Trials@uspto.gov
571-272-7822

Paper: 12
Entered: August 19, 2024

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

ENTROPIC COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00432 (Patent 9,210,362 B2)[1]
IPR2024-00433 (Patent 9,210,362 B2)
IPR2024-00434 (Patent 9,210,362 B2)
IPR2024-00435 (Patent 11,381,866 B2)
IPR2024-00436 (Patent 11,381,866 B2)
IPR2024-00437 (Patent 11,381,866 B2)
IPR2024-00446 (Patent 8,223,775 B2)
IPR2024-00452 (Patent 7,889,759 B2)

Before LYNNE H. BROWNE, BARBARA A. PARVIS, MICHELLE N. WORMMEESTER, and FREDERICK C. LANEY, *Administrative Patent Judges*.[2]

PER CURIAM.

---

[1] The combined caption is for administrative convenience only and does not indicate that the above-listed proceedings have been joined. The parties are not authorized to use this caption without express permission of the Board.

[2] This is not a decision by an expanded panel. The Administrative Patent Judges listed are paneled in various groups of three in the above-identified proceedings.

ORDER
Granting Patent Owner's Motions for
Pro Hac Vice Admission of Nathan Nobu Lowenstein
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

Patent Owner filed motions requesting *pro hac vice* admission of Nathan Nobu Lowenstein in each of the above-identified proceedings (collectively "Motions"). Paper 11.[3] Patent Owner also submitted Declarations from Nathan Nobu Lowenstein in support of the Motions (collectively "Declarations"). Ex. 2007. Patent Owner represents that Petitioner does not oppose the Motions. Paper 11, 2. For the reasons provided below, Patent Owner's Motions are *granted*.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing a motion for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See Unified Patents,*

[3] For convenience, we cite to Papers and Exhibits filed in IPR2024-00432. Patent Owner filed substantially similar motions and declarations in each of the above-listed proceedings.

Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC, IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (representative "Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission").

Based on the facts set forth in the Motions and the accompanying Declarations, we conclude that Mr. Lowenstein has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Patent Owner in these proceedings, has demonstrated sufficient litigation experience and familiarity with the subject matter of these proceedings, and meets all other requirements for admission *pro hac vice*. *See* Ex. 2007. Accordingly, Patent Owner has established good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Lowenstein. Mr. Lowenstein will be permitted to serve as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

We note that Patent Owner filed a Power of Attorney including Mr. Lowenstein in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b). Paper 8. Patent Owner has also filed Mandatory Notices identifying Mr. Lowenstein as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3). Paper 9.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED that Patent Owner's Motions for *pro hac vice* admission of Nathan Nobu Lowenstein are *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for the above-identified proceedings;

IPR2024-00432 (Patent 9,210,362 B2)
IPR2024-00433 (Patent 9,210,362 B2)
IPR2024-00434 (Patent 9,210,362 B2)
IPR2024-00435 (Patent 11,381,866 B2)
IPR2024-00436 (Patent 11,381,866 B2)
IPR2024-00437 (Patent 11,381,866 B2)
IPR2024-00446 (Patent 8,223,775 B2)
IPR2024-00452 (Patent 7,889,759 B2)

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Lowenstein is authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel only in the above-identified proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Lowenstein be familiar with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide[4] (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and comply with the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Lowenstein is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

[4] *Available at* https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated.

IPR2024-00432 (Patent 9,210,362 B2)
IPR2024-00433 (Patent 9,210,362 B2)
IPR2024-00434 (Patent 9,210,362 B2)
IPR2024-00435 (Patent 11,381,866 B2)
IPR2024-00436 (Patent 11,381,866 B2)
IPR2024-00437 (Patent 11,381,866 B2)
IPR2024-00446 (Patent 8,223,775 B2)
IPR2024-00452 (Patent 7,889,759 B2)

FOR PETITIONER:

Frederic Meeker
Michael Cuviello
Harry Porter
Paul Qualey
Joshua Davenport
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.
fmeeker@bannerwitcoff.com
mcuviello@bannerwitcoff.com
wporter@bannerwitcoff.com
pqualey@bannerwitcoff.com
jdavenport@bannerwitcoff.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Jason Engel
Erik Halverson
Kyle Kantarek
Nolan Hubbard
K&L GATES LLP
jason.engel.ptab@klgates.com
erik.halverson@klgates.com
kyle.kantarek@kirkland.com
nolan.hubbard@klgates.com