

U.S. Patent No. 11,766,286
IPR2024-00282
Request for Oral Argument

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NEURENT MEDICAL INC. & NEURENT MEDICAL LTD.,
Petitioners

v.

AERIN MEDICAL, INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2024-00282
U.S. Patent No. 11,766,286

PETITIONERS' REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to the Board’s July 22, 2024 Scheduling Order (Paper No. 10), Petitioners Neurent Medical Inc. and Neurent Medical Ltd. (“Petitioners”) respectfully request oral argument, as currently scheduled on April 23, 2025. Petitioners and Patent Owner jointly request that the oral argument be conducted in-person at the USPTO main office in Alexandria, Virginia. Petitioners also request the ability to use a computer, projector, and screen to display possible demonstratives and exhibits.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70, Petitioners specify the following issues to be argued:

- Issues related to the Board’s Decision on Institution (Paper No. 9) and the grounds instituted in the Decision;
- Whether claims 1-3, 7-9 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being obvious over Edwards-’535 and Stern;
- Whether claim 8 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being obvious over Edwards-’535, Stern, and Edwards-’886;
- Whether claims 1-4, 7-9 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being obvious over Makower, Stern, and Edwards-’535;

- Whether claim 8 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being obvious over Makower, Stern, Edwards-'535, and Edwards-'886;
- Whether claims 1-3, 7-9 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being obvious over Edwards-'535, Stern, and Liang;
- Whether claim 8 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being obvious over Edwards-'535, Stern, Liang, and Edwards-'886;
- Whether claims 1-4, 7-9 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being obvious over Makower, Stern, Edwards-'535, and Liang;
- Whether claim 8 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being obvious over Makower, Stern, Edwards-'535, Liang, and Edwards-'886;
- Reply to any arguments raised in the Patent Owner Response (Paper 22) or Patent Owner's Sur-Reply to Petitioners' Reply (Paper 30);
- Respond to any issues specified by Patent Owner in its request for oral argument;
- Any arguments or additional issues raised by either party in a request for oral argument, motion to strike (if any), motion to exclude (if any), or any other motion, paper, opposition, response, reply, or sur-reply filed by either party before oral argument; and

- Any other outstanding motions and pleadings, and other issues that the Board deems necessary for issuing a Final Written Decision.

Petitioners and Patent Owner further jointly request that each side be allotted ninety (90) minutes in total to present arguments for the three proceedings to be heard on April 23, 2025 (IPR2024-00277, IPR2024-00278, and IPR2024-00282). Petitioners also inform the Board that they anticipate that more than five individuals, including counsel, will attend the argument on their behalf.

Date: March 11, 2025

Respectfully Submitted,

By: /David L. Cavanaugh/
David Cavanaugh
Registration No. 36,476
Counsel for Patent Owner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 11, 2025 I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing materials:

- Petitioners' Request for Oral Argument

was served by electronic mail, as agreed to by the parties, upon the following:

Heath J. Briggs, BriggsH@gtlaw.com;

Trenton Ward, Trenton.Ward@gtlaw.com;

Benjamin P. Gilford, gilfordb@gtlaw.com;

Elana Araj, Elana.Araj@gtlaw.com;

Stephen M. Ullmer, UllmerS@gtlaw.com; and

AerinMed-IPRs@gtlaw.com.

/Sarah J. Murphy/
Sarah J. Murphy
Reg. No. 80,104