

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

VALVE CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

IMMERSION CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00477
Patent 7,336,260 B2

Before WILLIAM V. SAINDON, CHRISTOPHER L. OGDEN, and
SCOTT RAEVSKY, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

RAEVSKY, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Granting Patent Owner's Motion for
Pro Hac Vice Admission of Cliff Win, Jr.
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

On February 9, 2024, Patent Owner filed a motion requesting *pro hac vice* admission of Cliff Win, Jr. Paper 6 (“Motion” or “Mot.”). Patent Owner also submitted a Declaration from Mr. Win in support of the Motion. Ex. 2001 (“Declaration”). Petitioner has not opposed the Motion. For the reasons provided below, Patent Owner’s Motion is *granted*.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing a motion for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (representative “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission”).

Based on the facts set forth in the Motion and the accompanying Declaration, Patent Owner has established good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Win. Mr. Win will be permitted to serve as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

We note that Patent Owner filed a Power of Attorney including Mr. Win in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b). Paper 5. Patent Owner has also filed a Mandatory Notice identifying Mr. Win as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3). Paper 4.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED that Patent Owner’s Motion (Paper 6) for *pro hac vice* admission of Cliff Win, Jr. is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Win is authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel only in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Win be familiar with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide¹ (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and comply with the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Win is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

¹ Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2024-00477
Patent 7,336,260 B2

FOR PETITIONER:

Richard Giunta
Nathan Speed
WP;F. GREEMFIELD & SACKS, P.C.
rgiunta-ptab@wolfgreenfield.com
nathan.speed@wolfgreenfield.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

David Schumann
Palani Rathinasamy
Moses Xie
Timothy Dewberry
FOLIO LAW GROUP PLLC
david.schumann@foliolaw.com
palani@foliolaw.com
moses.xie@foliolaw.com
timothy.dewberry@foliolaw.com