

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,
CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,
ALTICE USA, INC.,
Petitioners,*

v.

TOUCHSTREAM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00322
Patent 8,356,251

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

*Charter Communications, Inc. filed a petition and a motion for joinder in IPR2024-01231 and has been joined as a petitioner in this proceeding. Altice USA, Inc. filed a petition and a motion for joinder in IPR2024-01262 and has been joined as a petitioner in this proceeding.

Comcast Cable Communications, LLC (“Petitioner”) hereby requests oral argument under 37 C.F.R. § 42.70 and the Board’s July 18, 2024 Scheduling Order (Paper 14). Oral argument is currently scheduled for April 17, 2025. (Paper 14, Due Date 8).

Petitioner requests argument on all grounds accepted for trial in the Institution Decision and any questions that the Board may have, including:

1. Whether claims 1–26 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Danciu-Mahajan;
2. Whether claims 1–26 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Danciu-Mahajan-Calvert; and
3. Whether claims 1–26 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Aldrey-Mahajan.

Furthermore, Petitioner requests argument on any additional issues that Patent Owner may seek to raise on oral argument including rebuttal to any material in Patent Owner’s presentation, any additional issues raised in papers filed in this proceeding to include Patent Owner’s Response or Sur-Reply, any additional issues raised in papers which are yet to be filed such as Motions to Exclude and Oppositions to Motions to Exclude, and any other filings, motions, pleadings, or issues deemed necessary by the Board for issuing a Final Written Decision.

Given the number of combinations of prior art and number of challenged claims, Petitioner suggests that an allocation of one hour of time per side would be appropriate for the oral argument.

Finally, Petitioner's counsel and Patent Owner's counsel conferred about whether the hearing should be conducted in-person or via video conference. Both Petitioner and Patent Owner prefer that the hearing be conducted in-person.

Dated: March 6, 2025

By: /Frederic M. Meeker/

Frederic M. Meeker
Reg. No. 35,282
Banner & Witcoff, Ltd.
1100 13th Street, NW
Suite 1200
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 824-3000
(202) 824-3001
fmeeker@bannerwitcoff.com

*Attorney for Petitioner
Comcast Cable Communications,
LLC*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6, I hereby certify that I caused a true and correct copy of the **PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT** to be served via electronic mail to the following:

Kyle E. Friesen - kfriesen@shb.com
Robert H. Reckers - rreckers@shb.com
Jason R. Mudd - jmudd@shb.com
Ryan Dykal - rdykal@shb.com

Dated: March 6, 2025

By: /Frederic M. Meeker/

Frederic M. Meeker
Reg. No. 35,282