

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

ENTROPIC COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,
Patent Owner.

CASE: IPR2024-00430
U.S. PATENT NO. 8,284,690

PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70 and the Board's Scheduling Order (Paper 9), Patent Owner hereby requests oral argument. Patent Owner specifies the following issues to be argued for IPR2024-00430:

- A. Are Claims 1-7, 9-10, and 14-15 of U.S. Patent No. 8,284,690 anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102 in view of *IEEE-802.16*?
- B. Are Claims 3-5, 8, 11-13, and 16-24 of U.S. Patent No. 8,284,690 obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of *IEEE-802.16*?
- C. Are Claims 8, 16, and 24 of U.S. Patent No. 8,284,690 obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of *IEEE-802.16* and *Won*?
- D. Are Claims 1-11, 14-19, and 22-24 of U.S. Patent No. 8,284,690 anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102 in view of *Waxman*?
- E. Are Claims 1-11, 14-19, and 22-24 of U.S. Patent No. 8,284,690 obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of *Waxman*?
- F. Are Claims 3-5, 12-13, and 20-21 of U.S. Patent No. 8,284,690 obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of *Waxman* and *Giesberts*?
- G. Are Claims 5, 10-11, and 18-19 of U.S. Patent No. 8,284,690 obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of *Waxman* and *Zuckerman*?
- H. Is Claim 5 of U.S. Patent No. 8,284,690 obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of *Waxman*, *Giesberts*, and *Zuckerman*?
- I. Are Claims 7-8, 15-16, and 23-24 of U.S. Patent No. 8,284,690 obvious

under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of *Waxman* and *Won*?

- J. Are Claims 1-2, 6-7, 9, and 14-15 of U.S. Patent No. 8,284,690 anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102 in view of *DOCSIS-2.0*?
- K. Are Claims 1-2, 6-7, 9, and 14-15 of U.S. Patent No. 8,284,690 obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of *DOCSIS-2.0* and *ISO8802-2*?
- L. Are Claims 8, 10-11, 16-19, and 22-24 of U.S. Patent No. 8,284,690 obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of *DOCSIS-2.0* and *Zuckerman*?
- M. Are Claims 8, 10-11, 16-19, and 22-24 of U.S. Patent No. 8,284,690 obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of *DOCSIS-2.0*, *ISO8802-2*, and *Zuckerman*?
- N. The proper constructions of terms “probe” and “physical layer probe” used in the claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,284,690.
- O. Issues related to the Board’s Decision Instituting *Inter Partes* Review (Paper 8).
- P. Any other outstanding motions and pleadings, and other issues that the Board deems necessary for issuing a Final Written Decision.

Patent Owner reserves the right to address any other arguments presented by Petitioner and any other issues about which the Board wishes to hear argument.

Patent Owner requests 1 hour of argument time per-side to address the issues briefed to-date and to respond to any other issues the Board deems necessary.

Patent Owner requests the use of audio/visual equipment including the use of a projector with HDMI connection and screen for display of electronic materials.

Patent Owner also requests an in-person hearing at the Dallas, Texas USPTO Regional Office. Patent Owner expects 3 individuals will attend the hearing on behalf of Patent Owner.

Dated: April 25, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

By: /Jason A. Engel/
Jason A. Engel
Reg. No. 51,654
K&L GATES LLP
70 W. Madison Street, Suite 3300
Chicago, IL 60602
Jason.Engel.PTAB@klgates.com
T: (312) 807-4236
F: (312) 827-8145

Counsel for Patent Owner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on April 25, 2025, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was caused to be served electronically on the following counsel of record for Petitioner at the following email addresses:

Frederic M. Meeker
Paul T. Qualey
Craig W. Kronenthal
Camille Sauer
John M. Fleming
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.
1100 13th Street, NW
Suite 1200
Washington, DC 20005
Tel: (202) 824-3000
Fax: (202) 824-3001
fmeeker@bannerwitcoff.com
pqualey@bannerwitcoff.com
ckronenthal@bannerwitcoff.com
csauer@bannerwitcoff.com
jfleming@bannerwitcoff.com
ComcastIPRService@bannerwitcoff.com

/Jason A. Engel/
Jason A. Engel
Reg. No. 51,654