

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
ST. JOSEPH DIVISION

PROVISUR TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	)
	)
Plaintiff,	)
vs.	) Case No.
	) 21-cv-6113-SRB
WEBER, INC., et al.,	)
	) September 5, 2023
Defendants.	)

.....
TRANSCRIPT OF SCHEDULING HEARING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEPHEN R. BOUGH
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Proceedings recorded by electronic stenography
Transcript produced by computer

APPEARANCES

For the Plaintiff:	MR. MICHAEL BABBITT Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP 300 N. LaSalle Drive, Ste 50th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60654
	MR. JEFFREY SIMON MS. TAYLOR BROOKE CONCANNON HAUSMANN Husch Blackwell LLP - KCMO 4801 Main Street, Suite 1000 Kansas City, Missouri 64112
For the Defendants:	MR. ADAM PRESCOTT SEITZ MS. CAROLINE A. BADER MS. MEGAN REDMOND Erise IP, P.A. 7015 College Blvd., Suite 700 Overland Park, Kansas 66211
	MR. JASON SCOTT LEIKER Levy Craig Law Firm 4520 Main Street, Suite 1600 Kansas City, Missouri 64111

1 TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 5, 2023

2 THE COURT: We are here on the case of Provisur v.
3 Weber, Case No. 21-6113. May I have appearances by the
4 parties, please?

5 MR. BABBITT: Good morning, Your Honor. Michael
6 Babbitt for the plaintiff Provisur with Taylor Concannon
7 Hausmann, and Mr. Jeff Simon.

8 MR. SEITZ: Morning, Your Honor. Adam Seitz on
9 behalf of defendant Weber. Joining me today is my partner
10 Megan Redmond and Carrie Bader, and I believe you know
11 Mr. Leiker from the prior litigation.

12 THE COURT: I do know Mr. Leiker, and we like him.

13 Mr. Seitz, you're in trouble. You should just at
14 least know that we like Mr. Leiker and Ms. Concannon Hausmann
15 more than anybody else so far that have appeared in this
16 litigation.

17 MR. SEITZ: I understand that.

18 THE COURT: Just go ahead and run with that. We
19 like them all.

20 So as we were discussing just briefly before we were
21 on the record, I'm well-aware of the motion to sever that has
22 been filed by Provisur, and as I mentioned to the parties
23 before we were on the record, my plan is to let due process
24 work its way out and that Weber have a chance to respond and
25 Provisur has a chance to reply. And then we'll get a very

1 prompt ruling on that.

2 But as we are moving ahead here, it's part of the
3 case, and so we're going to schedule it as part of the case.
4 And we're going to schedule it in a way that puts the foot on
5 the gas pedal because, as mentioned in Weber's submission on
6 the scheduling order, it would be nice to be done with all
7 this. So the only way to be done is to put a big, fat
8 scheduling order together that's in compliance with our local
9 rules, patent local rules.

10 We've also traded some emails on this topic. I know
11 everybody in this courtroom right now pretty well. Mr. Simon
12 was the one that envisioned us having local patent rules,
13 poked me about it maybe for this case, maybe not for this
14 case. I don't know why he wanted patent local rules that
15 much, but Judge Teeter did most of the work over in DKan. We
16 stole most of that work with her blessing over here.

17 And as we've also mentioned, my son went to school
18 with Mr. Buresh's son, and Mr. Seitz and I watched numerous
19 basketball games and baseball games together. So just putting
20 all that out on the record in case anybody needs to bring that
21 up at a later time.

22 What do you think about a trial for November 18th of
23 2024?

24 MR. SEITZ: That's fine with me, Your Honor.

25 MR. BABBITT: We look forward to it, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Well, I'm struggling saying I'm going to
2 look forward to it as well, but we are going to look forward
3 to it.

4 And then a final pretrial conference we could run
5 out on November 8th, 2024, at 10 a.m.

6 MR. SEITZ: That's fine as well, Your Honor. Thank
7 you.

8 MR. BABBITT: Yes, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: You have a host of submissions that gave
10 me a Weber option and a Provisur option. And if I'm to
11 summarize them, it seems like Provisur's -- most of their
12 opposition is that we don't think the patent counterclaims
13 should be included, and that's the main opposition. Is that
14 fair?

15 MR. BABBITT: Yes, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: I'm going to, as I mentioned, run out
17 the schedule that envisions the patent counterclaims being
18 there because they're there and just pick dates, mostly
19 Weber's, to come up with those, and then get you a
20 supplemental scheduling order.

21 How do we envision this playing out with Markman
22 hearings, Adam? I apologize if I end up calling people by
23 their first name. Mr. Seitz. Hopefully I didn't offend you.

24 MR. SEITZ: If that's my offense for the day, I'm
25 doing all right.

1 THE COURT: I do like your socks.

2 MR. SEITZ: Thank you. They're flamingos.

3 THE COURT: Yeah.

4 MR. SEITZ: I think Markman is going to be
5 relatively narrow. From our standpoint for the claims, the
6 patent claims from Provisur, I don't see a lot of, if any,
7 additional Markman. I want to look through that one last time
8 once we see their infringement contentions, once they serve
9 those on the two new patents.

10 Looking through the record from the prior case and
11 understanding that plain and ordinary is a pretty good
12 position for most folks to be in, I think that most of our
13 patent claims are plain and ordinary. They're not difficult
14 or complex claims or claim terms. I don't envision burdening
15 the court with much Markman at all. If that's a concern, I
16 would be open to even talking about limiting the number of
17 claims -- claim terms that we could construe.

18 But from my standpoint, I'm not going to be
19 presenting you with a bunch of Markman terms for construction.

20 THE COURT: What I have discovered is that we can
21 often have disputes about what plain and ordinary mean, even
22 if one side doesn't think that.

23 What do you think about it over here, Mr. Babbitt?

24 MR. BABBITT: Yes, Your Honor. And, you know, I'd
25 say I agree with Mr. Seitz in as far as it goes with respect

1 to the patents that are already in the case, the Provisur
2 patents. When we talk about the Weber patents, I got these
3 claims a week ago. I'm still reading --

4 THE COURT: You sound just like Weber did a couple
5 months ago.

6 MR. BABBITT: Point taken, Your Honor.

7 So to go from a complaint where we haven't even
8 answered to a Markman brief in November, that is
9 unprecedented. That's not in line with the local patent
10 rules. So the dates in there are, you know, same thing with
11 invalidity claims, it's too fast for us. We've got four new
12 products, all new stuff.

13 So I don't have a position on claim construction
14 right now. I'm looking at it. We would need a little bit
15 more time on that.

16 THE COURT: Sounds fair.

17 I will tell you, though, we need to get this thing
18 done and everybody -- last time I was griping at Weber, you
19 know, put your saddle on, put it on tight, we're going to ride
20 hard. Put your saddle on.

21 MR. BABBITT: We're ready to do that, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: And as we mentioned limiting the number
23 of claims, Mr. Simon may have been the godfather of our patent
24 local rules, but he's the one that introduced me to the
25 Northern District of Illinois local patent rules that I now

1 keep with my patent rules because they seem and the parties
2 seem to agree that making up arbitrary limitations on the
3 number of things that can be argued is apparently okay in
4 patent law. So feel free to cite to the Northern District of
5 Illinois local patent rules quite a bit because I'm carrying
6 them around and we seem to be dragging those over into these
7 cases as well.

8 So my plan is to get you a big, fat scheduling order
9 today. I would imagine, Mr. Seitz, you are ready to argue the
10 motion to sever. So don't dillydally around. Just get it on
11 file, your response.

12 MR. SEITZ: Yes.

13 THE COURT: If you can. You've got other cases, I'm
14 sure.

15 MR. SEITZ: Other than the holiday weekend, we're
16 going to get to it right when we get back to the office.
17 We'll get it on file as soon as we can.

18 THE COURT: Feel free to -- I know your firm spends
19 a lot of time trying cases in Texas, and I haven't figured out
20 how the fine folks in Texas get all the patent cases. I don't
21 want to interfere in that. They seem to have processes there
22 that work out for them, but I am interested in learning from
23 the folks on how quickly they do things down there. And that
24 might mean I actually have to have a better understanding of
25 what the heck Markman is, because they seem to rule on those

1 orally and move them really, really quick. And I'm not
2 capable of doing that.

3 But I am interested in learning how those folks in
4 Texas move their cases because we've got one here that needs
5 to be moved.

6 We scheduled some -- or appointed a mediator in this
7 case. How's that going? Do we have a mediation set up?

8 MR. SEITZ: We do, Your Honor. September 21st. It
9 will be an in-person mediation. We have some individuals
10 coming over from Germany, and their folks are able to come.
11 It will be in person at Mr. Kohn's office. He asked for
12 mediation briefs two weeks before; so that would be this
13 Thursday or Friday if I'm doing my math right. We're moving
14 along. Not there yet, but it's moving.

15 THE COURT: That's great. Hopefully -- I mean,
16 everything can be resolved sometime. Maybe this is the time.
17 I'd just encourage you to stay in contact with Mr. Kohn, and
18 I'll tell you that my thought process of moving away from your
19 former law partner at Shook over to Mr. Kohn, and I told this
20 to him. So I want to tell it to you.

21 I was maybe foolishly thinking this was all about
22 patent claims, and then I at some point during this long
23 process determined it was about money. Mr. Kohn has done more
24 enormous, big-dollar deals than just about anybody else here
25 in town and is pretty calm and thoughtful.

1 You were at Bryan Cave at one point, Mr. Simon.

2 MR. SIMON: Yes, I was.

3 THE COURT: You probably worked with Mr. Kohn on
4 some of those wonderful things.

5 So that's my thought that maybe just an approach
6 from a different perspective. I believe Mr. Kohn is like I
7 that doesn't have a whole lot of intelligence when it comes to
8 patents, but maybe he has some ability to talk about money.

9 Mr. Seitz, what else should we do today?

10 MR. SEITZ: That was it on our end, Your Honor. No
11 other active disputes needing to address with you today.

12 MR. BABBITT: Same here, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: Do I get to call you Taylor since I
14 asked Taylor to respond and you jumped up?

15 MR. BABBITT: If that works with you, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Yeah. I'm not sure the goodwill will
17 move over as easily.

18 All right. Well, we'll be in recess.

19 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

20 I certify that the foregoing pages are a correct
21 transcript from the record of proceedings in the
22 above-entitled matter.

23 _____
24 Date /s/Gayle M. Wambolt
 GAYLE M. WAMBOLT, CRR, RMR
 United States Court Reporter

25 9

Gayle M. Wambolt, CCR No. 462
Registered Merit Reporter