DECLARATION OF JUNE ANN MUNFORD

1. My name is June Ann Munford. I am over the age of 18, have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and am competent to testify to the same.

2. I earned a Master of Library and Information Science (MLIS) from the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee in 2009. I have over ten years of experience in the library/information science field. Beginning in 2004, I have served in various positions in the public library sector including Assistant Librarian, Youth Services Librarian and Library Director. I have attached my Curriculum Vitae as Appendix CV.

3. During my career in the library profession, I have been responsible for materials acquisition for multiple libraries. In that position, I have cataloged, purchased and processed incoming library works. That includes purchasing materials directly from vendors, recording publishing data from the material in question, creating detailed material records for library catalogs and physically preparing that material for circulation. In addition to my experience in acquisitions, I was also responsible for analyzing large collections of library materials, tailoring library records for optimal catalog

search performance and creating lending agreements between libraries during my time as a Library Director.

4. I am fully familiar with the catalog record creation process in the library sector. In preparing a material for public availability, a library catalog record describing that material would be created. These records are typically written in Machine Readable Catalog (herein referred to as "MARC") code and contain information such as a physical description of the material, metadata from the material's publisher, and date of library acquisition. In particular, the 008 field of the MARC record is reserved for denoting the date of creation of the library record itself. As this typically occurs during the process of preparing materials for public access, it is my experience that an item's MARC record indicates the date of an item's public availability.

5. Typically, in creating a MARC record, a librarian would gather various bits of metadata such as book title, publisher and subject headings among others and assign each value to a relevant numerical field. For example, a book's physical description is tracked in field 300 while title/attribution is tracked in field 245. The 008 field of the MARC record is reserved for denoting the creation of the library record itself. As this is the only date reflecting the inclusion of said materials within the library's collection, it is my experience

that an item's 008 field accurately indicates the date of an item's public availability.

6. I have reviewed Exhibit EX-1005, *Video Coding for Mobile Communications* by Mohammed E. Al-Mualla, C. Nishan Canagarajah and David R. Bull.

7. Attached hereto as Appendix ALMUALLA01 is a true and correct copy of the MARC record for *Video Coding for Mobile Communications* as held by the Penn State University library. I secured this record myself from the library's public catalog. The MARC record contained within Appendix ALMUALLA01 accurately describes the title, author, publisher, and ISBN number of *Video Coding for Mobile Communications.*

8. Attached hereto as Appendix ALMUALLA02 is a true and correct copy of *Video Coding for Mobile Communications* as held by the Penn State University library. I secured these scans myself from the library's collection. In comparing Exhibit EX-1005 to Appendix ALMUALLA02, it is my determination that Exhibit EX-1005 is a true and correct copy of *Video Coding for Mobile Communications* by Mohammed E. Al-Mualla, C. Nishan Canagarajah and David R. Bull.

9. The 008 field of the MARC record in Appendix ALMUALLA01 indicates the date of record creation. The 008 field of Appendix ALMUALLA01 indicates Penn State University library first acquired this book as of February 26, 2002. Considering this information, it is my determination that *Video Coding for Mobile Communications* was made available to the public shortly after its initial acquisition in February 26, 2002.

10. I have reviewed Exhibit EX-1006, "A Concealment Method for Video Communications in an Error-Prone Environment" by Shahram Shirani, Faouzi Kossentini and Rabab Ward as published in *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, June 2000.

11. Attached hereto as Appendix SHIRANI01 is a true and correct copy of the MARC record for *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications* as held by the Penn State University library. I secured this record myself from the library's public catalog. The MARC record contained within Appendix SHIRANI01 accurately describes the title, author, publisher, and ISSN number of *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications.* The 'Availability' field of this record visible on page 4 indicates this collection includes the June 2000 edition of *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in*

Communications containing "A Concealment Method for Video Communications in an Error-Prone Environment."

12. Attached hereto as Appendix SHIRANI02 is a true and correct copy of "A Concealment Method for Video Communications in an Error-Prone Environment" as published in *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications* as held by the Penn State University library. I secured these scans myself from the library's collection. In comparing Exhibit EX-1006 to Appendix SHIRANI02, it is my determination that Exhibit EX-1006 is a true and correct copy of "A Concealment Method for Video Communications in an Error-Prone Environment" by Shahram Shirani, Faouzi Kossentini and Rabab Ward as published in *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications* June 2000.

13. The 008 field of the MARC record in Appendix SHIRANI01 indicates the date of record creation. The 008 field of Appendix SHIRANI01 indicates the Penn State University library first acquired this journal as of May 31, 1983 and held the journal in perpetuity until 2006. Considering this information, it is my determination that *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications* June 2000 and therefore "A Concealment Method for

Video Communications in an Error-Prone Environment" was made available to the public shortly its initial publication in June 2000.

14. I have reviewed Exhibit EX-1007, "The Polyharmonic Local Sine Transform: A New Tool for Local Image Analysis and Synthesis Without Edge Effect" by Naoki Saito and Jean-Francois Remy as published in *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis*, January 2006.

15. Attached hereto as Appendix SAITO01 is a true and correct copy of the MARC record for *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis* as held by the Penn State University library. I secured this record myself from the library's public catalog. The MARC record contained within Appendix SAITO01 accurately describes the title, author, publisher, and ISSN number of *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis.* The 'Dates of Publication' field of this record visible on page 2 indicates this collection includes the January 2006 edition of *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis* containing "The Polyharmonic Local Sine Transform: A New Tool for Local Image Analysis and Synthesis Without Edge Effect."

16. Attached hereto as Appendix SAITO02 is a true and correct copy of "The Polyharmonic Local Sine Transform: A New Tool for Local Image Analysis

and Synthesis Without Edge Effect" as published in *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis* as held by the Penn State University library. I secured this file myself from the library's collection. In comparing Exhibit EX-1007 to Appendix SAITO02, it is my determination that Exhibit EX-1007 is a true and correct copy of "The Polyharmonic Local Sine Transform: A New Tool for Local Image Analysis and Synthesis Without Edge Effect" by Naoki Saito and Jean-Francois Remy as published in *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis* January 2006.

17. The 008 field of the MARC record in Appendix SAITO01 indicates the date of record creation. The 008 field of Appendix SAITO01 indicates the Penn State University library first acquired this journal as of May 12, 1997 and held the journal in perpetuity. Considering this information, it is my determination that *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis* January 2006 and therefore "The Polyharmonic Local Sine Transform: A New Tool for Local Image Analysis and Synthesis Without Edge Effect" was made available to the public shortly after its initial release in January 2006.

18. I have reviewed Exhibit EX-1008, "Feedback-Based Error Control for Mobile Video Transmission" by Bernd Girod and Niko Farber as published in *Proceedings of the IEEE*, October 1999.

19. Attached hereto as Appendix GIROD01 is a true and correct copy of the MARC record for *Proceedings of the IEEE* as held by the Penn State University library. I secured this record myself from the library's public catalog. The MARC record contained within Appendix GIROD01 accurately describes the title, author, publisher, and ISSN number of *Proceedings of the IEEE*. The 'Availability' field of this record visible on page 6 indicates this collection includes the October 1999 edition of *Proceedings of the IEEE* containing "Feedback-Based Error Control for Mobile Video Transmission."

20. Attached hereto as Appendix GIROD02 is a true and correct copy of "Feedback-Based Error Control for Mobile Video Transmission" as published in *Proceedings of the IEEE* as held by the Penn State University library. I secured these scans myself from the library's collection. In comparing Exhibit EX-1008 to Appendix GIROD02, it is my determination that Exhibit EX-1008 is a true and correct copy of "Feedback-Based Error Control for Mobile Video Transmission" by Bernd Girod and Niko Farber as published in *Proceedings of the IEEE* October 1999.

21. The 008 field of the MARC record in Appendix GIROD01 indicates the date of record creation. The 008 field of Appendix GIROD01 indicates the Penn

State University library first acquired this journal as of November 1, 1975 and held the journal in perpetuity until 2008. Considering this information, it is my determination that *Proceedings of the IEEE* October 1999 and therefore "Feedback-Based Error Control for Mobile Video Transmission" was made available to the public shortly after its initial release in October 1999.

22. I have reviewed Exhibit EX-1009, "H.264/AVC in Wireless Environments" by Thomas Stockhammer, Miska M. Hannuksela and Thomas Wiegand as published in *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, July 2003.

23. Attached hereto as Appendix STOCKHAMMER01 is a true and correct copy of the MARC record for *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology* as held by the Penn State University library. I secured this record myself from the library's public catalog. The MARC record contained within Appendix STOCKHAMMER01 accurately describes the title, author, publisher, and ISSN number of *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology.* The 'Availability' field of this record visible on page 3 indicates this collection includes the July 2003 edition of

IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology containing "H.264/AVC in Wireless Environments".

24. Attached hereto as Appendix STOCKHAMMER02 is a true and correct copy of "H.264/AVC in Wireless Environments" as published in *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology* as held by the Penn State University library. I secured these scans myself from the library's collection. In comparing Exhibit EX-1009 to Appendix STOCKHAMMER02, it is my determination that Exhibit EX-1009 is a true and correct copy of "H.264/AVC in Wireless Environments" by Thomas Stockhammer, Miska M. Hannuksela and Thomas Wiegand as published in *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology* July 2003.

25. The 008 field of the MARC record in Appendix STOCKHAMMER01 indicates the date of record creation. The 008 field of Appendix STOCKHAMMER01 indicates the Penn State University library first acquired this journal as of May 15, 1991 and held the journal in perpetuity until 2006. Considering this information, it is my determination that *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology* July 2003 and

therefore “H.264/AVC in Wireless Environments” was made available to the public shortly its initial publication in July 2003.

26. I have reviewed Exhibit EX-1017, “Selective Recovery of Video Packet Loss Using Error Concealment” by Masahiro Wada as published in *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, June 1989.

27. Attached hereto as Appendix WADA01 is a true and correct copy of the MARC record for *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications* as held by the Penn State University library. I secured this record myself from the library’s public catalog. The MARC record contained within Appendix WADA01 accurately describes the title, author, publisher, and ISSN number of *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications.* The ‘Availability’ field of this record visible on page 4 indicates this collection includes the June 1989 edition of *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications* containing “Selective Recovery of Video Packet Loss Using Error Concealment”.

28. Attached hereto as Appendix WADA02 is a true and correct copy of “Selective Recovery of Video Packet Loss Using Error Concealment” as published in *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications* as held by

the Penn State University library. I secured these scans myself from the library's collection. In comparing Exhibit EX-1017 to Appendix WADA02, it is my determination that Exhibit EX-1017 is a true and correct copy of "Selective Recovery of Video Packet Loss Using Error Concealment" by Masahiro Wada as published in *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications* June 1989.


29. The 008 field of the MARC record in Appendix WADA01 indicates the date of record creation. The 008 field of Appendix WADA01 indicates the Penn State University library first acquired this journal as of May 31, 1983 and held the journal in perpetuity until 2006. Considering this information, it is my determination that *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications* June 1989 and therefore "Selective Recovery of Video Packet Loss Using Error Concealment" was made available to the public shortly its initial publication in June 1989.

30. I have been retained on behalf of the Petitioner to provide assistance in the above-illustrated matter in establishing the authenticity and public availability of the documents discussed in this declaration. I am being compensated for my services in this matter at the rate of $200.00 per hour

plus reasonable expenses. My statements are objective, and my compensation does not depend on the outcome of this matter.

31. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

Dated: 1/3/2024



June Ann Munford

APPENDIX CV

June A. Munford
Curriculum Vitae

Education

University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee - MS, Library & Information Science, 2009
Milwaukee, WI

- Coursework included cataloging, metadata, data analysis, library systems, management strategies and collection development.
- Specialized in library advocacy, cataloging and public administration.

Grand Valley State University - BA, English Language & Literature, 2008
Allendale, MI

- Coursework included linguistics, documentation and literary analysis.
- Minor in political science with a focus in local-level economics and government.

Professional Experience

Researcher / Expert Witness, October 2017 – present
Freelance ● Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania & Grand Rapids, Michigan

- Material authentication and public accessibility determination. Declarations of authenticity and/or public accessibility provided upon research completion. Experienced with appeals and deposition process.

- Research provided on topics of public library operations, material publication history, digital database services and legacy web resources.

- Past clients include Alston & Bird, Arnold & Porter, Baker Botts, Fish & Richardson, Erise IP, Irell & Manella, O'Melveny & Myers, Perkins-Coie, Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman and Slayden Grubert Beard.

Library Director, February 2013 - March 2015
Dowagiac District Library ● Dowagiac, Michigan

- Executive administrator of the Dowagiac District Library. Located in

Southwest Michigan, this library has a service area of 13,000, an annual operating budget of over $400,000 and total assets of approximately $1,300,000.

● Developed careful budgeting guidelines to produce a 15% surplus during the 2013-2014 & 2014-2015 fiscal years while being audited.

● Using this budget surplus, oversaw significant library investments including the purchase of property for a future building site, demolition of existing buildings and building renovation projects on the current facility.

● Led the organization and digitization of the library's archival records.

● Served as the public representative for the library, developing business relationships with local school, museum and tribal government entities.

● Developed an objective-based analysis system for measuring library services - including a full collection analysis of the library's 50,000+ circulating items and their records.

November 2010 - January 2013
Librarian & Branch Manager, Anchorage Public Library ● Anchorage, Alaska

● Headed the 2013 Anchorage Reads community reading campaign including event planning, staging public performances and creating marketing materials for mass distribution.

● Co-led the social media department of the library's marketing team, drafting social media guidelines, creating original content and instituting long-term planning via content calendars.

● Developed business relationships with The Boys & Girls Club, Anchorage School District and the US Army to establish summer reading programs for children.

June 2004 - September 2005, September 2006 - October 2013
Library Assistant, Hart Area Public Library
Hart, MI

● Responsible for verifying imported MARC records and original MARC

cataloging for the local-level collection as well as the Michigan Electronic Library.

● Handled OCLC Worldcat interlibrary loan requests & fulfillment via ongoing communication with lending libraries.

Professional Involvement

Alaska Library Association - Anchorage Chapter
- Treasurer, 2012

Library Of Michigan
- Level VII Certification, 2008
- Level II Certification, 2013

Michigan Library Association Annual Conference 2014
- New Directors Conference Panel Member

Southwest Michigan Library Cooperative
- Represented the Dowagiac District Library, 2013-2015

Professional Development

Library Of Michigan Beginning Workshop, May 2008
Petoskey, MI
- Received training in cataloging, local history, collection management, children's literacy and reference service.

Public Library Association Intensive Library Management Training, October 2011
Nashville, TN
- Attended a five-day workshop focused on strategic planning, staff management, statistical analysis, collections and cataloging theory.

Alaska Library Association Annual Conference 2012 - Fairbanks, February 2012
Fairbanks, AK
- Attended seminars on EBSCO advanced search methods, budgeting, cataloging, database usage and marketing.

Depositions

2019 ● Fish & Richardson

Apple v. Qualcomm (IPR2018-001281, 39521-00421IP, IPR2018-01282 and 39521-00421IP2)

2019 ● Erise IP

Implicit, LLC v. Netscout Systems, Inc (Civil Action No. 2:18-cv-53-JRG)

2019 ● Perkins-Coie

Adobe Inc. v. RAH Color Technologies LLC (Cases IPR2019-00627, IPR2019-00628, IPR2019-00629 and IPR2019-00646)

2020 ● O'Melveny & Myers

Maxell, Ltd. v. Apple Inc. (Case No. 5:19-cv-00036-RWS)

2021 ● Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP

Intel v. SRC (IPR2020-1449)

2022 ● Perkins-Coie

Realtek v. Future Link (IPR2021-01182)

2023 ● Fish & Richardson

Neuroderm Ltd. v. Abbvie, Inc (Case No. PGR2022-00040)

2023 ● Fish & Richardson

Nearmap US Inc. v. Pictometry International Corp. (IPR2022-00735)

Limited Case History & Potential Conflicts

Alston & Bird

- Ericsson

  v. Collision Communications (IPR2022-01233)

- Nokia

  v. Neptune Subsea, Xtera (Case No. 1:17-cv-01876)

Arnold & Porter

- Ivantis

  v. Glaukos (Case No. 8:18-cv-00620)

- Samsung

  v. Jawbone (Case No. 2:21-cv-00186)

Benesch Friedlander Coplan & Aronoff

- Voyis

  v. Cathx (Case No. 5:21-cv-00077-RWS)

Erise I.P.

- Apple

  v. Ericsson Inc. (IPR2022-00715)

  v. Future Link Systems (IPRs 6317804, 6622108, 6807505, and 7917680)

  v. INVT (Case No. 20-1881)

  v. Navblazer LLC (IPR2020-01253)

  v. Qualcomm (IPR2018-001281, 39521-00421IP, IPR2018-01282, 39521-00421IP2)

  v. Quest Nettech Corp (Case No. 2:19-cv-00118-JRG)

  v. Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson (IPR2022-00275)

- Fanduel
    - v. CGT (Case No. 19-1393)
- Garmin
    - v. Phillips North America LLC (Case No. 2:19-cv-6301-AB-KS)
- Netscout
    - v. Longhorn HD LLC (Case No. 2:20-cv-00349)
    - v. Implicit, LLC (Civil Action No. 2:18-cv-53-JRG)
- Sony Interactive Entertainment LLC
    - v. Bot M8 LLC (IPR2020-01288)
    - v. Infernal Technology LLC (Case No. 2:19-CV-00248-JRG)
- Unified Patents
    - v. GE Video Compression (Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-248)

Fish & Richardson

- Apple
    - v. AliveCor (3:21-cv-03958)
    - v. LBS Innovations (Case No. 2:19-cv-00119-JRG-RSP)
    - v. Koss Corporation (IPR2021-00305)
    - v. Masimo (IPR 50095-0012IP1, 50095-0012IP2, 50095-0013IP1, 50095-0013IP2, 50095-0006IP1)
    - v. Neonode (Case No. 21-cv-08872-EMC)
    - v. Qualcomm (IPR2018-001281, 39521-00421IP, IPR2018-01282, 39521-00421IP2)
- Dell
    - v. Neo Wireless (IPR2022-00616)

- Dish Network
    - v. Realtime Adaptive Streaming (Case No. 1:17-CV-02097-RBJ)
    - v. TQ Delta LLC (Case No. 18-1798)
- Evapco Dry Cooling
    - v. SPG Dry Cooling (IPR2021-00688)
- Genetec
    - v. Sensormatic Electronics (Case No. 1:20-CV-00760)
- Huawei
    - v. Bell Northern Research LLC (IPR2019-01174)
- Kianxis
    - v. Blue Yonder (Case No. 3:20-cv-03636)
- LG Electronics
    - v. Bell Northern Research LLC (Case No. 3:18-cv-2864-CAB-BLM)
- Metaswitch
    - v. Sonus Networks (IPR2018-01719)
- Microsoft
    - v. Throughputer Inc (IPR2022-00757)
- MLC Intellectual Property
    - v. MicronTech (Case No. 3:14-cv-03657-SI)
- Nearmap Inc
    - v. EagleView Technologies (IPR2022-01009)
- Neuroderm Ltd.
    - v. Abbvie, Inc (Case No. PGR2022-00040)
- Realtek Semiconductor
    - v. Future Link (IPR2021-01182)

- Quectel
    - v. Koninklijke Philips (Case No. 1:20-cv-01710)
- Samsung
    - v. Aire Technology (IPR2022-00877)
    - v. Bell Northern Research (Case No. 2:19-cv-00286-JRG)
    - v. Communication Technologies Inc (IPR2022-01221)
    - v. Jawbone Innovations (IPR2022-00865)
    - v. MemoryWeb LLC (IPR2022-00885)
    - v. Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson (IPR2021-00615)
- Texas Instruments
    - v. Vantage Micro LLC (IPR2020-01261)
- Xilinx
    - v. Sentient Sensors LCC (Case No. 1:22-cv-00173)

Irell & Manella

- Curium

O'Melveny & Myers

- Apple
    - v. Maxell (Case No. 5:19-cv-00036-RWS)

Perkins-Coie

- Heru Industries
    - v. The UAB Research Foundation (IPR2022-01148)
- Realtek Semiconductor
    - v. Future Link (IPR2021-01182)

- Twitter Inc

  v. VOIP-Pal.com (Case No. 3:20-cv-02397-JD)

- TCL Industries

  v. Koninklijke Philips NV (IPR2021-00495, IPR2021-00496 and IPR2021-00497)

Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman

- Intel

  v. FG SRC LLC (Case No. 6:20-cv-00315)

- Gravel Rating Systems

  v. Costco (Case No. 4:21-cv-149)
  v. Lowe's Home Centers (Case No. 4:21-cv-150)
  v. T-Mobile USA (Case No. 4:21-cv-152)
  v. Kohl's Inc. (Case No. 4:21-cv-258)
  v. Under Armor (Case No. 4:21-cv-356)

APPENDIX ALMUALLA01

screenshot-catalog.libraries.psu.edu-2023.12.05-15_59_18
https://catalog.libraries.psu.edu/catalog/2300351
05.12.2023

MARC View

LEADER 01492nam a22003978a 4500				
001 2300351				
003 SIRSI				
005 20151215025712.0				
008 020226t20022002cau 001 0 eng				
010				a| 2001098017
015				a| GBA2-Y4214
019				a| MARS
020				a| 0120530791
035				a| (OCoLC)49238354
040				a| UKM c| UKM d| CUS d| PSt d| OCoLC d| UtOrBLW
050		4		a| TK6570.M6 b| A56 2002
082	0	4		a| 621.382 2| 21
100	1			a| Al-Mualla, Mohammed Ebrahim.
245	1	0		a| Video coding for mobile communications : b| efficiency, complexity, and resilience / c| Mohammed Ebrahim Al-Mualla, C. Nishan Canagarajah and David R. Bull.
264		1		a| San Diego, Calif. ; a| London : b| Academic Press, c| [2002]
264		4		c| ©2002
300				a| xxvi, 293 pages : b| illustrations ; c| 24 cm.
336				a| text b| txt 2| rdacontent
337				a| unmediated b| n 2| rdamedia
338				a| volume b| nc 2| rdacarrier
490	1			a| Signal processing and its applications
504				a| Includes bibliographical references (pages 267-282) and index.
650		0		a| Mobile communication systems.
650		0		a| Image transmission.
650		0		a| Multimedia systems x| Standards.
650		0		a| Video compression x| Standards.
650		0		a| Coding theory.
700	1			a| Canagarajah, C. N. q| (C. Nishan)
700	1			a| Bull, D. R. q| (David R.)
830		0		a| Signal processing and its applications.
949				a| TK6570.M6A56 2002 w| LC c| 1 i| 000048900072 d| 12/20/2006 e| 8/8/2006 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX n| 9 r| Y s| Y t| BOOK u| 5/24/2002 z| 8158

PennState

Copyright @2019 The Pennsylvania State University. All rights reserved. Except where otherwise noted, this work is subject to a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 license. Details and exceptions.


Legal Statements | PSU Hotlines

PENN STATE UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES

Libraries Home
Libraries Intranet (Staff Only)
Accessibility Help
Website Feedback
Policies and Guidelines
Staff Directory

(814) 865-6368

screenshot-catalog.libraries.psu.edu-2023.12.05-15_59_18
https://catalog.libraries.psu.edu/catalog/2300351
05.12.2023



Video coding for mobile communications : efficiency, complexity, and resilience / Mohammed Ebrahim Al-Mualla, C. Nishan Canagarajah and David R. Bull

Author: Al-Mualla, Mohammed Ebrahim
Published: San Diego, Calif. ; London : Academic Press, [2002]
Copyright Date: ©2002
Physical Description: xxvi, 293 pages : illustrations ; 24 cm.
Additional Creators: Canagarajah, C. N. (C. Nishan) and Bull, D. R. (David R.)

Availability

Browse Nearby on Shelf I Want It

Library Storage (1 item)

Call number	Material	Location
TK6570.M6A56 2002	Book	Checked out

Series:
Signal processing and its applications

Subject(s):
Mobile communication systems
Image transmission
Multimedia systems—Standards
Video compression—Standards
Coding theory

ISBN:
0120530791

Bibliography Note:
Includes bibliographical references (pages 267-282) and index.

View MARC record | catkey: 2300351

PennState

Copyright @2019 The Pennsylvania State University. All rights reserved. Except where otherwise noted, this work is subject to a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 license. Details and exceptions.


Legal Statements | PSU Hotlines

PENN STATE UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES
Libraries Home (814) 865-6368
Libraries Intranet (Staff Only)
Accessibility Help
Website Feedback
Policies and Guidelines
Staff Directory

APPENDIX ALMUALLA02

SIGNAL PROCESSING AND ITS APPLICATIONS

Video Coding for Mobile Communications



Efficiency, Complexity, and Resilience

Mohammed E. Al-Mualla
C. Nishan Canagarajah
David R. Bull

Al-Mualla
Canagarajah
Bull
Video Coding for Mobile Communications
Efficiency, Complexity, and Resilience
Engin.
TK6570
.M6
A56
2002
Press

SIGNAL PROCESSING AND ITS APPLICATIONS
a series from Academic Press

Video Coding for Mobile Communications

Efficiency, Complexity, and Resilience



Mohammed E. Al-Mualla: Etisalat College of Engineering, Emirates Telecommunications Corporation (Etisalat), Sharjah, United Arab Emirates

C. Nishan Canagarajah and David R. Bull: Image Communications Group, Centre for Communications Research, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

Mobile multimedia communications is one of the major growth areas in engineering today. Current second-generation mobile communication systems support a number of basic multimedia communication services. However, many technologically demanding problems need to be solved before *real-time mobile video communications* can be achieved. This book focuses on *video source coding* techniques that address three important challenges: *coding efficiency, computational complexity,* and *error resilience.* The book reviews the state-of-art techniques in these three areas, with particular emphasis on those techniques adopted in the latest video coding standards. This is supported with comparative studies between techniques and investigations into the suitability of such techniques for mobile video communications. In addition, the book presents some of the authors' original contributions in this exciting field.

- A leading text addressing the problems associated with the design of mobile video communication systems
- A unique text integrating the areas of coding efficiency, reduced computational complexity, and error resilience in a single volume
- Provides a quick, easy, and practical introduction to the fundamentals of video source coding
- Presents a comprehensive overview of the latest video coding standards including H.263 (and its extensions H.263+ and H.263++) and MPEG-4
- Reviews, evaluates, and compares the state-of-art techniques in the areas of coding efficiency, reduced complexity, and error resilience
- Adopts a motion-based approach to address the problems of coding efficiency, computational complexity, and error resilience
- Acts as a repository of ideas, design examples, and novel techniques for students, instructors, researchers, engineers, and managers

PENN STATE UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES
A0000048900072

PRESS
An imprint of Elsevier Science

6 08628 30791 6

EAN
9 780120 530793 90018

ISBN 0-12-053079-1

Printed in The United States

ENGINEERING LIBRARY

Signal Processing and its Applications

SERIES EDITORS

Dr Richard Green
Department of Technology, Metropolitan Police Service, London, UK

Professor Truong Nguyen
Electrical and Computer Engineering Department,
University of Wisconsin, Wisconsin, USA

EDITORIAL BOARD

Professor Maurice G. Bellanger
CNAM, Paris, France

Professor David Bull
Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering,
University of Bristol, UK

Professor Gerry D. Cain
School of Electronic and Manufacturing System Engineering,
University of Westminster, London, UK

Professor Colin Cowan
Department of Electronics and Electrical Engineering,
Queen's University, Belfast, Northern Ireland

Professor Roy Davies
Machine Vision Group, Department of Physics,
Royal Holloway, University of London, Surrey, UK

Dr Paola Hobson
Motorola, Basingstoke, UK

Professor Mark Sandlar
Department of Electronics and Electrical Engineering,
King's College London, University of London, UK

Dr Henry Stark
Electrical and Computer Engineering Department,
Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, USA

Dr Maneeshi Trivedi
Horndean, Waterlooville, UK

Video Coding for Mobile Communications

Efficiency, Complexity, and Resilience

Mohammed Ebrahim Al-Mualla
Etisalat College of Engineering,
Emirates Telecommunications Corporation (ETISALAT), U.A.E.

C. Nishan Canagarajah and David R. Bull
Image Communications Group,
Center for Communications Research,
University of Bristol, U.K.



ACADEMIC PRESS

An Imprint of Elsevier Science

Amsterdam Boston London New York Oxford Paris San Diego
San Francisco Singapore Sydney Tokyo

This book is printed on acid-free paper. ♾

Copyright © 2002, Elsevier Science (USA)
All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

Requests for permission to make copies of any part of the work should be mailed to: Permissions Department, Harcourt, Inc., 6277 Sea Harbor Drive, Orlando, Florida 32887-6777.

Explicit permission from Academic Press is not required to reproduce a maximum of two figures or tables from an Academic Press chapter in another scientific or research publication provided that the material has not been credited to another source and that full credit to the Academic Press chapter is given.

Academic Press
An Imprint of Elsevier Science
525 B Street, Suite 1900, San Diego, California 92101-4495, USA
http://www.academicpress.com

Academic Press
An Imprint of Elsevier Science
Harcourt Place, 32 Jamestown Road, London NW1 7BY, UK
http://www.academicpress.com

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 2001098017

International Standard Book Number: 0-12-053079-1

PRINTED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

02 03 04 05 06 EB 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Contents

Preface xiii

About the Authors xix

List of Acronyms xxi

1 Introduction to Mobile Video Communications **1**
1.1 Motivations and Applications 1
1.2 Main Challenges 2
1.3 Possible Solutions 4

Part I Introduction to Video Coding **7**

2 Video Coding: Fundamentals **9**
2.1 Overview 9
2.2 What Is Video? 9
2.3 Analog Video 9
2.3.1 Analog Video Signal 9
2.3.2 Color Representation 11
2.3.3 Analog Video Systems 12
2.4 Digital Video 13
2.4.1 Why Digital? 13
2.4.2 Digitization 13
2.4.3 Chroma Subsampling 14
2.4.4 Digital Video Formats 15
2.5 Video Coding Basics 17
2.5.1 The Need for Video Coding 17
2.5.2 Elements of a Video Coding System 18
2.5.3 Elements of Information Theory 19
2.5.4 Quantization 20
2.5.5 Symbol Encoding 23
2.5.6 Performance Measures 26

2.6 Intraframe Coding 28
2.6.1 Predictive Coding 28
2.6.2 Transform Coding 29
2.6.3 Subband Coding 33
2.6.4 Vector Quantization 35
2.6.5 Second-Generation Coding 37
2.6.6 Other Coding Methods 38
2.7 Interframe Coding 38
2.7.1 Three-Dimensional Coding 38
2.7.2 Motion-Compensated Coding 39
2.7.3 Model-Based Coding 41

3 Video Coding: Standards **43**
3.1 Overview 43
3.2 The Need for Video Coding Standards 43
3.3 Chronological Development 44
3.3.1 H.120 44
3.3.2 H.261 44
3.3.3 CCIR-721 45
3.3.4 CCIR-723 45
3.3.5 MPEG-1 45
3.3.6 MPEG-2 46
3.3.7 H.263 46
3.3.8 H.263+ 47
3.3.9 MPEG-4 47
3.3.10 H.263++ 48
3.3.11 H.26L 48
3.4 The H.263 Standard 49
3.4.1 Introduction 49
3.4.2 Source Format 49
3.4.3 Video Source Coding Algorithm 49
3.4.4 Decoding Process 54
3.4.5 Optional Coding Modes 55
3.4.6 H.263, Version 2 (H.263+) 57
3.4.7 H.263, Version 3 (H.263++) 70
3.5 The MPEG-4 Standard 72
3.5.1 An Object-Based Representation 72
3.5.2 Shape Coding 76
3.5.3 Motion Estimation and Compensation 79
3.5.4 Texture Coding 81
3.5.5 Still Texture Coding 84
3.5.6 Sprite Coding 84

3.5.7 Scalability 85
3.5.8 Error Resilience 86
3.5.9 Profiles and Levels 89

Part II Coding Efficiency **91**

4 Basic Motion Estimation Techniques **93**
4.1 Overview 93
4.2 Motion Estimation 93
4.2.1 Projected Motion and Apparent Motion 94
4.2.2 Problem Formulation 95
4.2.3 An Ill-Posed Problem 96
4.2.4 Deterministic and Probabilistic Models 97
4.2.5 Parametric and Nonparametric Models 97
4.2.6 Region of Support 98
4.3 Differential Methods 98
4.4 Pel-Recursive Methods 100
4.5 Frequency-Domain Methods 102
4.6 Block-Matching Methods 105
4.6.1 Matching Function 106
4.6.2 Block Size 108
4.6.3 Search Range 109
4.6.4 Search Accuracy 110
4.6.5 Unrestricted Motion Vectors 111
4.6.6 Overlapped Motion Compensation 112
4.6.7 Properties of Block Motion Fields and Error Surfaces 115
4.7 A Comparative Study 117
4.8 Efficiency of Block Matching at Very Low Bit Rates 122
4.9 Discussion 124

5 Warping-Based Motion Estimation Techniques **125**
5.1 Overview 125
5.2 Warping-Based Methods: A Review 125
5.2.1 Shape of Patches 128
5.2.2 Type of Mesh 128
5.2.3 Spatial Transformation 129
5.2.4 Continuous Versus Discontinuous Methods 129
5.2.5 Backward Versus Forward Node Tracking 130
5.2.6 Node-Tracking Algorithm 131
5.2.7 Motion Compensation Method 132
5.2.8 Transmitted Motion Overhead 133

5.3 Efficiency of Warping-Based Methods at Very Low Bit Rates 134
5.4 Discussion 139

6 Multiple-Reference Motion Estimation Techniques **141**
6.1 Overview 141
6.2 Multiple-Reference Motion Estimation: A Review 142
6.3 Long-Term Memory Motion Compensated Prediction 144
6.3.1 Properties of Long-Term Block Motion Fields 145
6.3.2 Prediction Gain 147
6.3.3 Efficiency at Very Low Bit Rates 149
6.4 Discussion 154

Part III Computational Complexity **157**

7 Reduced-Complexity Motion Estimation Techniques **159**
7.1 Overview 159
7.2 The Need for Reduced-Complexity Motion Estimation 159
7.3 Techniques Based on a Reduced Set of Motion Vector Candidates 161
7.4 Techniques Based on a Reduced-Complexity Block Distortion Measure 163
7.5 Techniques Based on a Subsampled Block Motion Field 164
7.6 Hierarchical Search Techniques 166
7.7 Fast Full-Search Techniques 168
7.8 A Comparative Study 170
7.9 Discussion 173

8 The Simplex Minimization Search **175**
8.1 Overview 175
8.2 Block Matching: An Optimization Problem 176
8.2.1 Problem Formulation 176
8.2.2 A Possible Solution 177
8.3 The Simplex Minimization (SM) Optimization Method 177
8.3.1 Basic Algorithm 177
8.3.2 SM for BMME: Why? 178
8.4 The Simplex Minimization Search (SMS) 181
8.4.1 Initialization Procedure 181
8.4.2 Constraints on the Independent Variables 182
8.4.3 Termination Criterion 182
8.4.4 Motion Vector Refinement 183

8.5 Simulation Results 183
8.5.1 Results Within an Isolated Test Environment .. 183
8.5.2 Results Within an H.263-like Codec 188
8.5.3 Results Within an MPEG-4 Codec 190
8.6 Simplex Minimization for Multiple-Reference Motion Estimation 196
8.6.1 Multiple-Reference SMS Algorithms 197
8.6.2 Simulation Results 198
8.7 Discussion 202

Part IV Error Resilience **203**

9 Error-Resilience Video Coding Techniques **205**
9.1 Overview 205
9.2 A Typical Video Communication System 205
9.3 Types of Errors 206
9.3.1 Random Bit Errors 207
9.3.2 Erasure (or Burst) Errors 207
9.4 Effects of Errors 207
9.4.1 Isolated Effects 207
9.4.2 Spatial Error Propagation 208
9.4.3 Temporal Error Propagation 208
9.5 Error Detection 209
9.6 Forward Techniques 210
9.6.1 Forward Error Correction (FEC) 211
9.6.2 Robust Waveform Coding 211
9.6.3 Robust Entropy Coding 213
9.6.4 Layered Coding with Prioritisation 217
9.6.5 Multiple Description Coding 218
9.6.6 Interleaved Coding 219
9.7 Postprocessing (or Concealment) Techniques 219
9.7.1 Spatial Error Concealment 220
9.7.2 Temporal Error Concealment 222
9.7.3 Hybrid Error Concealment 224
9.7.4 Coding-Mode Recovery 224
9.8 Interactive Techniques 224
9.8.1 Automatic Repeat Request (ARQ) 225
9.8.2 Error Tracking 225
9.8.3 Reference Picture Selection 227
9.9 Discussion 229

10 Error Concealment Using Motion Field Interpolation **231**
10.1 Overview 231

10.2 Temporal Error Concealment Using Motion Field Interpolation (MFI) 232
10.2.1 Motivation 232
10.2.2 Description of the Technique 233
10.2.3 Reduced-Complexity MFI 235
10.3 Temporal Error Concealment Using a Combined BM-MFI Technique 236
10.3.1 Motivation 236
10.3.2 Description of the Technique 236
10.4 Simulation Results 237
10.4.1 Results Within an Isolated Error Environment 237
10.4.2 Results Within an H.263 Decoder 243
10.5 Temporal Error Concealment for Multiple-Reference Motion- Compensated Prediction 246
10.5.1 Temporal-Component Recovery 248
10.5.2 Spatial-Components Recovery 250
10.5.3 Spatial-Temporal-Components Recovery 251
10.5.4 Multi-hypothesis Temporal Error Concealment 252
10.6 Discussion 255


Appendix A Fast Block-Matching Algorithms **259**

A.1 Notation and Assumptions 259
A.2 The Two-Dimensional Logarithmic Search (TDL) 260
A.3 The *N*-Steps Search (NSS) 261
A.4 The One-at-a-Time Search (OTS) 262
A.5 The Cross Search Algorithm (CSA) 263
A.6 The Diamond Search (DS) 265

Bibliography **267**

Index **283**

ENGINEERING LIBRARY



APPENDIX GIROD01

screenshot-catalog.libraries.psu.edu-2023.12.05-15_55_35
https://catalog.libraries.psu.edu/catalog/37409831/marc_view
05.12.2023

MARC View

LEADER 16605cas a2201945 a 4500				
001 37409831				
003 SIRSI				
005 20220602164238.0				
008 751101c19639999nyumr p 0 a0eng c				
010				a| 86645263 z| sn 80000610
016	7			a| 01120981X 2| DE-101
016	7			a| 209133-1 2| DE-600
016	7			a| 9879073 2| DNLM
016	7			a| P35165000 2| DNLM
016	7			a| 000265689 2| IsJJNL
016	7			a| 007872017 2| Uk
019				a| 5312825 a| 185062853 a| 222869421 a| 241310267 a| 316300188 a| 985143064 a| 1009011111 a| 1011949541 a| 1043961400 a| 1049731890 a| 1054218746 a| 1056258641 a| 1064299508 a| 1065062061 a| 1079938701 a| 1100904990 a| 1178636188 a| 1192413672 a| 1196165787 a| 1200069400 a| 1202991903 a| 1205392388 a| 1205513450 a| 1236051671 a| 1242785572 a| 1246524520 a| 1264050557 a| 1264645911
019				a| MARS
022	0			a| 0018-9219 l| 0018-9219 2| 1
030				a| IEEPAD
035				a| (OCoLC)1753228
037				b| IEEE Operations Center, 445 Hoes Lane, P.O. Box 1331, Piscataway, NJ 08855-1331
040				a| MUL b| eng c| MUL d| NSD d| IUL d| SER d| NSD d| AIP d| OCL d| DLC d| NSD d| DLC d| NST d| OCL d| NST d| DLC d| IUL d| MYG d| CUS d| MYG d| SYS d| OCLCQ d| HUL d| IEEEX d| NLM d| OCLCQ d| ORE d| NSD d| NLGGC d| LVB d| EEM d| OCLCQ d| HEBIS d| GBVCP d| DLC d| IUL d| OCLCQ d| AU@ d| J9U d| OCLCO d| IUL d| OCLCF d| OCLCO d| TPA d| OCLCA d| AVA d| UKMGB d| OCLCQ d| CASUM d| OCLCO d| OCLCA d| UtOrBLW
042				a| pcc a| nsdp
050	0	0	a| TK5700 b| .I6	
082	0	0	a| 621.381/05 2| 19	
110	2			a| Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. 0| http://id.loc.gov/authorities/names/n79053217
210	0			a| Proc. I.E.E.E.
222		0	a| Proceedings of the IEEE	
245	1	0	a| Proceedings of the IEEE.	
246	3			a| Proceedings of the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
264		1	a| [New York, N.Y.] : b| Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, c| 1963-	
300				a| volumes : b| illustrations ; c| 28 cm
310				a| Monthly
336				a| text b| txt 2| rdacontent
337				a| unmediated b| n 2| rdamedia
338				a| volume b| nc 2| rdacarrier
362	0			a| Vol. 51, no. 1 (Jan. 1963)-
500				a| Title from cover.
510	2			a| Chemical abstracts x| 0009-2258
525				a| Vol. 100 also has a special issue called: 100th anniversary issue.
533				a| Microform. b| [New York, N.Y.? c| IEEE], d| 19__? e| microfiches ; 11 x 15 cm.
588	1			a| Vol. 90, no. 1 (Jan. 2002).
590				a| Binder's title: IEEE proceedings.
650		0	a| Radio v| Periodicals. 0| http://id.loc.gov/authorities/subjects/sh2008110405	
650		0	a| Electronics v| Periodicals. 0| http://id.loc.gov/authorities/subjects/sh2008102897	
650		6	a| Électronique v| Périodiques.	
650		6	a| Électricité v| Périodiques.	
650		7	a| Electronics. 2| fast 0| (OCoLC)fst00907538	
650		7	a| Radio. 2| fast 0| (OCoLC)fst01087053	
650	1	7	a| Elektrotechniek. 2| gtt	
650	1	2	a| Medical Laboratory Science. 0| https://id.nlm.nih.gov/mesh/D013677	
655		0	a| Electronic journals.	

655		4	a| Electronic journals.
655		7	a| Periodicals. 2| aat
655		7	a| Periodicals. 2| fast 0| (OCoLC)fst01411641
655		7	a| Electronic journals. 2| lcgft
776	0	8	i| Online version: a| Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. t| Proceedings of the IEEE (Online) x| 1558-2256 w| (DLC) 2005212484 w| (OCoLC)60638421
780	0	0	a| Institute of Radio Engineers. t| Proceedings of the IRE x| 0096-8390 w| (DLC) 86645262 w| (OCoLC)1753246
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.51 no.1-6 1963 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000052233371 d| 9/22/2003 l| ACAD-BLDG m| UP-ANNEX n| 1 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 9/15/2003
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.51 no.7-12 1963 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000069466250 l| ACAD-BLDG m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 9/8/2010
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.52 no.1-6 1964 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000069466267 l| ACAD-BLDG m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 9/8/2010
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.52 no.7-12 1964 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000069466274 l| ACAD-BLDG m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 9/8/2010
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.53 no.1-6 1965 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000069466281 l| ACAD-BLDG m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 9/8/2010
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.53 no.7-12 1965 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000069466298 l| ACAD-BLDG m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 9/8/2010
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.54 no.1-6 1966 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000046607492 d| 4/1/2002 e| 3/21/2002 l| ACAD-BLDG m| UP-ANNEX n| 1 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/21/2002
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.54 no.7-12 1966 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000069466304 l| ACAD-BLDG m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 9/8/2010
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.55 no.1-6 1967 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000069466311 l| ACAD-BLDG m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 9/8/2010
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.55 no.7-12 1967 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000052238857 l| ACAD-BLDG m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 12/23/2003
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.56 no.1-6 1968 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000069466328 l| ACAD-BLDG m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 9/8/2010
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.56 no.7-12 1968 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000052242304 d| 6/22/2005 l| ACAD-BLDG m| UP-ANNEX n| 1 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 6/21/2005
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.57 no.1-6 1969 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000052240683 d| 3/18/2004 l| ACAD-BLDG m| UP-ANNEX n| 1 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/17/2004
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.57 no.7-12 1969 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000069466144 l| ACAD-BLDG m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 9/8/2010
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.58 no.1-6 1970 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000018898170 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7764
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.58 no.7-12 1970 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000018898187 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7764
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.59 no.1-6 1971 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000018898194 d| 2/16/2004 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX q| 1 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7764
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.59 no.7-12 1971 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000018898200 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7764
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.60 no.1-6 1972 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000018898217 d| 6/28/2005 e| 6/28/2005 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX n| 1 q| 1 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7764
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.60 no.7-12 1972 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000018898224 d| 5/24/2004 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX q| 1 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7764
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.61 no.1-6 1973 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000018898231 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7764
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.61 no.7-12 1973 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000018898248 d| 6/13/2005 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX q| 2 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7764
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.62 no.1-6 1974 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000018898255 d| 7/6/2015 e| 6/25/2015 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX q| 4 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7764
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.62 no.7-12 1974 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000018898262 d| 2/24/2004 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX q| 2 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7764
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.63 no.1-6 1975 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826017 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7764
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.63 no.7-12 1975 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826024 d| 5/11/2005 e| 5/11/2005 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX n| 2 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7764
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.64 no.1-6 1976 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826031 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7764
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.64 no.7-12 1976 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826048 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7764
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.65 no.1-6 1977 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826055 d| 12/2/2003 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX q| 1 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7764
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.65 no.7-12 1977 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826062 d| 7/16/2010 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX q| 3 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7764
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.66 no.1-6 1978 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826079 d| 8/24/2006 e| 11/17/2004 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX n| 2 q| 1 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7764
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.66 no.7-12 1978 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826086 d| 9/4/2003 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX q| 1 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7764
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.67 no.1-6 1979 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826093 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7764
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.67 no.7-12 1979 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826109 d| 7/2/2003 e| 7/2/2003 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX n| 2 q| 1 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7764
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.68 no.1-6 1980 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826116 d| 10/13/2004 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX q| 1 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7764
949			a| TK5700.I6 v.68 no.7-12 1980 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826123 d| 6/5/2005 e| 6/5/2005 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX n| 1 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7764

949	a| TK5700.I6 v.69 no.1-6 1981 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826130 d| 12/2/2003 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX q| 3 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7764
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.69 no.7-12 1981 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826147 d| 12/2/2003 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX q| 1 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7764
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.70 no.1-6 1982 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826154 d| 1/26/2005 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX q| 2 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.70 no.7-12 1982 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826161 d| 1/13/2005 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX q| 2 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.71 no.1-6 1983 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826178 d| 2/10/2005 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX q| 3 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.71 no.7-12 1983 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826185 d| 5/21/2007 e| 5/21/2007 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX n| 1 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.72 no.1-4 1984 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826192 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.72 no.5-8 1984 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826208 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.72 no.9-12 1984 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826215 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.73 no.1-3 1985 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826222 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.73 no.4-7 1985 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826239 d| 2/16/2004 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX q| 1 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.73 no.8-12 1985 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826246 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.74 no.1-6 1986 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826253 d| 7/1/2004 e| 7/1/2004 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX n| 1 q| 2 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.74 no.7-12 1986 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826260 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.75 no.1-6 1987 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826277 d| 2/1/2005 e| 2/1/2005 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX n| 1 q| 1 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.75 no.7-12 1987 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826284 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.76 no.1-4 1988 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826291 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.76 no.5-8 1988 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826307 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.76 no.9-12 1988 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826314 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.77 no.1-6 1989 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826321 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.77 no.7-9 1989 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826338 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.77 no.10-12 1989 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826345 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.78 no.1-3 1990 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826352 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.78 no.4-6 1990 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826369 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.78 no.7-9 1990 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826376 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.78 no.10-12 1990 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826383 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.79 no.1-3 1991 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826390 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.79 no.4-6 1991 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826406 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.79 no.7-9 1991 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826413 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.79 no.10-12 1991 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826420 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.80 no.1-3 1992 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826437 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.80 no.4-6 1992 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826444 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.80 no.7-9 1992 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826451 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.80 no.10-12 1992 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826468 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.81 no.1-6 1993 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826475 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z|

	7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.81 no.7-12 1993 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826482 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.82 no.1-6 1994 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826499 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.82 no.7-12 1994 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826505 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.83 no.1-6 1995 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826512 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.83 no.7-12 1995 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826529 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.84 no.1-6 1996 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826536 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.84 no.7-12 1996 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826543 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.85 no.1-6 1997 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826550 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.85 no.7-12 1997 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826567 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.86 no.1-6 1998 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826574 d| 8/13/2018 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX q| 3 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.86 no.7-12 1998 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826581 d| 10/7/2003 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX q| 1 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.87 no.1-6 1999 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826598 d| 12/2/2003 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX q| 2 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.87 no.7-12 1999 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051826604 d| 12/2/2003 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX q| 1 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/20/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.88 no.1-6 2000 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000048232104 d| 12/2/2003 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX q| 1 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 4/12/2001 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.88 no.7-12 2000 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000048232098 d| 12/2/2003 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX q| 2 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 9/26/2001 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.89 no.1-6 2001 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000048462211 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 9/26/2002 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.89 no.7-12 2001 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000048462204 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 9/26/2002 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.90 no.1-6 2002 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051871550 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 5/8/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.90 no.7-12 2002 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000051871543 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 5/8/2003 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.91 no.1-6 2003 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000052806384 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/2/2004 z| 7766
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.91 no.7-12 2003 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000052806391 d| 1/11/2007 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX q| 1 r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/2/2004 z| 7760
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.92 no.1-6 2004 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000054847743 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 4/7/2005 z| 7760
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.92 no.7-12 2004 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000054847736 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 4/7/2005 z| 7760
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.93 no.1-4 2005 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000054971325 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/27/2006 z| 7760
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.93 no.5-8 2005 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000054971318 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/27/2006 z| 7760
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.93 no.9-12 2005 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000054971578 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 3/27/2006 z| 7760
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.94 no.1-4 2006 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000055213905 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 5/9/2007 z| 7760
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.94 no.5-8 2006 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000055213929 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 5/9/2007 z| 7760
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.94 no.9-12 2006 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000055213912 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 5/9/2007 z| 7760
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.95 no.1-4 2007 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000059601432 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 1/23/2008 z| 7760
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.95 no.5-8 2007 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000059601425 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 1/23/2008 z| 7760
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.95 no.9-12 2007 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000059602040 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 1/23/2008 z| 7760
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.96 no.1-4 2008 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000059737773 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 2/18/2009 z| 7760
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.96 no.5-8 2008 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000059738398 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 2/18/2009 z| 7760
949	a| TK5700.I6 v.96 no.9-12 2008 w| LCPER c| 1 i| 000059738404 l| CATO-2 m| UP-ANNEX r| Y s| Y t| PERIODICAL u| 2/18/2009 z| 7760
852 0 1	a| PSt b| UP-ANNEX c| ANNEX h| TK5700 i| .I6
866	0 8| 0 a| v.51(1963)-v.96(2008)

PennState

Copyright @2019 The Pennsylvania State University. All rights reserved. Except where otherwise noted, this work is subject to a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 license. Details and exceptions.

Legal Statements | PSU Hotlines

PENN STATE
UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES

Libraries Home
Libraries Intranet (Staff Only)
Accessibility Help
Website Feedback
Policies and Guidelines
Staff Directory

(814) 865-6368

screenshot-catalog.libraries.psu.edu-2023.12.05-15_55_35
https://catalog.libraries.psu.edu/catalog/37409831/marc_view
05.12.2023



Proceedings of the IEEE

Corporate Author: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers

Additional Titles: Proc. I.E.E.E. and Proceedings of the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers

Published: [New York, N.Y.] : Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, 1963-

Physical Description: volumes : illustrations ; 28 cm

Read online at Google Books

Availability

Browse Nearby on Shelf I Want It

Library Storage (106 items)

Call number	Material	Location
Annexed Material: Holdings Summary v.51(1963)-v.96(2008)		
TK5700.I6 v.96 no.9-12 2008	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.96 no.5-8 2008	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.96 no.1-4 2008	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.95 no.9-12 2007	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.95 no.5-8 2007	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.95 no.1-4 2007	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.94 no.9-12 2006	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.94 no.5-8 2006	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.94 no.1-4 2006	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.93 no.9-12 2005	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.93 no.5-8 2005	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.93 no.1-4 2005	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.92 no.7-12 2004	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.92 no.1-6 2004	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.91 no.7-12 2003	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.91 no.1-6 2003	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.90 no.7-12 2002	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.90 no.1-6 2002	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.89 no.7-12 2001	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.89 no.1-6 2001	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.88 no.7-12 2000	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.88 no.1-6 2000	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.87 no.7-12 1999	Bound Journal	Checked out
TK5700.I6 v.87 no.1-6 1999	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.86 no.7-12 1998	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.86 no.1-6 1998	Bound Journal	Annexed Material

TK5700.I6 v.86 no.1-6 1998	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.85 no.7-12 1997	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.85 no.1-6 1997	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.84 no.7-12 1996	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.84 no.1-6 1996	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.83 no.7-12 1995	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.83 no.1-6 1995	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.82 no.7-12 1994	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.82 no.1-6 1994	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.81 no.7-12 1993	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.81 no.1-6 1993	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.80 no.10-12 1992	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.80 no.7-9 1992	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.80 no.4-6 1992	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.80 no.1-3 1992	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.79 no.10-12 1991	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.79 no.7-9 1991	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.79 no.4-6 1991	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.79 no.1-3 1991	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.78 no.10-12 1990	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.78 no.7-9 1990	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.78 no.4-6 1990	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.78 no.1-3 1990	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.77 no.10-12 1989	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.77 no.7-9 1989	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.77 no.1-6 1989	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.76 no.9-12 1988	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.76 no.5-8 1988	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.76 no.1-4 1988	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.75 no.7-12 1987	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.75 no.1-6 1987	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.74 no.7-12 1986	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.74 no.1-6 1986	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.73 no.8-12 1985	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.73 no.4-7 1985	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.73 no.1-3 1985	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.72 no.9-12 1984	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.72 no.5-8 1984	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.72 no.1-4 1984	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.71 no.7-12 1983	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.71 no.1-6 1983	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.70 no.7-12 1982	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.70 no.1-6 1982	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.69 no.7-12 1981	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.69 no.1-6 1981	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.68 no.7-12 1980	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.68 no.1-6 1980	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.67 no.7-12 1979	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.67 no.1-6 1979	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.66 no.7-12 1978	Bound Journal	Annexed Material

TK5700.I6 v.66 no.7-12 1978	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.66 no.1-6 1978	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.65 no.7-12 1977	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.65 no.1-6 1977	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.64 no.7-12 1976	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.64 no.1-6 1976	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.63 no.7-12 1975	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.63 no.1-6 1975	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.62 no.7-12 1974	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.62 no.1-6 1974	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.61 no.7-12 1973	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.61 no.1-6 1973	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.60 no.7-12 1972	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.60 no.1-6 1972	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.59 no.7-12 1971	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.59 no.1-6 1971	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.58 no.7-12 1970	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.58 no.1-6 1970	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.57 no.7-12 1969	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.57 no.1-6 1969	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.56 no.7-12 1968	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.56 no.1-6 1968	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.55 no.7-12 1967	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.55 no.1-6 1967	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.54 no.7-12 1966	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.54 no.1-6 1966	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.53 no.7-12 1965	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.53 no.1-6 1965	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.52 no.7-12 1964	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.52 no.1-6 1964	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.51 no.7-12 1963	Bound Journal	Annexed Material
TK5700.I6 v.51 no.1-6 1963	Bound Journal	Annexed Material

Continues:
Proceedings of the IRE

Dates of Publication and/or Sequential Designation:
Vol. 51, no. 1 (Jan. 1963)-

Subject(s):
Radio—Periodicals
Electronics—Periodicals
Électronique—Périodiques
Électricité—Périodiques
Electronics
Radio
Elektrotechniek
Medical Laboratory Science

Genre(s):
Electronic journals
Periodicals

ISSN:
0018-9219

Publication Frequency:
Monthly

Note:

Note:

Title from cover.

Binder's title: IEEE proceedings.

Supplement Note:

Vol. 100 also has a special issue called: 100th anniversary issue.

Reproduction Note:

Microform. [New York, N.Y.? IEEE], 19__? microfiches ; 11 x 15 cm.

Selectively Indexed By:

Chemical abstracts 0009-2258

View MARC record | catkey: 37409831

PennState

Copyright @2019 The Pennsylvania State University. All rights reserved. Except where otherwise noted, this work is subject to a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 license. Details and exceptions.


Legal Statements | PSU Hotlines

PENN STATE UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES

Libraries Home
Libraries Intranet (Staff Only)
Accessibility Help
Website Feedback
Policies and Guidelines
Staff Directory

(814) 865-6368

APPENDIX GIROD02



INSTITUTE OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS PROCEEDINGS OF THE IEEE
87 JULY-DEC 1999
ENGIN TK5700 .I6


A0000518266O4

ENGINEERING LIBRARY

SHELVED IN
BOUND
PERIODICAL
COLLECTION

PROCEEDINGS OF THE IEEE

THE INSTITUTE OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS, INC.

OCTOBER 1999

Special Issue

VIDEO TRANSMISSION FOR MOBILE MULTIMEDIA APPLICATIONS

Papers on:

* *Feedback Error Control* * *Robust Video Coding* * *Separate, Concatenated & Joint Source Channel Coding* * *Third-Generation Multipriority Video* * *Transmission Aspects of Wireless Multimedia* * *Broad-Band OFDM Radio Transmission* * *Wireless ATM Networks* * *Multicode CDMA Transport Architecture for MPEG-2 Video*

Classic 1919 Paper: Trans-Oceanic Radio Communication by Ernst F. W. Alexanderson

Predictive 1962 Paper & Update: Diagnostics by Urner Liddel

The Electrical Century: Biomedical Engineering

PROCEEDINGS OF THE IEEE 21st CENTURY TECHNOLOGY FORECAST



RECEIVED SEP 3 0 1999 PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES

PROCEEDINGS OF THE IEEE

published monthly by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.

October 1999 Vol. 87 No. 10

SPECIAL ISSUE ON
VIDEO TRANSMISSION FOR MOBILE MULTIMEDIA APPLICATIONS
Edited by Hamid Gharavi and Lajos Hanzo

1703 Scanning the Special Issue on Video Transmission for Mobile Multimedia Applications, *H. Gharavi and L. Hanzo*

PAPERS

1707 Feedback-Based Error Control for Mobile Video Transmission *(Invited Paper)*, *B. Girod and N. Färber*

1724 Robust Video Coding Algorithms and Systems *(Invited Paper)*, *J. D. Villasenor, Y.-Q. Zhang, and J. Wen*

1734 Transport of Wireless Video Using Separate, Concatenated, and Joint Source-Channel Coding *(Invited Paper)*, *R. E. Van Dyck and D. J. Miller*

1751 Multipriority Video Transmission for Third-Generation Wireless Communication Systems, *H. Gharavi and S. M. Alamouti*

1764 Channel Coding and Transmission Aspects for Wireless Multimedia *(Invited Paper)*, *J. Hagenauer and T. Stockhammer*

1778 Broad-Band OFDM Radio Transmission for Multimedia Applications *(Invited Paper)*, *H. Rohling, T. May, K. Brüninghaus, and R. Grünheid*

1790 Wireless ATM Networks: Technology Status and Future Directions *(Invited Paper)*, *D. Raychaudhuri*

1807 Wireless ATM-Based Multicode CDMA Transport Architecture for MPEG-2 Video Transmission *(Invited Paper)*, *P.-R. Chang and C.-F. Lin*

1825 Introduction to "Trans-Oceanic Radio Communication" *(Invited Paper)*, *J. E. Brittain*

1829 Trans-Oceanic Radio Communication *(Classic Paper)*, *E. F. W. Alexanderson*

1838 Diagnostics *(Predictive Paper Reprint)*, *U. Liddel*

1839 Diagnostics Update: A Winding Road *(Predictive Paper)*, *L. S. Edelheit*

THE ELECTRICAL CENTURY

1842 The Bioelectrical Century: Bioelectrical Engineering and the "Inside Story" of the Electrical Century, *D. B. Geselowitz and M. N. Geselowitz*

1847 **FUTURE SPECIAL ISSUES/SPECIAL SECTIONS OF THE PROCEEDINGS**

1848 **PROCEEDINGS CLASSIC PAPER REPRINT SCHEDULE**

1848 **PROCEEDINGS "THE ELECTRICAL CENTURY" SCHEDULE**

Feedback-Based Error Control for Mobile Video Transmission

BERND GIROD, FELLOW, IEEE, AND NIKO FÄRBER, MEMBER, IEEE

Invited Paper

We review feedback-based low bit-rate video coding techniques for robust transmission in mobile multimedia networks. For error control on the source coding level, each decoder has to make provisions for error detection, resynchronization, and error concealment, and we review techniques suitable for that purpose. Further, techniques are discussed for intelligent processing of acknowledgment information by the coding control to adapt the source coder to the channel. We review and compare error tracking, error confinement, and reference picture selection techniques for channel-adaptive source coding. For comparison of these techniques, a system for transmitting low bit-rate video over a wireless channel is presented and the performance is evaluated for a range of transmission conditions. We also show how feedback-based source coding can be employed in conjunction with precompressed video stored on a media server. The techniques discussed are applicable to a wide variety of interframe video schemes, including various video coding standards. Several of the techniques have been incorporated into the H.263 video compression standard recently, and this standard is used as an example throughout.

Keywords*—*Error correction, feedback, mobile communication, standards, video coding.

I. Introduction

Of all modalities desirable for future mobile multimedia systems, motion video is the most demanding in terms of bit rate. Even with state-of-the-art compression, television quality requires a few megabits per second (Mb/s), while for low-resolution, limited-motion video sequences typical for picturephones, a few tens of kilobits per second (kb/s) are required for satisfactory picture quality. Today's "second-generation" cellular telephony networks, such as the global system for mobile communications (GSM), typically provide 10–15 kb/s, suitable for compressed speech, but too little for motion video. Fortunately, the standardization of higher bandwidth networks, such as the universal mobile telecommunications system (UMTS) [1], [2], is well underway, and, together with continued progress in video compression technology, mobile video-communicators with picturephone functionality and Internet videoserver access will be possible.

Beyond the limited available bit rate, wireless video transmission offers a number of interesting technical challenges. A recent review has appeared in [3]. One of the issues is that mobile networks cannot provide guaranteed quality of service because high bit error rates occur during fading periods. Transmission errors in a mobile channel range from single bit errors to burst errors or even intermittent loss of the connection. These widely varying error conditions limit the effectiveness of classic forward error correction (FEC), since a worst-case design would lead to a prohibitive amount of redundancy. Closed-loop error control techniques like automatic repeat request (ARQ) [4] have been shown to be more effective than FEC and successfully applied to wireless video transmission [5], [6]. Retransmission of corrupted data frames, however, introduces additional delay, which might be unacceptable for real-time conversational services. Refinements of ARQ schemes proposed for video include the retransmission of more strongly compressed video [7] or the retransmission of multiple copies [8] in a packet network. Both techniques can be combined. Nevertheless, residual transmission errors cannot be avoided with a mobile radio channel, even when FEC and ARQ are combined.

The compressed video signal is extremely vulnerable against transmission errors, since low bit-rate video coding schemes rely on interframe coding for high coding efficiency. They use the previous encoded and reconstructed video frame to predict the next frame. Therefore, the loss of information in one frame has considerable impact on the quality of the following frames. Since some residual transmission errors inevitably corrupt the video bit stream, this vulnerability precludes the use of low bit-rate video coding schemes designed for error-free channels without special measures. These measures have to be built into the video coding and decoding algorithms themselves and form the "last line of defense" if techniques like FEC and ARQ fail. In this paper, we discuss such last-line-of-defense

Manuscript received January 27, 1998; revised March 11, 1998.

The authors are with the Telecommunications Laboratory, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Erlangen D-91058 Germany.

Publisher Item Identifier S 0018-9219(99)07472-1.

0018–9219/99$10.00 © 1999 IEEE

techniques that can be used to make low bit-rate video coders error resilient. We concentrate on techniques that use acknowledgment information provided by a feedback channel.

The use of a feedback channel is not the only approach to increase the robustness of low bit-rate video communications. A comprehensive review of the great variety of error control and concealment techniques that have been proposed during the last 10–15 years has been presented in an excellent paper by Wang and Zhu recently [8]. For example, one can partition the bit stream into classes of different error sensitivity (often referred to as data partitioning) to enable the use of unequal error protection [9]–[12]. Data partitioning has been included as an error resilience tool in the MPEG-4 standard [13]. Unequal error protection can significantly increase the robustness of the transmission and provide graceful degradation of the picture quality in case of a deteriorating channel. Since unequal error protection does not incorporate information about the current state of the mobile channel, the design of such a scheme is a compromise that accommodates a range of operating conditions. Feedback-based techniques, on the other hand, can adjust to the varying transmission conditions rapidly and make more effective use of the channel.

In this paper, we first review the principles of low bit-rate video coding briefly (Section II), emphasizing the aspects relevant for error-resilience techniques. In Section III, we discuss problems that arise when feeding an erroneous bit stream to an interframe video decoder. In particular, we analyze interframe error propagation. In Section IV, we then present techniques for the video coder to process acknowledgment information from a feedback channel. In Section V, we present simulation results for the transmission of video over a wireless channel to illustrate the effectiveness of feedback-based error control. Finally, in Section VI, we address feedback-based error control for streaming compressed video off a server.

The techniques discussed in this paper are relevant and applicable to a wide variety of interframe video coding schemes, both standard and nonstandard. We emphasize general principles where appropriate. As an illustrative example, we use the video compression standard H.263 [14] throughout. H.263 is not only a state-of-the-art low bit-rate video coder, but it has also been extended to include a variety of feedback-based error control mechanisms. Therefore, this article simultaneously provides an overview of error control techniques currently available in H.263.

II. Motion-Compensated Hybrid Coding

A. General Principles

Most state-of-the-art low bit-rate video codecs are motion-compensated hybrid codecs, as illustrated in Fig. 1. Two basic modes of operation can be selected, depending on the position of the switch S. These two modes allow the video signal in the current frame to be encoded either directly (INTRA coding), or with reference to previously encoded and reconstructed frames (INTER coding).

The INTER mode combines differential pulse code modulation (DPCM) along an estimated motion trajectory with intraframe encoding of the residual prediction error. Motion-compensated prediction is carried out by estimating the motion between successive frames, shifting the contents of a previously encoded, reconstructed frame accordingly, and transmitting the motion vector in addition to the prediction error residual as side information. Note that the output from the frame memory in Fig. 1 is identical to the decoded frames at the decoder for error-free transmission. Therefore, the same prediction can be formed at the encoder and decoder.

The residual prediction error is usually small and requires fewer bits than directly encoding the original video signal. For efficient encoding, a wide variety of intraframe coding schemes can be used, e.g., subband coding or blockwise vector quantization. In all current compression standards, the discrete cosine transform (DCT) is employed for this purpose with a blocksize of 8×8 pixels. The transform coefficients are quantized (Q) and typically encoded as a series of zero-runs and quantizer levels. Transform coefficients and motion vectors are entropy coded along with other side information resulting in variable-length code words, which are multiplexed to the video bit stream.

For most of a video signal, the INTER mode is the preferred mode because of its superior coding efficiency. However, some changes in successive frames, for example, due to uncovered background, new objects appearing in the scene, or after a scene cut, cannot be predicted well, and subtracting the prediction might lead to a prediction error that requires more bits than the original video signal. Therefore, the second basic encoding mode besides INTER coding is the INTRA mode, in which no reference to previous frames is made and the picture is directly intraframe coded. Again, a variety of schemes can be used, but typically a blockwise 8×8 DCT coder is employed.

The video codec shown in Fig. 1 is a forward-adaptive system. Decisions about INTER or INTRA mode, motion vector, or quantizer step size are made by the "intelligent" encoder and are transmitted as side information to the "dumb" decoder. This architecture not only reduces the complexity of the decoder but also leads to increased robustness in case of transmission errors, compared to backward-adaptive systems that avoid sending side information by deriving it from the decoded past available both at the encoder and the decoder. Moreover, the forward-adaptive system architecture provides great freedom for the optimization of the coding control since, unlike a backward-adaptive system, the same decoder can be used for a variety of control strategies. In particular, the switching between INTRA and INTER mode is not subject to a prior agreement between encoder and decoder. Feedback-based error control, discussed in Section IV, can exploit this feature. For more information on the general principles of digital video processing, the reader is referred to [15].




Fig. 1. (a) Motion-compensated hybrid encoder and (b) decoder.

B. The H.263 Coding Standard

The general principles discussed above are the basis for all video compression standards in use today, in particular the ISO standards MPEG-1 [16], MPEG-2 [17], and MPEG-4 [18], and the ITU-T Recommendations H.261 [19], H.262 (identical with MPEG-2), and H.263 [14]. We will use H.263 as an example throughout this paper. For video sequences with moderate motion, the H.263 algorithm can provide compression of at most 100 : 1 to 200 : 1 with acceptable picture quality [20]. To achieve even higher compression, as required for the transmission over mobile networks at very low bit rates, both the spatial resolution and the frame rate have to be reduced compared to standard television pictures. At bit rates below 64 kb/s, frame rates anywhere from 15 f/s (frames per second) down to 5 f/s are common. The picture resolution is often quarter common intermediate format (QCIF, 176 × 144 pixels), which is the most common input format at such low bit rates.

At QCIF resolution, each picture is divided into 11 × 9 macroblocks (MB's), which comprise 16 × 16 luminance samples, and two corresponding 8 × 8 blocks of chrominance samples. The luminance component of each MB is further subdivided into four 8 × 8 blocks, such that 8 × 8 DCT's can be applied to each block. Each MB is encoded either in INTRA or INTER mode. Motion compensation is carried out with half-pixel accuracy with one motion vector sent for each MB. Optionally, individual motion vectors can be provided for each 8 × 8 block, and blocks can be overlapped for motion compensation. A fixed number of successive MB's is usually grouped into a group of blocks (GOB) and side information that is appropriate for a larger number of MB's, but not for an entire frame, can be communicated efficiently on that level. In a similar but

more general way, a variable number of MB's can also be grouped into slices.

ITU-T Recommendation H.263 is the video portion of a series of recommendations under the umbrella of ITU-T Recommendation H.324 [21], [22]. H.324 describes terminals for low bit-rate multimedia communication, which may support real-time voice, data, and video, or any combination. Because the transmission is based on V.34 modems operating over the widely available public switched telephone network (PSTN), H.324 terminals are likely to play a major role in future multimedia applications. An increasing number of H.324 terminals is commercially available today. One important reason for this success is the state-of-the-art performance of the H.263 video coding standard [20] that achieves acceptable image quality at modem bit-rates. Other recommendations in the H.324 series include the H.223 multiplex [23], [24], H.245 control [25], and G.723 speech codec [26].

Standardization efforts for mobile H.324 terminals have already started [24]. Like cellular voice telephony, a major requirement is the ability to interwork with terminals connected to the PSTN at a reasonable complexity and with low delay. This precludes the transcoding architecture used today for cellular voice telephony, where a special speech codec is employed only for the mobile part of the network. For low bit-rate video, the delay is typically a few hundred milliseconds due to buffering for constant bit-rate transmission and processing delay. Transcoders would increase this delay unacceptably, and hence end-to-end error control, compatible with H.263, offers significant advantages at lower complexity. Some techniques recently incorporated in H.263 are feedback-based and will be discussed in Section IV.

III. Decoding the Erroneous Video Bit Stream

In general, an erroneous bit stream cannot be gracefully decoded by an "off-the-shelf" video decoder build for error-free transmission. Special provisions for error detection, resynchronization, and concealment are required. We discuss these measures and the interframe propagation of the remaining picture impairment in this section.

A. Error Detection and Resynchronization

Because the multiplexed video bit stream consists of variable length code (VLC) words, a single bit error may cause a loss of synchronization and a series of erroneous code words at the decoder. Residual redundancy in non-compact VLC's can be used to design self-synchronizing codes, such that valid symbols may be obtained again after some slippage [27]. However, even if resynchronization is regained quickly, the appropriate location of the decoded information within the frame is no longer known, since the number of missing symbols cannot be determined. Moreover, the subsequent code words are useless if the information is encoded differentially, as it is often the case, e.g., for motion vectors. The common solution to this problem is to insert unique synchronization code words into the bit stream in regular intervals, usually followed by a block of "header" bits. Since any conditional encoding across the resynchronization point must be avoided, the header provides anchor values, e.g., for absolute location in the image or current quantizer step size. Although the length of the synchronization code word can be minimized [28], relatively long synchronization code words are used in practice to reduce the probability of accidental emulation of synchronization words.

As an example, we again consider H.263, which supports optional GOB-headers as resynchronization points. In QCIF format, a GOB consists of 11 MB's that are arranged in one row. Because all information within a correctly decoded GOB can be used independently from previous information in the same frame, the GOB is often used as the basic unit for decoding. Typically, if a transmission error is detected, the GOB is discarded entirely.

Transmission errors can be detected in a variety of ways. If FEC is used, errors can often be detected with high reliability, even if the correction capability of the code is exceeded. For example, in H.261 and H.263 an optional FEC framing can be used to detect errors within the 493 information bits of each block. Reliability information can even be obtained for each received bit when the receiver provides channel state information or a soft output Viterbi algorithm (SOVA) is used for decoding of convolutional codes [29]. This information is then passed on to the video decoder. In addition, the video decoder itself can detect transmission errors. The video bit stream is not free of redundancy, such that violations of syntactic or semantic constraints will usually occur quickly after a loss of synchronization [13], [30]–[32]. For example, the decoder might not find a matching VLC word in the code table (a syntax violation) or detect that the decoded motion vectors, DCT coefficients, or quantizer step sizes exceed their permissible range (semantic violations). Additionally, the accumulated run that is used to place DCT coefficients into an 8×8 block might exceed 64, or the number of MB's in a GOB might be too small or too large. Especially for severe errors, the detection of errors can be further supported by localizing visual artifacts that are unlikely to appear in natural video signals.

Recently, more advanced techniques for improved resynchronization have been developed in the context of MPEG-4. Among several error resilience tools, data partitioning has been shown to be effective [13]. Especially when combined with reversible VLC (RVLC), which allow bit streams to be decoded in either the forward or reverse direction, the number of symbols that have to be discarded can be reduced significantly. Because RVLC's can be matched well to the statistics of image and video data, only a small penalty in coding efficiency is incurred [33], [34]. A recently proposed technique can even approach the efficiency of Huffman codes by combining a prefix and suffix code word stream by delayed XORing [35]. Another elegant technique that is not part of any current video coding standard has been proposed by Kingsbury *et al.* as error-resilient entropy coding (EREC) [36]. Similar to




Fig. 2. Illustration of spatiotemporal error propagation.

data partitioning, a reordering of the bit stream is involved. Instead of clustering all symbols of the same type into one partition, EREC reorganizes VLC image blocks such that each block starts at a known position within the bit stream and the most important information is closest to the synchronization points.

However, even when GOB-headers are placed more frequently or more advanced techniques like data partitioning or EREC are applied, a certain amount of data has to be discarded when decoding an erroneous bit stream. The corresponding region in the image is then marked as lost and further processed by error concealment.

B. Error Concealment

The severeness of residual errors can be reduced if error concealment techniques are employed to hide visible distortion as well as possible. Since typically an entire GOB, or at least a significant part of it, is affected (i.e., 16 successive luminance lines) spatial interpolation is less efficient than temporal extrapolation. Only in the case of very complex motion or scene cuts, it can be advantageous to rely on the spatial correlation in the image [37], [38], or switch between temporal and spatial concealment [39], [32]. In the simplest and most common approach, previous frame concealment, the corrupted image content is replaced by corresponding pixels from the previous frame. This simple approach yields good results for sequences with little motion [30]. However, severe distortions are introduced for image regions containing heavy motion.

If data partitioning and strong error protection for the motion vector is used, one might rely on the transmitted motion vectors for motion-compensated concealment. If motion vectors are lost, they can be reconstructed by appropriate techniques, for example, by spatial interpolation of the motion vector field [40], which can be enhanced by additionally considering the smoothness of the concealed macroblock along the block boundaries [41], [42]. The interested reader is referred to [8] for a comprehensive overview of concealment techniques. All the feedback-based error control approaches discussed in the sequel benefit similarly from better concealment. Hence, it suffices to select one technique, and we present experimental results for the simple previous frame concealment in the following.

C. Interframe Error Propagation

Errors remaining after concealment propagate to successive frames and remain visible for a longer period of time, which makes the resulting artifacts particularly annoying. In addition, the accumulation of several errors can result in very poor image quality, even if the individual errors are small. Fig. 2 illustrates the typical transmission error effects for the loss of one GOB in frame 4. Not only does the error propagate temporally, but it also spreads spatially due to motion-compensated prediction. To some extent, the impairment caused by transmission errors decays over time due to leakage in the prediction loop. Leaky prediction is a well-known technique to increase the robustness of DPCM systems by attenuating the energy of the prediction signal [43]. For hybrid video coding, leakage is introduced by spatial filtering, as discussed in detail in the following.

Fig. 3 quantitatively illustrates the interframe error propagation after the loss of one GOB when previous frame concealment is used. The QCIF sequence *Foreman* is coded with H.263 at 100 kb/s and 12.5 f/s, resulting in an average peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR) of about 34 dB in the error-free case. Using the reconstructed frames at the encoder as the baseline, the loss ΔPSNR in signal-to-noise ratio is calculated for each reconstructed frame at the decoder output. The nine dotted curves correspond to each of the nine GOB's in the fifth encoded frame. Obviously, the error magnitude is highly image content dependent. The solid line represents the averaged result, indicating that an average residual loss of approximately 1 dB still remains in the sequence after 3 s due to interframe error propagation.

The decay of propagated errors is determined by two effects:

- some blocks are encoded in INTRA mode, i.e., without reference to the previous frame;
- repeated spatial filtering in the motion-compensated predictor, especially for half-pixel interpolation, attenuates the high spatial frequency components of the superimposed transmission error.

We can isolate the influence of these effects by experimental results shown in Fig. 4. We performed five simulations (A–E) with a baseline H.263 coder and decoder under different constraints with respect to spatial filtering and the use of INTRA mode. The general simulation conditions are identical to those in Fig. 3. All macroblocks are encoded in the INTER mode, except for simulation E, where nine out of 99 macroblocks per frame are randomly coded in INTRA mode. In simulation A, the subpixel fractions of the vector are forced to (0,0), i.e., motion compensation is carried out with integer–pixel accuracy and no spatial interpolation is required. In this case, the error due to a lost GOB does not decay over time. In simulation B, the subpixel fractions of the motion vector are forced




Fig. 3. Loss in SNR of the decoded video signal after previous frame concealment of one GOB.




Fig. 4. Loss in PSNR of the decoded video-signal for different spatial interpolations (SI) in the prediction loop. A: no SI, B: horizontal SI, C: horizontal and vertical SI, D: SI not altered, E: SI not altered with 10% INTRA update.

to (0.5, 0.0), such that horizontal interpolation has to be performed for the entire image. Similarly, in simulation C the subpixel fractions are forced to (0.5, 0.5), such that horizontal and vertical interpolation must be performed for the entire image. H.263 employs bilinear interpolation for sub-pixel motion compensation, which acts as a low-pass filter, and the interframe error propagation decays faster, if more severe low-pass filtering is applied. For the remaining simulations D and E, the subpixel fractions are not altered but remain as selected by the encoder for best compression

performance. Curve D is therefore a mixture of A, B, and C, while curve E decays faster then D due the use of intraframe coding.

The experimental curves shown in Fig. 4 can be approximated quite accurately by an analytical model that we derive in the Appendix. To match the model with experimental results, two parameters are necessary to describe the introduced error which remains after previous frame concealment. The first parameter is the average energy of the error, and the second parameter describes the shape of the power spectral density (PSD) when approximated by a Gaussian function. Both parameters can be derived from the true PSD of the error which has significant influence on interframe error propagation. The model predicts that for case B, the energy decreases with $\sqrt{1/t}$ for large t, or with $1/t$ for case C. Such analytical models are important to understand interframe error propagation and can be built into error tracking algorithms, as discussed in Section IV.

Spatial filtering in the motion-compensated predictor is a necessary ingredient for good compression performance of a hybrid coder [44], [45]. Even with integer-pixel accurate motion compensation, a "loop filter" should be employed. For example, in H.261, which uses integer-pixel motion compensation, the PSNR gain due to the loop filter is up to 2 dB [20]. While error recovery is also improved significantly at the same time, this is really a side-effect, and, as Fig. 4 shows, the leakage in the DPCM loop of standardized video codecs by itself is not strong enough for error robustness. For this purpose, additional leakage could be introduced at the cost of coding efficiency [46], [40]. On the other hand, quick error recovery is also possible when INTRA coding is used as illustrated by curve E.

A safe method to stop interframe error propagation that is used in MPEG is the regular insertion of I-frames, i.e., pictures that are encoded entirely in INTRA mode. Unfortunately, I-frames typically require several times more bits than P-frames (the MPEG term for pictures encoded with reference to previous frames). While this is acceptable for higher bit-rate applications, or even necessary for broadcasting, where many receivers need to resynchronize at random times, the use of the INTRA mode should be restricted as much as possible in point-to-point transmission at low bit rates, as typical for mobile multimedia networks. Feedback-based methods described in the following section can efficiently minimize the use of the INTRA mode and therefore maintain higher coding efficiency for hostile channels.

IV. Error Mitigation by Feedback

As shown in the previous section, the remaining distortion after error concealment of corrupted image regions may remain visible in the image sequence for several seconds. In this section, we discuss error resilience techniques that utilize a feedback channel from the receiver to the transmitter. Such a feedback channel indicates which parts of the bit stream were received intact and/or which parts of the video signal could not be decoded and had to be concealed. Depending on the desired error behavior, negative acknowledgment (NACK) or positive acknowledgment (ACK) messages can be sent. Typically, an ACK or NACK refers to a series of macroblocks or an entire GOB. NACK's require a lower bit rate than ACK's, since they are only sent when errors actually occur, while ACK's have to be sent continuously. In either case, the requirements on the bit rate are very modest compared to the video bit rate of the forward channel. The feedback message is usually not part of the video syntax but transmitted in a different layer of the protocol stack where control information is exchanged. For example, in conjunction with H.263, ITU-T Recommendation H.245 [25] allows reporting of the temporal and spatial location of MB's that could not be decoded successfully and had to be concealed. Since the information is transmitted using a retransmission protocol, the error-free reception is guaranteed. However, additional delay my be introduced in the case of errors. In the following we assume that ACK's/NACK's are received error free after a relatively large round trip delay, e.g., 300 ms. This delay covers several retransmission attempts and may be considered as a worst case estimate for the actual delay. An alternative approach would be to sacrifice the reliable transmission of acknowledgment information at the advantage of reduced delay. Though this approach may be advantageous in some situations, we only consider reliable transmission of ACK's/NACK's in the following.

A. Error Tracking

The error tracking approach uses the INTRA mode for some MB's to stop interframe error propagation but limits its use to severely affected image regions only. During error-free transmission, the more effective INTER mode is used and the system therefore adapts effectively to varying channel conditions. This is accomplished by processing the NACK's from a feedback channel in the coding control of the encoder (Fig. 1). Based on the information of an NACK, the encoder can reconstruct the resulting error distribution in the current frame as described below. The coding control of a forward-adaptive encoder can then effectively stop interframe error propagation by selecting the INTRA mode whenever a MB is severely distorted. On the other hand, if error concealment was successful and the error of a certain MB is small, the encoder may decide that INTRA coding is not necessary. For severe errors however, a large number of MB's is selected, and the encoder may have to use a coarser quantizer to maintain a constant frame rate and bit rate. In this case, the overall picture quality decreases with a higher frequency of NACK's. Unlike retransmission techniques such as ARQ, error tracking does not increase the delay between encoder and decoder. It is therefore particularly suitable for applications that require a short latency.

Fig. 5 illustrates error tracking for the same example as in Fig. 2. As soon as the NACK is received with a system-dependent round-trip delay, the impaired MB's are determined and error propagation can be terminated by INTRA coding these MB's (frames 7–9). Fig. 6 shows the averaged PSNR loss for an assumed round-trip delay




Fig. 5. Illustration of error propagation when error tracking is used.




Fig. 6. Error recovery with feedback channel.

of 800 ms. The same simulation conditions as in Fig. 3 are used. Compared to the case without error tracking, the picture quality recovers rapidly as soon as INTRA coded MB's are inserted into the bit stream. A longer round-trip delay just results in a later start of the error recovery. Considering the slow recovery for concealment only, NACK's may still be useful after several seconds. In order to illustrate the importance of actually tracking the shifting location of the error, we also show results for the simple same GOB strategy, where the error is assumed to remain in the GOB were it originally occurred. When errors are dragged out of the original GOB along with vertical motion of picture contents, the same GOB strategy cannot remove it successfully, and annoying artifacts remain. Only when error tracking is employed, the propagation is stopped effectively. This is also demonstrated in Fig. 7, which shows example frames of the H.263 encoded test sequence *Foreman* directly after the NACK is received.

In order to reconstruct the interframe error propagation that has occurred at the decoder, the encoder could store its own output bit stream and decode it again, taking into account the reported loss of GOB's. While this approach is not feasible for a real-time implementation, it illustrates that the encoder, in principle, possesses all the information necessary to reconstruct the spatiotemporal error propagation at the decoder, once the NACK's have been received. For a practical system, the interframe error propagation has to be estimated with a low-complexity algorithm, as described in [47]–[49] for a macroblock-based coder, such as H.263.

The basic idea of the low-complexity algorithm is to carry out the error tracking with macroblock resolution rather than pixel resolution. This is sufficient since the INTRA/INTER mode decision at the coder and the error concealment decision at the decoder are carried out for entire MB's as well. In a cyclical buffer that covers all the MB's of the last several frames, the spatial overlap of MB's in successive frames due to motion-compensated prediction is stored, along with the error energy that would be introduced if concealment had to be used. If an NACK is received that indicates an error a few frames back, this



Fig. 7. Reconstructed frames of test sequence *Foreman*: (a) frame 90 after previous frame concealment of two GOB's in frame 75; (b) as (a) with INTRA update in frame 90 according to Same GOB strategy; (c) as (a) with INTRA update in frame 90 according to error tracking strategy; (d) frame 90 without GOB loss in frame 75.

error energy is "released" and "ripples" through the directed graph of frame-to-frame dependencies to the macroblocks of the current frame. The interframe error propagation model derived in the Appendix can be incorporated for more accurate prediction. Since all calculations are carried out on the MB level, the computational burden and memory requirements are small compared to the actual encoding of the video. For example, at QCIF resolution, there are only 99 MB's in each frame, as opposed to 38 016 luminance and chrominance samples.

The above error tracking scheme is a refinement of Wada's "selective recovery method" [50]. Wada's method marks all potentially damaged image blocks by one bit and prevents their use for interframe prediction. The method described here also calculates the severeness of the impairment and can thus use the extra bits required for INTRA coding more sparingly. Note that the coder has to know the decoder's concealment technique for that. On the other hand, Wada's method only considers the worst possible interframe error propagation and hence does not require an agreed concealment technique.

Error tracking is particularly attractive since it does not require any modifications of the bit stream syntax of the motion-compensated hybrid coder. It is therefore fully compatible with standards such as H.261, H.263, or MPEG. The ITU-T recommends using previous frame concealment and error tracking with baseline H.263 and has included an informative appendix with Recommendation H.263. In addition, minor extensions of the H.245 control standard were adopted to include the appropriate NACK messages.

B. Error Confinement

While interframe error propagation can be tracked reliably and with low complexity, a number of proposals for feedback-based error control technique do not rely on this technique but confine the error to a well-defined subregion of the frame instead. For example, in MPEG-4, arbitrarily shaped video object planes (VOP's) can be




Fig. 8. Illustration of error propagation when error confinement is used.

encoded independently and superimposed at the decoder [13], [18]. If an error occurs in the bit stream of one VOP, the interframe error propagation is confined to that VOP since other VOP's will not refer to it for motion-compensated prediction. Basically, the video sequence is partitioned into independent subvideos.

Such a subvideo technique has also been included in H.263+ as an optional extension. The "independent segment decoding" (ISD) mode is described in Annex R of H.263. It can also be combined with slices, but we restrict the discussion to the case in which a segment is identical to a GOB. In the ISD mode, each GOB is encoded as an individual picture (or subvideo) independently from other GOB's. In particular, all GOB boundaries are treated just like picture boundaries. This approach significantly reduces the efficiency of motion compensation, particularly for vertical motion, since image content outside the current GOB must not be used for prediction. To reduce the loss of coding efficiency the ISD mode is therefore often combined with the "unrestricted motion vector" (UMV) mode which allows motion vectors pointing outside the coded picture area by extrapolating the image (or subvideo) borders. In spite of the UMV mode, typical losses in PSNR in the range from 0.2 to 1.0 dB often have to be accepted.

In case of transmission errors, the ISD mode assures that errors inside a GOB will not propagate to other GOB's, as illustrated in Fig. 8. Of course, the ISD mode alone does not solve the problem of temporal error propagation. It only simplifies keeping track of the error effects. The error propagation itself must be combatted by feedback based INTRA updates, or by the use of reference picture selection (RPS).

C. Reference Picture Selection

Rather than switching to INTRA mode at the encoder to stop interframe error propagation at the decoder, the coder could also encode the current frame with reference to a previous frame that has been successfully decoded. This RPS approach can lower the excess bit-rate due to NACK-induced INTRA coding [51], [52].

H.263+ has included RPS as an option, described in Annex N. As for the discussion of the ISD mode, we again consider the case that GOB headers are used. Then, in H.263, the reference picture is selected on a GOB basis, i.e., for all MB's within one GOB the same reference picture is used. In order to stop error propagation while maintaining the best coding efficiency, the last frame available without errors at the decoder should be selected. The RPS mode can be combined with the ISD mode to avoid spatial error propagation, or, for better coding efficiency, with an error tracking algorithm.

RPS can be operated in two different modes. When the encoder receives only negative acknowledgments, the operation of the encoder is not altered during error-free transmission, and the GOB's of the previous frame are used as a reference. After a transmission error, the decoder sends an NACK for an erroneous GOB and thereby requests that older, intact frames provide the reference-GOB. The typical transmission error effects are illustrated in Fig. 9, where the selection of reference-GOB's is indicated by arrows. Note that the use of the ISD mode is assumed and the indicated selection is only valid for the erroneous GOB. The encoder receives an NACK for frame 4 before the encoding of frame 7. The NACK includes the explicit request to use frame 3 for prediction, which is observed by the encoder. Similar to the error tracking approach, the quality degrades until the requested GOB arrives at the decoder, i.e., for the period of one round trip delay. Therefore, the loss of picture quality after a transmission error and the recovery after receiving an NACK behaves very similarly to basic error tracking. The advantage of the RPS mode versus simply switching to INTRA mode lies in the increased coding efficiency. Fewer bits are needed for encoding the motion-compensated prediction error than for the video signal itself, even if the time lag between the reference frame and the current frame is several frame intervals.

In the positive acknowledgment mode, all correctly received GOB's are acknowledged and the encoder uses only confirmed GOB's as a reference. Since the encoder has to use older reference pictures for motion-compensated prediction with increasing round-trip time, the coding performance decreases, even if no transmission errors occur. On the other hand, error propagation is avoided entirely since only error free pictures are used for prediction.

RPS requires additional frame buffers at the encoder and decoder to store enough previous frames to cover the maximum round trip delay of NACK's or ACK's. In the NACK mode, the storage requirements of the decoder can be reduced to two frame buffers. Furthermore, if only error-free GOB's shall be displayed, one frame buffer is sufficient. In the ACK mode no such storage reduction is possible, unless a combination of both modes is allowed [52]. Increased storage requirements may still be considered a problem for inexpensive mobile terminals for some time.




Fig. 9. Illustration of error propagation when RPS is used together with error confinement.

On the other hand, there are proposals for increased coding efficiency in low bit-rate video codecs which use several or even many previous frames for prediction [53]–[55]. When using RPS, the additional frames can then be used to simultaneously increase error robustness.

The different techniques for feedback-based error control that have been discussed in this section can be used in various combinations. Either error propagation is stopped by an INTRA update of affected regions or by selecting an error-free reference frame. Either the ACK mode or the NACK mode can be used for the latter option. Furthermore, either error tracking is used to identify affected regions or error propagation is confined. The advantages and disadvantages of possible combinations are summarized in Table 1.

V. Video Transmission over a Wireless DECT Channel

In this section, we illustrate the performance of feedback-based error mitigation in a mobile environment by simulating the transmission over a wireless channel. The channel model is related to the Digital European Cordless Telecommunications (DECT) standard, which provides a wide range of services for cordless personal communications [56], [57]. Our simulations are based on bit error sequences that are generated assuming Rayleigh fading and a Doppler frequency of 62 Hz. Carrier-to-noise ratios (E_b/N_0) ranging from 20 to 30 dB are considered with corresponding bit error rates as summarized in Table 2. The bit error sequences exhibit severe burst errors at a total bit rate of 80 kb/s, corresponding to the double slot format of DECT.

We apply FEC directly to the video bit stream using a BCH code of block size $n = 255$ bits with $k = 179$ information bits and $t = 10$ correctable errors per block. Due to the burstyness of the channel, not all errors can be corrected, and a significant block error rate remains, as also shown in Table 2. If the errors within a block cannot be corrected by FEC, all affected GOB's of the video bit stream are discarded and the video decoder invokes error concealment. We compare the error robustness of a baseline H.263 codec with and without error tracking to an H.263+ codec that uses NACK's, reference picture selection and error confinement by ISD in conjunction with the UMV mode. The feedback channel is assumed to be error-free with a constant delay of 100 ms. The round-trip delay, measured from encoding a frame to receiving an NACK, is about 300 ms due to the processing delay and buffering for constant transmission bit rate.

Twelve seconds of a typical videophone sequence *(Mother and Daughter)* are encoded at 12.5 f/s and transmitted over 30 different realizations of each test channel. Fig. 10 shows the average PSNR of the reconstructed frames at the encoder (after coding) and decoder (after transmission). The results are averaged values for all frames and realizations at each E_b/N_0. Note that for error tracking the picture quality after coding increases with increasing E_b/N_0 because fewer NACK's are received at better channel conditions and fewer MB's have to be coded in INTRA mode. Similarly, in the RPS mode, fewer GOB's have to be predicted with a higher time lag at better channel conditions. Both channel-adaptive approaches perform significantly better than the baseline mode of H.263 without feedback (NO). For lower E_b/N_0 the performance of the RPS mode in terms of $\overline{\text{PSNR}}$ is slightly superior to error tracking, since the INTRA mode can often be avoided. For higher E_b/N_0 this situation is reversed, because motion compensation is less efficient with the ISD mode. In summary, both channel-adaptive schemes perform very similarly and clearly outperform the nonadaptive scheme.

Table 1
Summary of Feedback-Based Error Control

	INTRA update	RPS NACK	RPS ACK
General			
Frames affected by interframe error propagation	N-1	N-1	0
Extra frame buffers at encoder/decoder	0/0	N/0 (N/1)	N/N
Error Tracking			
Coding efficiency w/o transmission errors	not affected	not affected	decreases with rtd
Loss of coding efficiency in case of transmission errors	moderate	small	very small
Computational complexity	low	low	low
Standards compliance	H.261, H.263, MPEG-1, MPEG-2, MPEG-4	H.263+ (Annex N)	H.263+ (Annex N)
Error Confinement			
Coding efficiency w/o transmission errors	somewhat reduced	somewhat reduced	somewhat reduced, decreases further with rtd
Loss of coding efficiency in case of transmission errors	significant	small	very small
Computational complexity	very low	very low	very low
Standards compliance	H.263+ (Annex R) MPEG-4	H.263+ (Annex N+R)	H.263+ (Annex N+R)

rtd: round-trip delay
N: number of encoded frames during one round-trip delay

Table 2
Summary of Test Channel Parameters

SNR [dB]	Bit Error Rate	Block Error Rate
20	0.002578	0.017075
22	0.001646	0.011250
24	0.001025	0.007575
26	0.000644	0.004675
28	0.000390	0.002775
30	0.000234	0.001725

VI. Feedback-Based Error Control for Precompressed Video

In the above channel-adaptive schemes, acknowledgment information is incorporated during the encoding process. Therefore, these approaches are particularly relevant for conversational services or surveillance where video is encoded while being transmitted. However, feedback-based error mitigation can also be used for applications involving precompressed stored video, like video-on-demand for mobile clients. In this case, a direct influence on the coding control is no longer possible. To still adapt the bit stream to the error conditions of the channel, multiple bit streams have to be stored that are assembled dynamically for transmission [58], [59].

Assume that two bit streams are stored at the video server. The first stream (P-stream) results from regular encoding of the video sequence and consists of INTER-coded frames (P-frames). In normal operation, the video server transmits the P-stream only. The second stream (I-stream) consists of INTRA-coded frames (I-frames) and is used for resynchronization after transmission errors. The I-stream can be encoded at a lower frame rate to reduce the storage requirements at the video server. Upon receiving an NACK, the video server uses an error tracking algorithm to identify damaged image regions. These regions are then extracted from the next I-frame in the I-stream and inserted into the transmitted bit stream at the corresponding position. If predictive encoding of symbols (e.g. motion vectors) is used, as is the case for H.263 or MPEG, the bit stream has to be assembled on the slice or GOB level rather than the MB level. In this fashion, error propagation is limited almost as for real-time encoding. Of course, the selection of INTRA-coded regions happens at a coarser level.




Fig. 10. Average PSNR of reconstructed frames at encoder (after coding) and decoder (after transmission) for the simulated transmission of H.263 coded video over a wireless DECT channel.

Note that the NACK-induced switching between the I-stream and the P-stream stops error propagation, but introduces a new mismatch error which results from the difference of the reconstructed P-frame and its corresponding reconstructed I-frame. If the difference is only minor, it will fade away over the following few P-frames as discussed in Section III-C. However, when there is a considerable difference and only weak filtering is applied in the coding loop, the mismatch error will cause annoying visual artifacts. To reduce the mismatch error, the reconstructed I-frame should be as close as possible to its corresponding P-frame. To achieve this, the I-stream is encoded using the reconstructed frames of the P-stream as the input instead of the original sequence.

Again, we demonstrate the feasibility of the approach in the framework of H.263. Fig. 11 shows the average loss of PSNR due to a burst error with the familiar recovery process in the case of no available feedback information. As soon as the NACK arrives and INTRA-coded GOB's are inserted, the picture quality improves similarly to the simulation results shown in Fig. 6. If the I-stream is generated by encoding the original sequence (case A), a good picture quality can be observed directly after the insertion. However, after several frames from the P-stream are transmitted, the mismatch between the reconstructed I-frame and the corresponding reconstructed P-frame dominates. This effect can be reduced if the I-stream is generated by encoding the reconstructed frames from the P-stream (case B).

Interestingly, this approach also offers a very efficient solution to random access. In the common approach, I-frames are inserted periodically into the bit stream to provide random access. In this case, I-frames also have to be transmitted during normal-speed playback, even though they do not provide any additional functionality. However, when the bit stream is assembled dynamically at the server, the higher efficiency of the INTER mode can be fully exploited during normal-speed playback. Random access, fast forward, and fast reverse can still be supported, because I-frames may be inserted whenever requested by the client. Note that this approach is fully standard compliant with H.261, H.263, or MPEG and requires only little additional complexity and storage overhead at the video server. It has also been extended to support bit rate and resolution scalability [60].

VII. Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we have reviewed feedback-based techniques for robust transmission of video in mobile multimedia systems. We argue that transcoders significantly increase complexity and add unacceptable delay, and that therefore end-to-end error control has to be used. Each decoder therefore has to make provisions for error detection, resynchronization and error concealment. Additionally, we