

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DROPBOX, INC.,

Petitioner

v.

MOTION OFFENSE, LLC,

Patent Owner

IPR2024-00286
U.S. Patent No. 11,611,520

**PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS TO PETITIONER'S EVIDENCE
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1)**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
Submitted Electronically via PTAB E2E

Under the Federal Rules of Evidence and 37 C.F.R. § 42.64, Patent Owner Motion Offense, LLC (“Patent Owner”) timely objects to evidence submitted by Dropbox, Inc. (“Petitioner”) with its Reply in Inter Partes Review IPR2024-00286. Patent Owner serves Petitioner with these objections to provide notice that Patent Owner may move to exclude the challenged exhibits under 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(c) unless Petitioner cures the defects associated with the challenged exhibits identified below.

Ex. 1033 Motion Offense, LLC v. Dropbox, Inc., WDTX-6:23-cv-00303, Jury Trial Proceedings Volume 4 of 5, May 18, 2023, Dkt. 409

Patent Owner objects to Ex. 1033 as being inadmissible because it was filed belatedly without proper authorization under 37 C.F.R. § 42.123(b).

Patent Owner objects to this document as being irrelevant under Fed. R. Evid. 401 and thus inadmissible under Fed. R. Evid. 402, or as being unfairly prejudicial, confusing or a waste of time under Fed. R. Evid. 403. Patent Owner also objects to this document under Fed. R. Evid. 106 because the trial transcript and record involving the related patents must be considered at the same time.

Ex. 1034 Second Declaration of Dr. Todd Mowry

Patent Owner objects to this exhibit under Fed. R. Evid. 702 and/or 802 as Dr. Mowry has not yet been made available for cross-examination on his Second Declaration during a deposition.

Patent Owner objects to Ex. 1034 as being irrelevant under Fed. R. Evid.

401 and thus inadmissible under Fed. R. Evid. 402, or as being confusing or a waste of time under Fed. R. Evid. 403 because Ex. 1034 is inadmissible as explained above.

Ex. 1035 Motion Offense, LLC v. Dropbox, Inc., WDTX-6:23-cv-00303, Dropbox, Inc.'s Renewed Pre-Verdict Motion for Judgment As A Matter of Law, May 18, 2023, Dkt. 393

Patent Owner objects to Ex. 1035 as being inadmissible because it was filed belatedly without proper authorization under 37 C.F.R. § 42.123(b).

Patent Owner objects to this document as being irrelevant under Fed. R. Evid. 401 and thus inadmissible under Fed. R. Evid. 402, or as being unfairly prejudicial, confusing or a waste of time under Fed. R. Evid. 403. Patent Owner also objects to this document under Fed. R. Evid. 106 because the trial transcript and record involving the related patents must be considered at the same time.

Dated: January 31, 2025

DEVLIN LAW FIRM LLC

/ Derek Dahlgren /

Derek Dahlgren (*pro hac vice*)

Timothy Devlin (Reg. No. 41,706)

1526 Gilpin Avenue

Wilmington, DE 19806

(302)-449-9010

ddahlgren@devlinlawfirm.com

tdevlin@devlinlawfirm.com

Attorneys for Patent Owner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 31, 2025, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing materials to be served via the Patent Office electronic filing system, and electronic service via email to the following attorneys of record pursuant to Petitioner's consent.

Trishan Efram (Reg. No. 74,075) Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale & Dorr LLP 60 State Street Boston, MA 02109 Telephone: (617) 526-6223 trishan.esram@wilmerhale.com	Gregory Lantier (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale & Dorr LLP 60 State Street Boston, MA 02109 Telephone: (617) 526-6223 gregory.lantier@wilmerhale.com Liv Herriot (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale & Dorr LLP 2600 El Camino Real, Suite 400 Palo Alto, CA 94306 Tel.: 650-858-6000 Liv.Herriot@wilmerhale.com
---	---

/ Derek Dahlgren /
Derek Dahlgren