

From: [Robert Wolter](#)
To: [Trials](#); [Thomas Eschweiler](#)
Cc: [Amber Davis](#); [Nathan Smith](#); [Docketing](#); [Patents](#)
Subject: RE: DBR Finance, Inc. v. Rugged Cross Hunting Blinds, LLC - Case No: IPR2024-00291
Date: Thursday, March 21, 2024 1:47:32 PM
Attachments: [image003.png](#)

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Dear Board,

The Patent Owner respectfully requests clarification regarding the authorization of Petitioner to file a reply. More specifically, Petitioner's request is based on the belief that a response to the POPR "will help clarify potential confusion caused by incomplete evaluation and apparent misstatements regarding the parallel district court proceedings." The Board's authorization states that, "Petitioner may file a five-page Preliminary Reply addressing discretionary-denial issues." Please confirm that Petitioner's reply shall be limited to addressing Patent Owner's arguments as it applies to the application of *Fintiv* and the related parallel litigation and/or discretionary denials under Section 314(a).

Best regards,

Robert L. Wolter
Partner | Registered Patent Attorney
Wolter Van Dyke Davis, PLLC
1900 Summit Tower Blvd, Suite 140
Orlando, FL 32810
(407) 926-7700 **Office**
(407) 926-7706 **Direct**
rwolter@savvyPLaw.com



WOLTER VANDYKE DAVIS
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

IRS Circular 230 disclosure: To insure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. Federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code, or (ii) promoting, marketing, or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

The information in this email is intended solely for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed. This message may be an attorney-client communication and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, subject to Federal Rule of Evidence 408, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Recipients should not file copies of this email with publicly accessible records. If the reader of this email is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any

dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (407) 926-7706 or email (rwolter@savvyiplaw.com), and delete the original message and any copies made. Thank You.

From: Trials <Trials@USPTO.GOV>
Sent: Thursday, March 21, 2024 1:01 PM
To: Thomas Eschweiler <teschweiler@epiplaw.com>; Trials <Trials@USPTO.GOV>; Trials <Trials@USPTO.GOV>
Cc: Robert Wolter <rwolter@savvyiplaw.com>; Amber Davis <adavis@savvyiplaw.com>; Nathan Smith <njsmith@epiplaw.com>; Docketing <docketing@epiplaw.com>; Patents <patents@savvyiplaw.com>
Subject: RE: DBR Finance, Inc. v. Rugged Cross Hunting Blinds, LLC - Case No: IPR2024-00291

Counsel,

From the Board –

Petitioner's request is authorized. Petitioner may file a five-page Preliminary Reply addressing discretionary-denial issues, due no later than March 29, 2024. Patent Owner may file a responsive five-page Preliminary Sur-Reply, due no later than April 5, 2024.

Regards,

Esther Goldschlager
Supervisory Paralegal Specialist
Patent Trial & Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office

From: Thomas Eschweiler <teschweiler@epiplaw.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 21, 2024 11:39 AM
To: Trials <Trials@USPTO.GOV>; Trials <Trials@USPTO.GOV>
Cc: Robert Wolter <rwolter@savvyiplaw.com>; Amber Davis <adavis@savvyiplaw.com>; Nathan Smith <njsmith@epiplaw.com>; Docketing <docketing@epiplaw.com>; patents@savvyiplaw.com
Subject: DBR Finance, Inc. v. Rugged Cross Hunting Blinds, LLC - Case No: IPR2024-00291

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Dear Board:

Petitioner respectfully requests permission to file a Reply to Patent Owner's Preliminary Response under 37 C.F.R. 42.108(c) in IPR2024-00291. Specifically, Petitioner seeks to reply to the Patent Owner's arguments requesting discretionary denial under 35 U.S.C. 314(a) and 325(d). Petitioner

also wishes to highlight the Patent Owner's failure to acknowledge and comply with the guidance set forth in the USPTO Memorandum, dated June 21, 2022, entitled, "Interim Procedure for Discretionary Denials in the AIA Post-Grant Proceedings with Parallel District Court Litigation."

Petitioner believes that granting the request to file a response to the POPR will help clarify potential confusion caused by incomplete evaluation and apparent misstatements regarding the parallel district court proceedings, and thus aid the Board in evaluating whether to institute the IPR in the present case.

We are available for a conference call, at the Board's request, to address this issue.

Respectfully,

Thomas G. Eschweiler

Eschweiler & Potashnik, LLC
195 S. Main St., Suite 400
Akron, Ohio 44308
Mobile: (440) 864-1202
Email: TEschweiler@epiplaw.com



Eschweiler &
Potashnik LLC

The information contained in this e-mail communication is legally privileged, confidential and intended solely for the use of the addressee. Unauthorized use, distribution or copy of this e-mail is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please inform us by return e-mail and delete all related e-mails.