

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

ENTROPIC COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2024-00442
Patent 9,825,826

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Comcast Cable Communications, LLC (“Petitioner”) hereby requests oral argument under 37 C.F.R. § 42.70 and the Board’s August 21, 2024 Scheduling Order (Paper 9). Oral argument is currently scheduled for May 15, 2025. (Paper 9, Due Date 8).

Petitioner requests argument on all grounds accepted for trial in the Institution Decision and any questions that the Board may have, including:

1. Whether claims 1-18 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Kamieniecki in view of Konstantinos;
2. Whether claims 3 and 12 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Kamieniecki in view of Konstantinos and Sadja;
3. Whether claims 5 and 14 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Kamieniecki in view of Konstantinos and Aggarwal;
4. Whether claims 7 and 16 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Kamieniecki in view of Konstantinos and Salinger;
5. Whether claims 1-18 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Renken;
and
6. Whether claims 5 and 14 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Renken in view of Aggarwal.

Furthermore, Petitioner requests argument on any additional issues that Patent Owner may seek to raise on oral argument including rebuttal to any material in

Patent Owner's presentation, any additional issues raised in papers filed in this proceeding to include Patent Owner's Response or Sur-Reply, any additional issues raised in papers which are yet to be filed such as Motions to Exclude and Oppositions to Motions to Exclude, and any other filings, motions, pleadings, or issues deemed necessary by the Board for issuing a Final Written Decision.

Given the number of combinations of prior art and number of challenged claims, Petitioner suggests that an allocation of one hour of time per side would be appropriate for the oral argument. If the hearings are combined, as outlined below, Petitioner's suggest 1.5 hours per side.

Please note that Petitioner's counsel recently emailed the Board (email from Frederic Meeker on 4/8/2025) to request consideration of consolidating the oral arguments in the present proceeding with those of IPR2024-00441 (oral argument scheduled for June 6, 2025). Patent Owner objects to this consolidation, and the respective reasons for/against are found in the email.

Finally, Petitioner's counsel and Patent Owner's counsel conferred about whether the hearing should be conducted in-person or via video conference. Both Petitioner and Patent Owner prefer that the hearing be conducted in-person. Either of the Alexandria and Dallas locations would be acceptable to both parties given the current schedule.

Dated: April 8, 2025

By: /Frederic M. Meeker/

Frederic M. Meeker
Reg. No. 35,282
Banner & Witcoff, Ltd.
1100 13th Street, NW
Suite 1200
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 824-3000
fmeeker@bannerwitcoff.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.105, I hereby certify that I caused a true and correct copy of this **PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT** in connection with IPR2024-00442 to be served via e-mail on April 8, 2025, in its entirety on the following counsel of record for Patent Owner:

JASON.ENGEL.PTAB@KLGATES.COM
ERIK.HALVERSON@KLGATES.COM
NATHAN.FULLER@KLGATES.COM
VINCENT.GALLUZZO@KLGATES.COM

Dated: April 8, 2025

By: /Carlos Goldie/

Carlos Goldie