

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SHARKNINJA, INC., SHARKNINJA OPERATING LLC,
SHARKNINJA MANAGEMENT LLC, AND
SHARKNINJA SALES COMPANY,
Petitioner,

v.

DYSON TECHNOLOGY LIMITED,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00219
Patent 11,589,660 B2

Before JAMES A. TARTAL, FRANCES L. IPPOLITO, and
MATTHEW S. MEYERS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

MEYERS, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION

Motion to Correct Petition
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(c)

Pursuant to our authorization, Petitioner filed an “Unopposed Motion to Correct Petition” on March 19, 2024. Paper 7 (“Motion,” “Mot.”). Petitioner seeks to correct what it describes as inadvertent typographical errors that “occurred due to internal editing” with respect to its discussion of claim elements 33[a]–33[d] in Ground 6. Mot. 1. More particularly, Petitioner states that “[t]he Ground 6 discussion of claim elements 33[a]–33[d] contains four internal cross-references that respectively reference the discussion in Sections IV.C.2.a–d, rather than Sections IV.D.2.b–e.” *Id.* Accompanying its Motion, Petitioner filed a Redline of Proposed Corrections to Petition (Ex. 1011). According to Petitioner, Patent Owner does not oppose the Motion. Mot. 2.

When determining whether to grant a motion to correct a petition, the Board will consider any substantive effect, including any effect on the patent owner’s ability to file a preliminary response. We have reviewed the Motion, as well as the proposed changes to the Petition, and determine that the mistakes made constitute clerical errors based on inadvertently cross-referencing Sections IV.C.2.a–d rather than Sections IV.D.2.b–e while discussing claims elements 33[a]–33[d] in Ground 6. The proposed corrections did not affect Patent Owner’s ability to file its preliminary response.

Accordingly, the Motion is granted with no change in the November 29, 2023 filing date accorded to the Petition. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(c); Paper 3, 1.

ORDER

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby:

ORDERED that Petitioner’s Unopposed Motion to Correct Petition under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(c) is granted; and

IPR2024-00219
Patent 11,589,660 B2

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner is authorized to refile, within 5 business days from the entry of this Decision, the Revised Petition as a Paper.

PETITIONER:

Brian Buroker
Shuo Zhang
Nathan Curtis
Vivian Lu
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
bburoker@gibsondunn.com
szhang@gibsondunn.com
ncurtis@gibsondunn.com
vlu@gibsondunn.com

PATENT OWNER:

Christopher P Bruenjes
John G. Smith
FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP
christopher.bruenjes@faegredrinker.com
john.smith@faegredrinker.com