, it actually -- it
15 actually works. These other ones don't work as well.

16 Q Isn't he saying that ABC's full screen --
17 strike that.

18 Okay. Let's look at -- let's look at the
19 other exhibit, 2042.

20 A Okay.

21 Q Let me hand that to you. Sorry. We've
22 got a pile of papers in front of you now. Just pile
23 it up here.

24 Okay. So this Exhibit 2042 appears to be
25 a press release from Move Networks, correct?

1 A That's what it appears, yes.

2 Q Sorry. One second. And this is -- this
3 second paragraph has a quote from someone named Tony
4 Rallo, VP of Technology Strategies for Televisa. Do
5 you see that?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Do you know who that individual is?

8 A Yes. I met him several times.

9 Q And what was his relationship to Move?

10 A Well, he got Televisa to invest in Move,
11 and they -- they had found something that worked, and
12 they -- they were very excited. He was running,
13 trying to -- to modernize Televisa, and Move allowed
14 him to now stream all these channels. And, of
15 course, the internet is not as good down in Latin
16 America and in Mexico as it is here. And he was very
17 amazed and loved us.

18 Q So Televisa, is that -- that's a Mexican
19 telecom --

20 A Yeah.

21 Q -- company?

22 A No, no. It's a Mexican TV and production
23 company.

24 Q Okay.

25 A So it's kind of like -- yeah, NBC. It's

1 -- I think it's the largest one in the world.
2 They're the biggest producer of telenovas, for
3 example. And their content, I think, here is in --
4 what is it -- Televisa, not Televisa, yeah, it's --
5 what's -- it's one of the channels. They're the main
6 supplier of the content.

7 Q Do you see where it says in that same
8 second paragraph, "Move's technology is superior to
9 others we have used." Do you see that?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Do you know what others Televisa -- do you
12 know what other streaming services Televisa tried?

13 A I don't know which ones they did.

14 Q Okay.

15 A I would suspect they tried most of them.

16 Q Such as --

17 A Well, Real, Adobe Flash, Apple QuickTime,
18 and Microsoft, whatever the Mediaroom that they
19 called before they -- yeah. So -- yeah, Tony was a
20 pretty aggressive guy for Televisa, and he was very
21 excited when he found Move.

22 Q How long did Move stream with Televisa?

23 A Several years.

24 Q I suppose this was -- so this -- this is
25 dated September 2010, correct?

1 A Yes.

2 Q Is that nearing the time that EchoStar
3 acquired Move?

4 A EchoStar acquired Move at the end of 2010,
5 so, yes.

6 Q Go to page -- like, go to the second page
7 of Exhibit 2042, please, the last line. It says,
8 "Move is headquartered in American Fork, Utah, and
9 Move has offices and data centers in the US and the
10 UK."

11 A Yes.

12 Q Did -- did Move have offices in the UK?

13 A We purchased a company in the UK.

14 Q Did you ever do work with any UK
15 companies?

16 A We sold some in UK, I believe.

17 Q Did you work with any of the
18 telecommunication companies, like British Telecom?

19 A I believe we did, but I was not involved
20 directly at that point.

21 Q What company did you purchase in the UK?

22 A A company called Inuk.

23 Q Can you spell that?

24 A I-n-u-k.

25 Q I-n-u-k. And they were video streaming?

1 A They were building a -- a -- a -- kind of
2 a Pay-TV type of thing. And they weren't -- they
3 were very complementary to our content -- our
4 capabilities. They built the -- the rest of the
5 video TV system stuff is why -- why we purchased
6 them, yeah.

7 Q Do you remember roughly when that was?

8 A 2009 maybe.

9 Q Okay. And do you remember roughly when
10 you were working with British Telecom?

11 MR. GUY: Objection, mischaracterizes his
12 testimony. Objection to form.

13 A I'm not sure when we connected with
14 British Telecom.

15 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) Okay.

16 A We -- our sales guys had a lot of fun.
17 We'd go in and everyone loved us. So, yeah. We
18 were -- we sold in Germany a little bit, plus even --
19 that's here, and then England.

20 Q Would this also be probably around 2009?

21 A Between 2008 and '09, yeah.

22 Q Okay. All right. And in this
23 Exhibit 2043 -- strike that. I think we'll move on.

24 Let's go back to your declaration, please.

25 Go to paragraph 25.

1 A Okay.

2 Q Now, here you talk about a collaboration
3 with Microsoft to support streaming of the Democratic
4 National Convention in 2008, right?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Now, when you say "collaboration," isn't
7 it true that the Microsoft Silverlight player is the
8 one that actually did the streaming for that
9 convention?

10 A Silverlight was the front end. We did the
11 streaming underneath. We -- they -- they -- they
12 wanted to use our player, so we integrated our player
13 in with Silverlight.

14 Q So the Move Player was fully integrated
15 into Silverlight?

16 A Into Silverlight, yes.

17 Q Did -- did that stream use the Move QMX
18 and QVT?

19 A Yes.

20 Q You also -- you cite to a few exhibits in
21 paragraph 25 that are numbered Exhibit 2031 to 2033,
22 which includes Exhibit 2032. Do you see that?

23 A Yes.

24 Q I'm just going to hand you all three of
25 those briefly. So here is Exhibit 2031. If you look

1 at the top email on this chain, it's from someone
2 named Greg Smith at Move Networks. Do you see that?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And it's also -- it's to John Edwards at
5 Move, right?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And then there's Mark Taylor at Level 3,
8 correct?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Who is Greg Smith, first of all?

11 A Greg Smith was CTO of Move Networks at the
12 time.

13 Q Okay. And we already established John
14 Edwards was the CEO?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Who -- who is Mark Taylor?

17 A Mark Taylor must have been a
18 representative at Level 3 that we were negotiating
19 with or working with.

20 Q And what was Level 3?

21 A Level 3 is a CDN, content distribution
22 company.

23 Q Okay. So just confirm for me, you're not
24 on this email chain --

25 A I'm not.

1 Q -- in Exhibit 2031. You're not? Okay.
2 Do you know Mark Taylor or did you know Mark Taylor
3 at the time?

4 A I don't remember the name. I did visit
5 Level 3 once.

6 Q Okay. All right. I'm handing you 2032
7 now. This one, again, if you see at the top, this
8 one is an email from Greg Smith. Do you see that?

9 A Yes.

10 Q The "to" line is to several individuals
11 that have a Microsoft.com email address, correct?

12 A Yes.

13 Q It also includes a Robert Bryson at Move
14 Networks?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Who is Robert Bryson?

17 A Robert Bryson was the chief of sales.

18 Q Do you know these individuals, Tom
19 Honeybone or the other ones at Microsoft?

20 A No.

21 Q And you're also not on this email chain,
22 correct?

23 A I am not on this email chain.

24 Q All right. Finally here's Exhibit 2033.
25 This is, again, an email from Greg Smith, correct?

1 A Yes.

2 Q And this is to someone, Christopher Carper
3 at Windows, correct? Microsoft, excuse me.

4 A Yes.

5 Q And you're also not on this email, right?

6 A I'm not on this chain, no.

7 Q Were you involved in the negotiations with
8 Microsoft?

9 A No.

10 Q Let's turn back to your declaration,
11 please. Let's go to paragraph 27. Here, you say
12 that Microsoft at a certain point took an equity
13 establishing in Move. Is that true?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Do you remember when that was?

16 A 2008.

17 Q So this was -- would this be after the
18 streaming of the DNC convention or before?

19 A I think it was after.

20 Q And you weren't involved with those
21 negotiations with Microsoft for the equity stake,
22 correct?

23 A Yeah, no -- no involvement in the
24 negotiations.

25 Q Did Microsoft ever take a license to the

1 ABR patents?

2 A I don't think so.

3 Q Did you ever negotiate, or did Move ever
4 negotiate with Microsoft to discuss licensing of the
5 ABR patents?

6 A They may have.

7 Q But you're not sure?

8 A I'm not sure. I wasn't involved at that
9 level of the company.

10 Q Has Move or DISH ever sued Microsoft for
11 infringing the ABR patents?

12 A I don't believe we did, no.

13 Q Do you agree with that decision?

14 MR. GUY: Objection to the extent it calls
15 for any sort of privileged communication. If he has
16 a personal opinion separate and apart from that, he
17 can answer. I caution the witness not to disclose
18 any attorney-client communication.

19 A Well, Move got the patent. They were
20 actually award in May, I think, 2010, and we were
21 sold by the end of the year. When we got the patent,
22 we were actually in the process of being sold. So,
23 you know, we weren't in a position to sue anyone.

24 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) So did Microsoft ever
25 sell its -- at a certain point, did Microsoft sell

1 its stake in Move?

2 A Well, when the -- the company got sold,
3 they got their return on it, yeah.

4 Q I see.

5 A On their investment, yes.

6 Q Do you know if Microsoft was involved in
7 the negotiations at all?

8 A I don't know. I was not involved directly
9 in the negotiations myself.

10 MR. PAQUETTE: I think we have a choice.
11 I can continue going for another probably 45 minutes
12 or we can take a break. I'd defer to you, Mr. Major.

13 THE DEPONENT: And would you be done after
14 45 minutes?

15 MR. PAQUETTE: Probably 45 minutes to an
16 hour. It's up to you.

17 MR. GUY: Yeah, let's finish.

18 THE DEPONENT: Yeah, let's finish it.

19 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) All right. So please
20 look at paragraph 30 of your declaration. So here,
21 do you see the first sentence where it says,
22 "Ultimately, this adaptive bit-rate streaming
23 solution has been standardized within MPEG-DASH and
24 by Apple within HTTP Live Streaming, or HLS"?

25 A Yes.

1 Q And when you refer to "this adaptive
2 bit-rate streaming solution," you're referring to
3 your adaptive bit-rate --

4 A Yes.

5 Q -- streaming patent solution?

6 A The patents and the technology that was --
7 was substantiated in the Move products, yeah.

8 Q And so could you just be a little more
9 specific about what in your solution -- or what do
10 you mean by "solution"?

11 A Well, I was probably talking sales-ish
12 there, but the thing that Move was selling. We were
13 selling a solution to streaming. You had content, we
14 can stream it on the internet for you.

15 Q Right. But a lot of people could do that,
16 right? So what --

17 A We did it far superior than anyone else,
18 so. . .

19 Q What was -- what was your sales point,
20 then, I suppose, if you want to go that way? What --
21 what set your HTTP streaming apart?

22 A Well, the -- the fact that it worked
23 better and it was cheaper.

24 Q Right. But those are -- if -- if true,
25 those were effects, right? So what was the cause of

1 the improvement, in your eyes?

2 A Well, just all of the ideas as
3 incorporated into the patent, the set of patents.

4 Q So everything -- everything in the
5 patents?

6 A It kind of all combines together into a
7 system or a solution that worked. It worked on live
8 television, it worked on video on demand, and anyone
9 who did a realistic evaluation of it picked us.
10 People that didn't, it's because they had other
11 reasons. But no one -- no one pointed -- ever gave
12 us -- in sales, no one ever gave us a better
13 solution.

14 Q So I guess --

15 A There was never any difference. We were
16 always superior to every -- everything else.

17 Q I guess what I'm asking is, you're -- this
18 statement is specifically saying that your solution
19 was standardized within MPEG-DASH and HLS, right?

20 A The underlying ideas, a significant
21 percentage of them, most of them were incorporated
22 with HLS and with MPEG-DASH and with Microsoft Smooth
23 Streaming. That's part of MPEG-DASH.

24 Q So let's start with MPEG-DASH then. What
25 is MPEG-DASH?

1 A MPEG-DASH is a standard for streaming that
2 was put out by a standards group.

3 Q Do you know what the group was?

4 A The MPEG group. I'm not that up on exact
5 specifics of MPEG-DASH other than at a high level I
6 understand what they did.

7 Q Okay. So what's your understanding about
8 what MPEG-DASH implements that is the solution that
9 Move offered?

10 A They -- just the underlying core adaptive
11 rate stuff. Video is chunks, intelligent client, you
12 know, the -- the chunks are -- were aligned on time,
13 all of that. It -- using HTTP. All of that. So the
14 essence of why Move did well, which was, of course,
15 expressed in the patents, shows up here in MPEG-DASH,
16 and -- and in HLS even broad -- more broadly.

17 Q Okay. So -- so you're saying MPEG-DASH
18 implements videos in chunks or segments, right?

19 A At one of their instances, yeah. Their
20 support, they -- they do chunking.

21 Q And those segments are aligned in time in
22 MPEG-DASH, right?

23 A Yes, I believe so. I'm not an expert on
24 MPEG-DASH, though; but that's what I've heard.

25 Q Have you read -- have you read the

1 standard?

2 A No, I have not. I've been told by other
3 people. So there's experts that will answer those
4 questions for you.

5 Q Well, I guess just more -- and let's just
6 keep it at a high level.

7 A Yeah.

8 Q At a high level, your understanding is
9 that MPEG-DASH has video segments aligned in time?

10 A Yeah.

11 Q An intelligent client?

12 A Yeah.

13 Q And segments encoded at different
14 bitrates?

15 A Yeah. It was -- yeah. And it was
16 significantly derived from ideas in the patent.

17 Q And those are the -- the core ideas that
18 MPEG-DASH incorporated from --

19 A That they incorporated those, yes.

20 Q Is there anything else that you can think
21 of?

22 A Not that I can think of.

23 Q So let's move on to what you mention here
24 as well, which is Apple's HTTP live streaming or HLS?

25 A Yeah.

1 Q Could you explain what HLS is?

2 A HLS is -- is Apple's streaming solution
3 that they've made available as a -- an unratified
4 working document, the description of how to do
5 streaming. But it -- and it's through the IEEE or
6 whoever the standards body is, but they have not --
7 they have not formalized it or had it approved. It's
8 a working draft. But everyone copies it because it's
9 Apple, or uses it because it's Apple.

10 Q So --

11 A And it's for streaming, yeah.

12 Q So you're saying in this paragraph 30 that
13 "HLS also incorporates Move's adaptive bit-rate
14 streaming solution"?

15 A It certainly does.

16 Q Could you explain how?

17 A All the -- again, almost all of the
18 salient characteristics of -- of the Move and the
19 Move patents and the -- on streaming they -- HLS was
20 very highly influenced by Move streaming in terms of
21 what they built, and they abandoned their previous
22 RTP/RTSP approach, and threw all that away,
23 effectively, a lot of it, and chose to do something
24 very similar to what we had done.

25 Q So HLS -- what's the difference between

1 HLS and MPEG-DASH, then, if they both have the same
2 core features?

3 A It's just who's doing them. And HLS has
4 kind of won because -- because of Apple.

5 Q Okay.

6 A And Apple is -- it's a little more
7 prevalent, and the Apple standard is -- even though
8 it's -- it's not a standard because they haven't --
9 but it's a -- I don't know what the term is. It's a
10 proposal still. But it --

11 Q Okay. So --

12 A Because it's Apple.

13 Q So just to -- just to make sure we get an
14 understanding here, so you're saying that both
15 MPEG-DASH, HLS, and Move's adaptive streaming patent
16 technology did several core things, right?

17 A Yeah.

18 Q It would be use of ordinary web servers
19 over HTTP, right?

20 A Yes.

21 Q It would be segmented video?

22 A Different bit -- bitrates of small
23 streamlets.

24 Q Each segment is encoded --

25 A Different bitrate.

1 Q -- into a different bitrate?

2 A At the same time.

3 Q Each segment corresponds and aligns in
4 time?

5 A Yes.

6 Q And an intelligent client requests each
7 segment, right?

8 A Yes. That's part -- that's -- that's a
9 big part of it, yeah.

10 Q Is it your understanding that HLS also
11 segments the video and then encodes the video?

12 A I'm not sure how the HLS servers operate.

13 Q If HLS didn't operate that way would you
14 be surprised?

15 A I'd be sur --

16 MR. GUY: Objection, form.

17 A Yeah. I -- likely they did the simplest
18 way to do it, which is what we did, too.

19 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) And that would be your
20 invention, which is segmenting the video --

21 A Yeah, take the videos, each -- take the
22 video chunks, or the source video, chunk it into two
23 seconds or four seconds, or whatever, give it to the
24 encoders, yeah.

25 Q Okay.

1 A But it could be done other ways.

2 Q But if they --

3 A But that's the simplest way of doing it.

4 Q But if they encoded it and then segmented
5 it, you're saying that wouldn't be what you did in
6 your invention, right?

7 MR. GUY: Objection, form, speculation.

8 A That's really more an implementation
9 level, though, too. It's an implementation thing
10 that -- the core idea is what we described. This
11 video from this time to this time, all the videos are
12 the same thing. Exactly how you get that is an
13 implementation detail, and that -- that wasn't -- I
14 think we're -- we're flexible on the patent in terms
15 of exactly how they're derived, though there were
16 some patents that talked about different approaches.

17 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) So let's move on to
18 paragraph 31, your declaration.

19 MR. GUY: Sorry. Which one?

20 A 31.

21 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) 31. Here you say,
22 "Move entered into a non-disclosure agreement with
23 Apple in late 2006," correct?

24 A Yes.

25 Q So in there -- for that sentence, you cite

1 this Exhibit 2055. Do you see that?

2 A Yes.

3 Q All right. I'm going to hand you
4 Exhibit 2055. Is this the NDA you were referencing?

5 A Yes.

6 Q If you look at the last page of the
7 exhibit, it looks -- it appears that someone from
8 Apple signed on December 1st, 2006. Do you see that?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And then someone named James Ericson, VP
11 of Marketing from Move, signed on November 15th,
12 2006, right?

13 A Yes.

14 Q Who is James Ericson?

15 A I think he was a VP of marketing, yeah, at
16 the time.

17 Q Did you work with Jim Ericson?

18 A Not very much, no.

19 Q Okay. You're not a signatory to this NDA,
20 correct?

21 A I am not.

22 Q So judging by the dates here, this NDA was
23 signed November, December 2006, right?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Were you aware of this NDA in 2006?

1 A I was aware we were meeting with Apple.

2 Q But you weren't involved in the
3 negotiations?

4 A I was not involved in the negotiations
5 with Apple or the discussions with Apple. It was
6 less of a negotiation. It was more of a discussion.

7 Q Okay. What makes it a discussion as
8 opposed to a negotiation?

9 A Well, we weren't buying anything from
10 Apple. We were there because Disney wanted -- Disney
11 had said that they will only do Move streaming at the
12 time because it was the only thing that was high
13 quality. And Apple wanted the Disney content. And
14 so then Disney said -- then Apple -- then Disney
15 said, look, go convince Apple that they should
16 support your player. They had their own system,
17 again, pretty broadly used, called QuickTime. And
18 they initially were very skeptical of the Move
19 player, apparently.

20 But Disney requested that we talk to
21 Apple. And Apple, of course, wanted to know why our
22 player was better than their player. And we chose to
23 disclose to them why our player was better than their
24 player. And this -- in subsequent -- and maybe some
25 subsequent meetings.

1 So that's the context of this meeting. I
2 was aware that we were meeting with Apple and we were
3 going to disclose the secret sauce to the patent, why
4 we were better. And we were doing that because our
5 biggest customer, Disney, was close to Apple, of
6 course, Steve Jobs I think was on the board at the
7 time, and they just sold Pixar. And Disney wanted
8 Apple to embed one of our players.

9 So we had numerous discussions over the
10 years with them. They never embedded our player, but
11 three years later, they came out with HLS instead.

12 Q So let's take -- take these a few steps.

13 A Yeah, sorry, that's a narrative, but
14 that's --

15 Q Just sticking --

16 A -- that's my understanding of what
17 happened.

18 Q -- just sticking with the meetings, where
19 does your understanding about what happened at these
20 meetings come from?

21 A From Greg Smith, who was there at the
22 meeting.

23 Q Okay. So Greg Smith represented Move at
24 the meeting?

25 A And I don't know who else was there.

1 Q Okay.

2 A Yeah.

3 Q Do you know who from Apple?

4 A I believe at the first meeting it was the
5 guy who later it was said to be the HLS architect.
6 He was at the -- there was a technical guy there.
7 And he was the -- I believe he was the HLS architect.

8 Q So these meetings, they would have taken
9 place after the NDA was signed, probably?

10 A Or, I don't know --

11 Q Okay.

12 A -- the dates.

13 Q Okay. So you're not sure about the dates
14 or who was involved, correct?

15 A I know the meeting was there, but I know
16 this is what we have on paper here. So. . .

17 Q So what we have on paper here is an NDA
18 was signed in late 2006 to have discussions between
19 Apple and Move --

20 A That's right.

21 Q -- about video streaming, correct?

22 A And then I explained the additional
23 knowledge I have about those meetings.

24 Q And I think you said Move revealed the
25 secret sauce; is that right?

1 A Yeah.

2 Q Do you -- do you know what specifically
3 Move told Apple?

4 A Other than eye level how it worked.

5 Q So -- so your testimony is that Move and
6 Apple entered into this NDA, and as part of the NDA
7 Move disclosed at a high level how its player worked?

8 A How its player -- and the -- the whole
9 system worked.

10 Q And that would be?

11 A The server side, the adaptive, you know,
12 the encoding and the --

13 Q Would that be --

14 A -- bigger chunks.

15 Q -- specifics or would that be just --

16 A That was the approach that we were taking
17 and why it was superior. And obviously, when Apple
18 heard about it, they realized it was superior. And
19 so they did HLS and they abandoned their existing
20 streaming solution.

21 Q So in paragraph 31, you say that "Move
22 revealed novel elements of its adaptive bitrate
23 streaming technology to Apple."

24 A Yeah, the secret sauce.

25 Q Would that include segmenting the video?

1 A Yes.

2 Q Would that include using ordinary web
3 servers for storage?

4 A Yes.

5 Q Would that include an intelligent client
6 --

7 A Yes.

8 Q -- to request? Would that include
9 encoding segments of different quality levels?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Would that include using HTTP?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Would there be anything else?

14 A Well, probably, but I'm -- I'm not sure
15 exactly the full extent. I do know that Apple was
16 very interested and three years later, HLS showed up.

17 Q So your first patent that was filed in the
18 ABR patent family, it wasn't the first one that was
19 issued, but the first one that was filed was the 772
20 patent, right? Does that sound right?

21 A The one that was in 2005, yeah.

22 Q Yeah. And so that one -- I'll represent
23 to you that the 772 patents application was published
24 in November of 2005. Does that sound right?

25 A I -- I'm not sure of the exact date,

1 but. . .

2 Q I can -- I'll have this marked as Major
3 Exhibit 1.

4 Does this appear to be the 772 patent in
5 the ABR patent family?

6 (Deposition Exhibit Major 1 was marked.)

7 A Yes.

8 Q And you're listed as an inventor, right?

9 A Yes.

10 Q If you look on the left side, there's a
11 heading that says "Prior Publication Data." Do you
12 see that?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And it says it was published
15 November 24th, 2005. Right?

16 A Yes.

17 Q So in other words, your initial
18 application was already public a year before these
19 Apple meetings were occurring, correct?

20 A I don't know how the patent office works.
21 We did kind of a preliminary version originally, and
22 then had to follow up with the real version a year --
23 the new version, I'm not sure on that.

24 Q Well, I can --

25 A On how -- you know, if Apple can find,

1 look it up or not, especially before it's granted,
2 because it wasn't granted until 2010, so. . .

3 Q Well, let's do this. I'll hand you what
4 I'm going to mark as Major Exhibit 2. And this
5 is the -- what's called a pre-grant publication. So
6 this is the actual document that was published --
7 excuse me -- published November 24th, 2005. Do you
8 see that in the top right corner?

9 (Deposition Exhibit Major 2 was marked.)

10 A Yeah, but it's -- yeah, it says it was
11 filed in -- yeah, I see that in the top right.

12 Q Yeah, filed April 28th, 2005.

13 A Yeah.

14 Q Published November 24th, 2005, right?

15 A Yes.

16 Q And if you look, this is essentially --
17 this is the patent, it's a pre-grant publication.

18 So do you have any reason to think this
19 wasn't public a year before these Apple meetings?

20 A I don't know. I don't know how -- I don't
21 know whether -- whether or not it -- I don't know how
22 the patent office works, sorry.

23 Q Okay. So if -- if I represent to you that
24 this publication -- pre-grant publication was
25 available before Apple had these meetings, does that

1 change your opinion about what was disclosed to Apple
2 during these discussions?

3 MR. GUY: Objection, caution on
4 speculation. Objection to form.

5 A Again, I was told by Greg Smith that Apple
6 had not heard of this, it hadn't thought of this,
7 didn't know anything about it. We were introducing
8 it to Apple at the time, and would question -- I
9 don't know whether Apple scours what's being patented
10 all the time trying to find new ideas that they can
11 do or not, I have no idea there. But all I know is
12 that -- that Greg Smith represented to me that this
13 was a new idea, they were initially very skeptical on
14 it, didn't -- because it wasn't their thing, it was
15 totally different. But then they realized when they
16 looked at it further that, wow, this is important,
17 and they quit doing what they did before and came up
18 with HLS instead.

19 Q So your understanding, it's fair to say,
20 comes from Greg Smith and not --

21 A I was not in these meetings.

22 Q -- being involved in the meetings?

23 A I wasn't involved in these meetings. I
24 was not involved operationally with Move. I was --

25 Q Okay.

1 A -- I was funding Move, I was monitoring
2 it, I was excited about what was happening. I did
3 meet occasionally with people, but I did not -- you
4 know, I was not, you know, other than, you know,
5 obviously watching out for my money that I was
6 putting into the company.

7 Q So you -- you don't actually know what
8 Move disclosed to Apple under this NDA. Fair?

9 A Other than what Greg Smith told me, no.

10 Q Okay. Let's stay in paragraph 31 of your
11 declaration, please.

12 A Okay.

13 Q The next sentence would be the second
14 sentence in the paragraph. You say, "After
15 explaining to Apple how it could support our
16 invention in 2007." What do you mean by "support"?

17 A We wanted Apple to embed a Move player
18 within Apple's ecosystem, so on their Apple TV, on
19 their iPads, on their Macs. That's what Disney was
20 asking us to ask Apple to do.

21 Q So would this include -- were you trying
22 to get investments from Apple into Move?

23 A I don't know.

24 Q Were you trying to get Apple to take a
25 license for ABR patents?

1 A No.

2 Q Are you sure?

3 A We didn't have a patent then.

4 Q Well, you had pending patents, though?

5 A Yeah. I'm -- I'm pretty sure that we
6 never tried to license the patents to anyone. We
7 were trying to exploit them ourselves at that time.

8 Q What about after the patents were issued?

9 A Again, that happened -- I wouldn't know
10 the sales time. So I believe different discussions
11 happened. I'm not sure. I thought I heard somewhere
12 where Microsoft wanted to buy something maybe. But
13 our strategy was not to license the patents. Our
14 strategy was to build a service employing the
15 technology from the -- that was protected by the
16 patents and sell the service. But that required us
17 to have client software in different -- on different
18 devices.

19 So we put them on devices, and we were
20 trying to get the same thing out of Micro -- Apple
21 and we never did. They would -- they -- they chose
22 not to embed our -- our -- our player.

23 Q So you said that you thought you heard
24 that Microsoft wanted to maybe license your patents
25 at a certain point?

1 A I -- I'm not sure. I thought I heard
2 that. But it's been years.

3 Q So you don't have any information or
4 knowledge about Microsoft offering to license any
5 patents?

6 A I have a vague recollection that maybe
7 something like that happened. But, again, it was
8 during the time of the windup of Move.

9 Q So it would be around 2010?

10 A Yeah, about 2010. If that happened.

11 Q In that same sentence in paragraph 31, you
12 say, "To our surprise, in 2009, Apple proposed a
13 standard they called HLS."

14 Is "our surprise" your surprise?

15 A Everyone involved's surprise. We in
16 hindsight probably should have seen it coming, but
17 Apple was going down that HL -- that QuickTime path,
18 and then suddenly they totally shifted gears.

19 Q So you think it's not a coincidence that
20 Apple met with Move and then --

21 A No, of course not.

22 Q -- put out HLS?

23 A Of course not.

24 Q Do you think Apple stole Move's idea?

25 A Definitely we heavily influenced HLS.

1 Q And that's for the reasons we discussed,
2 the segmentation?

3 A Yeah, all of that.

4 Q Ordinary web server, HTTP?

5 A Yeah.

6 Q Client --

7 A And --

8 Q Intelligent client?

9 A The Federal Trade Commission ruling said
10 that HLS infringes on our patents.

11 Q It's your understanding that the Federal
12 Trade Commission said that HLS infringes your
13 patents?

14 A Infringes on our patents, yes.

15 Q All implementation of HLS infringe your
16 patents?

17 A I don't know, other than a high level that
18 they -- they -- they are infringing the patents. I'm
19 not super up on all nuances of patent law.

20 Q Do you know if there can be different
21 implementations of HLS?

22 MR. GUY: Objection, calls for
23 speculation.

24 A All I know is HLS is put out as a -- a
25 draft standard by Apple. They occasionally change

1 it. Different people use it and have implemented it,
2 the clients and the server side, based on that
3 standard.

4 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) So after --

5 A And so there's going to be different
6 versions and different companies.

7 Q So after HLS came out and after your
8 patents were issued, did Move ever sue Apple?

9 A No.

10 Q Did DISH ever sue Apple?

11 A No.

12 Q Sling ever sue Apple?

13 A No.

14 Q So let's say -- let's say someone
15 implements HLS that doesn't switch. You wouldn't
16 consider that to be copying your invention, right?

17 MR. GUY: Objection, calls for
18 speculation. Incomplete hypothetical. Outside the
19 scope of the declaration.

20 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) You can answer.

21 A Often you can just pick and go on one
22 bitrate if you want to with it. And there's still
23 value in terms of chunking and in internet
24 friendliness of having video in separate things
25 instead of one gigantic file. So there's value in

1 it. And I would consider it still infringing, yes.

2 Q So even if you just have, say, 720p and
3 it's segmented and a client requests it?

4 A Yeah, I think that -- well, in my opinion,
5 that still infringes bitrate.

6 Q It seems we're shrinking the core of your
7 invention to the segmentation.

8 A For that particular instance, it would be
9 still infringing on that aspect, yes.

10 Q On that aspect?

11 A On that aspect. And it may be my opinion,
12 but I'm not a --

13 Q Of course.

14 A You have to talk to the patent people on
15 that.

16 Q I'm just trying to understand why you --
17 why you think HLS copies your invention but
18 doesn't -- but Move never chose to go after Apple for
19 that?

20 MR. GUY: Objection. Caution the witness
21 not to disclose any attorney-client communication.
22 Asked and answered.

23 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) Let me ask it a
24 different way.

25 Do you -- do you think that all streaming

1 on the internet is based on your idea in the ABR
2 patents?

3 A All HTTP-based streaming, adaptive rate
4 streaming is based on my -- my ideas.

5 Q All streaming -- all the billions of hours
6 of streaming that happen on the internet is all based
7 on your idea?

8 A Yes. To -- to a -- to a broad degree,
9 yes.

10 Q Okay. Can you be more specific about to
11 what degree?

12 A Well, almost all -- you know, they're
13 doing chunking, they have intelligent clients, the
14 whole list that you -- you named.

15 Q Could you just list them real quick?

16 A Yeah. Well, again, video is in -- in
17 chunks that start -- or streamlets, that start at a
18 certain type, typically two to four seconds long, you
19 have an intelligent client, these are HTTP objects.
20 The player is intelligent and figures out what
21 bitrate is appropriate for the next chunks. It
22 affects the next chunks. The encoding is done to
23 support that in the back end.

24 Those -- those elements are typically in
25 almost all streaming today. Now, it doesn't -- the

1 Zoom type of stuff isn't streaming. FaceTime isn't
2 streaming.

3 Q FaceTime isn't streaming?

4 A It's an HTTP-based adaptive rate
5 streaming. You know, you Zoom or you do FaceTime,
6 you know, that's -- that's live point to point or
7 through a service. But for -- for scalable streaming
8 of video on demand and live -- live TV streaming that
9 goes beyond just maybe a few people, almost
10 everything uses my patents --

11 Q Okay.

12 A -- to some degree.

13 Q Let's look at paragraph 32, please.

14 A Yes.

15 Q Now, here you say -- you can just read
16 that whole paragraph to yourself, the first four
17 sentences to yourself, please.

18 A Okay.

19 Q And let me know when you're done.

20 A Okay. Yes.

21 Q So, again, you're saying that HLS embodies
22 the claims here, right?

23 A Yeah.

24 Q What do you mean by that word "embodies"?

25 A I know it's kind of a lawyer-ish term. It

1 takes advantage of them, exploits them, uses them.

2 Q Would you say it has -- it has all the
3 core features that you mentioned?

4 A It has pretty much the core features,
5 yeah.

6 Q And you say, these core features are --
7 well, I don't want to mischaracterize. Let's say,
8 you say, "HLS protocol supports live and on-demand
9 streaming," right?

10 A Yes.

11 Q That was a core feature of your invention?

12 A That's right.

13 Q You say, "It has short streamlets." That
14 was a core feature of your invention?

15 A That's one core feature, yes.

16 Q And "The streamlets were compressed at
17 various sizes." A core feature of your invention?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And "allows the end user device to decide
20 which size streamlet to download." Another core
21 feature of your invention?

22 A Yes.

23 Q "And the end user device does this by
24 making an HTTP GET request," which is another core
25 feature of your invention?

1 A Yes.

2 Q And finally, "The servers are standard
3 data servers that do not monitor the internet
4 connection. The end user's device does that."
5 Another core feature?

6 A That's -- that's one feature.

7 Q Are there any core features of your
8 invention that HLS does not implement?

9 A I'm not -- I'm not an HLS expert. I've
10 looked at it, and understood the -- the core stuff.
11 And I -- I did not really see -- I haven't looked at
12 it actually in detail since 2000 and -- since it came
13 out in 2010.

14 Q Okay. So --

15 A But -- so I really defer to other people
16 for that. But -- but I'm talking, you know, experts
17 have done that. My impression in 2010 was -- was
18 this, yeah. It continues to be this.

19 Q Okay. So if you have -- in this example
20 you say, "Streamlets compressed to various sizes."

21 Would one example be, like we discussed
22 before, a 500-kilobyte segment, and that one's at 30
23 frames per second? Is that fair?

24 A I'm not sure where it maps to. It
25 probably -- 500 is kind of small nowadays.

1 Q Well, let's use a -- whatever number you
2 like. Give me a -- give me a number that's common
3 these days.

4 A Most of the time streaming happens now
5 at -- more at bits per second, like, 5, 6. For HD
6 it's 5, 6 megabits per second.

7 Q Okay. So --

8 A Which maps to, I don't know, maybe a
9 megabyte or a -- a -- a streamlet that's maybe a
10 megabyte and a half size.

11 Q Okay. So if we have a 1 megabyte?

12 A Size.

13 Q -- streamlet --

14 A Yeah, yeah.

15 Q -- one meg per second, right?

16 A Well, no, it's the -- multiply by eight.

17 Q Okay.

18 A And it's two seconds. So, yeah.

19 Q Let's just say we have one that's --

20 A It would be 400. It would be 400. A
21 two-second one would -- at -- it would be 400,
22 4 megabytes a second would be --

23 Q Okay. Let's just use that example. Let's
24 say we have Streamlet 1 at 4 megabits per second at
25 30 frames per second. And then let's say we have a

1 really low-quality version at 100 kilobits per
2 second.

3 A Okay.

4 Q And it's at three frames per second.

5 A Yeah.

6 Q Would that still be the same video?

7 A It would be -- they would -- they would be
8 derived from the same video.

9 Q Okay.

10 A But if the user was watching it, the audio
11 would stay the same, the quality would go to crap,
12 you know, but you would still be seeing the video.

13 Q So you see the same video, but it would be
14 reduced motion, correct?

15 MR. GUY: Objection, mischaracterizes.
16 Objection to form.

17 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) You'd see the same
18 video but it would be reduced motion, correct?

19 A Reduced motion and reduced resolution,
20 both in color, depth, and in number of bits. So
21 it's --

22 Q All right. So let's just -- let's go to
23 paragraph 33, please.

24 A Okay.

25 Q Here you say, "Many wrote articles

1 praising the quality is shockingly good."

2 And you cite this Exhibit 2022, right?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Let's just give you that. Okay. I'm
5 handing you Exhibit 2022. Sorry. Thank you. So
6 here we have an article, apparently from a
7 publication on the web called Webware. Are you aware
8 of that publication?

9 A No, other than this article.

10 Q Are you aware of this author David Carnoy?

11 A No.

12 Q Do you know if he would be an industry
13 person as you described it in your declaration?

14 A I'm guessing if -- yeah, but I'm just,
15 yeah, speculating there, I guess.

16 Q What makes you think he would be an
17 industry person?

18 A Well, he's publishing something on the web
19 on -- on a site that people probably read. I don't
20 know.

21 Q Is that all it takes?

22 A Well, I -- I don't know.

23 Q It's a very short article.

24 A Yeah.

25 Q It really is just the front -- the first

1 page. The next page is just hyperlinks. If you can
2 just let me know, is there anywhere in this
3 Exhibit 2022 that mentions adaptive rate streaming?

4 MR. GUY: Instruct the witness to read the
5 whole thing.

6 THE DEPONENT: Okay.

7 A Yes.

8 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) Is there anywhere that
9 mentions adaptive rate streaming in Exhibit 2022?

10 A No.

11 Q Let's please go back to your declaration.

12 A But it talks about Move, which is adaptive
13 rate streaming. And it looks like this guy does work
14 at CNET. So that's a -- an industry published,
15 magazine publisher, so --

16 Q Let's go to --

17 A -- I caught that.

18 Q -- paragraph 36 of your declaration,
19 please.

20 A Okay.

21 MR. GUY: You said 36?

22 MR. PAQUETTE: 36, yes.

23 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) And here you say, "In
24 view of our groundbreaking inventions and Move's
25 years of success, my contributions to the industry, I

1 was inducted into the Utah Valley Entrepreneurial
2 Hall of Fame in 2013."

3 Do you see that?

4 A Yes.

5 Q And you also go on to say you were
6 inducted into the Utah Technology Hall of Fame in
7 2005, right?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Now, let's start with the Utah Technology
10 Hall of Fame. Earlier in your declaration you say
11 the Move player wasn't publicly released until 2006,
12 right?

13 A Yeah.

14 Q How would your induction into the Utah
15 Technology Hall of Fame relate to Move's player, if
16 that's the case?

17 A Well, I think that was referring to my
18 contributions to the industry.

19 Q So more broadly your work at Novell?

20 A The work at Novell, yeah.

21 Q Okay. So it would be -- the Utah
22 Technology Hall of Fame, at least, is not related to
23 Move or your adaptive rate patents, correct?

24 A Well, I had a company going at the time,
25 though, in 2005. And we were, you know -- but it was

1 not public, you know, so --

2 Q So you agree it's -- the Utah Technology
3 Hall of Fame --

4 A Was not recognized --

5 Q -- induction was not related to --

6 A Right, it wasn't recognized --

7 Q -- to ABR patents?

8 A -- for the ABR patents.

9 Q Okay. Then so in 2013 you were inducted
10 into the Utah Valley Entrepreneurial Hall of Fame.

11 A Yeah.

12 Q And you cite to this Exhibit 2068, right?

13 A Yes.

14 Q All right. I'm handing you Exhibit 2068
15 now.

16 A Yeah.

17 Q And this article is entitled, "Drew Major
18 Induction Into UVEF," which I presume is the Utah
19 Valley Entrepreneurial Hall of Fame, right?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Now, the first page here announces your
22 induction saying -- if you'd just read the first
23 sentence, "UVEF announces the induction of Novell
24 founder, tech guru, and prolific entrepreneur Drew
25 Major into the UVEF Hall of Fame."

1 A Yes.

2 Q This doesn't mention -- it mentions your
3 work at Novell, right?

4 A Yeah.

5 Q But it doesn't mention Move in this
6 sentence, right?

7 A Not in that sentence, yeah.

8 Q Okay. Let's go back to your declaration
9 real quick.

10 A I would -- I would just mention, later on
11 the article talks about Move and streaming, so, yeah.

12 Q Let's go to paragraph 36 again of your
13 declaration, please.

14 A Okay.

15 Q At the very bottom of the page 14, you
16 have a sentence that says, "The article from the Utah
17 Daily Herald at Exhibit 2015 references some of my
18 accomplishments over the years and the success of
19 Move Networks, including the invention of HTTP
20 adaptive bit-rate streaming."

21 A Yeah.

22 Q So let me go ahead and hand you
23 Exhibit 2015. I think I misplaced it in my pile of
24 papers.

25 MR. PAQUETTE: Actually, just -- why don't

1 we just go off the record real quick. I'll find it
2 and I'll make sure we can finish up in, like, 10
3 minutes. Sound good?

4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:56.
5 We're off the record.

6 (Recess taken, 12:57 p.m. to 1:04 p.m.)

7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 1:04.
8 We're back on the record.

9 Q (BY MR. PAQUETTE) Okay. Mr. Major, I'm
10 handing you Exhibit 2015.

11 A Okay.

12 Q Now, do you see the second full paragraph
13 in this article where it says -- let's see. Sorry.
14 If you go to page 2 of the exhibit, my apologies. Go
15 to page 2 of Exhibit 2015.

16 A Yeah.

17 Q If you look at the second full paragraph
18 where it says, "But the American Fork firm." Do you
19 see that?

20 A Yeah.

21 Q The American Fork firm, that's referring
22 to Move, correct?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And it says, "So Move nearly went out of
25 business last year laying off 150 employees out of

1 180 and exposing how tough it is to stir the market
2 in the highly competitive computer sector."

3 A Yes.

4 Q So is it true that Move nearly went out of
5 business in 2010?

6 A We needed more money, and our backers
7 would not provide it. So they put us up for sale.

8 Q So, yes, Move nearly went out of business
9 in 2010, correct?

10 A Yeah. We needed more money.

11 Q And you had to lay off 80 percent of your
12 employees in 2010, right?

13 A Whatever that number was, yeah.

14 Q 150 out of 180?

15 A Yeah.

16 Q And about halfway down the page 2 here, do
17 you see where it says, "But perhaps"?

18 A Yes.

19 Q Could you just read "But perhaps" and the
20 next sentence "Move's missed opportunity" to
21 yourself, and let me know when you're done?

22 A I read that. Yeah, I've read it.

23 Q Oh, okay. So am I to understand that Move
24 was in the running to partner with Netflix in 2010?

25 A Yes, we tried to get Netflix to license

1 our service.

2 Q But it seems Netflix chose Microsoft
3 instead --

4 A Yes.

5 Q -- to stream their video streaming
6 service? Yes?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And this decision nearly put Move out of
9 business in 2010?

10 A There was a number of things that nearly
11 put Move out of business. It would have definitely
12 helped if we would have gotten Netflix's business,
13 but there were other things, too. Primarily really
14 it was we needed more money to support the direction
15 we wanted to go and our -- our -- our backers decided
16 not to fund us anymore.

17 Q Okay. And so I understand you said you
18 were doing a lot of self-funding, correct?

19 A Well, I had -- I had funded it up through
20 the rounds, and then -- then when they put it on the
21 market and stuff and were not doing stuff, then I did
22 some bridge loans prior to the sale.

23 Q Did you ultimately lose money on the Move
24 system?

25 A Yes, I did.

1 Q So just briefly, you had mentioned there
2 was an ITC proceeding for these patents, right?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And you said you're generally aware of the
5 other litigations?

6 A Other than this one right now, this is the
7 only one I'm aware of.

8 Q Okay. So you're not aware of any
9 litigations in Utah?

10 A No.

11 Q You're not aware of any litigations in
12 Delaware?

13 A Not -- no, not right now.

14 Q Would you travel to testify for any of
15 these litigations to support your patents?

16 A If I was asked by DISH to do that, yes, I
17 would.

18 MR. PAQUETTE: Pass the witness.

19 EXAMINATION

20 BY MR. GUY:

21 Q You were shown Exhibit 2013.

22 A Is that the Sling --

23 Q It's the Sling Emmy --

24 A Okay.

25 Q -- announcement.

1 A Yeah.

2 Q Okay. Do you recall this witness -- this
3 exhibit and the questions you were asked about it?

4 A Yes.

5 Q Okay. And do you recall that counsel
6 referred you to the top one or two paragraphs of the
7 first page?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And counsel also refers you to
10 paragraphs -- one of the three paragraphs on the
11 second page, correct?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And he asked you certain questions about
14 whether or not this press release mentioned adaptive
15 rate streaming. Do you see that? I mean, do you
16 remember that?

17 A Yes, I remember that question.

18 Q All right. Look at the last paragraph.

19 A Okay.

20 Q Do you see where it says, "A pioneer in
21 adaptive bitrate streaming"? Do you see that?

22 A Where is that? I missed that.

23 Q The very last paragraph.

24 A The very last paragraph.

25 Q On the first page.

1 A On the first page. Yeah, I see that.

2 Q And so this press release does mention
3 adaptive bitrate streaming; is that correct?

4 MR. PAQUETTE: Objection, leading.

5 A That is correct. And I must have missed
6 it when looking over it.

7 Q (BY MR. GUY) Okay. You -- you missed it
8 in your prior questioning; is that correct?

9 A Yeah, in my prior questioning.

10 MR. PAQUETTE: Objection, leading.

11 Q (BY MR. GUY) Counsel never referred you
12 to that last paragraph during his questioning, did
13 you -- did he?

14 MR. PAQUETTE: Objection, leading.

15 A I don't remember.

16 Q (BY MR. GUY) Okay. With regard to the
17 Apple meetings that Greg Smith had with the architect
18 of HLS --

19 A Yes.

20 Q -- do you know whether or not Greg Smith
21 provided a full demonstration of the Move player and
22 back end to Apple?

23 A I don't know.

24 Q Okay.

25 A I would say likely he did. That was

1 usually how they sold it, they would show it.
2 Because people were always skeptical. But then we
3 showed it to them, and then they'd go, wow. That was
4 part of the traditional sales process. But, yeah.

5 Q With respect to Move as a company, it
6 existed from approximately 2005 to, I think, 2010,
7 when it was sold; is that correct?

8 MR. PAQUETTE: Leading.

9 A Well, XLon existed prior, yeah, to 2010.

10 Q (BY MR. GUY) What years did Move exist
11 under that name?

12 A Under that name, it was approximately 2005
13 on, yeah.

14 Q Until when?

15 A Until 2010. The last day of 2010 --

16 Q Okay.

17 A -- when we sold.

18 Q And other than ABR technology over an HTTP
19 network, was there any other technology that -- that
20 Move was generally known for?

21 A That was basically the -- the -- the core
22 thing of it, just selling that as a service. Yeah.
23 We -- we bought that company in Wales to try to move
24 into potentially doing some stuff in IPT -- on the
25 IPTV side, but that never went anywhere.

1 Q All right. And going back to the Emmy,
2 with respect to the Emmy award you received, other
3 than Adaptive Bitrate Streaming Over HTTP Networks,
4 is there any other technology that you are aware of
5 that you would have gotten an Emmy for?

6 MR. PAQUETTE: Objection, form,
7 foundation.

8 A I'm not aware of any technology that we
9 got an Emmy for.

10 MR. GUY: Okay. Pass the witness.

11 MR. PAQUETTE: Nothing further. Thank
12 you, Mr. Major.

13 THE COURT REPORTER: Could I get your
14 orders, please, on the record, in terms of E-tran,
15 with exhibits?

16 MR. PAQUETTE: We'll take the expedited
17 exhibit.

18 MR. SHENG: I'm sorry. What was the
19 question? I'm sorry.

20 THE COURT REPORTER: What your order is in
21 terms of E-tran with exhibits or whatever you want.
22 Do you want an E-tran with exhibits?

23 MR. SHENG: I'm sorry. Do we want E-tran,
24 and then is that a separate question, E-tran with the
25 exhibits? We don't need the exhibits.

1 MR. PAQUETTE: We have the exhibits.

2 MR. SHENG: We have the exhibits. So
3 that's where I was confused.

4 THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. Okay. You
5 want an E-tran, not the exhibits.

6 MR. SHENG: Yes.

7 MR. GUY: Are you ordering the video or
8 anything else?

9 MR. PAQUETTE: We're not going to order it
10 right now. But is there a time frame by which we
11 need to order it before you delete it?

12 MR. GUY: I'll put it this way, if you
13 don't order anything, I'm not.

14 MR. SHENG: We're going to order the
15 transcript of this.

16 MR. GUY: Expedited?

17 MR. SHENG: What's your normal turnaround?

18 THE COURT REPORTER: I was told Monday.

19 MR. PAQUETTE: Yeah, she said four days,
20 which would be Saturday, right? So Monday.

21 MR. SHENG: We don't need it by Saturday.

22 MR. PAQUETTE: Monday is fine.

23 MR. SHENG: Monday is fine, yeah.

24 MR. DHANANI: Monday is fine with us.

25 MR. GUY: Monday is fine with us.

1 MR. SHENG: Could we get a rough, original
2 of the rough, though, through the internet?

3 THE COURT REPORTER: Sure. You can get a
4 rush tonight.

5 MR. SHENG: A rough -- rough of the draft,
6 not a rush, but the rough draft.

7 THE COURT REPORTER: No, a rough tonight.

8 MR. SHENG: Great.

9 THE COURT REPORTER: Do you want a rough?

10 MR. SHENG: Sure, please. Do you have my
11 email?

12 THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. I'll just --
13 yes, we can go off.

14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. The time is
15 1:13. This concludes today's proceedings. We are
16 off the record.

17 (WHEREUPON, the within proceedings were
18 concluded at the approximate hour of 1:13 p.m. on the
19 17th day of September, 2024.)

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 I, ROBERT DREW MAJOR, do hereby certify that I
2 have read the foregoing transcript and that the same
3 and accompanying amendment sheets, if any, constitute
4 a true and complete record of my testimony.

5

6

7

8

Signature of Deponent

() No Amendments

9

() Amendments Attached

10

Acknowledged before me this

11

_____ day of _____, 2024.

12

13

Notary Public: _____

14

My commission expires _____

15

Seal:

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 STATE OF COLORADO)

2) ss. REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

3 COUNTY OF DENVER)

4 I, Teresa L. Cardenas, do hereby certify
5 that I am a Registered Professional Reporter and
6 Certified Realtime Reporter within the State of
7 Colorado; that previous to the commencement of the
8 examination, the deponent was duly sworn to testify
9 to the truth.

10 I further certify that this deposition was
11 taken in shorthand by me at the time and place herein
12 set forth, that it was thereafter reduced to
13 typewritten form, and that the foregoing constitutes
14 a true and correct transcript.

15 I further certify that I am not related to,
16 employed by, nor of counsel for any of the parties or
17 attorneys herein, nor otherwise interested in the
18 result of the within action.

19 In witness whereof, I have affixed my
20 signature this 23rd day of September, 2024.

21

22

23 Teresa L. Cardenas, CRR, RPR
24 216 - 16th Street, Suite 600
25 Denver, Colorado 80202

1 ERRATA SHEET

2 I wish to make the following changes, for the
3 following reasons:

4	Page	Line	
5	_____	_____	CHANGE _____
6			REASON _____
7	_____	_____	CHANGE _____
8			REASON _____
9	_____	_____	CHANGE _____
10			REASON _____
11	_____	_____	CHANGE _____
12			REASON _____
13	_____	_____	CHANGE _____
14			REASON _____
15	_____	_____	CHANGE _____
16			REASON _____
17	_____	_____	CHANGE _____
18			REASON _____
19	_____	_____	CHANGE _____
20			REASON _____

21

22 _____
SIGNATURE OF DEPONENT

23 Sworn to before me
this ____ day of _____, 2024.

24

25 _____
Notary Public

A	184:3	120:20,25	80:14	alleviated
a.m 3:4	accompli...	139:22	165:1	76:5
48:22,22	173:18	140:1,3	advertisers	alleviates
93:4,4	account 70:5	142:10	27:15	76:8
Al 4:9	accounts	144:13	advertising	Alley 16:7
abandoned	116:16	145:15	83:2	allow 75:5
144:21	accurate	152:11,22	affect 108:2	allowed 73:8
152:19	8:16	163:3	affixed	73:21
ABC 5:12	Achievement	164:4	185:19	130:13
120:4	5:18	170:3,9,12	aggressive	allowing
126:4	Acknowledge...	171:23	131:20	122:5
127:17	184:10	173:20	ago 15:16	allows 53:2
128:2,8	acknowledge...	178:14,21	23:1 29:13	75:9,10
ABC's 129:16	107:24	179:3	agree 8:10	85:20
ability	acquired	181:3	8:11 92:5	109:22
52:14	30:22	adaptive...	109:20	165:19
110:7,19	132:3,4	47:16	128:6	Alto 2:4
112:5	acquisition	add 77:19	138:13	alumni
able 40:25	31:8	110:7	172:2	118:21
122:6	action	added 51:17	Agreed 7:21	123:3
ABR 10:18	185:18	51:18	8:5	amazed
11:4,8	actual 69:2	77:19	agreement	128:25
17:5,6,21	70:3 155:6	adding 74:8	5:16	130:17
17:23 18:5	ad 27:11	75:3 110:4	147:22	amendment
18:11,14	51:16,17	additional	ahead 32:24	184:3
19:3,7,12	51:22,23	151:22	49:5	Amendments
32:10 33:3	51:25 82:7	address 7:6	173:22	184:8,9
36:2,4	82:8,12,15	136:11	airplane	America
42:13	82:16,21	adjust 36:13	129:1	130:16
43:25 44:2	83:8,13	36:15	airport 28:8	American
52:7 60:19	84:10 85:2	adjusts	airs 120:9	4:18 132:8
97:16 99:4	85:2 87:11	67:23	Akamai 24:13	174:18,21
102:22,22	88:16	administ...	24:14	amount 21:15
103:6	adapted	9:8	37:20 38:4	amounts 58:4
108:11	11:18	Adobe 35:9	al 5:3 6:5	ample 122:17
138:1,5,11	adaptive	38:1,3	algorithms	Andrew 2:11
153:18	10:19,24	125:12	44:9 45:25	49:5,6,8
154:5	11:1,4	131:17	Ali 2:6 6:17	announced
157:25	66:13,14	adoption	ali.dhan...	40:19,21
163:1	71:21 98:9	98:5	2:8	100:22
172:7,8	98:12	ads 26:6,6,8	align 82:21	announce...
180:18	103:23	27:5,8	95:22	177:25
absorbed	107:8	83:24	aligned 82:1	announces
31:3,4	108:21,25	84:11	95:7 96:2	172:21,23
Academy	109:24	88:24	97:9	announcing
101:2	110:11	89:11	142:12,21	101:20
accessing	111:3,14	advantage	143:9	annually
41:15	112:12,22	21:16	aligns 146:3	121:10
accompan...	113:4,8,23	22:21 25:9	all-enco...	answer 7:20
	115:6	53:1 56:9	118:8	7:21,24

9:18 11:14	apparently	157:17,18	art 12:21	162:9,10
12:10	149:19	157:20,22	13:7,17	162:11
19:10	169:6	157:24	20:8	aspects
33:22 44:7	APPEAL 1:2	158:20	article 4:18	11:16
52:10	appear 65:3	159:12,17	4:20 5:14	assume 97:16
56:22	108:10	159:20,24	112:12,12	109:10
68:24 73:1	126:25	160:25	112:15	ATP 58:14
79:7,9	154:4	161:8,10	113:3,3	attached
81:22	APPEARANCES	161:12	117:22	4:23 5:5,7
88:19	1:14 2:1	162:18	118:9	184:9
92:12	Appearing	179:17,22	120:13	attention
96:24,24	1:18,22	Apple's	122:25	17:8
104:22	2:5,9,11	143:24	127:9	attorney
105:11	2:12,12,13	144:2	169:6,9,23	7:19
108:15	appears	157:18	172:17	attorney...
121:17	16:18 17:5	application	173:11,16	9:18,25
123:14	62:12,19	153:23	174:13	12:11
138:17	63:1,4	154:18	articles	49:25
143:3	101:22	applied	125:18	138:18
161:20	108:7	20:17	168:25	162:21
answered	118:6	apply 12:4,5	Arts 101:2	attorneys
96:11,20	126:21	approach	aside 122:1	185:17
96:25	127:7	144:22	asked 10:2	ATV 11:18
105:10	129:24	152:16	21:18	audience
114:1,18	130:1	approaches	46:13	122:24
114:20	148:7	147:16	48:25	audio 36:5
162:22	Apple 5:15	appropriate	91:17	62:23 63:2
answering	35:8 99:10	163:21	96:10,20	168:10
27:19	125:12	approved	105:10	author 127:6
answers 7:17	131:17	144:7	110:25	169:10
8:4 12:3	139:24	approximate	114:1,18	automated
antenna	144:9,9	183:18	114:20	22:10
19:14	145:4,6,7	approxim...	162:22	automati...
anti-online	145:12	95:12	177:16	66:9
123:7	147:23	180:6,12	178:3,13	AV 124:23
anti-por...	148:8	April 44:15	asking 7:16	available
123:11	149:1,5,5	155:12	12:12	41:18 68:1
anymore	149:10,13	architect	21:12 40:2	71:24 99:1
44:18	149:14,15	151:5,7	40:3 96:14	100:5
176:16	149:21,21	179:17	111:4	118:23
anyway 8:23	150:2,5,8	architec...	141:17	126:1
27:16	151:3,19	22:22	157:20	144:3
28:15	152:3,6,17	80:18	asks 21:10	155:25
AOL 26:7	152:23	arrange 21:3	aspect 46:21	avoid 27:14
27:4,6,10	153:15	arranged	46:23	avoidance
27:21	154:19,25	52:3	53:25	75:15
apart 138:16	155:19,25	arrive 91:8	71:17	award 101:2
140:21	156:1,5,8	arrived	75:24	102:13,17
apologies	156:9	91:22	87:21	102:19
174:14	157:8,15	arrives 91:9	111:5,10	105:23

106:5,9	106:2	80:18	Beuthel 2:11	57:7,9
107:6,23	107:12	108:16	6:10	61:17,19
108:5	115:1,3	122:2	beyond 164:9	66:14
113:8	123:17	180:21	big 20:20	67:24
138:20	133:24	basis 99:2	21:13	98:12
181:2	137:10	baud 80:3	28:15	103:23
awarded	163:23	beautiful	68:16 73:2	107:8
101:1	170:11	22:23	80:13	109:25
105:7	173:8	beauty 21:14	106:25	112:12
106:12	174:8	beginning	111:8	113:4
Awards	179:22	6:12	122:7	120:20
107:20	181:1	begins 6:3	125:6	121:1
aware 15:7	back-end	begun 8:8	146:9	145:25
15:10	37:18	behalf 1:18	bigger 52:15	146:1
148:25	46:14	1:22 2:5,9	152:14	152:22
149:1	backers	behavior	biggest 24:5	161:22
150:2	175:6	76:8,9	131:2	162:5
169:7,10	176:15	believe 9:25	150:5	163:21
177:4,7,8	background	11:23 17:9	billion 26:7	178:21
177:11	9:19	17:16	billions	179:3
181:4,8	bad 27:12,14	18:25 19:7	163:5	181:3
Aylo 1:5 6:5	Baker 2:2	23:9 35:20	bit 13:23	bitrates
6:15 14:22	6:18 49:4	36:7 46:12	14:6 36:15	21:4,5,9
15:9	49:6,9	50:20 71:3	39:16 42:9	22:16,17
	bandwidth	92:21	49:14	55:1,21,24
B	26:20	99:14	65:15,21	56:2 57:1
B 53:13	36:13,16	103:6	65:21	57:6 70:2
54:21 55:2	41:4,10	124:11	70:24	77:11,13
55:3	57:8 58:5	132:16,19	74:14	77:18,19
B2 1:11,11	59:16,17	138:12	76:21,21	80:1 81:20
1:12,12	66:3 67:23	142:23	110:10	81:24
4:7	67:25 68:6	151:4,7	133:18	143:14
B2) 2 1:13	68:20	158:10	145:22	145:22
back 23:11	69:18,20	beneficial	bit-rate	bits 19:13
27:19	75:2,6,8	57:4	139:22	58:13 78:7
28:20	base 110:3	benefit 8:2	140:2,3	78:16
34:17	112:1,5	52:18	144:13	111:2
43:17	Baseball	benefited	173:20	167:5
48:24,24	40:23	124:12	bitrate	168:20
49:12,17	based 11:22	best 37:3,7	10:19	black 27:12
56:16,17	14:3,4	beta 121:19	22:20,20	bleeding...
64:11	20:12	better 13:18	36:13,15	118:20
65:12 67:8	31:23	19:20 22:6	37:4,5	Blend 5:11
73:18	35:13	60:24	53:13,13	127:3,4
80:15,15	36:13	66:19	53:13	blog 126:21
82:16,20	47:10 92:4	78:23	54:10,21	blogged
82:22	98:5 161:2	140:23	54:21 55:2	126:9
83:10 87:9	163:1,4,6	141:12	55:2,3,3,7	Blue-ray
87:11	basically	149:22,23	55:8,8,10	19:15
90:21 93:6	56:23 77:7	150:4	55:10,11	board 1:2

150:6	broadly	66:21	132:22	49:24
body 144:6	142:16	125:11	149:17	89:17
bonus 32:2	149:17	158:12	155:5	138:17
borders	171:19	buying 149:9	159:13	156:3
70:18	brought	BYU 118:6, 9	169:7	162:20
bottom 62:12	66:22	121:9,10	calls 11:12	CBS 127:22
62:18	89:11	121:14,21	12:23 19:8	CDM 121:20
63:22 74:6	browser	121:22	44:5 52:8	CDN 25:11
173:15	72:14,14	122:3,14	108:13	37:21
bottom-ish	Brueck 43:20	122:15	138:14	125:8
71:10	46:10	123:3	160:22	135:21
Botts 2:2	47:12 48:6	BYU's 120:11	161:17	CDN) s 71:13
6:18 49:5	48:10	BYU.tv	capabili...	CDNs 71:24
49:6,9	Bryson	121:23	71:11	centers
bought 37:25	136:13,16		98:10	132:9
180:23	136:17	C	133:4	CEO 117:5
boundary	BS 118:21,22	C 6:1 53:14	capability	119:3,4
82:9	buffer 60:11	cable 19:14	25:9 47:8	135:14
break 7:25	60:13,20	77:23	73:24	ceremony
48:14	60:23 91:6	104:4,8,13	capacity	102:13
49:13 53:7	buffering	118:10	43:3 70:3	certain 32:3
78:5 92:17	42:10,14	124:8	70:5 78:19	137:12
93:2,8	70:15 91:5	cache 24:10	Cardenas 3:6	138:25
139:12	build 46:13	24:13,19	6:9 185:4	158:25
breaks 7:23	60:23 98:9	caches 20:6	185:22	163:18
8:10	98:15 99:6	24:21 25:7	Carnoy	178:13
bridge	158:14	28:24	169:10	certainly
176:22	building 2:3	caching 21:5	Carper 5:6	52:12
briefly 7:14	133:1	28:25	137:2	92:14
17:4 31:19	built 11:19	calculation	carte 111:24	126:11
79:15	24:9 44:11	69:19,21	case 9:12	128:21
106:2	44:25 45:6	California	16:24	129:9
118:5	74:11	1:20,20	37:16	144:15
120:19	110:11	2:4	39:12 43:2	CERTIFICATE
134:25	133:4	call 9:18	57:13	185:2
177:1	144:21	10:19,23	82:17	Certified
Brigham	bunch 44:10	15:16 16:3	91:25	3:7 185:6
117:22	44:10 45:6	29:6 50:15	100:3	certify
bringing	98:14,22	68:22 79:4	114:16,17	184:1
74:23	105:4	113:15	171:16	185:4,10
brings 43:6	business	114:6,6,9	cat 108:22	185:15
British	4:19 15:1	called 3:2	catastro...	chain 135:1
132:18	38:4 119:7	23:25	91:23,24	135:24
133:10,14	174:25	31:12	catch 21:23	136:21,23
broad 142:16	175:5,8	33:23 35:1	59:25 60:2	137:6
163:8	176:9,11	37:23	caught	challenge
Broadcas...	176:12	41:22 45:1	170:17	9:8 12:19
121:10	businesses	73:24	cause 121:9	challenged
Broadcasts	26:5	118:12	140:25	9:6 46:9
5:10	buy 25:2	131:19	caution 12:9	change 22:19

59:1 61:18	Christ	118:1	150:5	60:16 78:6
69:8 70:19	117:11	claim 120:14	cloud 110:4	78:9 80:4
85:13,15	123:2,4	claims	110:9,12	159:16
156:1	Christopher	164:22	110:14,19	commence...
160:25	137:2	clarify	111:5,6	185:7
186:5,7,9	Chrome 72:14	84:13	CNET 170:14	commencing
186:11,13	chunk 21:10	clean 85:24	co-inventor	3:4
186:15,17	23:5 86:15	clear 128:11	43:25	commercial
186:19	146:22	clearer 84:5	46:20	115:19
changed	chunking	128:3	co-inven...	commercials
76:18	45:9 77:7	clever 73:23	18:22	99:21
84:22	78:3	click 26:17	43:20	commission
changes	142:20	clicking	code 44:11	160:9,12
110:23	161:23	66:17	66:10 67:7	184:14
186:2	163:13	client 20:1	67:16	common 12:1
channel 81:3	chunks 21:7	20:2,24	coders 46:15	70:18
104:4	50:16	21:8,17,17	coding 45:14	104:3,24
channels	77:16	23:3 30:11	46:14	104:25
111:24	94:17	30:13,14	coincidence	167:2
118:23	110:16,16	35:13	159:19	communic...
121:9	110:21	36:25 37:8	collabor...	9:18,25
130:14	111:1	37:19 39:8	134:2,6	12:11
131:5	142:11,12	39:9,16,19	collateral	49:25
characte...	142:18	43:6,6,9	102:7	138:15,18
144:18	146:22	45:20	color 168:20	162:21
characte...	152:14	52:24 57:5	Colorado 3:5	companies
72:25	163:17,21	57:7 59:21	3:8 6:8	35:22
81:21	163:22	60:12	93:16	37:17
126:23	church	65:17,22	185:1,7,23	77:23,24
charge	117:11	65:24 66:7	column 62:23	132:15,18
121:11	123:1,4,6	66:22,23	63:9	161:6
chart 62:13	Cisco 124:3	92:9	combination	company 4:19
62:19 63:8	citations	110:25	20:4 73:3	10:2 23:22
63:20	116:25	116:2	combines	23:25 24:7
cheaper 23:4	cite 33:6,10	142:11	141:6	24:15 25:3
38:22	97:22,25	143:11	Comcast	25:12,18
66:19 73:5	101:7	146:6	124:7	32:13
125:9	117:19	153:5	come 11:18	41:22
140:23	118:15	158:17	11:21 22:5	44:21
chief 31:15	126:15	160:6,8	23:11	130:21,23
136:17	128:18,19	162:3	26:14 30:1	132:13,21
choice	134:20	163:19	34:17	132:22
139:10	147:25	clients	43:17	135:22
choose 110:5	169:2	20:13,14	46:22	138:9
chop 54:16	172:12	45:10 67:1	82:16,20	139:2
chose 144:23	cited 13:13	73:12	116:3	157:6
149:22	15:23	161:2	150:20	171:24
158:21	39:13	163:13	comes 156:20	180:5,23
162:18	97:24	close 29:24	coming 11:20	compare
176:2	citing 98:6	96:17	28:2,3,13	22:24

compared 128:7,12	computers 69:21 78:19	consecutive 51:10,11	135:21 140:13 149:13	19:24 23:2 44:9 45:4 45:5,5
comparing 128:22 129:12	con 54:6	consider 64:8,14 119:5	context 104:18 150:1	47:11 71:19 72:23 92:6
compatible 100:8 110:11	concepts 44:12	123:14 126:5 161:16	contiguous 88:12,25 89:10,22 90:10	92:13 142:10 143:17
compensa... 31:22	concluded 183:18	162:1	continue 7:21 8:13 10:14 11:18 69:9 139:11	145:2,16 147:10 162:6 165:3,4,6 165:11,14 165:15,17 165:20,24 166:5,7,10 180:21
competitive 175:2	concludes 183:15	consider... 107:7,17 107:25 108:18	Continued 2:1	corner 155:8 correct 9:9
compleme... 133:3	conclusion 11:13 12:24 19:9 44:6 52:9 68:23 79:5	considered 29:3 31:13 35:5 52:7 119:14,15	continues 109:7,15 166:18	9:12 17:23 18:12 30:25 31:1 32:21 35:5 39:21,24 52:5 55:11 55:24 59:14,22 60:18 63:3 65:7 70:19 73:13 81:20 87:13 90:12 95:5 97:17,23 101:20 103:7,11 103:13 109:25 111:15 115:7 116:25 118:7 119:10 122:4 123:8 126:16 128:19 129:25 131:25
complete 184:4	concurrent 42:25 73:8 73:22	consists 47:17,20 47:22	continuing 37:9 110:3 115:25	
completely 42:14	concur... 74:6 76:1	constantly 81:1	Continuous 89:7	
complicated 38:22	conference 92:20	constitute 184:3	contributed 19:7 44:3	
components 105:5	Confiden... 5:16	constitutes 185:13	contribu... 170:25 171:18	
compound 10:21	configure 97:4,5	container 54:5	control 40:10,11 65:17,23	
compress 54:8 77:10 77:13 78:18	confirm 135:23	containing 54:6	convenient 8:20	
compressed 50:24 53:17,21 59:1 76:20 165:16 166:20	confused 87:20 89:4 90:6 182:3	content 5:13 20:6 24:3 24:14,18 28:23 31:23 41:16 56:5 56:6 71:12 88:14 89:3 89:24 98:15,23 111:24 121:21,22 122:16,18 123:24 124:19 125:5 126:1 127:18 131:3,6 133:3	contribution 134:4,9 137:18	
compressing 53:22 77:5 78:23 81:19	congestion 74:12 75:14,15 76:5,10 91:24		convention 149:15	
compression 53:24 58:12,12 77:18,22 78:22	connected 21:24 133:13		convince 149:15	
compute 43:6 67:1,2	connecting 39:19		cool 19:18	
computer 5:15 33:14 66:22 70:3 90:20 175:2	connection 40:7 118:24 166:4		copies 144:8 162:17	
	connections 74:3,22 75:12 91:7		copying 161:16	
	connecti... 124:11		core 11:16 11:16 19:11,22	

135:8	159:21,23	109:9,22	days 15:16	118:16
136:11,22	162:13	cut 125:17	22:3 78:19	123:18
136:25	court 1:24	cycles 43:5	120:9	128:16
137:3,22	6:9 8:2		167:3	133:24
147:23	49:1 114:7	D	182:19	137:10
148:20	114:9	D 4:1 6:1	deal 20:20	139:20
151:14,21	115:2	Daily 173:17	dealing	147:18
154:19	181:13,20	damaging	27:18 76:9	157:11
168:14,18	182:4,18	76:9	deals 27:20	161:19
171:23	183:3,7,9	dangerous	December	169:13
174:22	183:12	26:22	148:8,23	170:11,18
175:9	cover 16:15	Danny 24:17	decide 20:3	171:10
176:18	16:23	data 5:9	22:8 70:1	173:8,13
178:11	covered	20:13,25	165:19	declarat...
179:3,5,8	81:18	21:15	decided	14:9
180:7	COVID 102:16	26:24	99:23	Def 42:20
185:14	CPU 43:4	28:13	176:15	defeated
correctly	70:4,6	37:18 39:9	decides	128:18
73:12	crap 168:11	43:12,13	65:24	defend 10:3
correspo...	create 51:19	53:21	deciding	10:5
56:5,6,7	52:3,19	58:16 60:9	44:9 45:25	defending
corresponds	56:13,19	60:15,23	decision	10:12
146:3	78:11	67:21 69:6	43:8 66:4	defer 48:17
Council 33:9	created 23:8	74:23	68:6,14,21	139:12
counsel 6:10	creating	78:16 80:6	69:5	166:15
6:12 8:10	45:11	80:22 86:8	138:13	define 109:7
49:3 93:9	50:11,23	132:9	176:8	defined
93:11,12	53:8 56:12	154:11	decisions	127:15
178:5,9	56:18	166:3	19:25	defining
179:11	79:24	date 30:2	declaration	108:16
185:16	87:22 92:6	34:12	4:12 9:11	definitely
counted	creativity	102:11	9:15 10:1	36:22 69:5
116:9	113:10	153:25	10:17	86:4
counting	critical	dated 131:25	13:24 14:1	108:21
116:14	71:21	dates 34:13	15:18	113:20
counts 116:4	critics	148:22	16:11,17	159:25
COUNTY 185:3	126:12	151:12,13	16:25 17:3	176:11
couple 7:10	CRR 185:22	Dave 46:12	18:4,10	definition
7:14 79:11	CTO 31:11,13	David 43:20	23:17,18	62:21
115:10	135:11	46:10	29:21	degree
course 24:5	Cup 5:9	47:12	31:20	105:19
27:2,4	curious	169:10	32:25	163:8,11
29:1 45:6	106:17	day 7:24	34:19	164:12
47:23	cushion	23:1 80:5	41:12 50:3	Delaware
74:19	60:24	120:9	65:12	177:12
78:22	customer	180:15	79:16 81:6	delay 78:25
130:15	150:5	183:19	93:20	delayed 37:2
142:14	customers	184:11	102:9	delete
149:21	109:9,22	185:20	106:3	182:11
150:6	customize	186:23	107:4,16	deliver 20:9

21:16 27:5	92:18	67:16	65:18 66:1	138:17
27:21	95:14	developed	72:21 73:9	149:23
37:18	96:22	11:17	73:22	150:3
40:25	101:12	developing	74:18	162:21
43:12 70:8	112:25	103:18	77:10,10	disclosed
125:9	139:13,18	development	77:12,13	33:3 152:7
delivered	170:6	107:7,25	77:18,21	156:1
26:6 58:5	184:8	108:17,18	79:25	157:8
91:1	185:8	device 20:2	81:23	discovering
124:10	186:22	65:17,22	82:15 83:5	129:13
delivering	deposed 7:9	65:24	83:7 85:1	discrete
24:22	deposition	69:23	88:7 92:8	19:17
125:2	1:3 3:2	165:19,23	92:8 94:8	discuss 8:8
delivers	6:4,7 8:7	166:4	99:22,23	138:4
43:12	8:21 12:8	devices	99:25	discussed
delivery	12:14,22	99:10,24	100:1	17:20
19:19,21	15:12	100:1,6,13	110:13,20	38:14
20:4,6,22	154:6	116:17	143:13	71:15
23:4 24:3	155:9	158:18,19	145:22,25	93:17
24:15,18	185:10	Dhanani 2:6	146:1	160:1
28:23	depositions	6:17	147:16	166:21
71:12 73:8	14:9	182:24	153:9	discussing
73:22	depth 168:20	dif 43:9	156:15	92:5
demand 77:5	derived	difference	158:10,17	discussion
79:23 80:3	143:16	80:2,21	158:17	24:17
80:7,13,16	147:15	141:15	160:20	149:6,7
80:17,17	168:8	144:25	161:1,5,6	discussions
86:5 141:8	describe	differences	162:24	149:5
164:8	53:17	110:23	differently	150:9
Democratic	113:5,17	different	51:6 55:7	151:18
134:3	113:18	11:15	digital	156:2
demonstr...	described	16:23 20:8	31:12 54:1	158:10
30:20	147:10	21:4,5	digits 17:21	DISH 1:8
demonstr...	169:13	22:16	direct 25:4	4:13 6:5
179:21	describes	23:24	25:4	6:19 8:24
demonstr...	112:12	35:22	direction	15:8 30:25
128:17	113:4	37:21,24	176:14	31:12
Denver 3:5	describing	43:9 45:14	directly	32:16,17
6:8 8:20	47:15	51:19	108:11	32:18,18
8:22 185:3	104:7	53:21,22	113:5,15	49:7,10
185:23	description	54:4,4,6	113:20	97:14 98:4
deploy 38:5	4:6,11 5:2	55:9,23,23	121:2	105:4,7
66:20	144:4	55:24 56:2	132:20	106:21,22
94:16	designed	56:3 57:1	139:8	117:6
deployed	70:23	57:6,9,9	disadvan...	127:11
103:9	detail 58:7	58:1,2,4,8	72:4	138:10
deponent	69:3	58:9,10	disagree	161:10
48:15,18	147:13	59:3,10,11	112:8	177:16
50:1 62:17	166:12	61:13,15	disclose	DISH's 13:20
87:3,7	determine	63:7 64:2	49:25	Disney 54:15

115:23,23	156:17	drafting	14:16,16	163:24
120:5	163:13	14:5	26:19	email 4:21
122:8	176:18,21	dramatic...	40:19	4:23 5:3,6
124:22	180:24	74:14	50:12	135:1,24
149:10,10	dollars'	draw 17:8	91:18,20	136:8,11
149:13,14	121:14	Drew 1:3 3:2	115:18	136:21,23
149:14,20	donation	4:12 5:17	171:10	136:25
150:5,7	122:3	6:4,20 7:7	early 29:4	137:5
157:19	dots 58:15	118:22	30:18 41:2	183:11
disrespect	download	119:9	117:8	emails 5:5,7
123:6	22:3,8	172:17,24	Earth 5:14	embed 150:8
disrupting	26:9,10,11	184:1	117:24	157:17
113:10	26:13,17	drill 124:15	easily 21:8	158:22
distingu...	26:20,23	duly 6:21	easy 77:15	embedded
120:12	27:22,25	185:8	EchoStar	150:10
distribute	28:1,11	dumb 20:13	30:23 31:8	embodies
104:12	38:19,23	43:11,11	97:13	164:21,24
distribu...	39:3,5,25	dummy 86:8	132:2,4	Emmy 101:2
20:17 26:4	41:24	duration	ecosystem	101:20
104:12,15	59:13,20	51:2,3	157:18	102:13
135:21	60:8,22	78:8 81:16	Edell 2:12	103:3,11
divisible	74:15,16	81:17 82:2	49:2,2	103:13,14
85:25	101:25	82:5 85:10	Edge 72:14	103:17
DNC 137:18	116:1,19	87:13,24	edited 14:5	105:6,23
document	165:20	95:8,11,12	Edwards 4:21	106:5,9,18
114:1,4,14	downloaded	95:24 96:7	117:3,4	106:23
121:16	29:8 67:4	96:7,8,15	118:20,21	107:6,20
144:4	116:6,13	DVD 19:15	119:2,13	107:23
155:6	downloading	DVR 19:15,15	120:14	109:3
documents	28:19 29:5	110:4,9,12	122:18,20	177:23
15:15	29:14,17	110:13,19	122:22	181:1,2,5
doing 19:17	30:15	111:4	135:4,14	181:9
21:23	38:15,15	DVRs 110:14	effectively	employed
22:22 25:2	39:18 60:9	Dwayne 2:11	74:8,13	24:8 44:18
27:3,22	61:3 90:20	6:10	144:23	185:16
28:7,11,18	116:22,23	dynamic	effects	employee
35:17	downloads	22:11	140:25	46:12
38:12	24:4 26:13	47:24	eight 52:12	employees
41:23,24	28:7 75:18	dynamics	167:16	174:25
43:6 46:19	116:7,18	43:7	either 22:2	175:12
66:24	116:21		41:9 58:11	employing
75:11 78:2	dozens 42:19	E	58:13	158:14
81:1,4	78:20	E 4:1 6:1,1	68:25	empowers
88:16	Dr 13:21	E-tran	75:25	109:9
117:16	draft 14:1	181:14,21	elected	enabled
124:1,24	102:9	181:22,23	33:18	111:20
129:3	144:8	181:24	element	enabling
145:3	160:25	182:5	98:13	70:10
147:3	183:5,6	e.g 93:22,24	elements	71:21
150:4	drafted 14:3	earlier	152:22	encode 54:21

encoded	87:18	evaluation	examples	134:21,22
50:25	90:22	141:9	59:5 62:13	134:25
53:17,23	104:15	event 80:9	62:19	136:1,24
58:19	111:1,2	events	98:17	148:1,4,7
76:20 80:7	112:15	111:25	excellence	154:3,6
81:23	115:14	eventually	101:4	155:4,9
87:23	entities	30:9,22	113:9	169:2,5
93:22	77:10	124:12	exception	170:3,9
143:13	entitled	Everybody	89:11	172:12,14
145:24	4:18	57:20	95:17	173:17,23
147:4	172:17	evidence	exceptions	174:10,14
encoders	entity 31:3	98:7	95:20	174:15
56:25	entrepre...	evolution	exchange	177:21
146:24	172:24	47:11	122:5	178:3
encodes	Entrepre...	evolved	excited	181:17
146:11	33:5,18	25:17 99:9	107:1	exhibits
encoding	171:1	ex 122:5	125:1	4:10 5:1
47:1 52:20	172:10,19	126:15,16	130:12	15:23
53:12,25	episode	126:19	131:21	97:22
54:9 55:23	126:4	Ex-Long	157:2	126:15
56:13,19	equal 59:18	23:25	excuse 56:15	128:19
77:25	equity	exact 56:24	76:22	134:20
79:25	137:12,21	57:2 85:10	103:16	181:15,21
81:19	Ericson	125:19	137:3	181:22,25
152:12	148:10,14	142:4	155:7	181:25
153:9	148:17	153:25	execute	182:1,2,5
163:22	ERRATA 186:1	exactly	67:16	exist 100:19
endorsed	especially	27:23 30:2	exhibit 4:6	180:10
23:10	36:7 47:8	35:4 41:6	4:11 5:2	existed
Ends 5:14	155:1	56:10	16:16 18:5	46:15
117:23	ESPN 120:5	67:24	33:6,10	180:6,9
engineering	125:1	79:21	50:3 62:1	existence
101:1	Esq 1:16,19	82:21	62:2 97:24	30:1
103:4	2:2,6	96:16	101:7,9,17	existing
107:20,24	essence	147:12,15	102:1	107:7,17
107:25	142:14	153:15	103:16	108:1
108:18	essentially	examination	106:3	152:19
113:9	9:9 155:16	4:2 6:24	107:13,15	exists 31:2
engineer...	establish	7:1 177:19	109:6,13	expand 37:14
101:4	10:15	185:8	113:23	expect
England	established	example 26:5	115:6	128:22
133:19	32:19	37:20	116:24	expecting
English	135:13	51:16	117:19,22	25:20
13:16	establis...	54:14 55:1	118:12	expedited
entered	137:13	64:18 67:3	119:19	181:16
147:22	estimated	82:7 87:19	121:4	182:16
152:6	121:9	93:25 94:6	129:7,19	expensive
entire 40:3	et 5:3 6:5	131:3	129:24	37:11
40:5 74:2	evaluate	166:19,21	132:7	38:25 42:3
78:1 80:3	44:11	167:23	133:23	66:21

124:21	extremely	familiarity	111:1	103:15,18
125:11	20:5 24:10	14:22 15:5	161:25	111:22
experience	64:23 92:2	family	filed 102:21	113:6
22:24	eye 152:4	153:18	102:25	118:5
28:10	eyes 141:1	154:5	153:17,19	119:11
57:10		family-c...	155:11,12	121:4
128:24	F	122:16	files 38:19	123:20
experienced	FaceTime	far 54:17	38:23 78:1	135:10
29:12	164:1,3,5	140:17	99:13	139:21
expert 13:21	fact 21:17	fast 21:2	111:8,8	151:4
142:23	71:18	26:23	filled 86:8	153:17,18
166:9	77:14 80:6	74:17	final 16:19	153:19
experts	89:10	faster 22:5	finally	164:16
143:3	90:11	24:14,19	136:24	169:25
166:16	105:15	52:21,22	166:2	172:21,22
expires	140:22	52:23 75:1	financial	178:7,25
184:14	factor	feature	32:6,8	179:1
explain	120:12	165:11,14	find 154:25	fit 95:23
31:25	factual	165:15,17	156:10	fits 108:22
47:13	12:13	165:21,25	174:1	108:22
65:21 89:5	failing	166:5,6	fine 8:1	five 16:23
144:1,16	25:19	features	29:25	16:25
explained	fair 11:25	145:2	86:25 90:5	39:11
151:22	18:25	165:3,4,6	92:18	48:11
explaining	31:18 39:1	166:7	182:22,23	59:20,25
157:15	42:9 45:3	Federal	182:24,25	84:15
explanation	45:8 54:1	160:9,11	finish 79:8	118:5
25:14	54:22 55:4	feed 76:19	87:1	fix 25:20
explicitly	79:13	feeding 60:8	139:17,18	27:24
111:14	83:22	60:9	174:2	fixed 25:22
exploit	90:22	felt 20:9	firewalls	Flash 38:1,2
158:7	91:18	fewer 65:6	72:1	124:24
exploits	105:8,25	FIFA 5:9	firm 174:18	127:24
165:1	111:11	figure 46:17	174:21	128:9,13
exposing	126:22	70:1 90:6	first 6:12	131:17
175:1	156:19	figured 8:23	6:21 17:25	flexibility
expressed	157:8	22:15 44:8	23:9 26:6	22:21 53:3
125:19	166:23	44:20	27:8 30:20	flexible
129:8,9	fall 115:11	figures	34:21,22	109:8,21
142:15	115:16	67:22	41:14 50:7	147:14
extent 44:5	Fame 5:18	163:20	51:1 57:7	flowing
79:2	33:5,10,15	figuring	74:3,4	19:13
138:14	171:2,6,10	25:17	81:8,12,13	fly 76:20,24
153:15	171:15,22	file 19:15	82:10,12	77:2,6
extra 23:25	172:3,10	39:23,25	83:1 84:16	focus 24:4
extras 110:7	172:19,25	40:3,5	84:23	focused
Extras'	familiar	47:21	87:21	25:12
110:5	7:12 14:21	59:13 77:6	93:20 95:2	follow 4:22
extreme	75:17	77:6 78:14	98:25	154:22
39:12	127:4,8	78:15	102:22	following

129:5	25:25 26:1	74:9 75:4	185:10,15	31:19
186:2,3	26:2,3	freezing	fuzzy 64:21	32:24 33:8
follows 6:22	founder	42:10,15		38:13
56:18	24:12	70:14	G	41:11
forefront	118:22	friend 43:23	G 2:2 6:1	45:25
120:3	172:24	friendli...	games 40:21	48:24 49:5
foregoing	four 21:11	161:24	gaps 57:6	58:7 62:10
184:2	21:19,21	friendly	gears 159:18	63:21
185:13	37:17,21	20:5 111:3	general	65:12 70:1
forever 81:1	38:5 52:12	front 16:22	41:20 99:1	70:23 71:2
Fork 4:19	84:6,7	62:4	generally	71:5,6
132:8	85:22,25	129:22	15:7 108:5	76:11 77:8
174:18,21	90:15 94:4	134:10	177:4	79:15
form 9:17	94:22 96:4	169:25	180:20	80:14 81:5
13:1,4,9	146:23	froze 59:9	generic 20:6	82:15,17
24:3 29:18	163:18	frustration	genre-based	83:8,10
33:21	164:16	40:18	110:5,7	97:10
35:19	182:19	FTS 63:10	Germany	102:14
36:14	four-second	fuboTV 1:5	133:18	106:2
64:16 90:2	94:17	full 5:12	getting	107:12,20
95:15	Fox 120:5	7:5 8:16	20:14	109:5
96:11,21	122:8	29:9 51:21	27:20 60:1	118:11,18
114:2,3,10	FPS 63:9	88:13 89:3	60:16 77:4	119:18,18
114:15,19	frame 30:17	89:23	77:6 80:4	122:9
114:20	41:1,15	121:4	116:16	123:17
126:24	61:16,18	127:18	122:15	132:6,6
128:20	63:18,19	129:16	125:25	133:17,24
133:12	65:6 70:6	153:15	126:1	133:25
146:16	86:1	174:12,17	giant 19:14	137:11
147:7	100:20,21	179:21	40:2 47:21	140:20
156:4	182:10	full-time	77:6	149:15
168:16	frames 58:19	44:22	gigantic	161:21
181:6	58:20	fully 27:19	161:25	162:18
185:13	61:21,23	134:14	give 22:23	168:11,22
formalized	63:11,23	fun 133:16	56:24 59:5	170:11,16
144:7	64:7,14	function	77:8,12	171:5
formats 54:4	65:6	54:1 67:24	78:17	173:8,12
formed 24:7	166:23	68:3,10,13	98:19	173:22
forth 185:12	167:25	69:6	111:1,2	174:1,14
Forum 33:5	168:4	fund 176:16	146:23	174:14
33:18	Francisco	fundamen...	167:2,2	176:15
found 24:11	1:20	43:9	169:4	180:3
130:11	free 44:17	funded 23:23	given 19:20	183:13
131:21	110:4,9	44:16	102:19	goes 42:21
foundation	111:10,24	176:19	103:17	63:22
36:14	121:11	funding	giving 62:13	67:21
108:13	Freesites	157:1	62:19 78:3	71:25 72:1
181:7	1:5 6:5,16	further	go 7:14	73:18
founded	14:23 15:9	156:16	13:23	84:25
23:22	freeway 74:9	181:11	20:10,11	120:7

164:9	great 10:6	35:19	170:4,13	163:6
going 15:8	46:18 75:8	36:14 44:5	170:21	happened
16:4,10	121:19	44:23	177:20	14:18
20:24,25	126:5	48:19 49:8	179:7,11	19:18 61:6
21:20 24:2	128:1	49:24 52:8	179:16	102:15
24:3 25:20	183:8	56:15,21	180:10	150:17,19
25:22 26:5	Greg 135:2	62:14	181:10	158:9,11
27:5,11	135:10,11	64:10,16	182:7,12	159:7,10
32:2,3	136:8,25	68:22	182:16,25	happening
34:10	150:21,23	72:25 79:4	guys 13:15	37:1 41:25
40:13,21	156:5,12	79:7 81:21	27:2 48:14	77:2,3,22
44:21,22	156:20	87:1,4,14	133:16	157:2
48:16 68:9	157:9	88:18		happens
70:2 74:22	179:17,20	89:17 90:2	H	60:17 61:6
75:1 80:24	grew 98:4,11	92:10,19	half 167:10	66:9 83:24
82:14,16	ground 7:15	92:23,25	halfway	85:2 91:24
82:21 83:7	groundbr...	95:15	175:16	92:2 167:4
83:10 86:6	101:3	96:10,20	Hall 5:17	harassing
91:7	103:17	96:25	33:5,10,14	123:13
102:14	105:24	102:23	171:2,6,10	harassment
104:3,5,5	170:24	104:20	171:15,22	114:22
104:19,23	group 142:2	105:9	172:3,10	hard 22:15
114:24,25	142:3,4	106:13	172:19,25	96:3
120:21	growing	108:13	hand 16:12	hardware
126:18	109:7	109:11	126:18	38:9
134:24	guess 31:13	111:17	129:21	havoc 74:19
139:11	41:17	112:14,23	134:24	HD 5:13
148:3	42:12 55:6	113:25	148:3	58:14
150:3	68:11	114:3,12	155:3	127:18
155:4	69:18 70:9	114:16,18	173:22	129:14
159:17	83:5 87:20	114:21	handed 16:16	167:5
161:5	93:23	115:2	101:17	head 8:4
171:24	103:10	119:22	hanging	46:4
181:1	125:20	121:15	16:11 62:2	head-ins
182:9,14	128:10	123:12,16	101:9	37:23
good 7:3,4	141:14,17	126:3,23	117:21	heading
7:25 38:21	143:5	128:20	136:6	107:19
48:13,14	169:15	129:2	169:5	154:11
122:15	guessing	131:20	172:14	headquar...
130:15	40:20	133:11	174:10	132:8
169:1	169:14	138:14	handle 77:15	heard 15:2
174:3	guru 172:24	139:17	Hanft 2:13	87:5
gotta 37:4	guy 2:2 4:4	146:16	6:18	142:24
gotten	6:17,17	147:7,19	happen 16:4	152:18
176:12	9:17,22	151:5,6	42:16,20	156:6
181:5	10:21	156:3	55:19	158:11,23
GP 39:7	11:12 12:9	160:22	59:23 61:3	159:1
grab 92:24	12:15,23	161:17	61:9 94:12	heavily
granted	13:2,9	162:20	94:13 97:2	113:6
155:1,2	19:8 33:21	168:15	97:3 98:15	159:25

helped 98:9 99:5 176:12	141:19,22 142:16 143:24	Houston 2:7 HTP 40:8 HTT 74:10	Ian 1:16 6:14 idea 19:12	160:21 implemented 35:10
Herald 173:17	144:1,2,13 144:19,25	HTTP 10:23 11:4 21:5	19:16,22 19:24 20:24	36:20 37:11 44:8 45:2 161:1
hesitated 13:6	145:1,3,15 146:10,12	23:3 24:9 71:13,19	33:25 45:4 45:5,9 46:2 47:4	implemen... 56:23
Hey 24:13	146:13 150:11	71:19,20 71:24	88:21,21 106:11 147:10	implements 142:8,18
Hi 93:7	151:5,7 152:19	72:16 73:7 73:11,15	156:11,13 159:24 163:1,7	161:15 implied 47:5 129:10,11
hiccup 22:13 60:3,17 92:1	153:16 156:18 159:13,22	73:20,24 74:11 99:16	ideal 38:25 ideas 11:16 11:19,21	implies 113:6
hiccupped 26:25	159:25 160:10,12	139:24 140:21 142:13	11:22 46:18 47:6 47:11	important 24:25
hiccupping 21:25	160:15,21 160:24	143:24 145:19 153:11	113:14 141:2,20 143:16,17	75:24 85:9 98:24 156:16
hiccups 22:24 27:1 27:13	161:7,15 162:17 164:21	160:4 163:19 165:24	156:10 163:4 identify 48:25	impossible 70:15
high 9:2 12:19 21:13 22:5 22:6 62:21 63:22 142:5	165:8 166:8,9 179:18 hold 64:11 96:10	173:19 180:18 181:3 HTTP-based 11:1 19:12	IEEE 144:5 igpaquet... 1:18	impression 106:25 166:17
high-qua... 21:19	home 125:22 honestly 34:5 61:7	164:4 HUDSON 1:24 huge 27:5,6	immediately 45:1 124:25	improvement 66:17,18 141:1
higher 22:20 37:5 58:16 69:16 101:13	Honeybone 5:3 136:19 hop.guy@... 2:5	Huh 13:2 hundreds 42:19	impercep... 70:24 implement 42:13	improves 76:3 107:7 107:17,25 108:18
highest 22:13 61:22 69:13,22 70:2 71:1 71:6	hopefully 21:21 hoping 91:21 91:22	hung 94:24 Hurst 43:20 43:22,23	44:23 46:17 47:3 47:7 166:8 implemen... 4:16 62:6	in-house 49:3 incentive 32:4,4
highly 144:20 175:2	Hopkins 2:2 6:17 Hopp 16:7 horsepower 69:22	44:24 46:6 50:20 hyperlinks 170:1	69:3 72:9 92:3 113:19 147:8,9,13 160:15	include 124:1,2,3 124:7 125:7 152:25 153:2,5,8 153:11 157:21
hindsight 159:16	hour 15:16 139:16 183:18	hypothet... 56:21 87:5 87:14 161:18	included 33:3 81:15 97:16 includes 110:4	
HL 159:17	hours 28:9 28:14 39:13	I	implemen... 134:22	
HLS 99:16,19 100:8,10 139:24	120:9 163:5	I-n-u-k 132:24,25		

136:13 including 8:9 118:10 173:19 incomplete 56:21 87:4 87:14 161:18 incorporate 99:7 incorpor... 23:19,21 24:8 141:3 141:21 143:18,19 incorpor... 144:13 increasing 122:17 increasing' 122:17 independent 32:5 34:13 91:11 independ... 89:2,5,8 89:21 90:4 index 89:8 indexed 89:2 89:22 indirect 113:20 individual 90:20 118:19 130:7 individu... 53:13 81:15,19 87:22 individuals 136:10,18 inducted 33:4,9,14 171:1,6 172:9 induction 5:17 171:14 172:5,18	172:22,23 industry 20:9 33:14 43:19 103:7 104:9 109:8 113:10 123:21,22 123:25 124:3,3,7 124:16,16 125:4,14 125:21,22 125:24 126:1,2,6 126:10,12 127:14 169:12,17 170:14,25 171:18 industry's 46:4 inferior 29:11 38:12 influenced 144:20 159:25 information 159:3 infringe 160:15 infringes 160:10,12 160:14 162:5 infringing 138:11 160:18 162:1,9 inherent 75:11,13 78:25 initial 4:5 4:11 5:1 119:11 154:17 initially 149:18	156:13 innovate 44:17 innovations 108:1,19 insert 83:5 87:11 88:24 inserting 88:16 installed 67:4 instance 58:22 76:25 123:23 162:8 instances 55:13 142:19 Instant 26:7 27:10 Instruct 170:4 instructed 7:20 integrated 134:12,14 intellig... 19:24 21:1 21:9 23:3 35:14 39:8 39:16 45:21 66:6 67:15 92:9 intelligent 20:13 21:8 30:11 45:10 142:11 143:11 146:6 153:5 160:8 163:13,19 163:20 intellig... 46:1 intending 118:15	inter 4:14 9:1 interest 32:6,8,20 interested 122:15 125:20 153:16 185:17 internal 32:4 116:4 internet 5:9 18:7 19:20 19:21 20:5 20:9,10,18 20:19,22 21:2 22:9 22:25 24:24 25:21 26:23,25 27:2,21 29:6 35:3 35:6 40:12 40:22,24 42:21 58:5 59:16,17 67:25 68:6 71:13 75:5 99:1 104:3 104:6,10 104:23,24 105:1,2,3 105:5,8,19 105:21,25 118:24 121:22 122:19 124:10,20 125:1,2,14 130:15 140:14 161:23 163:1,6 166:3 183:2 interpre... 87:17 introduce 6:11	introducing 156:7 Inuk 132:22 invent 25:8 27:7 invented 25:5 30:5 47:9 invention 11:16 22:10 44:4 50:23 53:7 54:24,25 61:10 68:4 68:19 71:22 72:23 75:25 85:10 92:6 97:7 146:20 147:6 157:16 161:16 162:7,17 165:11,14 165:17,21 165:25 166:8 173:19 inventions 33:3 170:24 inventive 98:6 inventor 10:9,10 18:6,14 19:1,6 119:14,16 154:8 inventors 18:11 invest 130:10 invested 124:25 investig... 41:18 investment
---	---	---	---	---

139:5	101:15	58:18,20	59:19	139:6,8
investments	issued 9:6	kind 20:15	61:25 63:6	142:3,12
157:22	153:19	25:1,14	69:15 70:7	145:9
invited	158:8	28:16	72:1,13	149:21
102:13	161:8	31:17,18	75:6,7,7,8	150:25
involved	issues 19:20	37:2 47:5	75:17	151:3,10
14:5 15:2	91:6	47:10	77:17,17	151:15,15
16:6,9	ITC 14:12	53:19,23	77:23	152:2,11
18:20,21	177:2	70:23	78:18,19	153:15
18:22		71:10	79:7 80:23	154:20,25
50:23	J	91:10	81:9 83:23	155:20,20
117:6	James 2:12	110:13	85:24	155:21,21
132:19	2:13 6:18	117:16	86:13	156:7,9,11
137:7,20	148:10,14	130:25	89:11,12	157:4,4,4
138:8	Jamie 2:12	133:1	89:16,16	157:7,23
139:6,8	49:4,9	141:6	89:16	158:9
149:2,4	January	145:4	91:20,23	160:17,20
151:14	102:12,18	154:21	95:20 96:2	160:24
156:22,23	Jeffay 13:21	164:25	97:20	163:12
156:24	jerky 64:22	166:25	98:19,20	164:5,6,19
involved's	64:25 65:3	kinds 26:13	100:22	164:25
159:15	Jesus 117:11	knew 38:24	101:24	166:16
involvement	123:1,4	69:25	104:13	167:8
137:23	Jim 16:7	know 8:4	105:4,16	168:12
IP 20:20	49:2	11:5,14,17	106:15,20	169:12,20
104:5	148:17	13:11	108:6,9,17	169:22
IP42024-...	job 45:2	14:17,17	110:10	170:2
1:12	78:23	16:8 17:4	111:2	171:25
iPads 99:12	Jobs 150:6	17:18,22	113:13	172:1
157:19	John 117:3,4	18:2 22:2	114:21	175:21
IPR 9:1 12:4	118:20,21	22:6 23:1	115:20	179:20,23
12:7,14,18	119:2	24:1,23	116:6,10	knowledge
16:17,23	135:4,13	28:5 29:5	116:20	98:8 99:5
IPR2024-...	judge 39:10	32:3 33:20	119:21	116:21
1:11	114:10	33:23	120:20	117:2
IPR2024-...	judging	34:10,11	121:13,20	151:23
1:11	148:22	34:23 36:9	121:20,24	159:4
IPR2024-...	jumped	36:20 37:7	122:6	known 42:10
1:12	124:25	38:7,11	123:24	180:20
IPR2024-...		39:15	124:5,10	knows 21:1,9
1:13	K	41:20,21	124:17,22	21:12
IPRs 9:4,12	keep 8:3	41:24 42:5	125:13	36:25 37:3
14:22	48:16	42:17,19	126:11,13	37:3 66:2
16:25 46:7	89:13	42:20,21	127:16	66:3
48:7	114:24,25	43:8 44:16	128:23	
IPT 180:24	143:6	44:17,23	130:7	L
IPTV 180:25	kept 25:19	46:1,3,16	131:11,12	L 3:5 185:4
irrelevant	kilobits	47:10 50:8	131:13	185:22
123:13	168:1	53:20 54:6	136:2,2,18	L.L.C 1:8
issue 9:12	kilobytes	58:13	138:23	L.L.C. 's

la 111:24	180:8	155:3	Lifetime	76:15,18
lack 13:18	League 40:22	157:10	5:18	77:4,23
60:24	leave 106:25	161:14,14	liked 125:8	78:24,25
Lake 93:15	leaving	164:13	likes 34:2	79:3,3,12
lanes 74:8,9	122:1	165:7	limit 12:25	79:12,23
75:4	left 44:14	167:1,19	13:3 114:2	80:4,6,8
laptop 67:5	44:14	167:23,23	limited	80:15,16
125:23	62:22	167:25	41:16	80:17,21
large 23:25	82:22	168:22,22	114:10	82:7,11,16
24:4 26:3	102:11	169:4	line 15:1	82:20 83:3
26:8 29:1	154:10	170:11,16	93:21	99:16
29:1	legal 11:12	171:9	132:7	103:18
largely	12:24 19:8	173:8,12	136:10	105:7
75:10	44:6 52:8	174:13	186:4	111:25
larger 51:12	68:22 79:4	letting	lines 37:9	113:6,11
52:3 59:12	93:9,11,12	96:23	95:3	113:13,14
92:7	length 50:12	123:14	list 163:14	113:17
largest 29:2	50:24 51:2	level 9:2	163:15	139:24
29:2 37:20	51:3,9	12:19 58:8	listed 16:24	141:7
131:1	let's 8:3	66:17	19:3 154:8	143:24
late 25:25	10:15	67:19 69:9	listing 17:5	164:6,8,8
30:20	23:14	113:18	literally	165:8
147:23	29:21,22	124:6	28:8,9	LLC 108:8
151:18	32:24	135:7,18	litigation	LLP 1:15
Latin 130:15	34:18,18	135:20,21	15:10	6:14
Latter-Day	41:11 42:1	136:5	litigations	loans 176:22
117:12	50:2 51:16	138:9	15:8 32:7	logistics
123:2,4	54:14,25	142:5	177:5,9,11	16:3
launching	55:9 62:1	143:6,8	177:15	long 25:14
103:18	65:12,15	147:9	little 13:6	39:10 46:1
105:7,24	70:25 71:1	152:4,7	13:23	53:2 62:8
law 11:11	76:11 81:5	160:17	23:14 27:9	80:23 84:6
160:19	84:13	levels 45:15	27:12 28:4	91:8,18
lawsuits	97:10,10	58:1,10	39:8,16	93:1 95:17
14:10	100:23	61:13,15	40:19 42:9	95:21
lawyer 34:2	106:2	63:7 72:21	58:7 60:25	131:22
lawyer-ish	107:12	92:8 153:9	65:15,20	163:18
164:25	115:9	Lewin 24:17	65:21	longer 31:2
lawyers 14:4	119:18	license	70:24	52:12
15:17	121:3	137:25	74:14 89:4	59:13 65:7
49:14	122:9	157:25	90:6	79:3
lay 175:11	126:18	158:6,13	133:18	look 16:15
laying	129:18,18	158:24	140:8	17:25 18:9
174:25	133:24	159:4	145:6	22:7 29:21
leadership	137:10,11	175:25	live 5:8	32:24 62:1
113:11,11	139:17,18	licenses	8:19 21:24	62:9,20
leading	141:24	32:10	22:11 36:9	63:2 64:21
24:14	143:5,23	licensing	36:11 47:9	93:19
179:4,10	147:17	25:13	47:23	100:23
179:14	150:12	138:4	52:14,18	112:16

115:9	low 22:4,5	122:3	95:11 96:7	meg 167:15
118:5	27:15	165:24	123:6,22	megabits
121:3	low-quality	man 44:24	124:17	167:6,24
123:18	27:16	manage 98:18	125:2	megabyte
129:18,18	168:1	maps 166:24	140:10	167:9,10
134:25	lower 21:21	167:8	157:16	167:11
139:20	22:20 37:4	March 44:14	164:24	megabytes
148:6	64:7,13	mark 43:20	178:15	75:8
149:15	124:6	43:22,23	means 11:11	167:22
154:10	lowest 61:23	44:8,13,24	65:11	mention
155:1,16	63:14	45:23,24	76:24	43:20
164:13	Lynn 2:12	46:4,6,8	104:18,23	103:22
174:17	49:4,4,9,9	50:20	104:24	108:25
178:18		123:13	meant 77:16	109:24
looked 129:2	M	135:7,16	89:9 90:1	110:1
156:16	Machine 5:11	135:17	90:4,9	111:14
166:10,11	127:10	136:2,2	104:2	143:23
looking	Macs 157:19	155:4	measure 68:6	173:2,5,10
121:21	magazine	marked 4:10	68:20 69:2	179:2
179:6	5:14	5:1 62:3	78:8	mentioned
looks 57:12	117:23	154:2,6	measures	47:12
63:5,20	170:15	155:9	69:2	61:12 68:2
88:20	main 131:5	market 46:3	measuring	91:6
101:21	maintains	175:1	67:25 68:3	118:19
118:4,11	120:8	176:21	69:1	165:3
126:5	major 1:3	marketing	mechanism	177:1
127:3	3:2 4:5,13	148:11,15	20:22	178:14
148:7	5:17 6:4	massive	111:3	mentioning
170:13	6:20 7:3,7	53:20	mechanisms	83:13
loop 29:24	15:11	match 22:16	23:4	117:9
lose 52:13	16:15 17:2	matching	MEDIA 1:5	mentions
57:16,18	27:23	22:17	Mediaroom	110:9
176:23	40:22	materially	131:18	112:21
Lost 126:4	48:17	108:1,19	medium 22:4	118:19
lot 26:15	49:12 93:7	math 44:10	meet 157:3	120:4,20
27:1 41:3	118:22	matter 6:5	meeting	120:25
45:24	119:9	12:13	149:1	170:3,9
58:15,16	127:1	24:20	150:1,2,22	173:2
59:24	139:12	31:24	150:24	merged 97:14
93:17	154:2,6	79:10 91:9	151:4,15	Messenger
122:8	155:4,9	matters	meetings	26:7 27:10
133:16	172:17,25	91:11	16:1,6	met 15:16
140:15	174:9	mean 26:10	149:25	130:8
144:23	181:12	31:25 35:1	150:18,20	159:20
176:18	184:1	42:19	151:8,23	Mexican
lots 125:18	majority	47:14 51:1	154:19	130:18,22
Louisiana	73:19	53:18 65:5	155:19,25	Mexico
2:7	making 46:2	73:15,21	156:21,22	130:16
loved 130:17	46:3	82:4,6,23	156:23	Micro 158:20
133:17	120:14	89:6 94:14	179:17	microphone

101:11,12	106:13	move 4:16,19	142:9,14	141:19,22
Microsoft	111:17	5:4,8	143:23	141:23,24
35:8	113:25	18:20 23:2	144:18,19	141:25
125:12	121:15	23:18,21	144:20	142:1,5,8
131:18	133:11	23:22	147:17,22	142:15,17
134:3,7	168:15	25:12	148:11	142:22,24
136:19	mischara...	29:23,24	149:11,18	143:9,18
137:3,8,12	114:4	30:1,9,22	150:23	145:1,15
137:21,25	misplaced	31:2 34:11	151:19,24	multiple
138:4,10	173:23	34:13,18	152:3,5,7	11:24
138:24,25	missed	61:11,11	152:21	51:20,24
139:6	175:20	61:12,16	156:24	56:25
141:22	178:22	62:4,5	157:1,8,17	75:11,23
158:12,24	179:5,7	63:5 64:1	157:22	77:9,9,14
159:4	mix 72:12	64:18	159:8,20	78:3,17
176:2	mixed 94:9	66:14 67:3	161:8	91:7
Microsof...	model 20:17	67:7,20	162:18	116:16,16
136:11	37:3 109:8	97:10,14	170:12	116:17
middle 27:10	109:21	99:7,8,13	171:11,23	multiply
63:8 71:10	moderately	100:4,7,7	173:5,11	167:16
107:18	7:11,13	100:12	173:19	
120:23	modernize	103:11,13	174:22,24	N
122:13	130:13	103:14	175:4,8,23	N 4:1 6:1
Mill 2:3	moment	110:2	176:8,11	name 7:5
million	123:12	115:12,13	176:23	24:1,17
97:14 98:5	Monday	115:15	179:21	136:4
116:1	182:18,20	116:1,2,4	180:5,10	180:11,12
121:9,13	182:22,23	116:12	180:20,23	named 18:14
121:25	182:24,25	117:5	Move's 62:19	19:6 130:3
122:2	money 124:11	119:3,4	128:7,17	135:2
mind 38:11	125:10	120:2,16	131:8	148:10
93:1	157:5	121:11,13	144:13	163:14
112:24	175:6,10	122:2,22	145:15	names 35:12
mine 43:24	176:14,23	123:15	159:24	naming 10:23
minimizes	monitor	128:2,12	170:24	narrative
76:8	166:3	129:25	171:15	150:13
minute 43:17	monitoring	130:9,10	175:20	nation's
minutes	66:6 157:1	130:13	moved 19:23	103:18
79:11	month 44:19	131:21,22	movement	National
139:11,14	morning 7:3	132:3,4,8	120:4	33:14
139:15	7:4	132:9,12	movie 26:16	101:2
174:3	motion 64:7	133:23	28:13	134:4
mischara...	64:13	134:14,17	54:15	native 99:23
114:13	168:14,18	135:2,5,11	movies	100:14,15
165:7	168:19	136:13	111:25	natural
mischara...	motivated	137:13	moving 22:18	47:11
92:10	10:3,4	138:3,10	39:2 50:5	nature 71:21
102:23	27:7,23	138:19	MPEG 142:4	NBC 127:24
104:20	motivation	139:1	MPEG-DASH	128:8
105:9	24:9	140:7,12	139:23	130:25

148:22,25	28:23	non-inte...	23:25 26:3	114:11,23
151:9,17	74:13 76:9	70:11	44:5 74:1	objects 21:5
152:6,6	180:19	normal 39:7	87:2,15,16	24:4 26:8
157:8	Network's	182:17	87:17	163:19
nearing	116:2	Notary	96:20	observe
132:2	networks	184:13	114:5,5	74:15
nearly	4:16,19	186:25	objection	obvious 72:2
174:24	5:4,8 20:7	Notice 3:1	9:17,21	obviously
175:4,8	23:18,21	novel 152:22	10:21	21:12
176:8,10	23:22	Novell 24:8	11:12	32:20 57:1
need 24:11	34:11 62:5	24:9 25:24	12:15,23	59:25 68:5
47:7 48:18	62:5 71:12	33:13 34:3	13:9 19:8	70:21
86:11 91:4	116:1	34:7,9,11	33:21	78:25
91:13,16	120:8	44:14,14	35:19	82:14
101:12	121:11	44:18	36:14 52:8	98:13
181:25	128:3	118:23	64:10,16	103:8
182:11,21	129:25	171:19,20	68:22	152:17
needed 8:23	135:2,11	172:23	72:25 79:4	157:5
30:11	136:14	173:3	79:8 81:21	occasion...
91:12	173:19	November	87:4 88:18	74:12
110:23	181:3	148:11,23	90:2 92:10	157:3
175:6,10	never 87:5	153:24	95:15	160:25
176:14	103:11,13	154:15	96:11	occasions
needing	122:19	155:7,14	102:23	42:16
112:5	141:15	nowadays	104:20	occurring
needs 19:22	150:10	166:25	105:9	154:19
68:15	158:6,21	nuances	106:13	October
negotiate	162:18	160:19	108:13	30:21
32:12	179:11	number 17:10	111:17	115:19
138:3,4	180:25	23:23	113:25	117:12
negotiating	new 1:17,17	42:25	114:6,13	odds 88:11
135:18	4:19 11:19	58:13,15	114:15,19	offer 105:20
negotiation	11:20,21	116:3,10	114:20	offered
149:6,8	22:22,22	121:25	121:15	121:13
negotiat...	30:6 78:12	122:2	126:23	142:9
137:7,21	103:8	167:1,2	128:20	offering
137:24	105:16,17	168:20	133:11,12	5:12
139:7,9	105:20	175:13	138:14	111:23
149:3,4	154:23	176:10	146:16	127:17
Neither	156:10,13	numbered	147:7	159:4
128:1	News 5:11	134:21	156:3,4	offers 128:3
Netflix 28:7	NFL 40:19	numbers	160:22	office 1:1
28:8,10	night 23:1	16:23	161:17	92:22
39:4	nine 61:13	61:25	162:20	154:20
175:24,25	61:14	numerous	168:15,16	155:22
176:2	65:25	150:9	179:4,10	officer
Netflix's	nodes 37:23		179:14	31:16
176:12	nods 8:4	O	181:6	offices
network 24:3	non-disc...	O 6:1	objections	132:9,12
26:19	147:22	object 7:19	13:1,4	oh 19:2 37:3

37:4 49:20	57:23	115:22,25	181:10	181:14
51:23 59:6	58:23 59:5	118:11	182:4,4	ordinary
59:9 67:10	59:12,18	119:9,17	old 22:3	28:24,25
72:11	60:7,14,19	120:1,24	114:22	39:5,20
95:14	61:5,8,20	122:1,11	on-demand	45:17
102:17	62:1,11	123:16,17	112:1	71:15,17
175:23	63:16,21	124:1,13	165:8	72:17
okay 7:11	64:24 65:9	126:14,20	once 80:8	90:19
8:6,15,19	65:12,14	126:21	136:5	145:18
8:25 9:23	67:3 68:8	128:15	one's 166:22	153:2
10:11,14	68:19 69:4	129:6,18	ones 29:1	160:4
10:25 11:3	69:11 70:4	129:20,24	30:18	organiza...
11:7,25	70:25 71:5	130:24	51:20	35:4
12:3,7,21	71:6 72:16	131:14	129:13,15	original
13:23	74:7 75:24	133:9,15	131:13	11:22
14:14 15:7	76:11,13	133:22	136:19	44:12
15:11,18	77:7,8,12	134:1	online 41:16	83:10
16:10,10	78:5,15	135:13,23	48:25	183:1
17:2,15	79:10,13	136:1,6	open 72:13	originally
18:3,25	79:17	142:7,17	74:2	23:24
21:18	80:20 81:7	145:5,11	opened	28:11
23:13 25:3	81:13,18	146:25	116:13	31:12 39:4
25:23 26:1	82:13 83:4	148:19	operate	83:25
28:21	83:9,11	149:7	146:12,13	100:4,18
29:14,22	84:9,12	150:23	operatio...	124:24
30:7,19	85:4,8,14	151:1,11	156:24	154:21
31:2,5,15	86:10,17	151:13	opinion 79:3	outcome 32:7
31:18,21	87:3,7	155:23	129:10	Outside
32:6 33:1	88:8 89:7	156:25	138:16	161:18
34:4,15,20	90:5,25	157:10,12	156:1	over-the...
35:16,24	91:13,19	163:10	162:4,11	103:19,25
36:2,6,18	92:15,23	164:11,18	opinions	104:8,18
36:23 37:6	94:7,24	164:20	31:23	109:17
38:20,24	96:6 97:6	166:14,19	opportunity	113:7
39:17	97:10,12	167:7,11	175:20	overcome
40:16	97:21	167:17,23	opposed	70:2 75:10
41:11,13	100:3,7,11	168:3,9,24	116:7	Overview
42:18 45:3	100:23,24	169:4	149:8	4:16 62:5
46:10,21	101:16,25	170:6,20	options	overwhel...
47:12 48:6	102:8,17	171:21	41:15	123:21
48:9,15	102:21	172:9	order 52:3	owned 104:14
50:1,4,6	103:15	173:8,14	89:2,8,22	104:14
50:10	104:17	174:9,11	90:12,21	105:3
51:15 52:6	105:6,20	175:23	91:1	owner 1:9
52:16,22	106:2,16	176:17	181:20	2:5,9 4:14
52:25 53:4	108:10	177:8,24	182:9,11	49:3
53:16,25	111:10	178:2,5,19	182:13,14	
54:12 55:6	112:18	179:7,16	ordering	P
55:16 56:8	113:21	179:24	182:7	P 6:1
57:3,11,19	114:8	180:16	orders	p.m 174:6,6

183:18	13:6,12	174:9	127:21	180:4
pack 99:1	16:12,14	177:18	130:3	partes 4:14
package 99:1	23:11	179:4,10	131:8	9:1
page 2:3 4:2	33:25	179:14	133:25	partial 84:8
16:20 62:4	35:24	180:8	134:21	86:12
62:10,10	36:18	181:6,11	137:11	participate
62:14,17	48:13,16	181:16	139:20	41:23
67:10 72:2	49:11 50:2	182:1,9,19	144:12	particular
79:18 81:5	57:3 62:16	182:22	147:18	162:8
106:4,4	62:18	paragraph	152:21	particul...
107:13,14	64:17 69:4	17:3,6,12	157:10,14	21:24
107:15,18	73:6 79:13	18:1,9,10	159:11	52:13 83:2
109:5,13	81:25 87:8	19:4 23:15	164:13,16	84:10
110:2	88:25	31:19	168:23	parties 6:11
118:12	89:20 90:5	32:25	170:18	185:16
119:19,19	92:15,16	34:18,22	173:12	partly 82:18
119:24,25	92:21 93:7	37:10	174:12,17	82:19
120:21,23	95:22	38:14	178:18,23	partner
121:3,4	96:14,23	41:11 42:5	178:24	43:23
122:9,13	97:3	42:23	179:12	175:24
122:13	101:14,16	43:18 50:5	paragraphs	parts 73:9
123:19	103:2	50:8 57:23	97:19	73:22
132:6,6	104:25	57:24	178:6,10	75:19,20
148:6	105:13	65:16	178:10	75:22,25
170:1,1	106:16	70:10 71:9	parallel	Pass 177:18
172:21	108:23	71:10 73:7	76:1	181:10
173:15	109:12,14	76:12,18	parallel...	patent 1:1,2
174:14,15	111:21	79:16,20	74:10	1:9,11,11
175:16	112:16,19	81:5,12	parameters	1:12,12,13
178:7,11	113:2	87:22 92:4	48:3	2:5,9 4:7
178:25	114:2,8,25	93:19	part 18:5	4:9,13 9:5
179:1	115:4	94:25	24:8 26:4	10:3,5,6
186:4	119:23	97:11,23	27:6 30:25	10:12,15
pages 24:22	120:2	98:1,2	41:3 53:22	11:11
118:5	122:1	99:3,18	54:23,24	12:20
paid 105:21	123:15,17	100:23	56:12,18	17:10 36:4
Palo 2:4	126:25	103:15	66:12	46:8 49:3
paper 151:16	129:6	106:3	71:19,21	53:2 85:20
151:17	133:15	108:6	73:2,25	92:3 97:17
papers	138:24	109:1,2,5	74:1,2	102:22,25
129:22	139:10,15	111:22	77:3 82:25	108:12
173:24	139:19	115:9	83:1,1,17	113:15,19
Paquette	146:19	116:25	84:25	138:19,21
1:16 4:3	147:17,21	117:8	105:12,13	140:5
6:13,14	161:4,20	118:18	110:12	141:3
7:2 9:20	162:23	119:19,22	125:23	143:16
9:23,24	168:17	119:24	126:9,12	145:15
10:25	170:8,22	121:4	141:23	147:14
12:12,17	170:23	123:19	146:8,9	150:3
12:25 13:3	173:25	126:15	152:6	153:17,18

153:20	159:17	percentage	47:17,17	85:7,8
154:4,5,20	pay 32:18	141:21	47:18,18	87:21 88:9
155:17,22	Pay-TV 98:25	perfect	78:16	88:13,13
158:3	105:18,24	56:13,19	pieces 19:23	88:17 89:1
160:19	133:2	perfectly	57:18,19	89:3,7,8
162:14	paying 32:14	85:24	pile 129:22	89:22,23
patented	115:21,23	person	129:22	90:10,24
11:21	PCs 116:18	116:15	173:23	111:3
156:9	116:19	124:16	pioneer	played 60:1
patents 9:5	pending 7:24	125:22	178:20	player 30:9
9:9 10:15	112:24	127:14	pioneered	61:11,12
10:18,18	115:1	128:6	118:21	61:16 63:5
10:20 11:3	158:4	169:13,17	119:6	64:1 66:14
11:4,5,8	people 24:11	personal	pipe 104:14	66:15 67:3
11:24 12:4	26:15,18	32:20	Pixar 150:7	67:7,16
17:5,6,21	27:8 29:11	128:24	place 48:13	69:8,25
17:23 18:6	36:21	138:16	82:15 83:7	82:10
18:12,15	38:10,18	personally	151:9	84:25
18:19 19:3	38:24 41:4	31:7	185:11	86:12
19:7,12	48:25 75:7	123:10	places 24:21	87:17,17
32:10,21	84:7,7	127:13	38:6 98:3	87:18 88:6
32:23 33:4	94:16 97:4	perspective	plaintiffs'	91:13 99:7
36:2 42:13	98:19	11:11	6:12	99:8 100:5
44:1,2	104:12	petition	plans 32:4	100:8,12
52:7 60:19	105:5	12:18	play 22:8	100:15,16
98:10,12	116:1,6,13	13:13,15	26:11,14	115:12,13
99:4 103:6	121:22	petitioner	26:17	115:15
111:20	124:1,19	1:6,18,22	27:13 28:2	116:8
138:1,5,11	125:4,4,8	3:3 6:14	67:11,20	127:22
140:6	126:8	6:15 14:22	80:15	128:2,2,13
141:3,5	128:25	Petition...	82:10,24	134:7,12
142:15	129:4	4:14	82:25,25	134:12,14
144:19	140:15	petitions	83:1 84:23	149:16,19
147:16	141:10	4:14 12:8	85:5 86:3	149:22,22
153:23	143:3	12:14	86:12,14	149:23,24
157:25	157:3	Philip 1:19	86:15,20	150:10
158:4,6,8	161:1	6:15	86:23 87:9	152:7,8
158:13,16	162:14	phone 15:15	87:11,18	157:17
158:24	164:9	16:2,3	87:19 88:6	158:22
159:5	166:15	pick 25:23	91:12,14	163:20
160:10,13	169:19	96:5	92:1	171:11,15
160:14,16	180:2	161:21	100:10,12	179:21
160:18	people's	picked 141:9	playback	players
161:8	75:5	picture	21:25	60:20
163:2	perceive	106:5,18	57:10 60:6	66:25
164:10	22:19	106:21	60:9,10,13	67:20
171:23	70:19,20	107:5,6	65:17,22	98:20
172:7,8	percent	128:3,7,11	65:24	99:22,23
177:2,15	95:20	128:12,13	66:12 84:8	99:24,25
path 104:15	175:11	piece 38:9	84:8,21,22	100:4,14

100:14	point 79:2	praise 126:6	presume	59:21
150:8	85:24 89:1	praising	172:18	73:19 75:1
playing 20:2	113:22	105:23	pretty 83:23	78:20 90:1
26:19, 21	114:21	109:21	85:11	99:20
26:24	115:5	110:6	113:13	100:22
30:15	132:20	111:10	131:20	124:5
67:22	137:12	112:4	149:17	133:20
83:14	138:25	128:7, 11	158:5	139:11, 15
89:13	140:19	169:1	165:4	140:11
90:21	158:25	pre-grant	prevalent	151:9
122:24	164:6, 6	155:5, 17	145:7	153:14
plays 83:17	pointed	155:24	prevent	159:16
84:25	141:11	precisely	42:14	166:25
please 7:5	points 74:12	86:20	previous	169:19
12:25 13:3	polite	87:10 96:7	14:9, 10	problem 25:5
17:2 34:19	114:22	116:11	33:13	27:17
34:22	poor 64:23	Preciseness	117:2	28:15 41:3
41:11 42:4	poorly 36:17	56:9	144:21	42:10
47:13 49:1	pornography	predomin...	185:7	60:18
50:5, 7	15:3 123:7	123:4	previously	75:11, 13
65:13	portfolio	preliminary	4:10 5:1	91:24
76:12 79:7	97:17	154:21	14:4, 7	problems
79:8 81:6	portion	premium	62:2	27:23
81:8 87:1	125:6	22:23	Priced 121:6	38:17
93:20	position	preparation	121:8	43:19
96:24	28:16	12:8 16:5	primarily	procedure
97:19	138:23	18:21	69:20	8:13
101:10	positive	prepare	176:13	proceeding
107:13	123:21	15:12 16:2	prime 69:20	9:8 177:2
114:2	125:15	prepared	prior 12:21	proceedings
119:20	possible	15:13	13:7, 17	9:1 14:14
121:3	22:14 97:1	102:6	14:12	16:17
122:10	post 126:21	PRESENT 2:10	24:23	183:15, 17
123:18	127:17	presenta...	92:11	process 7:12
132:7	postage	5:4 51:13	104:7	13:24 46:1
133:24	70:21	presented	154:11	46:18
137:11	potentially	65:25	176:22	54:23
139:19	70:5 74:20	president	179:8, 9	138:22
157:11	94:9	31:11	180:9	180:4
164:13, 17	180:24	press 4:17	privilege	processing
168:23	Powell 93:15	5:17	9:20	66:23
170:11, 19	power 67:1, 2	101:19	privileged	producer
173:13	69:23	106:11	138:15	131:2
181:14	powerful	107:15	probably	product
183:10	78:20, 21	109:18	38:21	115:11, 15
plenty	practically	113:22	48:11	production
122:18	29:7 94:11	115:5, 8	51:25	130:22
plethora	94:12, 15	129:25	54:13	products
19:18	practice	178:14	55:15	140:7
plus 133:18	85:21	179:2	57:18	Professi...

3:6 185:5	proxy 20:6	push 20:21	92:8	12:6 28:5
programming	24:10,13	26:17 37:3	121:20	69:10
109:9,22	24:19	38:9 67:11	128:2,4,7	quote 107:5
progressive	PS 63:10	pushed 20:14	128:11,12	107:16
27:22,25	ptsheng@...	21:17	128:13	122:20
28:11,18	1:21	put 21:3	149:13	130:3
29:17	public	27:11	153:9	QVT 134:18
38:15 39:3	154:18	40:21	168:11	
39:5,18	155:19	60:22,23	169:1	R
41:23 61:3	172:1	92:22 99:9	question	R 2:12 6:1
prolific	184:13	121:22	7:21,24,25	118:22
172:24	186:25	122:6	12:10	radically
proposal	publication	125:1	27:20	20:7
145:10	154:11	142:2	41:17	Rallo 130:4
proposed	155:5,17	158:19	42:12	random 74:21
159:12	155:24,24	159:22	56:16,17	range 73:24
proprietary	169:7,8	160:24	68:11 87:2	73:25
35:11,22	publicly	175:7	87:8 96:24	rapidly
37:19 72:7	30:21	176:8,11	103:10	109:7
72:10	115:16	176:20	109:4	rare 22:12
100:16,18	171:11	182:12	110:18	42:22
104:4	published	puts 27:11	112:23,24	83:23
protected	35:3	putting	113:1,21	rarely 42:20
158:15	153:23	24:21	114:3	92:2
protocol	154:14	157:6	115:1,4	ratchet 71:4
35:13,14	155:6,7,14		129:6	rate 10:24
35:18	170:14	Q	156:8	11:1,4,18
40:11,11	publisher	QMX 99:13	178:17	61:16,18
44:24	170:15	134:17	181:19,24	62:23,23
165:8	publishers	quality	questioning	62:24 63:1
protocols	120:4	19:25	179:8,9,12	63:2,2,14
20:12 21:6	publishing	21:13,15	questions	63:15,16
37:11 39:6	115:14	21:21	7:16 8:12	63:22 98:9
39:7 41:8	169:18	22:14	143:4	108:21,25
44:25	pull 20:25	27:15 28:5	178:3,13	110:11
71:13	43:16,17	45:15 58:1	quick 163:15	111:3,14
proud 32:22	45:21,22	58:8,10,16	173:9	113:8,23
proved	45:22 83:4	61:13,15	174:1	115:6
117:15	purchase	61:22,23	quickly	142:11
provide 8:16	97:16	62:20 63:7	52:14	163:3
116:24	132:21	63:14	QuickTime	164:4
175:7	purchased	64:23	35:11	170:3,9,13
provided	97:14	65:18	37:25,25	171:23
75:6 98:24	132:13	66:17	131:17	178:15
102:8	133:5	67:13,14	149:17	rates 55:23
179:21	purely 69:18	67:19,23	159:17	76:21,21
provides	purports	68:17,17	quit 45:1	raw 53:20
128:1	106:5	69:7,9,13	156:17	78:6
providing	purpose 9:14	69:16,22	quite 8:22	reaction
7:17	Pursuant 3:1	71:2 72:21	10:23 12:4	37:2

read 15:21	124:20	recognized	174:21	46:15
18:1 34:22	147:8	47:7 107:6	refers 178:9	48:10 75:5
42:4 50:7	166:11,15	172:4,6	reflected	106:24
56:16,17	168:1	recognizing	58:11,12	129:1
64:11 81:8	169:25	101:3	regard	133:7,9
83:25	176:13	recollec...	179:16	136:4
89:20	RealNetw...	159:6	regardless	137:15
97:19	35:9,12	record 6:2	79:22	178:16,17
107:16	36:7,11	7:6 48:21	Registered	179:15
112:14	38:3	48:24 93:3	3:6 185:5	remembers
115:1,3	realtime 3:7	93:6 174:1	registers	22:1
119:20	42:3 67:22	174:5,8	128:23	reminder 8:7
142:25,25	185:6	181:14	regular	rename 99:8
164:15	reason 8:15	183:16	88:23	renamed
169:19	8:20 10:1	184:4	125:22	25:12 30:3
170:4	10:4,7	recording	relate 18:6	99:9
172:22	11:23	108:2,20	171:15	render 20:1
175:19,22	18:17	reduced 64:7	related 11:8	69:24
175:22	74:10,10	64:13	11:15	rendering
184:2	85:13,14	168:14,18	107:8	70:6
reading	85:15	168:19,19	171:22	reporter 3:6
18:20	94:21,23	185:12	172:5	3:7 6:9
102:20	112:8	refer 10:17	185:15	8:3 49:1
109:11	155:18	11:3 17:9	relatedly	64:12
reads 112:7	186:6,8,10	17:20	70:9	115:3
real 25:10	186:12,14	81:14	relation...	181:13,20
46:2	186:16,18	140:1	130:9	182:4,18
125:12	186:20	reference	release 4:17	183:3,7,9
127:22	reasons	4:6,11 5:2	5:12,17	183:12
128:9,12	141:11	50:13	101:19	185:5,6
131:17	160:1	references	106:11	REPORTER'S
154:22	186:3	104:16	107:15	185:2
163:15	Rebounding	173:17	109:18	REPORTING
173:9	4:19	referencing	113:22	1:24
174:1	recall 178:2	89:15	115:5,8,11	represent
Real-Time	178:5	148:4	115:15	6:11 17:19
35:16,17	receive	referred	129:25	153:22
36:9,12	103:14	178:6	178:14	155:23
realistic	received	179:11	179:2	represen...
141:9	101:20	referring	released	135:18
realized	103:11,13	12:3 14:8	115:16,18	represented
25:8,10,21	181:2	14:11 28:1	171:11	19:17,23
26:18	receives	34:25	Releases 5:8	150:23
152:18	121:10	54:10	remember	156:12
156:15	reception	63:13 85:8	14:17,18	represen...
really 15:10	108:2,20	95:4	24:16 27:6	6:15
26:9 29:7	Recess 48:22	109:16	29:12 30:7	represents
36:19 73:3	93:4 174:6	125:3	34:12	107:24
88:21 91:9	recognize	140:2	35:12	request
106:24	62:6	171:17	40:19	72:19 76:1

91:3 153:8 165:24 requested 28:6 90:25 149:20 requesting 39:22 75:19 90:19 requests 43:14,15 43:16 73:8 73:11,25 73:25 146:6 162:3 require 97:7 required 58:4 70:7 76:3 158:16 requirement 38:5 96:3 requires 58:16 97:8 97:9 requiring 112:1 resolution 31:24 58:14 168:19 respect 180:5 181:2 responding 38:4 74:18 responds 43:14,15 response 4:14 15:13 rest 133:4 result 117:15 185:18 resuming 83:9 retrieve 127:9 return 139:3	reveal 12:10 revealed 151:24 152:22 revealing 9:24 review 4:15 9:1 12:7 12:13,13 12:21 13:7 13:12,15 120:18 127:2 reviewed 13:11 15:14,18 15:23 reviews 127:2 revoluti... 23:8 revoluti... 103:7 right 7:9,17 9:7 16:14 16:22 17:17 21:2 23:16 24:1 29:10,15 29:18 30:10,24 31:13,15 32:24 33:15 35:18 38:15,16 39:11,14 40:1,4,7 40:14 41:2 41:7 44:20 45:4 46:23 48:12,19 49:11 51:14 53:10 57:17,21 58:17 59:2 59:21 60:20,24 60:25 61:2	61:24 62:20 63:15,24 64:3 66:9 66:11 67:5 67:18 71:17,20 72:7,19,22 72:24 73:14,18 76:11 78:6 78:8,9,10 79:15 80:8 81:2 83:24 90:16,19 92:4 96:14 103:2 107:3,4,12 109:1,4,4 111:4,7 114:5 117:19,21 117:24 118:3 120:5,9,18 126:18 127:22,24 128:4,10 129:8 133:22 134:4 135:5 136:6,24 137:5 139:19 140:15,16 140:24,25 141:19 142:18,22 145:16,19 146:7 147:6 148:3,12 148:23 151:20,25 153:20,20 153:24 154:8,15 155:8,11 155:14	161:16 164:22 165:9,12 167:15 168:22 169:2 171:7,12 172:6,12 172:14,19 173:3,6 175:12 177:2,6,13 178:18 181:1 182:10,20 183:14 rights 98:15 98:19 River 93:16 Road 2:3 Robert 1:3 3:2 4:12 6:20 7:7 119:12 136:13,16 136:17 184:1 Rokus 99:10 role 31:10 room 92:20 rough 183:1 183:2,5,5 183:6,7,9 roughly 133:7,9 rounds 176:20 royalties 32:9,11 RPR 185:22 RTP/RTSP 35:1,23 36:15 38:17 72:4 144:22 rules 7:15 ruling 160:9 run 99:22 running 82:12	130:12 175:24 runs 40:8 rush 183:4,6 S S 6:1 Saints 117:12 123:2,5 salary 32:14 32:18 sale 175:7 176:22 sales 133:16 136:17 140:19 141:12 158:10 180:4 sales-ish 140:11 salient 144:18 San 1:20 satellite 19:14 77:24 104:4 Saturday 182:20,21 sauce 150:3 151:25 152:24 save 25:19 saw 23:9 61:20 62:7 124:22 saying 13:13 51:23 70:14 84:1 88:3 90:18 93:25 94:3 94:3,5 96:16 100:9 108:24 114:11 129:16 141:18
--	---	---	---	---

142:17	129:16	77:11	76:15,17	55:8,9,10
144:12	screw 38:18	78:11,13	76:18	55:20 56:1
145:14	se 18:21	82:3,18,21	93:20,23	56:13,19
147:5	Seal 184:15	83:14,20	101:5	58:18,19
164:21	seamless	83:20 84:2	103:15,20	59:19
172:22	88:13,16	84:3,6,6,7	106:6,9	67:17
says 21:18	88:20 89:3	84:16,17	107:10,19	68:18
47:16 62:4	89:8,23	84:19	109:6	75:20,22
62:21 77:1	second 21:22	85:22,22	112:2,21	75:25
86:13	42:4,7	85:25,25	117:17	76:20
89:21	57:8 58:18	86:13,14	118:25	78:12
103:16	58:19,20	86:16,21	121:5,6	81:20 83:5
106:8,12	58:20	86:23	122:9	83:18
107:6,23	61:21,23	87:10,11	127:19	84:24,25
108:8	63:11,19	87:18,19	130:5	86:5 90:14
109:6,23	63:23 64:8	87:25 88:4	131:7,9	90:15,20
110:3,8	64:14 65:6	88:6,10	134:22	91:14 92:7
113:23	71:5 76:17	90:15,15	135:2	95:18
115:6	79:20	90:16	136:7,8	145:24
118:20	82:10,12	91:20 94:1	139:4,21	146:3,7
121:8	84:17,24	94:4,5,17	148:1,8	166:22
122:14	85:17 86:3	95:9,17,21	154:12	segmenta...
123:20	86:15	96:4,4,17	155:8,11	46:23
126:4	118:18	96:17 97:5	166:11	160:2
131:7	121:5	97:8	168:13,17	162:7
132:7	127:21	146:23,23	171:3	segmented
139:21	130:2,3	163:18	174:12,13	72:19
154:11,14	131:8	167:18	174:19	145:21
155:10	132:6	secret 150:3	175:17	147:4
173:16	157:13	151:25	178:15,20	162:3
174:13,18	166:23	152:24	178:21	segmenting
174:24	167:5,6,15	section	179:1	46:14
175:17	167:22,24	119:17	seeing 21:1	146:20
178:20	167:25	122:12	21:2,10	152:25
scalable	168:2,4	sector 175:2	74:17	segments
23:5 24:10	174:12,17	see 17:12	168:12	19:17
164:7	178:11	27:12	seen 62:8	45:12,14
scale 38:10	seconds	28:17 33:6	66:3 102:3	47:1 50:17
47:4 66:24	21:11,19	34:21	106:23	51:7,16,18
scales 43:8	21:22	39:10 42:1	159:16	52:1,2
scaling	39:11 51:4	42:24	segment	53:9 54:16
24:12	51:8 52:12	47:20	47:25 48:1	56:1 75:21
scenes 57:17	52:12,13	51:23 60:3	48:1,2,4	75:23 76:1
Sciences	56:25	61:1 62:22	50:13	76:19
101:3	59:20,25	62:24 63:9	51:17	79:25 82:1
scope 161:19	60:1 65:2	63:13,17	53:12	87:23 88:5
scours 156:9	68:3,7,12	63:22	54:19,19	88:10
screen 5:12	68:13,15	65:16	54:20,20	90:11 92:6
65:7	68:20,21	70:22 71:9	54:20 55:2	95:4
127:18	69:6 77:8	72:11,11	55:2,3,3,7	142:18,21

143:9,13	173:6,7,16	77:12,15	183:1,5,8	148:19
146:11	175:20	77:20 78:3	183:10	signature
153:9	sentences	78:17	shift 59:24	16:19,21
select 67:9	164:17	125:11	shifted	184:8
67:12,13	separate	145:18	159:18	185:20
67:14	138:16	146:12	shifting	186:22
selected	161:24	153:3	44:9 80:14	signed 148:8
22:4	181:24	166:2,3	80:17	148:11,23
self-fun...	separately	service	shockingly	151:9,18
176:18	50:24	98:22	169:1	significant
sell 138:25	September	104:1,13	short 50:12	141:20
138:25	1:4 3:3	109:6,10	50:24 51:1	signific...
158:16	6:3 131:25	109:15,15	51:4,5,8,9	21:20
selling	183:19	109:17	52:6 53:2	70:16 76:4
140:12,13	185:20	110:4,12	93:21	143:16
180:22	sequence	113:7	165:13	Silverlight
senior 31:11	76:19	121:11	169:23	134:7,10
sense 25:16	sequential	125:25	shorter	134:13,15
29:8 85:19	85:12	158:14,16	52:19	134:16
91:10	series 51:7	164:7	95:18	similar
100:14	serve 77:9	176:1,6	shorthand	20:14,23
122:8	served	180:22	185:11	75:3 88:24
sensed 40:18	110:16	service'	show 112:11	110:22
sentence	server 20:2	103:19	113:2	144:24
17:25	28:24,25	services	180:1	simple 43:15
34:21,22	35:14,15	111:25	showed	simplest
37:10	36:25 37:1	121:14	128:24,24	146:17
41:14 42:5	37:3,3,7	122:3	153:16	147:3
42:7,23	37:18 38:1	131:12	180:3	single 38:9
50:7,22	38:2 39:20	serving	showing	80:18
73:6 76:18	43:3,5,11	28:22	113:11	site 169:19
79:20 81:9	66:24	set 56:24	shown 91:25	size 21:15
81:11,12	71:17	77:12 85:1	177:21	51:5,5
81:13	73:13	140:21	shows 77:11	58:9 59:11
87:21	77:17	141:3	107:18	59:13
88:12	78:18 80:9	185:12	126:9	60:15
93:21 95:2	80:11,12	sets 96:13	142:15	63:18 66:5
103:22	90:19	seven 120:9	shrinking	68:11,14
107:21	152:11	share 11:25	162:6	70:22 86:4
109:14	160:4	123:10	shuts 75:15	92:8 94:18
110:2	161:2	SHEET 186:1	side 13:11	165:20
111:22	servers	sheets 184:3	24:11	167:10,12
117:14	20:13	Sheng 1:19	25:11,11	sizes 56:3
121:5	37:12,22	6:15 92:24	102:11	58:2 59:4
123:20	38:5,7,7	114:9,15	119:7,8	66:2 94:8
139:21	42:3,24	114:17,19	152:11	165:17
147:25	45:18	181:18,23	154:10	166:20
157:13,14	66:21	182:2,6,14	161:2	skeptical
159:11	71:12,16	182:17,21	180:25	149:18
172:23	72:17 77:9	182:23	signatory	156:13

180:2	156:5,12	181:18,19	speculate	144:6
skepticism	156:20	181:23	89:18,19	start 16:10
128:18	157:9	sort 13:16	speculating	25:3 26:19
slightly	179:17,20	19:20	169:15	26:24 28:1
51:6 55:7	smooth 70:10	25:19	speculation	28:2 39:11
Sling 4:17	141:22	40:23 53:4	88:18 90:2	39:12,25
31:13,16	software	63:8 75:3	108:14	43:18 45:5
32:15 98:9	24:5	126:22	147:7	52:14,19
98:11,24	158:17	138:15	156:4	52:20
99:3,7,11	sold 32:13	sound 7:25	160:23	56:10
99:13,15	103:9	17:17	161:18	76:14
99:18,23	125:11	61:24	spell 132:23	82:12
99:25	132:16	153:20,24	spills	100:15
100:21,25	133:18	174:3	119:24	141:24
101:1,4,19	138:21,22	sounds 88:15	spinning	163:17,17
101:23	139:2	source 27:1	60:25	171:9
102:1	150:7	108:8	74:16	started
103:3	180:1,7,17	146:22	splice 82:19	23:24
104:12	solution	South 7:7	spliced 85:3	34:10,11
108:8	28:17	speak 49:13	splicing	starting
109:16	139:23	93:8,11,12	82:7 83:2	33:17 82:1
110:12	140:2,5,9	93:14	84:10,11	95:7,23
111:19	140:10,13	SPEAKER	spoke 29:20	96:2,12
117:6	141:7,13	101:10	48:9 93:15	110:3
161:12	141:18	speaking	spoken 13:20	113:6
177:22,23	142:8	17:22	46:6 48:6	119:23
Sling's	144:2,14	108:11	ss 185:2	120:21
109:21	152:20	120:16	stake 137:21	starts 67:22
slow 74:14	somebody	122:22	139:1	85:1 95:3
74:17	39:18	specialized	stamps 78:10	121:5
slower 60:16	someone's	37:12,15	stance 123:7	122:13
slows 75:15	67:4	38:25	123:11	state 3:7
small 22:2	soon 44:20	42:24 72:6	standard	6:21 7:5
27:3 42:25	77:7	specific	35:2,3	20:8 36:3
63:18	124:22	15:5 17:23	71:11,12	36:4 185:1
81:14,14	sorry 10:18	47:1 54:9	71:13,16	185:6
145:22	59:9 62:14	90:9 140:9	72:4,5	statement
166:25	81:12	163:10	73:7,12,17	15:14 18:1
smaller	93:10	specific...	142:1	98:4 99:3
19:23	94:24	128:11	143:1	102:6,9
51:21	95:14	141:18	145:7,8	107:4,5
52:17	109:12	152:2	159:13	108:11
59:24 86:6	125:17	specific...	160:25	109:20,25
86:7,9	129:21	12:1	161:3	122:12
Smith 4:22	130:2	specifics	166:2	126:14
5:3,6	147:19	18:23	standard...	141:18
135:2,10	150:13	142:5	139:23	statements
135:11	155:22	152:15	141:19	95:25
136:8,25	169:5	specified	standards	97:23 98:1
150:21,23	174:13	48:4	142:2	STATES 1:1

station	125:5	131:12	93:18,22	subsection
120:8	130:14	132:25	93:25 94:4	120:19
statisti...	131:22	134:3,8,11	95:5 96:16	subsequent
74:21	134:17	137:18	145:23	149:24,25
stay 21:23	140:14	139:22,24	163:17	substance
157:10	176:5	140:2,5,13	165:13,16	8:8
168:11	streaming	140:21	166:20	substant...
Staying	5:9,12	141:23	streams	82:2,4,23
119:17	10:19 11:1	142:1	74:13	86:24
steps 150:12	11:2,4,17	143:24	77:23 85:1	87:12,24
Steve 127:6	11:18 15:3	144:2,5,11	Street 1:16	94:25 95:8
127:14	18:7 20:20	144:14,19	1:20 2:7	95:11,23
128:6	22:23 25:6	144:20	3:4 6:8	96:6,15
150:6	25:11,13	145:15	185:23	substant...
stick 23:14	25:20 26:2	149:11	strike 13:25	140:7
50:2 94:20	26:9 29:3	151:21	30:7	success
sticking	29:7,11,18	152:20,23	129:17	170:25
16:22 71:9	30:6 34:9	162:25	133:23	173:18
150:15,18	35:2,12,17	163:3,4,5	strong 123:7	successf...
stir 175:1	35:17,25	163:6,25	struggling	58:5
stitched	36:1,5	164:1,2,3	93:23	suddenly
47:19	37:22 38:1	164:5,7,8	stuff 13:11	125:14
stole 159:24	38:3,6,12	165:9	41:21	159:18
stop 55:9	38:25 47:9	167:4	44:25 45:6	sue 138:23
74:25	47:16 61:7	170:3,9,13	98:22	161:8,10
stopping	74:20	173:11,20	99:21	161:12
74:24	76:15	176:5	117:3	sued 138:10
storage	90:23	178:15,21	118:9	suite 1:20
110:19,20	98:10	179:3	124:25	2:3 3:4
111:7,7,8	99:16	181:3	133:5	6:8 111:24
153:3	104:7	streamlet	142:11	185:23
store 80:9	107:9	52:6,7,19	164:1	sum 63:1
stored 21:5	108:21,25	52:20 66:5	166:10	105:6
80:10,12	109:7	68:11,14	176:21,21	super 160:19
storing	111:15	85:9,12	180:24	superior
110:21	112:13	87:9,11	subheading	131:8
Strategies	113:4,8,12	91:3	118:12	140:17
130:4	113:14,16	165:20	Subject 4:22	141:16
strategy	113:17,23	167:9,13	5:4,6	152:17,18
158:13,14	115:6	167:24	submitted	supplier
stream 19:13	118:10,13	streamlets	9:11 10:1	131:6
35:5,9	120:12,13	50:12,14	13:24 14:2	supply, '
77:4 78:2	120:19,20	50:16,18	16:17,24	122:17
78:7 82:8	121:1,8,14	50:23 51:7	18:4 34:1	support 4:13
82:11,17	121:20	51:19,24	submitting	68:17
83:3 85:3	122:3	53:9 65:18	9:14	69:13,15
110:17	124:2,8	81:15 84:1	subscribers	97:22 98:1
111:1,2	125:14	84:15,19	98:5	134:3
112:5	127:18	87:23 89:2	subscrip...	142:20
124:19	129:2	89:23	112:1,6	149:16

157:15,16	129:4	137:25	108:5	130:4
163:23	surrounding	139:12	109:3	131:8
176:14	19:21,25	146:21,21	111:19	140:6
177:15	suspect 34:2	150:12,12	115:12,13	145:16
supported	131:15	157:24	115:20	152:23
35:4 42:25	switch 21:8	181:16	118:6	158:15
supporting	55:17 57:5	taken 3:3	140:11	171:6,9,15
15:15	70:17 71:1	6:4,7	166:16	171:22
supports	82:12	20:16	talks 87:22	172:2
165:8	161:15	48:22	111:23	180:18,19
suppose 6:13	switched	60:16 93:4	120:2	181:4,8
33:9 60:24	57:16	106:21	127:21	technology'
65:10	70:21	151:8	170:12	107:8
110:18	switching	174:6	173:11	telecom
111:11	56:11	185:11	taste 79:10	130:19
131:24	65:18	takes 21:11	79:14	132:18
140:20	82:14	21:21	Taylor 4:21	133:10,14
sur 146:15	88:22	165:1	135:7,16	telecomm...
sure 13:7	sworn 6:21	169:21	135:17	132:18
30:2 35:4	185:8	talk 25:1,1	136:2,2	telenovas
62:7 71:2	186:23	38:14	TC 40:8	131:2
84:12	system 38:22	41:14	TCP 40:7,9	Televisa
85:21 90:3	73:4	49:18,19	74:3,11,13	5:10 130:4
92:19	115:14	49:20	74:18,22	130:10,13
93:19	133:5	57:23	75:11,11	130:18
95:10	141:7	65:15 70:9	75:13 76:9	131:4,4,11
99:14,17	149:16	75:19	91:7	131:12,20
104:17	152:9	78:24	tech 172:24	131:22
116:9	176:24	100:25	technical	television
124:9	systems	117:8	4:16 31:16	5:11 20:15
133:13	41:18	126:11	33:9 62:5	20:16,17
138:7,8	72:13	134:2	113:9,18	88:23
145:13	98:18	149:20	151:6	98:21
146:12		162:14	technically	101:2
151:13	T	talked 17:4	55:17	103:19
153:14,25	take 7:25	24:18 42:2	Technolo...	104:1
154:23	19:12 21:3	42:8	1:8 4:13	105:7
158:2,5,11	21:22 22:6	147:16	6:6	108:3,20
159:1	23:5 25:9	talking	technology	109:17
166:24	51:15	10:14 11:5	79:21 98:6	113:7
174:2	54:15,19	43:19	99:4 101:1	118:6,9
183:3,10	54:20	50:11 51:2	103:3	120:3,11
surprise	59:10,13	54:9 60:5	106:8	122:14,15
99:19,21	59:20	60:11 70:4	107:9,17	123:24
128:16,17	75:25 77:8	75:20,21	107:23	124:8
159:12,14	78:13 80:8	76:14	108:1,12	126:9
159:14,15	87:9,10	81:15,17	108:17,19	127:2,3,4
surprised	92:16	86:18	117:15	141:8
146:14	106:18	90:10	118:21	tell 35:1
surprising	112:16	97:13	119:6,8	82:10 86:2

103:25	44:10	16:13	74:4,5,5,6	89:22
110:10	117:9,12	18:16,17	74:25	90:11,14
119:14	testing- ...	22:1 26:23	93:21	90:15 91:9
temporarily	115:18	30:16 34:6	thought	91:12,22
83:8	Texas 2:7	34:13,16	40:24	93:1,3,5
ten 24:13,19	Thank 169:5	36:5,24	44:22 75:7	95:8,20,23
Teresa 3:5	181:11	38:16 42:8	84:1 156:6	96:12 97:9
6:9 185:4	Thanks 16:13	44:3 48:5	158:11,23	99:15
185:22	theater	48:13	159:1	100:13,20
term 13:17	126:12	61:12,20	thousands	100:21
77:1 104:6	there, '	61:23	37:22 38:5	112:16
104:7,9	122:19	63:14 68:2	three 16:8	116:13
145:9	they'd 180:3	70:13,13	28:9,14	117:16
164:25	thing 19:11	71:1 79:10	74:3,22,24	121:21
termed 10:18	20:21	79:11,14	95:3	122:23
terminology	21:19	85:20 89:9	134:24	124:8
10:16	27:21 42:2	92:25	150:11	132:2
terms 77:16	47:7 53:9	94:17	153:16	135:12
78:21	53:19,24	101:14	168:4	136:3
144:20	57:9 62:9	102:14	178:10	142:12,21
147:14	70:7 73:23	104:2,15	threw 144:22	143:9
161:23	74:16 80:3	115:1,20	Thursday 5:4	146:2,4
181:14,21	86:5 87:5	116:20	tied 34:6,8	147:11,11
test 121:19	115:14	119:15	time 7:19,20	148:16
121:19	125:19	122:24	22:14	149:12
122:6,7	133:2	123:12	24:15	150:7
124:23	140:12	124:18	26:20,25	156:8,10
tested 36:21	147:9,12	131:1,3	27:6 28:6	158:7,10
36:21	149:12	133:23	28:10 30:5	159:8
121:18	156:14	137:19	30:17 34:9	167:4
124:23	158:20	138:2,20	34:14	171:24
testified	170:5	139:10	38:24	174:4,7
6:22	180:22	143:20,22	39:17 41:1	182:10
testify	things 11:21	147:14	41:4,15,22	183:14
177:14	19:18 23:7	148:15	44:13	185:11
185:8	23:12	150:6	46:13,15	timeline
testimony	25:23	151:24	48:1,2,3,9	47:5,13
8:9,16	57:14 59:1	155:18	48:20,23	56:7,10,14
92:11	59:18	159:19,24	56:10 58:6	56:20 57:2
98:11	60:23 71:6	162:4,17	61:13 62:8	times 7:10
102:24	73:4,13	162:25	66:15	15:21,22
104:21	74:18	169:16	67:25	24:13,19
105:10	75:16 92:2	171:17	69:12,21	42:17
106:14	98:14	173:23	70:18,22	78:20,21
111:18	102:7	180:6	72:1 74:23	96:2 130:8
117:2	145:16	thinking	75:23	title 127:17
133:12	161:24	27:17	78:10,10	titled
152:5	176:10,13	85:19	80:14,15	117:23
184:4	think 13:5	125:21	80:17 82:1	today 6:3,9
testing	13:10	third 51:17	89:1,7,10	8:9,17,21

10:1 12:8	tradeoff	134:7	123:24	54:16
12:14,22	53:4	137:13	130:22	59:19 66:4
32:14	tradeoffs	140:24	133:5	77:16 82:9
40:12	53:6	175:4	157:18	82:19,24
73:20	traditional	184:4	164:8	83:17
78:21	20:17 21:6	185:14	TV's 101:23	84:24
113:13	23:3 77:22	truth 6:22	109:16	85:16 87:9
163:25	110:14	185:9	TVs 99:10	87:10
today's	180:4	truthful	twice 116:19	167:21
183:15	traditio...	8:16	two 16:1	type 50:15
told 24:13	125:11	try 69:13	21:23	133:2
46:8 84:23	trailer	72:2 87:6	26:12 51:4	163:18
86:12	26:16	180:23	51:8,16,18	164:1
87:18 88:6	transact...	trying 13:10	55:1 56:24	typewritten
143:2	111:25	13:16 25:1	60:1 68:3	185:13
152:3	transcript	41:22 90:6	68:7,12,13	typically
156:5	8:3 182:15	95:19	68:15,20	51:4 58:11
157:9	184:2	124:15	68:21 69:6	59:3 67:10
182:18	185:14	130:13	74:25 77:8	77:9 78:7
Tom 136:18	transmis...	156:10	77:11	82:2,8
tonight	40:10	157:21,24	78:11,13	84:2,5
183:4,7	108:2,20	158:7,20	82:2,18,20	87:24 95:8
Tony 130:3	transparent	162:16	83:14,19	95:16,18
131:19	57:10	Tuesday 3:3	83:20 84:2	96:1
top 63:15	transports	turn 17:3	84:3,6,16	163:18,24
109:5,12	71:23	106:4	84:19	typo 17:9
119:24	travel 8:22	107:13	85:22,25	
135:1	177:14	137:10	86:13,14	U
136:7	tremendo...	turnaround	86:16,20	UK 132:10,12
155:8,11	64:21	182:17	87:10,18	132:13,14
178:6	TRIAL 1:2	turning 46:4	87:24 88:3	132:16,21
total 62:23	tried 22:15	TV 4:17	88:5,10	ultimately
63:1,15,16	40:23	20:20	90:14,15	139:22
63:22	131:12,15	31:13,16	91:20 94:1	176:23
totally	158:6	32:15	94:5,17,21	Um-hum 30:4
18:23 32:4	175:25	76:15	94:22 95:9	unclear 85:6
85:21 88:2	tries 69:17	79:23 81:3	95:17,21	underlying
90:3	true 10:20	98:4,9,11	95:25 96:4	40:11
156:15	12:5 15:4	99:4,7,13	96:17,17	64:22
159:18	17:6 18:7	99:15,25	97:5,8	87:15
touched	36:12 41:5	100:25	116:18,18	141:20
65:20	51:19 52:4	101:1,4,19	116:19,20	142:10
70:13	63:19 64:9	102:1	126:15	underneath
tough 175:1	64:15 88:2	104:5	128:22	82:14
tracking	96:18 98:1	105:21	146:22	86:16
116:13	102:22	108:8	163:18	134:11
Trade 160:9	108:12	109:7	167:18	understand
160:12	113:24	110:12	178:6	8:19 9:7
TRADEMARK	115:17	111:19	two-second	13:17
1:1	122:20	113:12,14	21:10	14:25

36:19	unthrottled	8:19 33:5	versus 6:5	65:2,2
73:11	75:2	33:9,17	53:2 59:6	66:1,2,4
84:14	upfront 80:4	132:8	65:2	67:9,12,21
100:17	80:22	171:1,6,9	vice 31:11	68:16 69:7
108:4	upholding	171:14,21	vid 47:15	69:23,24
109:16	32:20	172:2,10	video 1:3,24	69:24 70:6
110:6	use 20:21	172:18	3:1 18:7	70:8 72:19
112:4	23:3 37:25	173:16	19:12,13	73:9,22
120:11	38:1,2	177:9	19:14,16	74:1,19
142:6	39:5 51:16	UVEF 5:17	19:19,22	75:22
162:16	55:1 61:14	172:18,23	19:25 20:3	76:19 77:3
175:23	71:11,18	172:25	20:4,10	77:4,5,15
176:17	71:23 72:3		21:3,7,10	77:22 78:6
understa...	72:14 73:7	V	21:12,14	78:24
8:25 9:3	77:16 80:6	vague 12:23	21:19 22:2	79:23 80:3
11:7,10	98:9 99:13	159:6	22:4,7,25	80:7,13,16
12:18 25:4	99:18,23	validity 9:8	23:5 24:6	80:16,17
35:21	134:12,17	32:21	24:12,12	80:23 82:7
41:21	145:18	Valley 33:5	24:23 25:6	82:11,14
102:18	161:1	33:17	25:7 26:1	82:16,19
142:7	167:1,23	171:1	26:4,6,6,8	82:24 83:9
143:8	user 22:7,18	172:10,19	26:11 27:3	84:15,18
145:14	22:23	value 25:10	27:5,16,21	85:3,23
146:10	57:13 60:2	161:23,25	28:9,19,22	86:1,3,5
150:16,19	66:17 67:9	variable	29:1,9,12	86:14,15
156:19	70:18,20	22:9	29:14	90:22 92:7
160:11	70:22	varies 21:15	30:15 35:2	104:13
understood	88:21	various 15:8	35:5,17,25	125:23
14:19	116:21	76:21,21	36:1,9,11	129:3
36:23 40:6	165:19,23	81:20	38:15	132:25
59:12	168:10	165:17	39:22 40:3	133:5
61:20	user's 57:10	166:20	40:5,25	141:8
93:19	166:4	vary 21:14	41:15	142:11
119:13	users 38:11	61:16	47:16,17	143:9
166:10	98:18	vast 73:19	47:20,22	145:21
undesirable	uses 73:20	VC1/Silv...	50:12,16	146:11,11
57:20	99:4	5:7	50:16,16	146:20,22
UNIDENTI...	113:14	Venable 1:15	50:23	146:22
101:10	127:24	6:14	51:12,17	147:11
unique 109:8	128:2	verbal 8:4	51:18 52:4	151:21
109:21	144:9	version	53:8,20,20	152:25
unique 66:13	164:10	29:11	54:2,5,7,8	161:24
UNITED 1:1	165:1	35:11	54:15	163:16
University	usually	154:21,22	56:24,25	164:8
117:23	51:20	154:23	58:12	168:6,8,12
unmute	55:19 65:3	168:1	60:13,20	168:13,18
101:10	80:25	versions	62:24 63:2	176:5
unratified	94:17	35:22 56:1	64:2,4,8	182:7
144:3	180:1	62:20 64:2	64:15,19	video's
	Utah 7:8	66:1 161:6	64:20,22	86:14

video-re... 6:4	17:8 25:2	watch 26:16	we'll 7:23	49:11
videocon... 124:4	25:18	27:8,9	23:11	well-known 73:16
videogra... 2:11 6:2	27:15	28:9,14	38:19,22	went 22:6,20
6:10,23	28:13	74:15,15	43:17	49:17
48:20,23	57:20 62:9	74:16	114:25	174:24
93:2,5	76:24	75:18	123:15	175:4,8
174:4,7	92:22	watched 22:4	133:23	180:25
183:14	114:9	watching 29:6,9	181:16	weren't 133:2
videos 51:19	140:20	65:1	we're 6:2	137:20
84:24	161:22	116:15	48:21,24	138:23
110:16,16	165:7	125:23	60:1 77:7	149:2,9
116:16	181:21,22	126:4	78:3 81:15	West 1:16
142:18	181:23	129:2	93:3,6	7:7 127:6
146:21	182:5	157:5	122:15	127:14
147:11	183:9	168:10	147:14,14	128:7,10
view 170:24	wanted 22:7	water 48:18	162:6	wheel 61:1
viewed 125:15	25:19	waters 48:19	174:5,8	whereof 185:19
viewers 42:25	26:16 27:4	way 10:22	182:9,14	WiFi 28:8
viewing 70:11	29:24	19:20 20:9	we've 24:20	willing 121:23,23
virtual 47:5	33:24 38:1	22:4,22	42:2,8	Wilson 2:11
47:13	38:2 42:1	23:4 27:22	72:16	49:6,6,8
visit 8:24	55:18	30:6 35:5	81:18 92:5	Windows 137:3
136:4	77:19	36:20	93:17	windup 159:8
visited 49:14,16	93:18	38:12	111:22	wish 32:11
visitor 92:22	95:10	47:15	129:21	122:19
visual 128:2	122:7	56:23	web 21:6	186:2
VP 130:4	124:19	63:21	24:22	witness 12:9
148:10,15	125:5	68:25 69:1	28:24,25	49:24
vs 1:7	134:12	71:4 73:12	39:20	89:17
	149:10,13	73:18	45:18	112:14
	149:21	75:16	67:10	138:17
	150:7	77:21	71:12,16	162:20
	157:17	78:23	71:17,19	170:4
	158:12,24	79:22	72:2,13,17	177:18
	176:15	88:20	90:19	178:2
	Warren 7:8	93:24	120:3	181:10
	wasn't 18:21	108:24	122:16	185:19
	20:20	124:2	145:18	witness's 9:19
	24:25 25:7	140:20	153:2	101:11
	25:10 26:8	146:13,18	160:4	won 145:4
	29:7 44:17	147:3	169:7,18	word 13:18
	57:13	162:24	website 101:23	50:13,18
	99:15	182:12	102:1	50:21
	138:8	Wayback 5:11	websites 15:5 98:20	60:24 89:4
	147:13	127:10	Webware 4:20	
	153:18	ways 20:7	169:7	
	155:2,19	53:22 54:5	week 120:9	
	156:14,23	54:6 58:25	Welcome	
	171:11	147:1		
	172:6			
W				
W 118:21				
wait 26:13				
27:9 28:3				
28:4,6				
39:11,13				
79:7,8				
96:10				
Wales 180:23				
walking 129:1				
want 13:23				

89:21	90:24	Y 5:14	84:13 85:5	150:13
94:25	113:16,17	117:23	85:5,7,11	151:2
110:8	125:14	yeah 8:22	86:4,4,9	152:1,24
164:24	129:1,15	9:11 10:22	86:18,22	153:21,22
words 115:8	154:20	12:2 13:14	86:25	155:10,10
154:17	155:22	13:14,15	87:20 88:1	155:11,12
work 10:13	world 5:9	13:19 14:3	88:5,5,10	155:13
19:20	37:21	15:4 18:24	89:19,25	158:5
22:11,11	118:24	23:2 25:16	90:3,8	159:10
25:6 31:7	131:1	28:25	91:5,17	160:3,5
33:13 34:6	worldwide	29:16,22	92:3,13,19	162:4
34:9 36:22	121:19	30:11,12	92:24	163:16
38:8,18,21	worry 101:15	31:1,6	93:13 94:5	164:23
40:22,24	worth 121:14	32:16	94:20 95:6	165:5
40:25 41:9	wouldn't	33:11 34:8	95:19 96:9	166:18
43:4,10	18:18	35:20,20	96:12,22	167:14,14
44:22 47:3	38:10	36:8 38:13	97:1,24	167:18
47:8 68:5	55:13	38:16 39:2	98:16	168:5
101:3	67:13,14	40:8,9,12	100:2	169:14,15
103:18	85:16 86:7	42:7 43:16	102:10,25	169:24
105:24	99:21	45:10,11	104:23	171:13,20
113:8	116:20	45:13,22	107:22	172:11,16
124:20	147:5	49:17 51:3	110:8,23	173:4,7,11
129:15	158:9	51:11,25	111:6,9,12	173:21
132:14,17	161:15	53:6,11	111:19	174:16,20
148:17	wow 125:16	54:3,11,13	113:19	175:10,13
170:13	126:4	54:23	116:18,19	175:15,22
171:19,20	128:25	55:12,25	118:4,8,10	178:1
173:3	129:14	56:1 57:15	120:10,22	179:1,9
worked 19:19	156:16	57:22,25	121:24	180:4,9,13
66:19 73:5	180:3	58:24,25	124:14	180:22
105:16	wreaks 74:19	58:25 59:6	129:3	182:19,23
117:15	written	59:9,17,23	130:20,25	year 138:21
130:11	122:25	60:12,25	131:4,19	154:18,22
140:22	wrote 108:6	62:21 63:4	131:19	155:19
141:7,7,8	122:21	63:10,10	133:6,17	174:25
152:4,7,9	168:25	63:16 64:5	133:21	years 14:16
working 25:7		65:8 66:15	137:23	23:1,23
30:18	X	66:19	139:3,17	29:13
44:13 46:3	X 4:1	67:20 68:5	139:18	43:24
133:10	XLon 25:5,12	68:13 70:6	140:7	48:11
135:19	25:18,25	71:4,8,19	142:19	102:21
144:4,8	26:1 27:20	72:5 73:14	143:7,10	103:2
works 20:15	28:18	73:20 76:2	143:12,15	115:10
69:17	41:22	76:6 78:7	143:15,25	131:23
72:15	44:13,16	80:2,10,25	144:11	150:10,11
74:11	46:13	81:1,13	145:17	153:16
79:21	180:9	83:6,6,6	146:9,17	159:2
88:20		83:18,21	146:21,24	170:25
89:14	Y	83:25	148:15	173:18

180:10	1:12	147 5:15	93:22	50:3 106:3
yesterday	10,469,555	15 52:1	2.1 84:17	131:25
15:17 16:5	1:13	58:20	85:17	132:4
16:8,9	10,951,680	102:21	20 23:1	138:20
yielding	1:12	103:2	29:13	155:2
90:21	10/21/14 4:8	150 174:25	97:19 98:1	159:9,10
yields 88:13	10:03 48:22	175:14	200 2:3	166:13,17
89:2,8,23	48:23	151 1:16	2000 166:12	175:5,9,12
York 1:17,17	10:54 93:3,4	154 4:7	2000s 22:2	175:24
Young 117:22	100 78:20	155 4:9	26:16 29:4	176:9
YouTube	168:1	15th 3:4 6:7	41:2,2	180:6,9,15
26:18 27:3	1001 2:3	102:12	2003 39:18	180:15
39:3	10036 1:17	148:11	41:15,19	2012 4:16
	101 1:20	16 4:12	42:10	62:2
Z	4:17	52:13	44:14,15	2013 4:17
zero 90:14	1080p 59:8,9	93:19	73:18 75:6	101:7,9,17
Zoom 2:11,12	70:21	168 4:20	2004 40:14	103:16
2:12,13	11 50:5	16th 185:23	75:7	107:13,15
101:14	57:24	17 1:4 3:3	2005 30:18	109:6,13
164:1,5	65:16	81:5,12	30:21	113:23
	70:10 71:9	87:22 92:4	103:1	115:6
0	73:7	94:25 95:1	115:19	171:2
04/03/07	123:19	173 4:18	117:12	172:9
5:13	11,430,138	177 4:4	153:21,24	177:21
09 133:21	17:10	17th 6:3	154:15	2015 4:18
	11,470,138	183:19	155:7,12	100:22
1	1:11 17:16	18 97:11	155:14	173:17,23
1 4:7 47:25	17:20	180 175:1,14	171:7,25	174:10,15
48:1 54:20	11,677,798	19 62:10,16	180:6,12	2018 106:8
55:2,2,7	1:11	62:17	2005/102...	106:12
55:10,20	11/24/05 4:9	79:16,20	4:9	2019 106:8
56:2 57:7	11/26/07 5:6	97:19,23	2006 30:16	2020 102:12
90:14	11:09 93:4,5	99:3	103:7	102:18,19
121:9,25	114 17:11,13	1999 33:15	115:11,16	106:12
122:2	1144 3:4 6:7	1st 148:8	115:20	2022 4:20
154:3,6	117 5:14		147:23	169:2,5
167:11,24	12 22:17	2	148:8,12	170:3,9
1.9 86:23	61:23	2 4:9 31:19	148:23,25	2024 1:4 3:3
87:11,19	63:19 64:7	48:1 54:20	151:18	6:3 183:19
1:04 174:6,7	64:14 65:3	55:3,3,8	171:11	184:11
1:13 183:15	76:12,18	55:10 75:8	2007 157:16	185:20
183:18	12-frame...	90:15	2008 133:21	186:23
10 43:18	64:19 65:1	91:14 98:5	134:4	2031 4:21
58:14 59:6	12:56 174:4	107:13,14	137:16	134:21,25
59:6,7	12:57 174:6	107:15	2009 133:8	136:1
77:16	126 5:8,11	109:5,13	133:20	2032 5:3
106:4	134 4:21 5:3	155:4,9	159:12	134:22
174:2	5:6	174:14,15	2010 4:12	136:6
10,000 24:21	138 17:22	175:16	5:9 16:16	2033 5:6
10,469,544	14 173:15	2-second	18:5 30:23	134:21

136:24	30 17:13	4K 58:14	800 1:24
2042 5:8	58:18	59:6 69:24	80202 3:5
126:16	61:21		6:8 185:23
129:19, 24	63:23 65:2	5	84058 7:8
132:7	139:20	5 167:5, 6	863 7:7
2043 5:11	144:12	5/15/07 4:22	87 118:22
126:16, 19	166:22	500 166:25	
129:7	167:25	500-kilo...	9
133:23	30-frame...	166:22	9 22:17
2051 5:14	64:20 65:2	54 63:18	41:11 42:5
117:19, 22	30-second	555 17:22	42:23
119:19	51:25		79:18
121:4	31 147:18, 20	6	9:00 3:4 6:3
2055 5:15	147:21	6 32:25	9:50 48:20
148:1, 4	152:21	118:12	48:22
2068 5:17	157:10	119:19, 24	90s 25:24, 25
33:6	159:11	120:21	910 2:7
172:12, 14	310-1769	167:5, 6	94111 1:20
2069 5:19	1:24	6/16/07 5:3	94304-1007
33:10	32 63:15, 16	600 7:7	2:4
21 100:23	164:13	58:18	96 63:18
106:3	32-rate 64:7	185:23	99.99 95:20
213 103:16	64:13	62 4:16	
216 185:23	3212.218...	650.739....	
22 115:9	1:17	2:4	
116:25	33 5:17		
23 117:8	168:23	7	
23rd 185:20	35 116:1	7 4:3 34:18	
24 120:9	36 170:18, 21	34:22	
123:19	170:22	37:10	
126:15	173:12	38:14	
24/7 120:12	3600 3:5 6:8	119:25	
2400 63:23	3800 1:20	121:3, 4	
24K 59:6		713.229....	
24th 154:15	4	2:8	
155:7, 14	4 18:10	71st 107:20	
25 133:25	23:15	720p 162:2	
134:21	167:22, 24	77002 2:7	
27 137:11	40 43:24	772 153:19	
28th 155:12	400 58:19	153:23	
2K 69:24	167:20, 20	154:4	
	167:21		
3	415.343....	8	
3 17:3, 12	1:21	8 81:5	
18:1 19:4	42nd 1:16	120:23	
48:2 54:20	430, 138	122:9, 13	
91:3 135:7	17:14	8, 868, 772	
135:18, 20	45 97:14	4:7	
135:21	139:11, 14	80 118:22	
136:5	139:15	175:11	