

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

ENTROPIC COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2024-00446
Patent 8,223,775

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Comcast Cable Communications, LLC (“Petitioner”) hereby requests oral argument under 37 C.F.R. § 42.70 and the Board’s September 4, 2024 Scheduling Order (Paper 12). Oral argument is currently scheduled for June 2, 2025. (Paper 12, Due Date 8).

Petitioner requests argument on all grounds accepted for trial in the Institution Decision and any questions that the Board may have, including:

A. Whether claims 1–7, 10, 12, 14, 15, 18–20 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko;

B. Whether claims 1–7, 10, 12, 14, 15, 18–20 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Gaspar;

C. Whether claims 1–7, 10, 12, 14, 15, 18–20 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Kim;

D. Whether claims 1–7, 10, 12, 14, 15, 18–20 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Gaspar-Kim;

E. Whether claims 1–7, 10, 12, 14, 15 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Lu;

F. Whether claims 1–7, 10, 12, 14, 15 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Gaspar-Lu;

G. Whether claims 1–7, 10, 12, 14, 15 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Kim-Lu;

H. Whether claims 1–7, 10, 12, 14, 15 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Gaspar-Kim-Lu;

I. Whether claim 12 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Klein-Kim '678;

J. Whether claim 12 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Gaspar-Klein-Kim '678;

K. Whether claim 12 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Kim-Klein-Kim '678;

L. Whether claim 12 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Gaspar-Kim-Klein-Kim '678;

M. Whether claim 12 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Lu-Klein-Kim '678;

N. Whether claim 12 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Gaspar-Lu-Klein-Kim '678;

O. Whether claim 12 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Kim-Lu-Klein-Kim '678;

P. Whether claim 12 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Gaspar-Kim-Lu-Klein-Kim '678;

Q. Whether claim 8 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Koperda;

R. Whether claim 8 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Gaspar-Koperda;

S. Whether claim 8 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Kim-Koperda;

T. Whether claim 8 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Gaspar-Kim-Koperda;

U. Whether claim 8 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Lu-Koperda;

V. Whether claim 8 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Gaspar-Lu-Koperda;

W. Whether claim 8 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Kim-Lu-Koperda;

X. Whether claim 8 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Gaspar-Kim-Lu-Koperda;

Y. Whether claim 9 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Koperda-Johnson;

Z. Whether claim 9 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Gaspar-Koperda-Johnson;

AA. Whether claim 9 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Kim-Koperda-Johnson;

BB. Whether claim 9 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Gaspar-Kim-Koperda-Johnson;

CC. Whether claim 9 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Lu-Koperda-Johnson;

DD. Whether claim 9 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Gaspar-Lu-Koperda-Johnson;

EE. Whether claim 9 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Kim-Lu-Koperda-Johnson;

FF. Whether claim 9 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Gaspar-Kim-Lu-Koperda-Johnson;

GG. Whether claims 11, 13, 16, and 17 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Johnson;

HH. Whether claims 11, 13, 16, and 17 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Gaspar-Johnson;

II. Whether claims 11, 13, 16, and 17 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Kim-Johnson;

JJ. Whether claims 11, 13, 16, and 17 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Gaspar-Kim-Johnson;

KK. Whether claims 11, 13, 16, and 17 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Lu-Johnson;

LL. Whether claims 11, 13, 16, and 17 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Gaspar-Lu-Johnson;

MM. Whether claims 11, 13, 16, and 17 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Kim-Lu-Johnson; and

NN. Whether claims 11, 13, 16, and 17 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Rabenko-Gaspar-Kim-Lu-Johnson.

Furthermore, Petitioner requests argument on any additional issues that Patent Owner may seek to raise on oral argument including rebuttal to any material in Patent Owner's presentation, any additional issues raised in papers filed in this proceeding to include Patent Owner's Response or Sur-Reply, any additional issues raised in papers which are yet to be filed such as Motions to Exclude and Oppositions to Motions to Exclude, and any other filings, motions, pleadings, or issues deemed necessary by the Board for issuing a Final Written Decision.

Given the number of combinations of prior art and number of challenged claims, Petitioner suggests that an allocation of one hour of time per side would be appropriate for the oral argument.

Finally, Petitioner's counsel and Patent Owner's counsel conferred about whether the hearing should be conducted in-person or via video conference. Both Petitioner and Patent Owner prefer that the hearing be conducted in-person.

Petitioner prefers oral argument in Alexandria, while Patent Owner has expressed preference for Denver.

Dated: April 22, 2025

By: /Frederic M. Meeker/

Frederic M. Meeker
Reg. No. 35,282
Banner & Witcoff, Ltd.
1100 13th Street, NW
Suite 1200
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 824-3000
fmeeker@bannerwitcoff.com

*Attorney for Petitioner
Comcast Cable Communications,
LLC*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §42.6(e)(4), the undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT was served electronically via e-mail on April 22, 2025 on the following counsel of record for Patent Owner:

JASON.ENGEL.PTAB@KLGATES.COM
WEATHERWAX@LOWENSTEINWEATHERWAX.COM
LOWENSTEIN@LOWENSTEINWEATHERWAX.COM
HENDIFAR@LOWENSTEINWEATHERWAX.COM
WOO@LOWENSTEINWEATHERWAX.COM
ERIK.HALVERSON@KLGATES.COM
MATTHEW.BLAIR@KLGATES.COM
ENTROPIC_IPRS@LOWENSTEINWEATHERWAX.COM

Dated: April 22, 2025

By: /Carlos Goldie/

Carlos Goldie