

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MONOLITHIC POWER SYSTEMS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

GREENTHREAD LLC
Patent Owner

Case: IPR2024-00469
U.S. Patent No. 9,190,502

**PATENT OWNER'S MOTION TO SEAL
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.14 & 42.54**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.14 and 42.54, Patent Owner, Greenthread, LLC, (“Patent Owner”) respectfully submits this Motion to Seal. Patent Owner moves to seal Patent Owner’s Sur-reply in its entirety and is concurrently filing a redacted version. Patent Owner has conferred with Petitioner, and Petitioner indicated no opposition.

I. GOOD CAUSE EXISTS

The Office Trial Practice Guide states that a “document or thing will be made publicly available, unless a party files a motion to seal that is then granted by the Board.” Office Trial Practice Guide (Nov. 2019) (“TPG”) at 19; *see also* 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(7). “The document or thing will be protected on receipt of the motion and remain so, pending the outcome of the decision on motion.” *Id.* The TPG states that “protective orders may be issued for good cause by the Board to protect a party from disclosing confidential information.” *Id.* at 20 (citing 37 C.F.R. § 42.54). Here, there is good cause for sealing Patent Owner’s Sur-reply because Patent Owner is under confidentiality obligations regarding licensing information references therein. Patent Owner itself does not desire to maintain the confidentiality of the information, and believe that some of it may be public. Nevertheless, Patent Owner requests to seal the information to avoid potential disputes with the parties to whom Patent Owner owes confidentiality obligations, who have specifically requested that Greenthread maintain the confidentiality.

II. PROTECTIVE ORDER

Patent Owner previously requested entry of a modified protective order. Upon entry of that modified protective order, Patent Owner designates Patent Owner's Sur-reply in its entirety as "PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL."

As discussed above, Patent Owner is concurrently filing a redacted copy of Patent Owner's Sur-reply.

III. CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, Patent Owner requests that the Board seal Patent Owner's Sur-reply in its entirety, subject to the concurrent filing of the redacted public versions of this paper.

Date: March 28, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Alan Whitehurst

Alan Whitehurst

Reg. No. 43,263

awhitehurst@mckoolsmith.com

Arvind Jairam

Reg. No. 62,759

ajairam@mckoolsmith.com

MCKOOL SMITH, P.C.

1717 K St. NW, Suite 1000

Washington, DC 20006

Telephone: (202) 370-8300

Facsimile: (202) 370-8344

Archis "Neil" Ozarkar

Reg. No. 71,265

nozarkar@mckoolsmith.com

MCKOOL SMITH, P.C.

600 Travis Street, Suite 600

Houston, TX 77002

Telephone: (713) 485-7300

Facsimile: (713) 485-7344

Counsel for Patent Owner

Greenthread, LLC

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing **MOTION TO SEAL PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY** has been served on Petitioner via email on the following counsel of record for Petitioner:

Miguel Bombach
Bing Ai
John D. Esterhay
John P. Schnurer
Brianna Kadjó
Punkun Jiang
PERKINS COIE LLP
11452 El Camino Real, Ste. 300
San Diego, CA 92130-2080
T: (858) 720-5700

PerkinsServiceMPS-GreenthreadIPR@perkinscoie.com

Dated: March 28, 2025

By: /s/ Alan Whitehurst

Alan Whitehurst

Reg. No. 43,263

*Counsel for Patent Owner
Greenthread, LLC*