

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SEMICONDUCTOR COMPONENTS INDUSTRIES, LLC,
Petitioner,
v.
GREENTHREAD, LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00263 (Patent 11,316,014 B2)
IPR2024-00264 (Patent 10,734,481 B2)
IPR2024-00265 (Patent 9,190,502 B2)
IPR2024-00266 (Patent 8,421,195 B2)¹

Before KRISTEN L. DROESCH, DONNA M. PRAISS, and
MONICA S. ULLAGADDI, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

ULLAGADDI, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Conduct of Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

I. BACKGROUND

In each of the above-captioned proceedings, Patent Owner has filed

¹ This Order addresses issues common to each of the four proceedings. The combined caption is for administrative convenience only and does not indicate that the above-listed proceedings have been joined. The parties are not authorized to use this caption without express permission of the Board.

IPR2024-00263 (Patent 11,316,014 B2)
IPR2024-00264 (Patent 10,734,481 B2)
IPR2024-00265 (Patent 9,190,502 B2)
IPR2024-00266 (Patent 8,421,195 B2)

several motions to seal.² The motions seek to seal papers and exhibits “in their entirety,” but propose only some redacted documents. Therefore, it is unclear if the remaining documents are actually being requested to be sealed in their entirety, or if some redacted documents were mistakenly left unfiled. Instead of denying-in-part some of these motions without prejudice, we instruct Patent Owner to review the filings in each proceeding and submit redacted versions of any document Patent Owner asked to seal in its entirety if it has not already. If there is any particular document that Patent Owner seeks to seal in its entirety, please specifically indicate that in the chart below and provide reason(s) why.

Based on our review of the record, only two non-party names, some product names, and dollar amounts need to be redacted. If it is Patent Owner’s view that other information is also confidential, Patent Owner shall indicate so in the table set forth below. It is not necessary to file a separate motion to seal for each individual paper. In the future, where it may be helpful to conserve resources, the parties shall group several papers and/or exhibits to be sealed in a single motion to seal. In cases where a Final Written Decision orders the parties to jointly propose a redacted Final Written Decision, it is not necessary to also submit a Joint Motion to Seal the Final Written Decision.

² On September 11, 2024, we issued an order denying without prejudice some of Patent Owner’s motions to seal and authorizing Patent Owner to file new motions to seal and a corrected modified protective order. *See, e.g.*, IPR2024-00263, Paper 25 at 4. To date, Patent Owner has not renewed those motions to seal, nor has it filed a corrected modified protective order for our entry.

IPR2024-00263 (Patent 11,316,014 B2)
IPR2024-00264 (Patent 10,734,481 B2)
IPR2024-00265 (Patent 9,190,502 B2)
IPR2024-00266 (Patent 8,421,195 B2)

Given the Board's limited resources, we request that Patent Owner and Petitioner jointly file a chart for each individual proceeding that identifies: as yet undecided motions to seal and corresponding oppositions, replies, and sur-replies; documents sought to be sealed by each motion; corresponding redacted versions; and information sought to be sealed. For example, in IPR2024-00263,

Undecided Motion to Seal & any Opposition, Reply, & Sur-reply	Document Sought to Be Sealed		Redacted Version	Information Sought to be Sealed
Paper 30	Paper 31 (Patent Owner's Response)		?	?
	Exhibit 2078 (Declaration of Mohan Rao)		?	?
Paper 40	Paper 38 (Petitioner's Reply)		Paper 41	Names of potential privies
Paper 42	Paper 43 (Patent Owner's Sur-reply)		Paper 44	Names of potential privies

II. ORDER

Accordingly, it is:

ORDERED that Patent Owner is authorized to file redacted versions of documents it wishes to seal that are already the subject of a motion to seal in any of the above-captioned proceedings; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner and Petitioner shall jointly file a chart that complies with this Order within 2 weeks of the entry of this

IPR2024-00263 (Patent 11,316,014 B2)
IPR2024-00264 (Patent 10,734,481 B2)
IPR2024-00265 (Patent 9,190,502 B2)
IPR2024-00266 (Patent 8,421,195 B2)

Order.

For PETITIONER:

Roger Fulghum
Mark Speegle
Daniel Anderson
Ellyar Y. Barazesh
Eliot D. Williams
Michael Hawes
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
roger.fulghum@bakerbotts.com
mark.speegle@bakerbotts.com
daniel.anderson@andersonpatents.com
ellyar.barazesh@bakerbotts.com
eliot.williams@bakerbotts.com
michael.hawes@bakerbotts.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Alan Whitehurst
Arvin Jairam
Archis “Neil” Ozarkar
MCKOOL SMITH, P.C.
awhitehurst@mckoolsmith.com
ajairam@mckoolsmith.com
nozarkar@mckoolsmith.com