

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROCHIP TECHNOLOGY INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

APTIV TECHNOLOGIES AG,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00228; Patent 9,619,420 B2
IPR2024-00229; Patent 9,645,962 B2
PGR2024-00011; Patent 11,681,643 B2

Before JEAN R. HOMERE, TERRENCE W. McMILLIN, and
JOHN D. HAMANN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PER CURIAM.

ORDER

IPR2024-00228; Patent 9,619,420 B2
IPR2024-00229; Patent 9,645,962 B2
PGR2024-00011; Patent 11,681,643 B2

The final written decisions have been entered in IPR2024-00228, IPR2024-00229, and PGR2024-00011. Due to confidentiality concerns, each of these final written decisions was sealed and entered as only available to the parties and the Board. Publicly available final written decisions must be entered in each of these cases. To that end, the Board requests that the parties confer and provide the Board in each of these cases with an indication of what portions (if any) of these final written decisions must be redacted from the publicly available versions.

The redactions should be kept to the minimum necessary to preserve highly confidential and proprietary sensitive business information that if publicly disclosed has the potential to cause significant harm. The Board intends to review the redactions proposed by the parties and may not adopt all the proposed redactions.

A single, jointly-filed document should be filed in each of the above-captioned proceedings. In each such document, the parties should indicate which redactions are jointly proposed and which redactions proposed by an individual party are not agreed-to. If no redactions are proposed, the parties should file a joint statement to that effect. It is hoped that the parties will agree to no, or a few minimal, redactions from each of the final written decisions.

The parties are reminded that confidential information subject to a protective order ordinarily becomes public 45 days after final judgment in a trial. *See Consolidated Trial Practice Guide* (November 2019),¹ 21–22. An expectation exists that information will be made public when the existence

¹ *Available at* <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2024-00228; Patent 9,619,420 B2
IPR2024-00229; Patent 9,645,962 B2
PGR2024-00011; Patent 11,681,643 B2

of the information is identified in a final written decision following a trial.

Id. After final judgment in a trial, a party may file a motion to expunge confidential information from the record prior to the information becoming public. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.56.

ORDER

In accordance with the foregoing, it is hereby:

ORDERED that, within ten (10) days, the parties shall file in each of these proceedings a joint document indicating the proposed confidential redactionsto be made in the final written decisions entered in these proceedings in order that publicly available versions of these final written decisions may be entered.

IPR2024-00228; Patent 9,619,420 B2
IPR2024-00229; Patent 9,645,962 B2
PGR2024-00011; Patent 11,681,643 B2

FOR PETITIONER:

Travis Jensen
Jeremy Lang
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
t61ptabdocket@orrick.com
ptabdocketjl2@orrick.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Adam Brausa
Andrew Jones
Mehran Arjomand
Alex Yap
Bryan Blumenkopf
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
abrausa@mofo.com
andrewjones@mofo.com
marjomand@mofo.com
ayap@mofo.com
bblumen@mofo.com