

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)	Application Number		13236742
	Filing Date		2011-09-20
	First Named Inventor	Bhupesh Dua	
	Art Unit	3711	
	Examiner Name	TBA	
	Attorney Docket Number	005127.01675	

U.S.PATENTS						Remove
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Patent Number	Kind Code ¹	Issue Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
	1	2147197	A	1936-11-25	Glidden	
	2	4211806	A	1980-07-08	Civardi et al.	
	3	4255949	A	1981-03-17	Thorneburg	
	4	4373361	A	1983-02-15	Thorneburg	
	5	4447967	A	1984-05-15	Zaino	
	6	4607439	A	1986-08-26	Harada	
	7	4785558	A	1988-11-22	Shiomura	
	8	4813158	A	1989-03-21	Brown	

lululemon v. NIKE, Inc.
 IPR2024-00460
 Patent No. 8,266,749
 Ex. 2001

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number	13236742
Filing Date	2011-09-20
First Named Inventor	Bhupesh Dua
Art Unit	3711
Examiner Name	TBA
Attorney Docket Number	005127.01675

9	5345638	A	1994-09-13	Nishida	
10	1888172	A	1932-06-06	Joha	
11	1902780	A	1933-03-21	Holden, et al.	
12	1910251	A	1933-05-23	Joha	
13	2001293	A	1935-05-14	Wallace	
14	2400692	A	1946-05-21	Herbert	
15	2641004	A	1953-06-09	Ronyan, et al.	
16	3694940	A	1972-10-03	Stohr	
17	6558784	B1	2003-05-06	Norton, et al.	
18	6910288	B2	2004-06-28	Dua	
19	6931762	B1	2005-08-23	Dua	

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number		13236742
Filing Date		2011-09-20
First Named Inventor	Bhupesh Dua	
Art Unit		3711
Examiner Name	TBA	
Attorney Docket Number		005127.01675

	20	1597934	A	1926-08-31	Stimpson	
	21	2330199	A	1943-09-28	Basch	
	22	2586045	A	1952-02-19	Hoza	
	23	2675631	A	1954-04-20	Doughty	
	24	4317292	A	1982-03-02	Melton	
	25	5461884	A	1995-10-31	McCartney, et al.	
	26	5511323	A	1996-04-30	Dahlgren	
	27	5746013	A	1998-05-05	Fay, Sr.	
	28	7051460	B2	2006-05-30	Orei, et al.	
	29	2047724	A	1936-07-14	Zuckerman	
	30	5095720	A	1992-03-17	Tibbals, Jr.	

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number		13236742
Filing Date		2011-09-20
First Named Inventor	Bhupesh Dua	
Art Unit	3711	
Examiner Name	TBA	
Attorney Docket Number	005127.01675	

31	5353524	A	1994-10-11	Brier	
32	5735145	A	1998-04-07	Pernick	
33	6308438	B1	2001-10-30	Throneburg, et al.	
34	7016867	B2	2006-03-21	Lyden	
35	7107235	B2	2006-09-12	Lyden	
36	2701458	A	1955-02-08	Ducharme	
37	7347011	B2	2008-03-25	Dua et al.	
38	7814598	B2	2010-10-19	Dua et al.	
39	2314098	A	1943-03-16	McDonald	
40	2538673	A	1951-01-16	Donahue	
41	4276671	A	1981-07-07	Melton	

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)	Application Number		13236742	
	Filing Date		2011-09-20	
	First Named Inventor	Bhupesh Dua		
	Art Unit	3711		
	Examiner Name	TBA		
	Attorney Docket Number	005127.01675		

	42	4852272	A	1989-08-01	Chilewich et al.	
	43	5323627	A	1994-06-28	Lonati et al.	
	44	7207196	B2	2007-04-24	Lonati et al.	

If you wish to add additional U.S. Patent citation information please click the Add button. [Add](#)

U.S.PATENT APPLICATION PUBLICATIONS						Remove
--	--	--	--	--	--	------------------------

Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Publication Number	Kind Code ¹	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
	1	20040118018	A1	2004-06-24	Dua	
	2	20020078599	A1	2002-06-27	Delgorgue, et al.	
	3	20030126762	A1	2003-07-10	Tseng	

If you wish to add additional U.S. Published Application citation information please click the Add button. [Add](#)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS								Remove
---------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	------------------------

Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Foreign Document Number ³	Country Code ² ;	Kind Code ⁴	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	T ⁵
	1	90/03744	WO		1990-04-19	RBFPT, Inc.		☐

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)	Application Number		13236742	
	Filing Date		2011-09-20	
	First Named Inventor	Bhupesh Dua		
	Art Unit	3711		
	Examiner Name	TBA		
	Attorney Docket Number	005127.01675		

	2	1437057	EP		2004-07-14	Mizuno Corp.		☐
	3	1539886	GB		1979-02-07	Ashworths Ltd.		☐
	4	1067566	CN		1993-01-06	Puma AG		☒
	5	1411762	CN		2003-04-23	Taiwan Baihe Industry Co., Ltd.		☒
	6	1429512	CN		2003-07-16	Taiwan Baihe Industry Co., Ltd.		☒
	7	627878	DE		1936-03-12	Gunther GmbH	Machine Translation Only	☒

If you wish to add additional Foreign Patent Document citation information please click the Add button **Add**

NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS				Remove
Examiner Initials*	Cite No	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc), date, pages(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T ⁵	
	1	Santoni S.p.A. publication: Knitting Wear, SM8 Top 1 (2 pages)	☐	
	2	International Search Report and Written Opinion mailed on May 19, 2005 in related PCT Application No.: PCT/US2005/004776	☐	
	3	Page 1 of Lyden Letter of April 21, 2010 - Redacted.	☐	

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)	Application Number		13236742
	Filing Date		2011-09-20
	First Named Inventor	Bhupesh Dua	
	Art Unit	3711	
	Examiner Name	TBA	
	Attorney Docket Number	005127.01675	

4	Office Action issued July 27, 2007 in corresponding Chinese Patent Application No.: 2005800066703, and English translation thereof.	☒
5	Office Action issued February 15, 2008 in corresponding Chinese Patent Application No.: 2005800066703, and English translation thereof.	☒
6	Office Action issued June 13, 2008 in corresponding Chinese Patent Application No.: 2005800066703, and English translation thereof.	☒

If you wish to add additional non-patent literature document citation information please click the Add button **Add**

EXAMINER SIGNATURE

Examiner Signature		Date Considered	
--------------------	--	-----------------	--

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through a citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹ See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.USPTO.GOV or MPEP 901.04. ² Enter office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ³ For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁴ Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. ⁵ Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number	13236742
Filing Date	2011-09-20
First Named Inventor	Bhupesh Dua
Art Unit	3711
Examiner Name	TBA
Attorney Docket Number	005127.01675

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

Please see 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98 to make the appropriate selection(s):

☐ That each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. See 37 CFR 1.97(e)(1).

OR

☐ That no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, and, to the knowledge of the person signing the certification after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was known to any individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. See 37 CFR 1.97(e)(2).

☐ See attached certification statement.

☐ The fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17 (p) has been submitted herewith.

☒ A certification statement is not submitted herewith.

SIGNATURE

A signature of the applicant or representative is required in accordance with CFR 1.33, 10.18. Please see CFR 1.4(d) for the form of the signature.

Signature	/Jeanne M. Tanner/	Date (YYYY-MM-DD)	2011-10-19
Name/Print	Jeanne M. Tanner	Registration Number	45156

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. **SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.**

Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether the Freedom of Information Act requires disclosure of these records.
2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspections or an issued patent.
9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

13/236,742

09/20/2011

Bhupesh Dua

005127.01675

5214

22910 7590 12/12/2011
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.
28 STATE STREET
SUITE 1800
BOSTON, MA 02109-1701

EXAMINER

PATTERSON, MARIE D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3765

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/12/2011

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 13/236,742	Applicant(s) DUA ET AL.	
	Examiner MARIE PATTERSON	Art Unit 3765	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 November 2011.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on ____; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.
- 4) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 5a) Of the above claim(s) 22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 9) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/19/11</u> . | 6) ☐ Other: ____. |

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I in the reply filed on 11/28/11 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
2. Claim 22 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 11/28/11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-8, 11-18, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nishida (5345638).

Nishida shows a process for producing a shoe comprising simultaneously knitting a textile element (3) with a surrounding textile structure (1 and 4), the elements are removed from the surrounding textile and the longitudinal edges that are joined together (7 and 8) and a sole attached thereto (see figure 3) as claimed. In reference to different textures, yarns, stitches, Nishida teaches such for example in column 3 lines 15-25.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the “right to exclude” granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 3765

6. Claims 1-21 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-14 of U. S. Patent No. 7814598 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: both claim a method of knitting a textile, removing an element from the textile, the element having different textures, and incorporating such into footwear.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See MPEP § 804.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 9, 10, 19, and 20 would be allowed, subject to the filing of a proper

Terminal Disclaimer.

1. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at (571)273-8300 **(FORMAL FAXES ONLY)**. Please identify Examiner Marie Patterson of Art Unit 3765 at the top of your cover sheet.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Marie Patterson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4559. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM Mon-Wed.

Application/Control Number: 13/236,742
Art Unit: 3765

Page 5

/Marie Patterson/
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3765

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)	Application Number		13236742
	Filing Date		2011-09-20
	First Named Inventor	Bhupesh Dua	
	Art Unit	3711	
	Examiner Name	TBA	
	Attorney Docket Number	005127.01675	

U.S.PATENTS						Remove
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Patent Number	Kind Code ¹	Issue Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
	1	2147197	A	1936-11-25	Glidden	
	2	4211806	A	1980-07-08	Civardi et al.	
	3	4255949	A	1981-03-17	Thorneburg	
	4	4373361	A	1983-02-15	Thorneburg	
	5	4447967	A	1984-05-15	Zaino	
	6	4607439	A	1986-08-26	Harada	
	7	4785558	A	1988-11-22	Shiomura	
	8	4813158	A	1989-03-21	Brown	

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number		13236742
Filing Date		2011-09-20
First Named Inventor	Bhupesh Dua	
Art Unit		3711
Examiner Name	TBA	
Attorney Docket Number		005127.01675

9	5345638	A	1994-09-13	Nishida	
10	1888172	A	1932-06-06	Joha	
11	1902780	A	1933-03-21	Holden, et al.	
12	1910251	A	1933-05-23	Joha	
13	2001293	A	1935-05-14	Wallace	
14	2400692	A	1946-05-21	Herbert	
15	2641004	A	1953-06-09	Ronyan, et al.	
16	3694940	A	1972-10-03	Stohr	
17	6558784	B1	2003-05-06	Norton, et al.	
18	6910288	B2	2004-06-28	Dua	
19	6931762	B1	2005-08-23	Dua	

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number		13236742
Filing Date		2011-09-20
First Named Inventor	Bhupesh Dua	
Art Unit		3711
Examiner Name	TBA	
Attorney Docket Number		005127.01675

	20	1597934	A	1926-08-31	Stimpson	
	21	2330199	A	1943-09-28	Basch	
	22	2586045	A	1952-02-19	Hoza	
	23	2675631	A	1954-04-20	Doughty	
	24	4317292	A	1982-03-02	Melton	
	25	5461884	A	1995-10-31	McCartney, et al.	
	26	5511323	A	1996-04-30	Dahlgren	
	27	5746013	A	1998-05-05	Fay, Sr.	
	28	7051460	B2	2006-05-30	Orei, et al.	
	29	2047724	A	1936-07-14	Zuckerman	
	30	5095720	A	1992-03-17	Tibbals, Jr.	

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number		13236742
Filing Date		2011-09-20
First Named Inventor	Bhupesh Dua	
Art Unit		3711
Examiner Name	TBA	
Attorney Docket Number		005127.01675

31	5353524	A	1994-10-11	Brier	
32	5735145	A	1998-04-07	Pernick	
33	6308438	B1	2001-10-30	Throneburg, et al.	
34	7016867	B2	2006-03-21	Lyden	
35	7107235	B2	2006-09-12	Lyden	
36	2701458	A	1955-02-08	Ducharme	
37	7347011	B2	2008-03-25	Dua et al.	
38	7814598	B2	2010-10-19	Dua et al.	
39	2314098	A	1943-03-16	McDonald	
40	2538673	A	1951-01-16	Donahue	
41	4276671	A	1981-07-07	Melton	

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number	13236742
Filing Date	2011-09-20
First Named Inventor	Bhupesh Dua
Art Unit	3711
Examiner Name	TBA
Attorney Docket Number	005127.01675

42	4852272	A	1989-08-01	Chilewich et al.	
43	5323627	A	1994-06-28	Lonati et al.	
44	7207196	B2	2007-04-24	Lonati et al.	

If you wish to add additional U.S. Patent citation information please click the Add button.

Add

U.S.PATENT APPLICATION PUBLICATIONS

Remove

Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Publication Number	Kind Code ¹	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
	1	20040118018	A1	2004-06-24	Dua	
	2	20020078599	A1	2002-06-27	Delgorgue, et al.	
	3	20030126762	A1	2003-07-10	Tseng	

If you wish to add additional U.S. Published Application citation information please click the Add button.

Add

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Remove

Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Foreign Document Number ³	Country Code ²	Kind Code ⁴	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	T ⁵
	1	90/03744	WO		1990-04-19	RBFPT, Inc.		☐

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number	13236742
Filing Date	2011-09-20
First Named Inventor	Bhupesh Dua
Art Unit	3711
Examiner Name	TBA
Attorney Docket Number	005127.01675

2	1437057	EP		2004-07-14	Mizuno Corp.		☐
3	1539886	GB		1979-02-07	Ashworths Ltd.		☐
4	1067566	CN		1993-01-06	Puma AG		☒
5	1411762	CN		2003-04-23	Taiwan Baihe Industry Co., Ltd.		☒
6	1429512	CN		2003-07-16	Taiwan Baihe Industry Co., Ltd.		☒
7	627878	DE		1936-03-12	Gunther GmbH	Machine Translation Only	☒

If you wish to add additional Foreign Patent Document citation information please click the Add button **Add**

NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

Remove

Examiner Initials*	Cite No	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc), date, pages(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T ⁵
	1	Santoni S.p.A. publication: Knitting Wear, SM8 Top 1 (2 pages)	☐
	2	International Search Report and Written Opinion mailed on May 19, 2005 in related PCT Application No.: PCT/US2005/004776	☐
	3	Page 1 of Lyden Letter of April 21, 2010 - Redacted.	☐

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number	13236742
Filing Date	2011-09-20
First Named Inventor	Bhupesh Dua
Art Unit	3711
Examiner Name	TBA
Attorney Docket Number	005127.01675

4	Office Action issued July 27, 2007 in corresponding Chinese Patent Application No.: 2005800066703, and English translation thereof.	☒
5	Office Action issued February 15, 2008 in corresponding Chinese Patent Application No.: 2005800066703, and English translation thereof.	☒
6	Office Action issued June 13, 2008 in corresponding Chinese Patent Application No.: 2005800066703, and English translation thereof.	☒

If you wish to add additional non-patent literature document citation information please click the Add button **Add**

EXAMINER SIGNATURE

Examiner Signature	/Marie Patterson/	Date Considered	12/08/2011
--------------------	-------------------	-----------------	------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through a citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹ See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.USPTO.GOV or MPEP 901.04. ² Enter office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ³ For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁴ Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. ⁵ Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number	13236742
Filing Date	2011-09-20
First Named Inventor	Bhupesh Dua
Art Unit	3711
Examiner Name	TBA
Attorney Docket Number	005127.01675

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

Please see 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98 to make the appropriate selection(s):

☐ That each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. See 37 CFR 1.97(e)(1).

OR

☐ That no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, and, to the knowledge of the person signing the certification after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was known to any individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. See 37 CFR 1.97(e)(2).

☐ See attached certification statement.

☐ The fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17 (p) has been submitted herewith.

☒ A certification statement is not submitted herewith.

SIGNATURE

A signature of the applicant or representative is required in accordance with CFR 1.33, 10.18. Please see CFR 1.4(d) for the form of the signature.

Signature	/Jeanne M. Tanner/	Date (YYYY-MM-DD)	2011-10-19
Name/Print	Jeanne M. Tanner	Registration Number	45156

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. **SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.**

Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether the Freedom of Information Act requires disclosure of these records.
2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspections or an issued patent.
9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.

LISTING OF THE CLAIMS

1. (Original) A method of manufacturing an article of footwear, the method comprising:
simultaneously knitting a textile element with a surrounding textile structure, the knitted textile element having at least one knitted texture that differs from a knitted texture in the surrounding knitted textile structure;
removing the knitted textile element from the surrounding knitted textile structure;
incorporating the knitted textile element into the article of footwear.
2. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein simultaneously knitting a textile element with a surrounding textile structure includes simultaneously knitting a plurality of textile elements.
3. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein simultaneously knitting a textile element with a surrounding textile includes knitting an outline of the knitted textile element.
4. (Original) The method of claim 3, wherein the outline has the shape and proportion of the knitted textile element.
5. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the knitted textile element has a substantially planar configuration upon removal from the surrounding knitted textile structure.
6. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the knitted textile element includes longitudinal edges formed when the knitted textile element is removed from the surrounding knitted textile structure.
7. (Original) The method of claim 6, wherein the longitudinal edges are joined together to define at least a portion of a void for receiving a foot.

8. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the knitted textile element has a plurality of different knitted textures formed by varying at least one of the stitch type and the yarn type.

9. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein simultaneously knitting a textile element with a surrounding textile structure includes utilizing a wide-tube circular knitting machine.

10. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein simultaneously knitting a textile element with a surrounding textile structure includes utilizing a jacquard double needle-bar raschel knitting machine.

11. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein simultaneously knitting a textile element with a surrounding textile structure includes forming the knitted textile element to include a first area and a second area with a unitary construction, the first area being formed of a first stitch configuration, and the second area being formed of a second stitch configuration that is different from the first stitch configuration to impart varying textures to a surface of the knitted textile element.

12. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein incorporating the knitted textile element into the article of footwear includes securing edges of the knitted textile element to form a seam that extends along a lower region of an upper and securing the upper to a sole structure.

13. (Original) A method of manufacturing an article of footwear, the method comprising:

knitting a first textile element and a second textile element simultaneously with knitting a surrounding textile structure, the first knitted textile element located within a first

portion of the knitted textile structure, the second knitted textile element located within a second portion of the knitted textile structure,

varying at least one of the types of stitches or the types of yarns in the knitted textile structure to impart a texture to the first and second knitted textile elements different from a texture of the knitted textile structure extending between the first and second portions;

removing the first and second knitted textile elements from the knitted textile structure;

incorporating at least one of the first and second knitted textile elements into the article of footwear.

14. (Original) The method of claim 13, wherein knitting a first textile knitted element simultaneously with a surrounding knitted textile structure includes knitting an outline of the first knitted textile element.

15. (Original) The method of claim 13, wherein the first and second textile knitted elements have substantially planar configurations upon removal from the surrounding knitted textile structure.

16. (Original) The method of claim 13, wherein the first knitted textile element includes longitudinal edges formed when the first knitted textile element is removed from the surrounding knitted textile structure.

17. (Original) The method of claim 16, wherein the longitudinal edges are joined together to define at least a portion of a void for receiving a foot.

18. (Original) The method of claim 16, wherein incorporating the first knitted textile element into the article of footwear includes securing the longitudinal edges of the first knitted textile element to form a seam that extends along a lower region of an upper.

19. (Original) The method of claim 13, wherein knitting the first and second knitted textile elements simultaneously with a surrounding knitted textile structure includes utilizing a wide-tube circular knitting machine.

20. (Original) The method of claim 13, wherein knitting the first and second textile knitted elements simultaneously with a surrounding knitted textile structure includes utilizing a jacquard double needle-bar raschel knitting machine.

21. (Original) The method of claim 13, wherein knitting the first knitted textile element simultaneously with a surrounding knitted textile structure includes forming the first knitted textile element to include a first area and a second area with a unitary construction, the first area being formed of a first stitch configuration, and the second area being formed of a second stitch configuration that is different from the first stitch configuration to impart varying textures to a surface of the first knitted textile element.

22. (Withdrawn) An article of footwear comprising:
an upper including a textile element simultaneously knitted with a surrounding textile structure, the knitted textile element having at least one knitted texture that differs from a knitted texture in the surrounding knitted textile structure, the knitted textile element being removed from the surrounding knitted textile structure; and
the upper secured to a sole structure.

REMARKS

Status of the Claims

Claims 1-22 are pending. Claim 22 was withdrawn from consideration due to an earlier restriction requirement.

In the Office Action, the Examiner:

- rejected claims 1-8, 11-18 and 21 under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by Nishida (5,345,638);
- rejected claims 1-21 on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-14 of US 7,814,598; and
- indicated that claims 9, 10, 19 and 20 would be allowed (if rewritten as independent claims) and subject to the filing of a proper Terminal Disclaimer.

Applicants acknowledge the Examiner's indication that claims 9, 10, 19 and 20 contain allowable subject matter.

Rejections under 35 USC § 102(b)

Claims 1-8, 11-18 and 21 stand rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by Nishida. Applicants traverse this rejection. Claims 1 and 13 are independent claims.

Claim 1, which is directed to a method of manufacturing an article of footwear, recites:

“simultaneously knitting a textile element with a surrounding textile structure, the knitted textile element having at least one knitted texture that differs from a knitted texture in the surrounding knitted textile structure.”

Claim 13 recites:

“knitting a first textile element and a second textile element simultaneously with knitting a surrounding textile structure, the first knitted textile element located within a first portion of the knitted textile structure, the second knitted textile element located within a second portion of the knitted textile structure.”

The claims generally recite that a knitted textile element is simultaneously formed with a surrounding knitted textile structure. Referring for example to FIG. 9 of the instant

application, the knitted textile element corresponds to item 40 and the knitted textile structure corresponds to item 60. As the textile structure 60 is knitted, the knitted textile element 40 is also knitted. In other words, the textile structure 60 and the textile element 40 are knit at the same time during a single knitting process—they are “simultaneously formed.” As disclosed at col. 7, lines 41-48 of the instant application, as the textile structure 60 is knitted the types of stitches are varied so that a pattern is formed with the outline and/or with the shape and proportions of the textile element 40.

In contrast, Nishida fails to disclose a knitted textile element *simultaneously* formed with a knitted textile structure during a knitting process. Nishida discloses that layouts 2 are printed, embroidered, woven, or knitted onto a *pre-existing* backing material 4. Specifically, Nishida discloses that layouts 2 are produced by “a fabric printing process ... on the web of material and/or by a textile production process inside the web of material.” (Col. 2, lines 50-56.) Because Nishida teaches that layouts 2 may be produced by a “fabric printing process ... on the web of material,” Nishida necessarily teaches that the backing material for the web of material must be formed prior to the production of the layouts. In other words, the formation of Nishida’s backing material must precede the printing of the layouts thereupon.

With respect to the backing material, Nishida further discloses that “the web of material has a backing 4 that can be a conventionally used material ...” (col. 3, lines 13-15), and that “the web of material 1 is produced so that its surface areas 1.1, in which no layout(s) 2 or tongue(s) 40 are provided, consist of a lightweight material quality that is a simple and economical as possible. For example, these surface areas 1.1 can be produced like a gauze or with low to very low warp and/or filling gauge and/or, for example, by being thin-spun or the like” (col. 5, lines 45-52). In essence, Nishida discloses that the backing material 4, which includes the surface area 1.1 outside the layouts, is formed separately from the layouts 2 themselves.

Additionally, Nishida’s disclosure that layouts 2 may be produced “by a textile production process *inside* the web of material,” is not a disclosure that the layouts and the area surrounding the layouts are simultaneously formed. In fact, for example, embroidery, which could be considered a prime example of a “textile production process inside the web of material,” is specifically listed by Nishida as a process for forming a layout (see col. 5, lines

27-31). Embroidery is produced as a subsequent operation onto an existing backing material. In other words, the disclosure of a “textile production process inside the web of material” is not a disclosure that the layouts are simultaneously formed with the backing material 4 or the surrounding surface areas 1.1.

Thus, Nishida fails to disclose that the layouts are *simultaneously formed* with the backing material or the surrounding surface areas. Even further, Nishida fails to disclose that the layouts are *simultaneously knitted* with the backing material. Although, Nishida discloses that the backing material can be “a knitted fabric” (col. 3, lines 13-15), and that the layouts may be “knitted,” Nishida fails to disclose that these two processes occur simultaneously. Applicants note that processes that involve “knitting through” or “stitching through” a backing material, such as disclosed in US 4,144,727 to Duhl et al., titled “Knitted Malimo Type Fabric,” were known at the time of Nishida’s application. Thus, it is entirely in keeping with Nishida’s disclosure for a layout (knitted or otherwise) to be subsequently produced on an existing backing material (knitted or otherwise) using a Malimo-type process.

Thus, Nishida teaches that the layouts 2 are formed on the backing material of the web of material after the backing material has been formed, i.e., that the layouts are formed as a separate, subsequent, process to the formation of the backing material.

Nishida fails to disclose “simultaneously knitting a textile element with a surrounding textile structure,” as recited in claim 1, and Nishida fails to disclose “knitting a first textile element and a second textile element simultaneously with knitting a surrounding textile structure,” as recited in claim 13.

Thus, as Nishida fails to disclose or suggest each and every element of claims 1 and 13, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1-8, 11-18 and 21 are patentable over the cited reference and request that this rejection be withdrawn.

Double Patenting

Claims 1-21 were rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-14 of US 7,814,598. Applicants submit a Terminal Disclaimer herewith, thereby obviating this rejection.

US Patent Appln. No.: 13/236,742
Response dated January 13, 2012
In Reply to Office Action dated December 12, 2011

Conclusion

Applicants believe that this application is in condition for allowance and respectfully request action to that effect. Should further information be needed, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney by telephone.

Respectfully submitted,
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Dated: January 13, 2012

By: /Jeanne M. Tanner/
Jeanne M. Tanner
Reg. No. 45,156

28 State St., Suite 1800
Boston, MA 02109-1701
617-720-9600



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

13/236,742

09/20/2011

Bhupesh Dua

005127.01675

5214

22910 7590 02/01/2012

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.
28 STATE STREET
SUITE 1800
BOSTON, MA 02109-1701

EXAMINER

PATTERSON, MARIE D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3765

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/01/2012

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 13/236,742	Applicant(s) DUA ET AL.	
	Examiner MARIE PATTERSON	Art Unit 3765	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 January 2012.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on ____; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.
- 4) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 5a) Of the above claim(s) 22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 9) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) ☐ Other: ____. |

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I in the reply filed on 11/28/11 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
2. Claim 22 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 11/28/11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-8, 11-18, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nishida (5345638).

Nishida shows a process for producing a shoe comprising simultaneously knitting a textile element (3) with a surrounding textile structure (1 and 4), the elements are removed from the surrounding textile and the longitudinal edges that are joined together (7 and 8) and a sole attached thereto (see figure 3) as claimed. In reference to different textures, yarns, stitches, Nishida teaches such for example in column 3 lines 15-25.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 9, 10, 19, and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Terminal Disclaimer

6. The terminal disclaimer filed on 1/13/12 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of 7,814,598 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 1/13/12 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicants' arguments directed towards Nishida, the claims require "simultaneously knitting a textile element with a surrounding textile structure" which Nishida does disclose as the web material (1 and/or 4) which includes the textile element (2) and surrounding structure (area of 1 around 2) is produced by knitting (column 3 lines 5-15 and column 5 line 63- column 6 line 2) and therefore since element 1 or 4 is a single knitted element it is inherently simultaneously knitted because it is a single element. In reference to the limitation of "the knitted textile element having at least one knitted texture that differs from a knitted texture in the surrounding knitted textile structure", see Nishida column 4 lines 31-38 states "In the production of web material....layout(s) 2 can be provided with an embroidery...." and in column 5 line 63-

Art Unit: 3765

column 6 line 2 which states “the webs of material being used can be produced by program-controlled web material production devices....with changes of the type of weave or knit of individual areas or controus, the type of fiber, or yarn and/or the color”.

In reference to the limitation of “removing the knitted textile element from the surrounding knitted textile structure....” see column 4 lines 39-45 of Nishida.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

1. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see **<http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>**. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at (571)273-8300 **(FORMAL FAXES ONLY)**. Please identify Examiner Marie Patterson of Art Unit 3765 at the top of your cover sheet.

Art Unit: 3765

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Marie Patterson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4559. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM Mon-Wed.

/Marie Patterson/
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3765



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

13/236,742

09/20/2011

Bhupesh Dua

005127.01675

5214

22910 7590 04/13/2012

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.
28 STATE STREET
SUITE 1800
BOSTON, MA 02109-1701

EXAMINER

PATTERSON, MARIE D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3765

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/13/2012

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Applicant-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 13/236,742	Applicant(s) DUA ET AL.	
	Examiner MARIE PATTERSON	Art Unit 3765	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MARIE PATTERSON. (3) ____.

(2) Jeanne Tanner. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 10 April 2012.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
 ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☒102 ☐103 ☐Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Nishida 5345638.

Substance of Interview
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

After further consideration and arguments it was clarified that Nishida may disclose a web of material having different textures, but there is no clear disclosure of the textures differing such that the textile element has a texture which is different that the texture of the surrounding textile structure and that the textile element and the surround structure are simultaneously knitted. Applicants' representative will clarify this in a request for reconsideration and the Examiner agrees that Nishida does not provide any clear disclosure of where the differing textures are located..

Applicant recordation instructions: The formal written reply to the last Office action must include the substance of the interview. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, applicant is given a non-extendable period of the longer of one month or thirty days from this interview date, or the mailing date of this interview summary form, whichever is later, to file a statement of the substance of the interview

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

	/Marie Patterson/ Primary 3765 4/10/12
--	--

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

REMARKS

Status of the Claims

Claims 1-22 are pending. Claim 22 stands withdrawn from consideration due to an earlier restriction requirement.

In the Office Action, the Examiner:

- rejected claims 1-8, 11-18 and 21 under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by Nishida (5,345,638);
- indicated that claims 9, 10, 19 and 20 would be allowed if rewritten in independent form; and
- indicated that the Terminal Disclaimer filed January 13, 2012 was proper.

Applicants acknowledge the Examiner's indication that claims 9, 10, 19 and 20 contain allowable subject matter.

Summary of Interview with Examiner

Applicants very sincerely thank Examiner Marie D. Patterson for the many courtesies extended to Applicants' representative, Jeanne M. Tanner, during the telephonic interview of April 10, 2012. Nishida's disclosure and claim 1 were discussed. Applicants believe that the following remarks are in keeping with the understanding of Nishida's disclosure achieved during the interview.

Rejections under 35 USC § 102(b)

Claims 1-8, 11-18 and 21 stand rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by Nishida. Applicants traverse this rejection. Claims 1 and 13 are independent claims.

Claim 1, which is directed to a method of manufacturing an article of footwear, recites:

“simultaneously knitting a textile element with a surrounding textile structure, the knitted textile element having at least one knitted texture that differs from a knitted texture in the surrounding knitted textile structure.”

Claim 13 recites:

“knitting a first textile element and a second textile element simultaneously with knitting a surrounding textile structure, the first knitted textile element located within a first portion of the knitted textile structure, the second knitted textile element located within a second portion of the knitted textile structure”;
and

“varying at least one of the types of stitches or the types of yarns in the knitted textile structure to impart a texture to the first and second knitted textile elements different from a texture of the knitted textile structure extending between the first and second portions.”

The claims generally recite that a knitted textile element is simultaneously formed with a surrounding knitted textile structure and that the knitted textile element has a texture that differs from the texture of the simultaneously knitted surrounding structure.

As an initial matter, Applicants maintain their previously presented arguments that Nishida discloses that the backing 4 for the web of material 1 must be formed prior to the production of the layouts, and thus, that Nishida fails to disclose a knitted textile element *simultaneously* formed with a knitted textile structure, having a differing texture, during a knitting process.

In the Final Office Action, the Examiner has cited to Nishida’s disclosure at column 5, line 63 – column 6, line 2. Applicants submit that Nishida’s disclosure therein as to “changes of the type of weave or knit of individual areas” (even if, *arguendo*, this disclosure were to refer to the backing of Nishida) fails to disclose that the type of weave or knit is associated with any specificity to any particular area of Nishida’s backing. In other words, Nishida simply fails to disclose that its backing material has a first texture in an area associated with a textile element and a differing texture in an area surrounding the textile element. Thus, Nishida fails to disclose differing textures of any simultaneously knitted textile element and its simultaneously knitted surrounding textile structure.

As Nishida fails to disclose each and every element of claims 1 and 13, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1-8, 11-18 and 21 are patentable over the cited reference and request that this rejection be withdrawn.

US Patent Appln. No.: 13/236,742
Response dated April 17, 2012
In Reply to Final Office Action dated February 1, 2012

Conclusion

Applicants believe that this application is in condition for allowance and respectfully request action to that effect. Should further information be needed, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney by telephone.

Respectfully submitted,
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Dated: April 17, 2012

By: /Jeanne M. Tanner/
Jeanne M. Tanner
Reg. No. 45,156

28 State St., Suite 1800
Boston, MA 02109-1701
617-720-9600



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND FEE(S) DUE

22910 7590 05/21/2012
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.
28 STATE STREET
SUITE 1800
BOSTON, MA 02109-1701

EXAMINER

PATTERSON, MARIE D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3765

DATE MAILED: 05/21/2012

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

13/236,742

09/20/2011

Bhupesh Dua

005127.01675

5214

TITLE OF INVENTION: ARTICLE OF FOOTWEAR HAVING A TEXTILE UPPER

APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FEE DUE	PUBLICATION FEE DUE	PREV. PAID ISSUE FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUE
nonprovisional	NO	\$1740	\$300	\$0	\$2040	08/21/2012

THE APPLICATION IDENTIFIED ABOVE HAS BEEN EXAMINED AND IS ALLOWED FOR ISSUANCE AS A PATENT. PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS CLOSED. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO WITHDRAWAL FROM ISSUE AT THE INITIATIVE OF THE OFFICE OR UPON PETITION BY THE APPLICANT. SEE 37 CFR 1.313 AND MPEP 1308.

THE ISSUE FEE AND PUBLICATION FEE (IF REQUIRED) MUST BE PAID WITHIN THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE OR THIS APPLICATION SHALL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED. THIS STATUTORY PERIOD CANNOT BE EXTENDED. SEE 35 U.S.C. 151. THE ISSUE FEE DUE INDICATED ABOVE DOES NOT REFLECT A CREDIT FOR ANY PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE IN THIS APPLICATION. IF AN ISSUE FEE HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN PAID IN THIS APPLICATION (AS SHOWN ABOVE), THE RETURN OF PART B OF THIS FORM WILL BE CONSIDERED A REQUEST TO REAPPLY THE PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE TOWARD THE ISSUE FEE NOW DUE.

HOW TO REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

I. Review the SMALL ENTITY status shown above.

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as YES, verify your current SMALL ENTITY status:

A. If the status is the same, pay the TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above.

B. If the status above is to be removed, check box 5b on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and twice the amount of the ISSUE FEE shown above, or

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as NO:

A. Pay TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above, or

B. If applicant claimed SMALL ENTITY status before, or is now claiming SMALL ENTITY status, check box 5a on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and 1/2 the ISSUE FEE shown above.

II. PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL, or its equivalent, must be completed and returned to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) with your ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). If you are charging the fee(s) to your deposit account, section "4b" of Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and an extra copy of the form should be submitted. If an equivalent of Part B is filed, a request to reapply a previously paid issue fee must be clearly made, and delays in processing may occur due to the difficulty in recognizing the paper as an equivalent of Part B.

III. All communications regarding this application must give the application number. Please direct all communications prior to issuance to Mail Stop ISSUE FEE unless advised to the contrary.

IMPORTANT REMINDER: Utility patents issuing on applications filed on or after Dec. 12, 1980 may require payment of maintenance fees. It is patentee's responsibility to ensure timely payment of maintenance fees when due.

PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL

**Complete and send this form, together with applicable fee(s), to: Mail Mail Stop ISSUE FEE
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
or Fax (571)-273-2885**

INSTRUCTIONS: This form should be used for transmitting the ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). Blocks 1 through 5 should be completed where appropriate. All further correspondence including the Patent, advance orders and notification of maintenance fees will be mailed to the current correspondence address as indicated unless corrected below or directed otherwise in Block 1, by (a) specifying a new correspondence address; and/or (b) indicating a separate "FEE ADDRESS" for maintenance fee notifications.

CURRENT CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS (Note: Use Block 1 for any change of address)

Note: A certificate of mailing can only be used for domestic mailings of the Fee(s) Transmittal. This certificate cannot be used for any other accompanying papers. Each additional paper, such as an assignment or formal drawing, must have its own certificate of mailing or transmission.

22910 7590 05/21/2012
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.
28 STATE STREET
SUITE 1800
BOSTON, MA 02109-1701

Certificate of Mailing or Transmission

I hereby certify that this Fee(s) Transmittal is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage for first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Mail Stop ISSUE FEE address above, or being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO (571) 273-2885, on the date indicated below.

(Depositor's name)
(Signature)
(Date)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

13/236,742 09/20/2011 Bhupesh Dua 005127.01675 5214

TITLE OF INVENTION: ARTICLE OF FOOTWEAR HAVING A TEXTILE UPPER

APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FEE DUE	PUBLICATION FEE DUE	PREV. PAID ISSUE FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUE
-------------	--------------	---------------	---------------------	----------------------	------------------	----------

nonprovisional NO \$1740 \$300 \$0 \$2040 08/21/2012

EXAMINER	ART UNIT	CLASS-SUBCLASS
----------	----------	----------------

PATTERSON, MARIE D 3765 012-14600C

1. Change of correspondence address or indication of "Fee Address" (37 CFR 1.363).

- ☐ Change of correspondence address (or Change of Correspondence Address form PTO/SB/122) attached.
☐ "Fee Address" indication (or "Fee Address" Indication form PTO/SB/47; Rev 03-02 or more recent) attached. **Use of a Customer Number is required.**

2. For printing on the patent front page, list

- (1) the names of up to 3 registered patent attorneys or agents OR, alternatively, 1 _____
(2) the name of a single firm (having as a member a registered attorney or agent) and the names of up to 2 registered patent attorneys or agents. If no name is listed, no name will be printed. 2 _____
3 _____

3. ASSIGNEE NAME AND RESIDENCE DATA TO BE PRINTED ON THE PATENT (print or type)

PLEASE NOTE: Unless an assignee is identified below, no assignee data will appear on the patent. If an assignee is identified below, the document has been filed for recordation as set forth in 37 CFR 3.11. Completion of this form is NOT a substitute for filing an assignment.

(A) NAME OF ASSIGNEE (B) RESIDENCE: (CITY and STATE OR COUNTRY)

Please check the appropriate assignee category or categories (will not be printed on the patent) : ☐ Individual ☐ Corporation or other private group entity ☐ Government

4a. The following fee(s) are submitted:

- ☐ Issue Fee
☐ Publication Fee (No small entity discount permitted)
☐ Advance Order - # of Copies _____

4b. Payment of Fee(s); (Please first reapply any previously paid issue fee shown above)

- ☐ A check is enclosed.
☐ Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.
☐ The Director is hereby authorized to charge the required fee(s), any deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account Number _____ (enclose an extra copy of this form).

5. Change in Entity Status (from status indicated above)

- ☐ a. Applicant claims SMALL ENTITY status. See 37 CFR 1.27. ☐ b. Applicant is no longer claiming SMALL ENTITY status. See 37 CFR 1.27(g)(2).

NOTE: The Issue Fee and Publication Fee (if required) will not be accepted from anyone other than the applicant; a registered attorney or agent; or the assignee or other party in interest as shown by the records of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

Authorized Signature _____ Date _____

Typed or printed name _____ Registration No. _____

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.311. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
13/236,742	09/20/2011	Bhupesh Dua	005127.01675	5214

22910 7590 05/21/2012
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.
28 STATE STREET
SUITE 1800
BOSTON, MA 02109-1701

EXAMINER

PATTERSON, MARIE D

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3765

DATE MAILED: 05/21/2012

Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b) (application filed on or after May 29, 2000)

The Patent Term Adjustment to date is 0 day(s). If the issue fee is paid on the date that is three months after the mailing date of this notice and the patent issues on the Tuesday before the date that is 28 weeks (six and a half months) after the mailing date of this notice, the Patent Term Adjustment will be 0 day(s).

If a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) was filed in the above-identified application, the filing date that determines Patent Term Adjustment is the filing date of the most recent CPA.

Applicant will be able to obtain more detailed information by accessing the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) WEB site (<http://pair.uspto.gov>).

Any questions regarding the Patent Term Extension or Adjustment determination should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (571)-272-7702. Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Customer Service Center of the Office of Patent Publication at 1-(888)-786-0101 or (571)-272-4200.

Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.

Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	13/236,742	DUA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MARIE PATTERSON	3765	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. ☒ This communication is responsive to request for reconsideration filed 4/17/12.
2. ☐ An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on ____; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.
3. ☒ The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-21.
4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* Certified copies not received: ____.

Applicant has **THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE"** of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.

THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.

5. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
 6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
 - (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
 - 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date ____.
 - (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date ____.
- Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).**
7. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 5. ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 2. ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 6. ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413),
Paper No./Mail Date ____. |
| 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),
Paper No./Mail Date ____ | 7. ☒ Examiner's Amendment/Comment |
| 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit
of Biological Material | 8. ☐ Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance |
| | 9. ☐ Other ____. |

/Marie Patterson/
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 3765

Art Unit: 3765

1. This application is in condition for allowance except for the presence of claim 22 directed to an invention non-elected without traverse. Accordingly, claim 22 has been cancelled.

1. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at (571)273-8300 **(FORMAL FAXES ONLY)**. Please identify Examiner Marie Patterson of Art Unit 3765 at the top of your cover sheet.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Marie Patterson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4559. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM Mon-Wed.

/Marie Patterson/
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3765