

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

SECURITY FIRST INNOVATIONS, LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00214 (Patent 11,068,609 B2)
IPR2024-00215 (Patent 10,452,854 B2)¹

Before THOMAS L. GIANNETTI, JASON M. REPKO, and
STEPHEN E. BELISLE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

BELISLE, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Granting Patent Owner's Motions for
Pro Hac Vice Admission of Nathan Nobu Lowenstein and Colette Woo
37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)

¹ This Order addresses substantively identical motions filed in each of the above-captioned proceedings. We exercise our discretion to issue one Order to cover all proceedings. The parties are not authorized to use this style heading in any subsequent papers.

IPR2024-00214 (Patent 11,068,609 B2)
IPR2024-00215 (Patent 10,452,854 B2)

Patent Owner filed Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Nathan Nobu Lowenstein (Paper 11²) and Colette Woo (Paper 12) in each of the above-captioned proceedings. Patent Owner submitted Declarations of Nathan Nobu Lowenstein (Ex. 2001) and Colette Woo (Ex. 2002) in support of each Motion. Patent Owner states that Petitioner does not oppose the Motions. Paper 11, 3; Paper 12, 1. For the reasons provided below, Patent Owner's Motions are *granted*.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing a motion for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639, Paper 7 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (representative “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission”).

Based on the facts set forth in the Motions and the accompanying Declarations, we conclude that Mr. Lowenstein and Ms. Woo have sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Patent Owner in these proceedings, have demonstrated sufficient litigation experience and familiarity with the subject matter of these proceedings, and meet all other requirements for admission *pro hac vice*. *See* Ex. 2001 ¶¶ 1–10, 15; Ex. 2002 ¶¶ 1–10, 14. Accordingly, Patent Owner has established good

² This Order cites to papers and exhibits filed in IPR2024-00214. Corresponding items were filed in IPR2024-00215.

IPR2024-00214 (Patent 11,068,609 B2)
IPR2024-00215 (Patent 10,452,854 B2)

cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Lowenstein and Ms. Woo.

Mr. Lowenstein and Ms. Woo will be permitted to serve as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

We note that Patent Owner filed a Power of Attorney including Mr. Lowenstein and Ms. Woo in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b). Paper 5. Patent Owner has also filed Mandatory Notices identifying Mr. Lowenstein and Ms. Woo as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3). Paper 4.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that Patent Owner's Motions for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Lowenstein and Ms. Woo are *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel in these proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Lowenstein and Ms. Woo are authorized to act as back-up counsel only in these proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Lowenstein and Ms. Woo be familiar with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019))³ and comply with the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Lowenstein and Ms. Woo are subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the

³ Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2024-00214 (Patent 11,068,609 B2)
IPR2024-00215 (Patent 10,452,854 B2)

USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

FOR PETITIONER:

Elisabeth H. Hunt
Gregory S. Nieberg
WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.
EHunt-PTAB@wolfgreenfield.com
GNieberg-PTAB@wolfgreenfield.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Stephen J. Elliott
Andrei Iancu
SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP
elliotts@sullcrom.com
iancua@sullcrom.com

Kenneth Weatherwax
LOWENSTEIN & WEATHERWAX LLP
Weatherwax@lowensteinweatherwax.com