

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES S.A. and
DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

EYE THERAPIES, LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00467 (Patent 11,833,245 B2)
IPR2024-00563 (Patent 11,596,600 B2)¹

Before ZHENYU YANG, ROBERT A. POLLOCK, and
RYAN H. FLAX, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

YANG, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

Granting Patent Owner's Motion for
Pro Hac Vice Admission of Matthew J. Luneack
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

¹ This Order addresses issues that are the same in each of the above-identified proceedings. We issue one Order to be entered in each proceeding. The parties are not authorized to use this style caption unless later permitted.

On January 27, 2025, Patent Owner filed a Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of Matthew J. Luneack in each of the above-identified proceedings. Paper 50.² Patent Owner also submitted a Declaration from Mr. Luneack in support of the Motion in each proceeding. Ex. 2270. Patent Owner indicates Petitioner does not oppose the Motion. Paper 50, 2. For the reasons provided below, Patent Owner's Motion is *granted*.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)(1), “[t]he Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions the Board may impose.” In authorizing a motion for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (representative “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission”).

Based on the facts set forth in the Motion and the accompanying Declaration, we conclude that Mr. Luneack has sufficient litigation experience and legal familiarity with the subject matter of these proceedings, and meets all other requirements for admission *pro hac vice*. *See* Paper 50; Ex. 2270. Accordingly, Patent Owner has established good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Luneack.

² For expediency, we cite to papers in IPR2024-00467. Similar papers were filed in IPR2024-00563.

IPR2024-00467 (Patent 11,833,245 B2)

IPR2024-00563 (Patent 11,596,600 B2)

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED that Patent Owner's Motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Matthew J. Luneack is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for each proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Luneack is authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel only in these proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Luneack be familiar with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide³ (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and comply with the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Luneack is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

³ Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2024-00467 (Patent 11,833,245 B2)

IPR2024-00563 (Patent 11,596,600 B2)

FOR PETITIONER:

Linnea Cipriano
Christopher Cassella
Goodwin Procter LLP
LCipriano@goodwinlaw.com
ccassella@goodwinlaw.com
extDG-Brimonidine@goodwinlaw.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Bryan Diner
Justin Hasford
Joshua Goldberg
Kassandra Officer
Christina Ji-Hye Yang
Ryan McDonnell
Jason Zhang
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow,
Garrett & Dunner, LLP
bryan.diner@finnegan.com
justin.hasford@finnegan.com
joshua.goldberg@finnegan.com
kassandra.officer@finnegan.com
christina.yang@finnegan.com
ryan.mcdonnell@finnegan.com
jason.zhang@finnegan.com