

From: [Matthew Smith](#)
To: [Trials](#)
Cc: [Levine, Russell E.](#); [Zhang, Jiaxiao](#); [#481 IPR Delta](#); [Glucoft, Josh](#); [Strickland, Nyika O.](#); [Knapp, Tiffany M.](#); [Beth Laughton](#); [Andrew Baluch](#); [Amy Greywitt](#)
Subject: Delta Electronics v. Vicor Corporation, IPR2024-00187
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 5:50:18 PM

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Dear Honorable Board,

Patent Owner in the above-referenced proceeding writes to request that the Board enter, as an order, an agreement relating to a word-count issue per the Consolidated Trial Practice Guide, pp. 39-40 (“The Board expects the parties to take reasonable steps to remedy any such [word-count] issues before approaching the Board.”).

As background, the parties disputed whether the Petition violated the word count of 37 CFR 42.24(a)(1)(i). The parties conferred regarding the issue, and reached the following agreement:

Patent Owner requests, and Petitioner does not oppose, that the Board raise the word count allotted to the Patent Owner Preliminary Response under 37 CFR 42.24(b)(1) to 21,000 words. If the requested word count is granted, Patent Owner will not make arguments, in the Preliminary Response or otherwise, that Patent Owner was prejudiced by the word count in the Petition or that the Board should enforce the word count limit for any other reason.

The parties are available for a conference call should the Board deem one advisable.

Respectfully,

M. Smith
Counsel for Patent Owner

Matthew A. Smith
SMITH BALUCH LLP
(202) 669-6207
smith@smithbaluch.com