

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

VIDEO SOLUTIONS PTE. LTD.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00194
Patent 9,204,099 B2

Before MICHAEL R. ZECHER, BARBARA A. PARVIS, and
JULIET MITCHELL DIRBA, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

DIRBA, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

TERMINATION
Due to Settlement After Institution of Trial
35 U.S.C. § 317; 37 C.F.R. § 42.74

With our authorization, Cisco Systems, Inc. (“Petitioner”) and Video Solutions Pte. Ltd. (“Patent Owner”) filed a Joint Motion to Terminate this proceeding due to a settlement between the parties (Paper 31; “Joint Motion”), and in support, the parties filed their Settlement Agreement (Ex. 1041; “Agreement”). In addition, the parties filed a Joint Request to Treat the Settlement Agreement as Business Confidential Information. Paper 32 (“Joint Request”).

In the Joint Motion, the parties state that they have resolved their dispute with respect to the challenged patent. Joint Motion 1. The parties represent that the Agreement (Ex. 1041) is a true and accurate copy of their agreement, and the parties represent that the Agreement is the sole and entire agreement between them made in connection with, or in contemplation of, terminating this proceeding. Joint Motion 1. In the Joint Request, the parties request that the Agreement be kept separate from the file of the involved patent and be made available only under specific conditions, as contemplated by 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c). Joint Request 2.

We *grant* the Joint Motion and the Joint Request. Although we instituted a trial in this proceeding on March 28, 2024 (Paper 7 (Institution Decision)), we have not yet decided the merits of this proceeding or entered a final written decision. Under these circumstances, we are persuaded that it is appropriate to terminate the proceeding. *See* 35 U.S.C. § 317(a). Also, we determine that the Agreement contains confidential business information regarding the terms of settlement, and therefore, we determine that good cause exists to treat it as business confidential information pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

This Order does not constitute a final written decision pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a).

Accordingly, it is:

ORDERED that the Joint Motion to Terminate (Paper 31) is *granted*, and this proceeding is *terminated*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the Joint Request to Treat the Settlement Agreement as Business Confidential Information (Paper 32) is *granted*, and the Exhibit 1041 shall be kept separate from the file of U.S. Patent No. 9,204,099 B2, and shall be made available only to Federal Government agencies on written request, or to any person on a showing of good cause, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

IPR2024-00194
Patent 9,204,099 B2

For PETITIONER:

Theodore Foster
David McCombs
Eugene Goryunov
Brett Bostrom
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
ipr.theo.foster@haynesboone.com
david.mccombs.ipr@haynesboone.com,
eugene.goryunov.ipr@haynesboone.com,
brett.bostrom.ipr@haynesboone.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Christopher Hoff
Mark Nelson
Steven Shipe
Chloe Margulis
David Lisch
Joshua Larsen
BARNES & THORNBURG LLP
chris.hoff@btlaw.com
mnelson@btlaw.com
steven.shipe@btlaw.com
cmargulis@btlaw.com
david.lisch@btlaw.com
joshua.larsen@btlaw.com