Trials@uspto.gov
571-272-7822

Paper: 11
Entered: April 16, 2024

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

T-MOBILE USA, INC., AT&T SERVICES INC., AT&T MOBILITY LLC, AT&T CORPORATION, CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS, NOKIA OF AMERICA CORPORATION, ERICSSON INC., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., and SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.
Petitioner,

v.

COBBLESTONE WIRELESS LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00136 (Patent 8,891,347 B2)
IPR2024-00319 (Patent 8,891,347 B2)[1]

Before NATHAN A. ENGELS, NORMAN H. BEAMER, and RUSSELL E. CASS, *Administrative Patent Judges.*

CASS, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Granting Patent Owner's Motions for Admission *Pro Hac Vice*
of Nathan Nobu Lowenstein
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

[1] Because this Order addresses overlapping issues in the cases listed above, we issue one Order to be filed in each case. The parties are not authorized to use this caption.

On April 11, 2024, Patent Owner filed Motions for admission *pro hac vice* of Mr. Nathan Nobu Lowenstein (Paper 14) in each of the above-identified proceedings (collectively "Motions").[2] Patent Owner also filed Declarations of Nathan Nobu Lowenstein (Ex. 2019) in support of the Motions (collectively "Declarations").[3] Patent Owner attests that Petitioner does not oppose the Motions. Paper 14, 3. For the reasons provided below, Patent Owner's Motions are *granted*.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In its notice authorizing motions for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in this proceeding. *See* Paper 9, 2 (citing *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639, Paper 7 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (representative "Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission")).

Based on the facts set forth in the Motions and the accompanying Declarations, we conclude that Mr. Lowenstein has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Patent Owner in the above-identified proceedings, that Mr. Lowenstein has demonstrated sufficient familiarity with the subject matter of these proceedings, that Mr. Lowenstein meets all other requirements for admission *pro hac vice*, and that Patent Owner's intent to be represented by counsel with litigation experience is warranted. Accordingly, Patent Owner has established

---

[2] For purposes of expediency, we cite to Papers filed in IPR2024-00136. Patent Owner filed a similar Motion in IPR2024-00319 (Paper 10).
[3] We cite to Exhibits filed in IPR2024-00136. Patent Owner filed a similar Declaration in IPR2024-00319 (Ex. 2030).

good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Lowenstein. Mr. Lowenstein will be permitted to serve as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

We note that Patent Owner has filed a Power of Attorney including Mr. Lowenstein in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b). Paper 11. Patent Owner has also filed Mandatory Notices identifying Mr. Lowenstein as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3). Paper 10.[4]

Accordingly, it is:

ORDERED that Patent Owner's Motions for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Nathan Nobu Lowenstein are *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for the above-identified proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Lowenstein is authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel only in the above-identified proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Lowenstein is to comply with the Consolidated Trial Practice Guide[5] (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Lowenstein shall be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et. seq*.

---

[4] *See also* IPR2024-00319, Paper 7 (Updated Mandatory Notices), Paper 8 (Power of Attorney).

[5] Available at https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated.

For Petitioner:

John D. Haynes
David S. Frist
Michael C. Deane
Alston & Bird LLP
John.Haynes@alston.com
David.Frist@alston.com
Michael.Deane@alston.com
BCobblestone@alston.com

For Patent Owner:

Reza Mirzaie
Amy E. Hayden
Neil Rubin
Peter Tong
Russ, August & Kabat
12424 Wilshire Blvd. 12th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90025
rmirzaie@raklaw.com
ahayden@raklaw.com
nrubin@raklaw.com
ptong@raklaw.com
rak_cobblestone@raklaw.com