

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MONOLITHIC POWER SYSTEMS, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

GREENTHREAD, LLC,

Patent Owner.

Case No: IPR2024-00470

U.S. Patent No. 11,121,222

**PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE
SUBMITTED WITH PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Monolithic Power Systems, Inc. (“Petitioner”) objects to the following exhibits Greenthread, LLC (“Patent Owner”) filed by with its Sur-Reply (Paper 47). Petitioner objects to new argument and evidence presented with those arguments that were never before presented in its Patent Owner Response in violation of 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.20 and 42.23(b). See, e.g., Sur-Reply at 14 (presenting new argument and evidence regarding Onoda’s FIG. 11) and 17-23 (presenting new argument and evidence regarding what constitutes the claimed “minority carrier.”) The portions of evidence supplied in Exhibit 1055 is further objectionable under FRE 401-403 as constituting irrelevant material.

Dated: April 4, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/ Miguel Bombach /
 Lead Counsel
 Miguel Bombach, Reg. No. 68,636

PERKINS COIE LLP
 11452 El Camino Real, Ste 300
 San Diego, California 92130-2080
 858.720.5700 (phone)
 858.720.5799 (fax)

Back-up Counsel
 Bing Ai, Reg. No. 43,312
 John D. Esterhay, Reg. No. 73,512
 John P. Schnurer, Reg. No. 52,196
 Runkun (Justin) Jiang, Reg. No. 78,118

Attorneys for Petitioner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on April 4, 2025, a true and correct copy of the foregoing **PETITIONER’S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE SUBMITTED WITH PATENT OWNER’S SUR-REPLY** was served by electronic mail on the Patent Owner via its attorneys of record:

Alan Whitehurst; Nicholas T. Matich; Arvind Jairam;
Stuart McCommas; Archis “Neil” Ozarkar
Greenthread-Monolithic-MS@mckoolsmith.com

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 4, 2025

/Anita Chou/
Anita Chou
Paralegal