THE HONORABLE TANA LIN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

IMMERSION CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

VALVE CORPORATION

Defendant.

Case No. 2:23-CV-00712-TL

DECLARATION OF DR. ROBERT AKL

FOLIO LAW GROUP PLLC
1200 Westlake Ave. N., Ste. 809
Seattle, WA 98109, Tel: 206-880-1802

Valve Exhibit 1087
Valve v. Immersion
IPR2024-00478

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS 1

III. DOCUMENTS AND MATERIALS CONSIDERED 6

IV. UNDERSTANDING OF LEGAL STANDARDS 8

V. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART 10

VI. SUMMARY OF RELEVANT PATENTS 10

VII. DISPUTED TERMS 12

A. "computer-readable medium having instructions" '260 patent, claim 1 12

B. "determining . . . a change in pressure" / "change in pressure threshold" '507 patent, claims 1, 9, 14 16

C. "actual pressure" / "pseudo pressure" '507 patent, claims 2, 3, 10, 11, 15, 16 17

D. "detent" / "virtual detent" '042 patent, claims 1, 7-10, 12-15, 17 20

E. "detent profile" '042 patent, claims 1, 8-10, 14, 15 23

F. "extra-sensory information" '546 patent, claims 1, 5, 9, 14, 22, 27 (only as to 112 argument) 25

G. "proxy object" '067 patent, claims 1, 3-5, 9, 11, 13, 15-17, 21; "output a visual effect onto a surface of the proxy object" / "modified visual effect to be displayed on the proxy object" / "the modified visual effect being displayed on a surface of a proxy object" '067 patent, claims 1, 11, 21 26

VIII. CONCLUSION 29

FOLIO LAW GROUP PLLC
1200 Westlake Ave. N., Ste. 809
Seattle, WA 98109, Tel: 206-880-1802

I. INTRODUCTION

1. I have been asked by Immersion Corporation ("Immersion") to offer my opinions on the meaning of certain terms used in U.S. Patent Nos. 7,336,260 ("'260 patent"); 9,430,042 ("'042 patent"); 8,749,507 ("'507 patent"); 9,116,546 ("'546 patent"); and 10,665,067 ("'067 patent").

2. I am over the age of eighteen and I am a resident of the state of Texas. I make this declaration of my own personal knowledge, information and belief, and I can and will competently testify to the matters set forth herein if called upon to do so.

II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

3. I am an expert in the field of computer-based systems and software. I have studied, taught, practiced, and researched this field for over 30 years. I have summarized in this section my educational background, work experience, and other relevant qualifications. Attached hereto as **Exhibit A**, is a true and correct copy of my curriculum vitae describing my background and experience.

4. I earned two Bachelor of Science degrees in Electrical Engineering and Computer Science *summa cum laude* with a grade point average of 4.0/4.0 and a ranking of first in my undergraduate class from Washington University in St. Louis in 1994. In 1996, I earned my Master of Science degree in Electrical Engineering from Washington University in St. Louis with a grade point average of 4.0/4.0. I earned my Doctor of Science in Electrical Engineering from Washington University in St. Louis in 2000, again with a grade point average of 4.0/4.0, with my dissertation being on "Cell Design to Maximize Capacity in Cellular Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA) Networks."

5. While a graduate student, from 1997 through 1999, I worked at MinMax Corporation in St. Louis, where I designed software packages that provided tools to flexibly allocate capacity in a CDMA communications network and maximize the number of subscribers. I also validated the hardware architecture for an Asynchronous Transfer Mode (ATM) switch

FOLIO LAW GROUP PLLC
1200 Westlake Ave. N., Ste. 809
Seattle, WA 98109, Tel: 206-880-1802

capable of channel group switching, as well as performed logical and timing simulations, and developed the hardware architecture for the ATM switch. I also worked with Teleware Corporation in Seoul, South Korea, where I designed and developed algorithms that were commercially deployed in a software package suite for analyzing the capacity in a CDMA network implementing the IS-95 standard to maximize the number of subscribers.

6. After obtaining my Doctor of Science degree, I worked as a Senior Systems Engineer at Comspace Corporation from October of 2000 to December of 2001. At Comspace, I designed and developed advanced data coding and modulation methods for improving the reliability and increasing the available data rates for cellular communications. I coded and simulated different encoding schemes (including Turbo coding, Viterbi decoding, trellis coded modulation, and Reed-Muller codes) and modulation techniques using amplitude and phase characteristics and multi-level star constellations. This work further entailed the optimization of soft decision parameters and interleavers for additive white Gaussian and Rayleigh faded channels. In addition, I also extended the control and trunking of Logic Trunked Radio (LTR) to include one-to-one and one-to-many voice and data messaging.

7. In January of 2002, I joined the faculty of the University of New Orleans in Louisiana as an Assistant Professor in the Department of Electrical Engineering. While in this position, I designed and taught two new courses called "Computer Systems Design I and II." I also developed a Computer Engineering Curriculum with a strong hardware-design emphasis, formed a wireless research group, and advised graduate and undergraduate students.

8. In September of 2002, I received an appointment as an Assistant Professor in the Department of Computer Science and Engineering at the University of North Texas (UNT), in Denton, Texas. In May of 2008, I earned tenure and was promoted to the rank of Associate Professor. As a faculty member, I have taught courses and directed research in networking and telecommunications, including 2G, 3G, 4G, 5G, CDMA/WCDMA, GPS, GSM, UMTS, LTE, ad-hoc networks, antenna design and beamforming, Bluetooth, call admission control, channel

coding, channel estimation, communication interfaces and standards, compression, computer architecture, MIMO systems, multi-cell network optimization, network security, packet-networks, telephony, VoIP, Wi-Fi (802.11), 802.15.4, Zigbee, wireless communication, and wireless sensors. Between January of 2015 and August of 2022, I was appointed to Associate Chair of Graduate Studies in the Department of Computer Science and Engineering. In May of 2023, I was promoted to the rank of Professor.

9. I am also the director of the Wireless Sensor Lab ("WiSL") at UNT. I am a member of the Center for Information and Cyber Security (CICS). It is the only program in the U.S. to be federally certified by the National Security Agency as a Center of Academic Excellence in Information Assurance Education and Research *and* Cyber Defense Research. I was also a member of the NSF Net-Centric & Cloud Software & Systems: Industry-University Cooperative Research Center (I/UCRC). Several of my research projects are funded by industry and the National Science Foundation and published in *IEEE* conference proceedings and journals.

10. Between 2011 and 2013, I was director of the Bio-Com Project that was funded by Raytheon. The project evaluated the feasibility study using Surface Electromyography (EMG) and bend resistive sensors, that are attached to each of the five fingers of the hand, for hand gesture recognition. This approach is sometimes known as a "data glove." A prototype was developed and demonstrated at Raytheon, to help soldiers in close-combat situations communicate with hand gestures and hand signals that would be recognized and transmitted to other soldiers' Head Up Display (HUD) without breaking radio silence. This work also resulted in a published paper describing the prototype and analysis "Evaluating the Feasibility of EMG and Bend Sensors for Classifying Hand Gestures" and a masters' thesis "An Accelerometer-Based Gesture Recognition System For A Tactical Communications Application."

11. In addition to advising and mentoring students at UNT, I was asked to join the faculty of the University of Arkansas in Little Rock as an Adjunct Assistant Professor from 2004 to 2008 in order to supervise the research of two Ph.D. graduate students who were doing research

in wireless communications. At UNT, I have advised and supervised more than 250 undergraduate and graduate students, several of whom received a master's or doctorate degree under my guidance.

12. Further, between 2005 and 2017, I have received over a million dollars in funding from the State of Texas, Texas Higher Education Coordination Board, the National Science Foundation, and industry to design and conduct robotics, video, and mobile gaming (*e.g.*, Xbox, PC, mobile device) programming summer camps for middle and high school students at UNT. By using video and mobile gaming as the backdrop, participants have learned coding and programming principles and developed an understanding of the role of physics and mathematics in video game design. I have used competitive gaming projects in programming courses as a way to motivate and retain students in computer science. This work also resulted in two published papers "Motivating and Retaining CS2 Students with a Competitive Game Programming Project" and "Intra-Class Competitive Assignments in CS2: A One-Year Study."

13. In addition to teaching and studying gaming, I am also an avid fan of video games. I have been playing video games for over 30 years. I have had different generations of consoles, including the PlayStation, the Xbox, and Nintendo. My first portable video game console was by Nintendo. I've played video games on PCs and handheld devices, and more recently, on my iPad and cell phone. I enjoy playing video games and it is the reason I sought degrees in computer science and electrical engineering.

14. In addition to my academic work, I have remained active in the communication industry through my consulting work. In 2002, I consulted for Input/Output Inc. and designed and implemented algorithms for optimizing the frequency selection process used by sonar for scanning the bottom of the ocean. In 2004, I worked with Allegiant Integrated Solutions in Ft. Worth, Texas to design and develop an integrated set of tools for fast deployment of wireless networks, using the 802.11 standard. Among other features, these tools optimize the placement of Access Points and determine their respective channel allocations to minimize interference and maximize

capacity. I also assisted the Collin County Sheriff's Office (Texas) in a double homicide investigation, analyzing cellular record data to determine user location.

15. I have authored and co-authored over 100 journal publications, conference proceedings, technical papers, book chapters, and technical presentations in a broad array of communications-related technologies, including networking and wireless communication. I have also developed and taught over 100 courses related to communications and computer systems, including several courses on signals and systems, 4G/LTE and 5G/NR, OFDM, VoIP, Wi-Fi (802.11), 802.15.4, Zigbee, wireless communication, antenna design and beamforming, communications systems, communication interfaces and standards, channel estimation, location management, sensor networks, source coding and compression, network security, computer systems design, game and app design, and computer architecture. These courses have included introductory courses on communication networks and signals and systems, as well as more advanced courses on wireless communications. A complete list of my publications and the courses I have developed and/or taught is also contained in my *curriculum vitae*.

16. My professional affiliations include services in various professional organizations and serving as a reviewer for a number of technical publications, journals, and conferences. I have also received a number of awards and recognitions, including the IEEE Professionalism Award (2008), UNT College of Engineering Outstanding Teacher Award (2008), and Tech Titan of the Future (2010) among others, which are listed in my *curriculum vitae*.

17. I have also served as an expert in certain legal proceedings. A list of cases in which I have testified at trial, hearing, or by deposition (including those during the past four years) is provided in my curriculum vitae. Over the years, I have been retained by both patent owners and petitioners, as well as plaintiffs and defendants.

18. I am being compensated for my work in this case at my standard rate of $995 per hour (plus reimbursement for expenses) in connection with my preparation of this declaration, as well as for each hour spent providing deposition or testimony. This compensation is not contingent

upon my performance, the outcome of this case, or any issues involved in or related to this case. I have no financial interest in this matter.

III. DOCUMENTS AND MATERIALS CONSIDERED

19. In preparing this declaration I reviewed and considered the '260 patent; the '042 patent; the '507 patent; the '546 patent; and the '067 patent; the respective file histories for each.

20. I also reviewed Immersion's and Valve Corporation's ("Valve's) respective PAT 130 and 131 disclosures, and the extrinsic evidence identified therein.

21. I further reviewed the briefing and hearing transcript related to Valve's motion to dismiss, filed in the above-captioned action.

22. In addition, I understand that Immersion previously asserted the '507 patent in litigation against Apple, which resulted in the following relevant proceedings: *In the Matter of Certain Mobile and Portable Electronic Devices Incorporating Haptics (Including Smartphones and Laptops) and Components Thereof*, Inv. No. 337-TA-1004, which was consolidated into Inv. Nos. 337-TA-1004, 337-TA- 990 (consolidated); *Apple Inc. v. Immersion Corp.*, IPR2016-01777 (PTAB 2016); *Apple Inc. v. Immersion Corp.*, IPR2017-01310 (PTAB 2017).

23. The above proceedings resulted in certain documents relevant to claim construction that I have also reviewed: Commission Investigative Staff's Initial Markman Brief (September 23, 2016); Respondents' Initial Claim Construction Brief (September 23, 2016); Complainant Immersion Corporation's Initial Markman Brief (September 23, 2016); Respondents' Reply Claim Construction Brief (October 7, 2016); Commission Investigative Staff's Rebuttal Markman Brief (October 7, 2016); Order Construing the Terms of the Asserted Claims of the Patent at Issue (February 8, 2017)

24. I have also reviewed the following documents from the IPRs:

- *Apple Inc. v. Immersion Corporation*, IPR2016-01777 (PTAB 2016): Petition (September 12, 2016); Patent Owner's Preliminary Response (December 27, 2016); Institution Denial (March 23, 2017)

FOLIO LAW GROUP PLLC
1200 Westlake Ave. N., Ste. 809
Seattle, WA 98109, Tel: 206-880-1802

- *Apple Inc. v. Immersion Corporation*, IPR2017-01310 (PTAB 2017): Petition (April 21, 2017); Patent Owner's Preliminary Response (August 9, 2017); Institution Denial (November 2, 2017)

25. I also understand that Immersion previously asserted the '260 patent in litigation against Apple, which resulted in the following relevant proceedings: *Mobile and Portable Electronic Devices Incorporating Haptics (Including Smartphones and Laptops) and Components Thereof*, Inv. No, 337-TA-1004 (ITC 2016); *Apple Inc. v. Immersion Corporation*, IPR2016-01884 (PTAB 2016); *Apple Inc. v. Immersion Corporation*, IPR2017-01369 (PTAB 2017).

26. The above proceedings resulted in certain documents relevant to claim construction that I have also reviewed: Commission Investigative Staff's Initial Markman Brief (September 23, 2016); Respondents' Initial Claim Construction Brief (September 23, 2016); Complainant Immersion Corporation's Initial Markman Brief (September 23, 2016); Respondents' Reply Claim Construction Brief (October 7, 2016); Commission Investigative Staff's Rebuttal Markman Brief (October 7, 2016); Order Construing the Terms of the Asserted Claims of the Patent at Issue (February 8, 2017).

27. I have also reviewed the following documents from the IPRs:

- *Apple Inc. v. Immersion Corporation*, IPR2016-01884 (PTAB 2016): Petition (September 23, 2016); Patent Owner's Preliminary Response (January 4, 2017); Petitioner's Pre-Institution Reply (January 31, 2017); Patent Owner's Pre-Institution Surreply (February 14, 2017); Institution Decision (April 3, 2017); Termination (Mar 22, 2018).
- *Apple Inc. v. Immersion Corporation*, IPR2017-01369 (PTAB 2017): Petition (May 4, 2017); Patent Owner's Preliminary Response (August 24, 2017); Institution Denial (November 21, 2017)

FOLIO LAW GROUP PLLC
1200 Westlake Ave. N., Ste. 809
Seattle, WA 98109, Tel: 206-880-1802

IV. UNDERSTANDING OF LEGAL STANDARDS

28. I am not an attorney and have not been asked to offer an opinion on the law. I understand, however, that certain aspects of the law are relevant to my evaluation and opinions set forth herein. I have therefore been informed of and asked to apply the legal principles summarized below.

29. I am informed and understand that patents conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention. I understand that these claims of a patent define the invention to which the patentee is entitled the right to exclude.

30. I am informed and understand that patent claim construction is a question of law for the Court, even if the case is designated to go to a jury trial, but it may have underlying factual determinations. I am also informed and understand that when interpreting claims, a court's primary focus is on the intrinsic evidence of record, which consists of the claims, the specification, and the prosecution history. I am further informed and understand that the Court begins by examining the claim language, which it views through the lens of a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art at the time of the invention. From there, the Court gives the claim's words their ordinary and customary meaning. I am further informed and understand that in construing a claim term's ordinary meaning, the Court considers the context in which a term is used.

31. I am informed and understand that the claims must be read in view of the specification, of which they are a part. I am also informed and understand that the doctrine of claim differentiation disfavors reading a limitation from a dependent claim into an independent claim. I further am informed and understand that when consulting the specification to clarify the meaning of claim terms, courts must take care not to import limitations into the claims from the specification, because although the specification may indicate that certain embodiments are preferred, particular embodiments appearing in the specification are not read into claims when the claim language is broader than such embodiments. Relatedly, I am further informed and

FOLIO LAW GROUP PLLC
1200 Westlake Ave. N., Ste. 809
Seattle, WA 98109, Tel: 206-880-1802

understand that the claims cannot enlarge what is patented beyond what the inventor has described in the invention, and inventors may intentionally disclaim, or disavow, subject matter that would otherwise fall within the scope of the claim.

32. I am informed and understand that in addition to the specification, the Court may consider the patent's prosecution history, which consists of the complete record of the proceedings before the PTO and includes the prior art cited during the examination of the patent. I also am informed and understand that because the prosecution represents an ongoing negotiation rather than the final product of the negotiation, it often lacks the clarity of the specification and thus is less useful for claim construction purposes. I am further informed and understand that under the doctrine of prosecution disclaimer, a patentee may limit the meaning of a claim term by making a clear and unmistakable disavowal of scope during prosecution.

33. I am informed and understand that although courts are permitted to consider extrinsic evidence, such as expert testimony, dictionaries, and treatises, such evidence is generally of less significance than the intrinsic record. I am also informed and understand that extrinsic evidence may not be used to contradict claim meaning that is unambiguous in light of the intrinsic evidence.

34. I am informed and understand that a patent is invalid for indefiniteness if its claims, read in light of the patent's specification and prosecution history, fail to inform, with reasonable certainty, those skilled in the art about the scope of the invention. I also understand that indefiniteness is a legal determination; if the court concludes that a person of ordinary skill in the art, with the aid of the specification, would understand what is claimed, the claim is not indefinite. I understand that terms of degree are not per se improper or indefinite. For example, I am informed and understand that a patent's specification can provide objective boundaries by which a POSITA could determine the scope of claims that have terms of degree (e.g., terms like "resilient" and "pliable") such that the terms of degree are not indefinite. I further understand that patents are presumed valid, and a challenger must prove invalidity by clear and convincing evidence.

FOLIO LAW GROUP PLLC
1200 Westlake Ave. N., Ste. 809
Seattle, WA 98109, Tel: 206-880-1802

V. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART

35. I have been informed that determining the person of ordinary skill in the art ("POSITA") involves establishing a hypothetical person with normal, average, or typical skill and knowledge in the relevant field or art at the time the invention was made. I have also been informed that to determine the POSITA, various factors are considered including: type of problems encountered in the art; prior art solutions to those problems; rapidity with which innovations are made; sophistication of the technology; and educational level of active workers in the field (including the inventors).

36. I understand that the priority dates for the relevant patents are:

'260 patent – November 1, 2001

'507 patent – November 26, 2003

'042 patent – December 27, 2006

'546 patent – August 29, 2012

'067 patent – June 15, 2018

37. It is my opinion that, for each of these patents, a POSITA would have had a bachelor's degree in electrical engineering or computer science (or an equivalent degree), and two years of practical or industry experience in the field of human computer interaction (HCI), including implementation of computer-based systems and software for providing haptic feedback effects to a user.

38. I agree with Immersion's level of ordinary skill stated above. I have applied my knowledge and experience as a POSITA to provide my understanding of the claim terms as set forth below.

VI. SUMMARY OF RELEVANT PATENTS

39. I am informed and understand that the following patents are relevant to claim construction in the above-captioned matter. I am informed and understand that Immersion reserves

the right to address terms used in other asserted patents in the above-captioned matter should doing so become necessary at a later date.

40. **U.S. Patent No. 7,336,260**. The '260 patent is directed to an invention that provides vibrotactile sensations to electronic user devices, offering a wide range of potential applications and benefits in enhancing user interaction with electronic devices such as mobile phones and video game devices. The invention involves, for example, the use of actuators to provide vibrotactile feedback. The '260 patent also, for example, employs a controller to receive signals from the user input device and to control the actuator such that vibrotactile feedback can be provided to the user in a successively varying manner that is responsive to the varying degree of physical pressure a user applies to an input device.

41. **U.S. Patent No. 9,430,042**. The '042 patent is directed to an invention that provides virtual detents through vibrotactile feedback as a user control interface in electronic devices. The invention, for example, involves using vibrotactile feedback to create the sensation of "clicks" or "notches" in a virtual user interface, similar to the physical feedback you might feel when turning a dial or pressing a button. This invention can be applied to various input devices, such as touchscreens, touchpads, or other interactive user interfaces, to enhance the user experience by providing haptic feedback that simulates the feeling of interacting with physical controls.

42. **U.S. Patent No. 8, 749,507**. The '507 patent is directed to an invention for adaptive interpretation of input from a touch-sensitive input device to better match haptic responses to user intent. The '507 patent describes the difficulty in accurately determining user touch inputs due to the shortcomings of touch sensor technologies, e.g. resistive or capacitive touch sensors, and the changing dynamics of a user's finger contact with the surface. To more accurately assess the user's intent based on various touches and sensor technologies, the '507 patent describes using a multitude of metrics such as pressure, change in pressure, contact time, location, and gesture shape and dynamics. The '507 patent further describes adapting theses various criteria to address difference in how various uses contact the touch sensor. The invention also employs, for example,

processing of user input data and determining the appropriate response, allowing for more intuitive and versatile interaction with touch-sensitive devices.

43. **U.S. Patent No. 9,116,546**. The '546 patent is directed to an invention that detects extra-sensory information, that is information that cannot normally be perceived by the human senses, and present that information to a user through haptic responses. Additionally, the '546 patent tracks the state of the extra-sensory information and in turn updates interaction parameters bases on the input. The invention involves, for example, generating haptic effects such as vibrations, forces, or motions to convey information or enhance user interaction with the device. The invention offers a wide range of potential applications such as gaming, virtual reality, user interfaces, and other interactive systems to create more immersive and intuitive user experiences.

44. **U.S. Patent No. 10,665,067**. The '067 patent is directed to an invention that integrates haptic overlay in augmented reality (AR) to enhance user interaction and feedback in AR environments by integrating haptic feedback with visual effects. The '067 patent addresses the challenge of providing haptic feedback in AR applications, where users interact with virtual objects in the real world which are, for example, proxy objects. The invention involves, for example, a haptic output device, a display for visual effects, a sensor to track a proxy object's position, and a processor to determine modified visual effects and haptic feedback based on sensor data. The modified visual effects and haptic feedback are then transmitted to the display and haptic output device, respectively, to provide a more immersive and interactive AR experience.

VII. DISPUTED TERMS

A. "computer-readable medium having instructions" '260 patent, claim 1

45. I understand that for this term, Immersion has proposed a plain and ordinary meaning. Valve has proposed the following construction:

Term	Immersion's Proposed Construction	Valve's Proposed Construction
"computer-readable medium having instructions" '260 Patent, claim 1	Plain and ordinary meaning	"a storage or transmission device that stores, transmits, or carries instructions"

46. A POSITA would understand "computer-readable medium having instructions" to generally include any type of storage medium that can be read and interpreted by a computer to perform specific tasks or operations. These instructions, when executed by a processor, cause the computer to carry out predefined functions or processes. The term encompasses a wide range of physical storage devices, including hard drives, solid-state drives, CD-ROMs, DVDs, and USB flash drives. Accordingly, a POSITA would understand the plain and ordinary meaning of "computer-readable medium having instructions" to cover non-transitory media. *See*, *e.g.*, '260 patent at 4:63-5:37; 7:57-64.

47. By including "a storage device that stores instructions," Valve's proposed construction covers this type of "computer-readable medium having instructions." I note, however, that Valve's construction attempts to further import "transmission" functionality into the disputed term, "computer-readable medium having instructions," which generically encompasses non-transitory media, and the claims do not expressly recite the term, "transmission." As further explained below, a POSITA would understand that the ability to transmit instructions does not negate the need to store instructions in order for the "computer-readable medium" to "have" the instructions.

48. I further understand that Valve filed a motion to dismiss in which it contends that claim 1 of the '260 patent is ineligible for patentability as either a "manufacture, matter, machine, or process" because it argues that the term "computer-readable medium having instructions" impermissibly at least partially encompasses a transitory medium, which some courts have found is not patent eligible. Specifically, Valve's proposed construction includes two alternative

"devices," a "storage device" that "stores instructions" or a "transmission device" that "transmits or carries instructions." As explained above, a "storage device" constitutes non-transitory media, and I understand that Valve does not dispute this portion of its proposed construction. To the extent Valve contends that a "transmission device that transmits or carries instructions" constitutes "transitory media," I disagree. Further, to the extent that a "transmission device" is found to cover transitory media, I disagree that "computer-readable medium having instructions" covers such transitory media, as will be explained further below.

49. I understand that "transitory media" is fleeting and devoid of any semblance of permanence during transmission. It can be physical, like radio broadcasts, electrical signals through a wire, and light pulses through a fiber-optic cable, but does not possess concrete structure that would qualify as a ***device*** or machine. In contrast, Valve's proposed construction expressly covers the concrete structure of a "device" as opposed to transitory signals. Accordingly, I understand that such a concrete structure counts as a "manufacture, matter, machine, or process."

50. Further, the claim language itself supports reading a "computer-readable medium having instructions" as a non-transitory medium, as the claims recites "having" instructions. Similar to "storing," a POSITA would not understand fleeting transitory medium by definition as "having" instructions. This is further confirmed by dependent claims, such as dependent claim 2 that expressly recites: "The computer-readable medium of claim 1 further comprising stored instructions, the stored instructions including instructions which, when executed by a processor, cause the processor to:" perform certain additional limitations. In other words, dependent claim 2 confirms that claim 1 covers "stored instructions" and then provides additional limitations related to those stored instructions. Thus, to the extent that it is found that a "transmission device that transmits or carries instructions" constitutes "transitory media"—despite the limitation to a concrete "device"—the claims do not cover such embodiments.

51. Further, the specification supports reading a "computer-readable medium having instructions" as a non-transitory medium. For example, the specification first discloses several

exemplary controllers and that such controllers communicate with "computer readable media, which stores instructions that, when executed by the controller, cause the controller to perform the steps described herein as carried out, or assisted, by a controller." '260 patent at 5:7-10. Here, the computer's controller reads ***stored***, i.e., non-transitory, instructions.

52. The specification further lists several exemplary media, all of which the computer processor can read:

> On embodiments of a suitable computer-readable medium includes an ***electronic, optical, magnetic, or other storage or transmission device*** capable of providing a processor, such as the processor in a web server, with computer-readable instructions. Other examples of suitable media include, but are not limited to, a ***floppy disk, CD-ROM, magnetic disk, memory chip, ROM, RAM, ASIC, configured processor, all optical media, all magnetic tape or other magnetic media, or any other medium from which a computer processor can read***.

Id. at 5:10-19. First, all of these examples are hardware. None of these examples include transitory signals. Second, a POSITA would read this disclosure and understand that such media ***stores*** instructions for a processor to read.

53. Valve appears to base its proposed construction on the following remaining disclosure: "Also, various other forms of computer-readable media ***may transmit or carry instructions*** to a computer, including a ***router, private or public network, or other transmission device or channel***." *Id*. at 5:19-22. Here, a "transmission device / channel" is a concrete structure and not a transitory signal. Further, all of the other listed examples are hardware and not transitory signals.

54. Further, a POSITA would understand that this example merely explains that the transmission device may also perform the functions of transmitting or carrying instructions. This disclosure does not negate the previous disclosures that explains that in order to perform these functions, a transmission device must first read stored instructions in order to transmit or carry these instructions to another computer.

55. Accordingly, to the extent Valve argues that its proposed construction impermissibly includes non-transitory media, I disagree for the reasons stated above. The claim's "computer-readable medium having instructions" only includes non-transitory medium.

B. "determining . . . a change in pressure" / "change in pressure threshold" '507 patent, claims 1, 9, 14

56. I understand that for this term, Immersion has proposed a plain and ordinary meaning. Valve has proposed the following constructions:

Term	Immersion's Proposed Construction	Valve's Proposed Construction
"determining . . . a change in pressure" / "change in pressure threshold" '507 Patent, claims 1, 9, 14	Plain and ordinary meaning	"computing the difference between the current pressure and a prior pressure" / "a threshold for the difference between the current pressure and a prior pressure"

57. A POSITA would understand that "determining a change in pressure" generally refers to the process of "identifying that a change in pressure has occurred." The concept of determining a change in pressure is not limited to any single technique or method; it encompasses various approaches to identifying when a change in pressure has occurred and is not limited to just "computing the difference between the current pressure and prior pressure" as Valve proposes, nor does it require a separate step of "computing" as Valve's proposal would import into the claim. *See*, *e.g.*, '507 patent at 9:4-6, 9:21-24, 9:32-35, 7:29-32.

58. The detection can be based on direct measurement of pressure differences, computed changes in pressure values, or even more sophisticated methods like using the standard deviation of the change in pressure or applying digital filters to reduce noise and enhance signal

clarity. *See*, *e.g.*, '507 patent at 9:4-6 ("[A] filter computes a rolling average using a weighting function emphasizing more recent samples."); *id.* at 7:29-32 ("[I]n the embodiment shown in FIG. 3, the change in pseudo pressure is digitally filtered to reduce the effects of unwanted noise"); *id.* at 9:21-24 ("[V]arious types of filters, utilizing a variety of waveforms, a combination of filters, and other processing means may be used as part of a process for determining a user's intent."); *id.* at 9:32-35 ("In another embodiment, the threshold is based on the standard deviation of the pseudo-pressure change. In yet another embodiment, more sophisticated filtering techniques are utilized.").

59. Valve further proposes that the "change in pressure threshold" means "a threshold for the difference between the current pressure and a prior pressure." For the reasons above, I disagree with this construction as it improperly limits the claims to a specific way of determining a "change in pressure." That is, computing the "difference between the current pressure and a prior pressure" is only one way of determining a change in pressure. As discussed above, the specification discloses that the detection can be based on direct measurement of pressure differences, computed changes in pressure values, or even more sophisticated methods like using the standard deviation of the change in pressure or applying digital filters to reduce noise and enhance signal clarity. *See*, *e.g.*, '507 patent at 9:4-6, 7:29-32, 9:21-24, at 9:32-35. Instead, the plain meaning of this term means "a threshold for the change in pressure" or "a threshold for the identified change in pressure."

C. "actual pressure" / "pseudo pressure" '507 patent, claims 2, 3, 10, 11, 15, 16

60. I understand that for these terms, Immersion has proposed a plain and ordinary meaning. Valve has proposed the following constructions:

Term	Immersion's Proposed Construction	Valve's Proposed Construction
"actual pressure" / "pseudo pressure" '507 Patent, claims 2, 3, 10, 11, 15, 16	Plain and ordinary meaning	"a direct measure of pressure" / "an indirect measure of pressure"; the claims fail to provide a person with ordinary skill in the art ("POSITA") with reasonable certainty about the limits to the full scope of the term "pseudo pressure"

61. As previously discussed, I understand that the '507 patent has previously been asserted in litigation and that for the term "pseudo pressure" Immersion previously proposed a construction of "a measure of the area of the screen contacted by an object." Here, Valve has proposed the construction adopted by the ITC, which is also consistent with Immersion's prior claim construction position and the plain and ordinary meaning of the term. *See*, *e.g.*, '507 patent at 2:53-63, 3:15-19, 3:24-28.

62. I further understand that Valve has argued that the '507 patent fails to provide a POSITA with reasonable certainty the limits to the full scope of the term "pseudo pressure." I disagree. The basis for Valve's position is unclear, particularly as I understand that neither the ITC nor the PTAB had any issues with this construction, and indeed Valve itself proposes one. To the extent Valve contends that the term "pseudo pressure" is indefinite, I disagree. A POSITA would have understood with reasonable certainty the limits to full scope of the term "pseudo pressure" under Valve's proposed construction or otherwise, at least in part because the term is well documented in the intrinsic record. *See, e.g.*, '507 patent, 2:7-15; 5:11-20; 5:48-57; 8:42-50; 9:20-29; Serial No. 10/723, 778 Attorney Docket IMMl 74.

FOLIO LAW GROUP PLLC
1200 Westlake Ave. N., Ste. 809
Seattle, WA 98109, Tel: 206-880-1802

63. A POSITA would also understand that the plain meaning of "actual pressure," in the context of touch-sensitive input devices, includes the real physical force exerted upon the surface of the device. Unlike pseudo pressure, which can be estimated, for example, based on the change in capacitance caused by the touch (and not a direct measure of the actual force applied), actual pressure can be measured by sensors designed to quantify the force exerted directly. For instance, a touch screen with an attached explicit pressure sensor can measure the actual pressure applied by a user's touch. This is in contrast to systems that infer pressure indirectly through changes in capacitance or resistance, which do not measure the force applied in a direct manner.

64. A POSITA would understand that the plain meaning of "pseudo pressure," as described in the context of a touch-sensitive input device like a touchpad, would not include a direct measurement of the physical pressure exerted on the touchpad. Instead, it is an estimation, such as by the vertical displacement of the conductor (such as a user's finger) touching the touchpad, inferred from the change in capacitance caused by the contact. The amount of capacitance change is used to estimate this "pseudo pressure," which means it may not directly reflect the actual force or pressure applied to the touchpad. Different factors, such as the part of the finger used (fleshy vs. bony parts) or the surface area of the conductor in contact with the touchpad, can affect the capacitance change and thus the pseudo pressure reading, even if the actual physical pressure remains the same.

65. The specification discloses multiple examples of "pseudo pressure," such as by estimating vertical displacement based on a size of a capacitance change:

> In other words, the pseudo pressure or Z parameter provided by the touchpad 102 ***is not a measure of the actual vertical displacement*** by a conductor at a single point on the touchpad 102, ***but rather an estimation*** of the vertical displacement ***based on the size of the capacitance change***. The pseudo pressure may not accurately represent the amount of pressure actually exerted on the touchpad 102. For example, the larger the surface of the conductor used on the touchpad 102, e.g., a user's finger, the larger the change in capacitance per amount of pressure exerted. As would be expected, if a user presses heavily against the touchpad 102 with a fleshy part

> of the finger, the amount of touchpad 102 area covered by the finger is greater than then when the same part of the finger is touching lightly. However, what is less obvious is that the area covered, and the corresponding pseudo pressure, is also greater than when the user presses heavily with a bony part of a finger.

'507 patent, 3:15-31; *see also*, '507 patent, 3:10-14 (The touchpad 102 also provides a pseudo pressure, Z. The pseudo pressure is based on the amount of capacitance resulting from the conductor touching the touchpad 102. Accordingly, the amount of capacitance is not a direct measure of pressure but rather a pseudo pressure.); '507 patent, 3:32-39 (Additionally, the difference in the features of different conductors, for instance the size or makeup of different users' fingers, affects the capacitance change for any given change in pressure. For example, if a first user with a large finger applies the same pressure as a second user with a small finger, the pseudo pressure signal output by the touchpad 102 is greater for the first person than for the second person for the same amount of applied pressure.) Dependent claim 3 further recites this embodiment: "3. The method of claim 2, wherein the pseudo pressure is ***based on a change in capacitance resulting from the contact***."

66. Thus, the '507 patent discloses multiple examples for measuring "pseudo pressure," which contrast from examples of measuring "actual pressure." I understand that such non-limiting examples without contradictory examples can provide sufficient information to allow a POSITA to reasonably infer the bounds of a term.

D. "detent" / "virtual detent" '042 patent, claims 1, 7-10, 12-15, 17

67. I understand that for these terms, Immersion has proposed a plain and ordinary meaning. Valve has proposed the following constructions:

Term	Immersion's Proposed Construction	Valve's Proposed Construction
"detent" / "virtual detent" '042 Patent, claims 1, 7-10,	Plain and ordinary meaning	"a sense of mechanical resistance that divides

12-15, 17		movement of a control device into discrete increments, or that arrests movement in a direction" / "vibrotactile feedback that simulates a mechanical detent"

68. I understand that Immersion has asserted the following claims from the '042 patent: claims 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19. I note that the claims do not recite the term "detent" alone. Instead, the claims recite a "detent profile" (which Valve proposes for construction), "virtual detent" (which Valve proposes for construction), and "detent signal" (neither party proposes for construction). Accordingly, it is unclear why Valve proposes construing "detent" by itself when the claims do not recite this term on its own. I address each disputed claim term, and in general, these terms should be read together with the term "detent" as a whole term.

69. A POSITA would understand the plain and ordinary meaning of a "detent" to include "a sense of mechanical restriction." Accordingly, a POSITA would understand that the plain meaning of the claimed "virtual detent" includes a simulated mechanical detent created through vibrotactile feedback. Mechanical detents are physical features used to mechanically resist or arrest the rotation of a wheel, axle, or spindle; they serve as click-stops to divide a rotation into discrete increments. In contrast, a virtual detent uses haptic (touch-based) feedback to simulate the sensation of a mechanical detent without the need for actual mechanical components. *See, e.g.*, '042 patent, 3:6-14 ("Such simulated mechanical detents, or virtual detents, may provide useful feedback to a user."); '042 patent, 5:54-6:31 ("Specifically, in some embodiments the actuator 108 may be configured to provide the perception of a detent (i.e. a virtual detent) without the traditional means necessary for a mechanical detent"); '042 patent, 7:66–8:4; '042 patent, 8:38-46; '042 patent, 9:33-38; '042 patent, 9:59-10:49; '042 patent, 11:55-63; '042 patent, 12:33-38; '042 patent, 12:45-55; '042 patent, 13:35-14:57; '042 patent, Abstract; Application/Control Number:

11/965,046 Art Unit: 2629'042 Page 7; 02/01/2016 Patent Board Decision at 4 ("As explained by Appellant, a detent provides a sense of mechanical resistance while operating a virtual control for a virtual device (Spec. 4; see Figures 9A-9H).").

70. Valve's proposed construction is overly narrow, and imports limitations not found in the claim. In general, a detent refers to a device used to provide mechanical resistance. Examples include arresting the rotation of a wheel, axle, or spindle, e.g., in the form of click-stops to divide rotation into discrete increments. This can help users feel the movement between different settings or positions, providing tactile feedback during adjustment. Detents are commonly found in various mechanical controls, such as knobs and levers, to give users a better sense of control and precision.

71. Valve's proposed construction improperly limits a "detent" to a specific kind of "mechanical resistance" that "divides movement of a control device into discrete increments, or that arrests movement in a direction." Valve appears to base this construction on the following disclosure:

> A detent, such as a mechanical detent, ***can*** refer to the resistance of rotation of a wheel or shaft. A detent ***can be*** used to divide a rotation into discrete increments, or ***may be*** used to arrest rotation in a direction. For instance, a mechanical detent ***can be*** constructed from a notched wheel and a small gravity or spring-actuated lever.

'042 Patent at 1:38-43 (emphasis added). This disclosure, however, expressly uses the permissive language of "can" and "may" because it talks about examples. Valve's proposed construction limits to these examples rather than the more general disclosure of a "detent" as a "sense of mechanical resistance" that I described above:

> With many traditionally mechanical functions being replaced by electronics, operation of electronic controls has been made less intuitive to users. For example, without click-stops or ***"detents,"*** or otherwise ***a sense of mechanical resistance***, it may be difficult to obtain a similar experience from an electronic substitute for a traditional mechanical control device or even effectively and efficiently operate such a device.

'042 patent, 1:30-43(emphasis added).

E. "detent profile" '042 patent, claims 1, 8-10, 14, 15

72. I understand that for these terms, Immersion has proposed a plain and ordinary meaning. Valve has proposed the following constructions:

Term	Immersion's Proposed Construction	Valve's Proposed Construction
"detent profile" '042 Patent, claims 1, 8-10, 14, 15	Plain and ordinary meaning	"computer code or actuator characteristics that represent a virtual detent"

73. A POSITA would understand the plain meaning of a "detent profile" as including the specific characteristics or parameters that define how virtual detents behave in an input device. As previously discussed, "virtual detents" simulate the tactile feedback that one might feel when using mechanical controls, such as the clicks felt when turning a knob or pushing a button that physically moves into discrete positions through vibrotactile feedback. Accordingly, the "detent profile" includes the specific characteristics or parameters that provide input to define virtual detents. *See*, *e.g.*, '042 patent, 4:50-60; '042 patent, 8:47-67; '042 patent, 13:35-14:57.

74. I note that while a "detent profile" "may take the form computer code" (*see* '042 patent, 8:57-58), construing this term ***as*** "computer code" may result in confusing readings of the claims. For example, claim 1 recites, "receiving a detent profile," and it may be confusing how the system would "receive" "computer code." For example, it is clearer to say that the accused running computer code receives the "parameters that define how virtual detents behave" as opposed to computer code receiving more computer code. Further, Valve's proposal may cause confusion because it includes "actuator" (a physical object) characteristics that "represent" a virtual detent (a virtual object).

FOLIO LAW GROUP PLLC
1200 Westlake Ave. N., Ste. 809
Seattle, WA 98109, Tel: 206-880-1802

75. Per the claims, the "detent profile" comprises "haptic data." The specification discloses examples of such haptic data including: the type of vibrotactile effect felt by the user (e.g., sine wave, square wave, triangular wave, spring effect, damper effect), the magnitude of the effect, and its duration. This feedback can simulate various effects, such as the feeling of a knob clicking into place (programmable virtual detent), a resistance that increases with distance from a starting point (spring virtual detent), or a force that varies periodically (periodic virtual detent with sine, square, or triangular wave profiles), among others.

76. As the '042 patent specification explains, FIGS. 9A, 9B, 9C, 9D, 9E, 9F, 9G, and 9H are eight illustrations of virtual detent profiles in various embodiments of the present invention. In FIGS. 9A-9H, the rotary knob 202 is shown on the right along with a representation of the virtual detent profile on the left corresponding with the rotary knob. The virtual detent profiles illustrated in FIGS. 9A-9H are exemplary embodiments of the invention. Other embodiments may be apparent to those skilled in the art. In some embodiments, various types of detents may be combined to produce a combination vibrotactile effect.





77. All of these examples are consistent with the plain and ordinary meaning of a "detent profile," which a POSITA would have understood to include the specific characteristics or parameters that define how virtual detents behave in an input device.

F. "extra-sensory information" '546 patent, claims 1, 5, 9, 14, 22, 27 (only as to 112 argument)

78. I understand that for these terms, Immersion has proposed a plain and ordinary meaning. Valve has proposed the following constructions:

Term	Valve's Proposed Construction
"extra-sensory information" '546 Patent, claims 1, 5, 9, 14, 22, 27	"information that cannot normally be perceived by a human being, such as an electromagnetic field, infra-red light, ultra-violet light, radiation, or subtle changes in temperature"; the claims fail to provide a POSITA with reasonable certainty about the limits to the full scope of the term "extra-sensory information"

79. A POSITA would understand that "extra-sensory information" includes "information that cannot normally perceived by humans." *See*, *e.g.*, '546 patent, 2:13-28; '546 patent, 4:23-27; '546 patent, 6:40-7:30; '546 patent, 9:12-27; '546 patent, 9:62-11:6. Valve's proposal includes this understanding and adds examples.

80. I understand that, despite offering a construction, Valve also contends that this term fails to provide a POSITA with reasonable certainty about the limits to the full scope of the term "extra-sensory information." I disagree. I have reviewed the hearing transcript for Valve's motion to dismiss, and I understand that Valve contends that the inclusion of "subtle changes in temperature" is internally inconsistent with "information that cannot normally be perceived by a human being" because humans can perceive temperature. *See* Motion to Dismiss Hearing Tr. at

40. I disagree, and note that Valve's position appears to ignore that the term addresses "subtle" changes in temperature. Indeed, Valve's own proposed construction references "subtle changes" in temperature; such changes can in fact be imperceptible to humans, and the term does not cover "all" temperature changes. A POSITA would have had reasonable certainty in determining the limits to the full scope of the term "extra-sensory information" even under Valve's proposed construction.

81. Valve appears to derive its construction from the following specification disclosure:

> In certain embodiments, the received input includes extra-sensory information, where extra-sensory information is information that cannot normally be perceived by a human being, such as an electromagnetic field, infra-red light, ultraviolet light, radiation, or subtle changes in temperature.

'546 patent at 2:16-20. As this disclosure explains, all of the listed examples constitute "information that cannot normally be perceived by a human being." The fact that this information further falls into a broader category that can be perceived does not negate the imperceptibility of the listed examples. For example, "infra-red light" and "ultraviolet light" are both "light," which human beings can perceive. But the specific instances of "infra-red" and "ultraviolet" are not perceptible. Similarly, while humans can perceive temperature, the listed example includes subtle changes that by definition are imperceptible.

82. Here, the specification disclosure provides a POSITA with reasonable certainty as to the limits to the full scope of the term "extra-sensory information" under both the plain and ordinary meaning and Valve's proposed construction.

G. "proxy object" '067 patent, claims 1, 3-5, 9, 11, 13, 15-17, 21; "output a visual effect onto a surface of the proxy object" / "modified visual effect to be displayed on the proxy object" / "the modified visual effect being displayed on a surface of a proxy object" '067 patent, claims 1, 11, 21

83. I understand that for these terms, Immersion has proposed a plain and ordinary meaning. Valve has proposed the following constructions:

Term	Immersion's Proposed Construction	Valve's Proposed Construction
"proxy object" '067 Patent, claims 1, 3-5, 9, 11, 13, 15-17, 21	Plain and ordinary meaning	"a physical object in real space"
"output a visual effect onto a surface of the proxy object" / "modified visual effect to be displayed on the proxy object" / "the modified visual effect being displayed on a surface of a proxy object" '067 Patent, claims 1, 11, 21	Plain and ordinary meaning	"proxy object" as construed above, but otherwise plain and ordinary meaning

84. A POSITA would understand that a "proxy object" includes a real-world object that acts as a proxy to a virtual object. *See*, *e.g.*, . That is, a proxy object—when used in conjunction with a visual overlay, such as in a virtual reality environment—helps bridge the gap between virtual experiences and physical interactions, enabling users to see, touch, and interact with virtual items or environments as if they were real. *See, e.g.,* '067 patent, 2:30-3:8; 5:23-6:29; 6:52-7:32; 8:16-33. Notably, although the specification of the '067 patent refers to "AR" in various places, it also makes clear that "[i]n some embodiments, AR space comprises VR space." *See* '067 patent, 2:37-38.

85. It is my opinion that Valve's proposed construction of a "proxy object" as "a physical object in real space" is consistent with the intrinsic evidence insofar as it recognizes that the "object" referenced in "proxy object" is a physical object in real space. For example, claim 1 recites "a proxy object comprising one or more haptic output devices." Further, the specification lists several examples of real-world objects as "proxy objects": "In some embodiments, proxy

object 202 may take the form of any object, including, but not limited to, a shoe, a bag, an article of clothing, an electronic device, a display, or an interface object. In other embodiments, proxy object 202 may comprise neutral physical characteristics, like color and texture, e.g. a proxy object made of white color with a smooth surface material. In still other embodiments, proxy object 202 may comprise a high fidelity visual prototype, e.g., a high fidelity visual shoe prototype with photorealistic graphic stenciling, so a visual overlay using wearable AR device 204 is unnecessary." Accordingly, a POSITA would understand that the "object" as referenced in "proxy object" is a physical object, as distinct from a purely virtual object. But Valve's proposed construction is incomplete insofar as it writes "proxy" out of the term.

86. Relatedly, Valve further proposes that the "visual effect" limitations ("output a visual effect onto a surface of the proxy object" / "modified visual effect to be displayed on the proxy object" / "the modified visual effect being displayed on a surface of a proxy object") be construed to incorporate the meaning of "proxy object." As noted above, while the "proxy object" is a physical object, the "visual effects" are virtual objects "displayed on the proxy object ***via the display***." Valve's proposed terms do not include the "via the display" limitation, and Valve does not propose construing "visual effect." Accordingly, a POSITA would understand that the claims do not require, for example, displaying a physical visual effect on a "physical object in the real world." Rather, a POSITA would understand that the claims encompass the display of a virtual object overlaid over a physical object. *See*, *e.g.*, 10/07/2019 Applicant Arguments or Remarks Made in an Amendment at 8 ("So any ***virtual objects*** or visible alterations to the vehicle ***are not overlaid on the AR glove 196*** because the purpose of the AR glove 196 is to interact with the virtual objects as if they were real. And the purpose of the AR system is "to provide new of [sic] different vehicle functionality to a vehicle" and overlaying a visual effect on the AR glove would not provide a new or different vehicle functionality to the vehicle.") (distinguishing prior art on the basis that virtual objects were not overlaid over the alleged physical proxy object.)

FOLIO LAW GROUP PLLC
1200 Westlake Ave. N., Ste. 809
Seattle, WA 98109, Tel: 206-880-1802

VIII. CONCLUSION

87. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed in Dallas, Texas on March 29, 2024.



Dr. Robert Akl

FOLIO LAW GROUP PLLC
1200 Westlake Ave. N., Ste. 809
Seattle, WA 98109, Tel: 206-880-1802

EXHIBIT A

Professor Robert Akl, D.Sc.

Professional Summary

Dr. Akl has around 30 years of industry and academic experience. He is currently a Tenured Professor at the University of North Texas and a Senior Member of IEEE. He has designed, implemented, and optimized both hardware and software aspects of several wireless communication systems for CDMA, Wi-Fi, and sensor networks. Dr. Akl has broad expertise in wireless communication, Bluetooth, CDMA/WCDMA network optimization, GSM, LTE, VoIP, telephony, computer architecture, and computer networks. He is a very active researcher and is well published and cited. He has been awarded many research grants by leading companies in the industry and the National Science Foundation. He has developed and taught over 100 courses in his field. Dr. Akl has received several awards and commendations for his work, including the 2008 IEEE Professionalism Award and was the winner of the 2010 Tech Titan of the Future Award.

Dr. Akl has extensive experience with patents in the wireless and networking industry. In the past ten years, he has worked as a technical expert in dozens of patent related matters, involving thousands of hours of research, investigation, and study. He has repeatedly been qualified as an expert by Courts, and has provided numerous technology tutorials to Courts, and given testimony by deposition and at trial. He has worked with companies large and small, both for and against the validity and infringement of patents, and has also helped counsel and Courts to understand technology that often seems complex. In doing so, he has become familiar with, and actively worked with, the legal principles that underlie patentability and validity and claim interpretation in the wireless and networking industries.

Areas of Expertise

2G, 3G, 4G, 5G, 6G, CDMA/WCDMA, GPS, GSM, UMTS, LTE, Ad-hoc Networks, Antenna Design, Bluetooth, Call Admission Control, Channel Coding, Channel Estimation, Communication Interfaces and Standards, Compression, Computer Architecture, Internet protocols, MIMO Systems, Multi-cell Network Optimization, Network Security, Packet-networks, Ring-networks, Switches and Routers, Telephony, VoIP, VPLS, Wi-Fi, Wireless Communication, Wireless Sensors.

Education

Year	College/University	Degree	GPA
2000	Washington University in Saint Louis	D.Sc. in Electrical Engineering	**4.0 / 4.0**
1996	Washington University in Saint Louis	M.Sc. in Electrical Engineering	**4.0 / 4.0**
1994	Washington University in Saint Louis	B.Sc. in Electrical Engineering	**4.0 / 4.0**
1994	Washington University in Saint Louis	B.Sc. in Computer Science	**4.0 / 4.0**

Graduated *summa cum laude* and ranked first in undergraduate class.

Dissertation: “Cell Design to Maximize Capacity in Cellular Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA) Networks.” Advisors: Dr. Manju Hegde and Dr. Paul Min.

Litigation Support and Expert Witness Experience

L1. 2024 **Folio Law Group PLLP**
Case: Immersion Corporation v. Valve Corporation.
Western district of Washington, Seattle, Case No. 2:23-CV-00712-TL
Matter: Patent infringement, virtual reality and augmented reality
Project: Declaration regarding claim construction

L2. 2024 **Latham & Watkins LLP**
Case: AX Wireless LLC v. Dell Inc., et al.; AX Wireless LLC, v. HP Inc.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:22-cv-277-RWS-RSP; Case No. 2:22-cv-279-JRG-RSP
Matter: Patent infringement, Wi-Fi networks
Project: Source code review, expert report regarding infringement

L3. 2024 **Perkins Coie LLP**
Case: Wi-LAN Inc. et al. v. Huizhou TCL Mobile Communication Co. Ltd., et al.
Central district of California, Southern division, Case No. 8:19-cv-00870-JVS-ADS
Matter: Patent infringement, QoS enhancements for wireless IP networks
Project: Declaration regarding claim construction

L4. 2024 **Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP**
Case: Flip Phone Games Inc. v. PLR Worldwide Sales Limited
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:23-cv-00139-JRG
Matter: Patent infringement, mobile gaming
Project: Declaration regarding claim construction

L5. 2024 **K & L Gates LLP**
Case: STA Group, LLC v. Motorola Solutions, Inc.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:22-cv-00381-JRG-RSP
Matter: Patent infringement, emergency communication networks
Project: Declaration regarding claim construction, deposition

L6. 2024 **Alston & Bird LLP**
Case: Stingray IP Solutions v. Snap One Holdings Corp., et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:23-cv-00003-JRG-RSP
Matter: Patent infringement, mobile ad-hoc networks
Project: Declaration regarding claim construction, deposition

L7. 2024 **Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP**
Case: Askeladden LLC v. Calabrese Stemer LLC
IPR2024-00367; IPR2024-00368; IPR2024-00369
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, secure transactions and payments
Project: Declaration to support three IPR petitions

L8. 2023 **McGuireWoods LLP**
Case: VoIP-Pal.com, Inc. v. Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd., et al.
Northern district of Texas, Dallas division, Case No. 3:23-cv-0151-X
Matter: Patent infringement, call routing
Project: Declaration regarding 101 Motion

L9. 2023 **Steptoe & Johnson LLP**
Case: Corrigent Corporation v. Cisco Systems, Inc.
Western district of Texas, Waco division, Case No. 6:22-cv-00396-ADA
Matter: Patent infringement, telecommunication systems, switches and routers
Project: Source code review, expert report regarding infringement, expert report regarding validity, two-day deposition, supplemental expert report

L10. 2023 **Latham & Watkins LLP**
Case: Netgear Inc. v. TP-Link Technologies Co., Ltd., TP-Link Corporation Limited, TP-Link USA Corporation, and TP-Link Research America Corp.
In the Matter of Certain Wi-Fi Routers, Wi-Fi Devices, Mesh Wi-Fi Network Devices, and Hardware and Software Components Thereof, ITC Investigation No. 337-TA-1361
Matter: Patent infringement, Wi-Fi and mesh networks
Project: Source code review, expert report regarding infringement and domestic industry, expert report regarding validity, supplemental expert report, deposition, ITC hearing testimony

L11. 2023 **Winston & Strawn LLP**
Case: Google, LLC. v. Flypsi, Inc.
IPR2023-00357; IPR2023-00358; IPR2023-00359;
IPR2023-00360; IPR2023-00361
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, primary and secondary call processing
Project: Five declarations to support five Patent Owner's responses, deposition

L12. 2023 **Carter Arnett**
Case: Correct Transmission, LLC v. Nokia Corporation, et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:22-cv-00343-JRG-RSP
Matter: Patent infringement, telecommunication systems, switches and routers

Project: Declaration regarding claim construction

L13. 2023 **Carter Arnett**
Case: Smart Path Connections, LLC v. Nokia Corporation, et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:22-cv-00296-JRG
Matter: Patent infringement, network communication systems, switches and routers
Project: Two declarations regarding claim construction

L14. 2023 **Devlin Law Firm**
Case: Google, LLC. v. Motion Offense, LLC
IPR2022-01311; IPR2022-01312; IPR2022-01313
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, cloud storage and file requests
Project: Three declarations to support three Patent Owner's responses, deposition

L15. 2023 **Carter Arnett**
Case: Cisco Systems, Inc. v. Zilkr Cloud Technologies, LLC
IPR2023-00553; IPR2023-00663; IPR2023-00822
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, integration of communication services
Project: Two declarations to support two Patent Owner's preliminary responses

L16. 2023 **Friedman, Suder & Cooke; Kane Russell Coleman Logan PC**
Case: Q Technologies, Inc. v. Walmart Inc.
Western district of Texas, Waco division, Case No. 4W-21-CV-00321-ADA
Matter: Patent infringement, content sharing and payment systems
Project: Validity expert report, deposition

L17. 2023 **K & L Gates LLP**
Case: Entropic Communications, LLC v. DirectTV, LLC, Dish Network Corporation et al.
Central district of California, Southern division, Case No. 2:22-cv-07775-JWH-JEM, 2:22-cv-07959
Matter: Patent infringement, satellite and cable receivers and systems
Project: Declaration regarding claim construction, deposition, tech tutorial testimony

L18. 2023 **Folio Law Group PLLP**
Case: Immersion Corporation v. Meta Platforms, Inc., f/k/a Facebook, Inc.
Western district of Texas, Waco division, Case No. 6:22-cv-00541-ADA
Matter: Patent infringement, virtual reality and augmented reality

Project: Source code review, expert report regarding infringement, supplemental expert report regarding infringement, rebuttal expert report regarding validity, two depositions

L19. 2023 **Cole Schotz P.C.**
Case: SB IP Holdings, Inc. v. Vivint Smart Home, Inc., Vivint, Inc.
Eastern district of Texas, Sherman division, Case No. 4:20-cv-00886-ALM
Matter: Patent infringement, wireless telecommunication systems
Project: Source code review, expert report regarding infringement, expert report regarding validity, two-day depositions, rebuttal expert report regarding non-infringing alternatives, 2 additional depositions, jury trial testimony

L20. 2023 **Cole Schotz P.C.**
Case: Vivint Inc. v. SB IP Holdings LLC
IPR2022-00812; IPR2022-01449
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, wireless telecommunication systems
Project: Two declarations to support Patent Owner's responses, deposition

L21. 2023 **Carter Arnett**
Case: Samsung Electronics Co., LTD v. G+ Communications, LLC
IPR2022-01598; IPR2023-00171; IPR2023-00665
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, 4G/5G cellular systems
Project: Three declarations to support three Patent Owner's preliminary responses, two declarations to support Patent Owner's responses, two depositions

L22. 2023 **Fish & Richardson, P.C.**
Case: Neo Wireless, LLC v. General Motors Co. et al.;
Neo Wireless, LLC v. Tesla Inc.
Eastern district of Michigan, Southern division, Case No. 2:22-cv-11407-TGB; Case No. 2:22-cv-11408-TGB
Matter: Patent infringement, 4G/LTE wireless communication
Project: Tech tutorial testimony, declaration regarding claim construction

L23. 2023 **Carter Arnett**
Case: G+ Communications v. Samsung Electronics Co., LTD et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:22-cv-00078-JRG
Matter: Patent infringement, 4G/5G cellular systems
Project: Source code review, declaration regarding claim construction, supplemental declaration regarding claim construction, infringement expert report, rebuttal expert report regarding validity, deposition, two supplemental reports, second deposition, jury trial testimony

L24. 2022 **Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP**
Case: TP-Link Technologies Co., Ltd. and TP-Link Corporation Limited v. Stingray IP Solutions
IPR2022- *To be Assigned*; IPR2022-*To be Assigned*
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, security and intrusion detection in wireless systems
Project: Two declarations to support two IPR petitions

L25. 2022 **Devlin Law Firm**
Case: CDN Innovations, LLC v. Mediacom Communication Corporation
Middle district of Georgia, Columbus division
Matter: Patent infringement, telecommunication systems
Project: Declaration to support infringement contentions

L26. 2022 **Jenner & Block LLP**
Case: WSOU Investments, LLC v. Netgear, Inc.
District of Delaware, Case No. 21-cv-01117-MN-CJB, 21-cv-01119-MN-CJB, 21-cv-01120-MN-CJB
Matter: Patent infringement, adaptive antennas, routers
Project: Declaration regarding claim construction

L27. 2022 **Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP**
Case: XR Communications, LLC. v. Ubiquiti Networks, Inc.
Central district of California, Case No. 2:21-cv-1065-DOC(JDE)
Matter: Patent infringement, Wi-Fi and adaptive antennas
Project: Non-infringement expert report, deposition

L28. 2022 **Ropes & Gray LLP**
Case: Speir Technologies Ltd. v. Apple Inc.
Western district of Texas, Waco division, Case No. 6:22-cv-00077-ADA
Matter: Patent infringement, channel estimation
Project: Declaration regarding claim construction

L29. 2022 **Fish & Richardson, P.C.**
Case: Constellation Designs, LLC v. LG Electronics, Inc. et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:21-cv-448
Matter: Patent infringement, channel coding
Project: Source code review, invalidity expert report, non-infringement expert report, two-day deposition, jury trial testimony

L30. 2022 **Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP**
Case: Resi Media LLC v. BoxCast Inc.
IPR2022-00066; IPR2022-00067
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, autonomous broadcasting

Project: Combined declaration to support Patent Owner's response, declaration to support Patent Owner's sur-reply, deposition

L31. 2022 **Fish & Richardson, P.C.**
Case: FedEx Corporation v. Transcend Shipping Systems, LLC
IPR2022-01117; IPR2022-01219; IPR2022-01252;
IPR2022-01261; IPR2022-01314
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, location management
Project: Five declarations to support five IPR petitions

L32. 2022 **Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett & Dunner LLP**
Case: Toyota Motor Corp and Continental Automotive Systems. v.
Intellectual Ventures II, LLC
IPR2022-00971; IPR2022-00972; IPR2022-00973; IPR2022-00974;
IPR2022-01130
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, multicarrier communication
Project: Five declarations to support five IPR petitions, four depositions, three supplemental declarations, two depositions

L33. 2022 **Fenwick & West LLP**
Case: TrackThings LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc. et al.
Western district of Texas, Waco division, Case No. 6:21-cv-00720
Matter: Patent infringement, mobile ad-hoc networks
Project: Invalidity consulting

L34. 2022 **Fenwick & West LLP**
Case: Stingray IP Solutions v. Amazon.com, Inc. et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:21-cv-00193-JRG; Case No. 2:21-cv-00194-JRG
Matter: Patent infringement, mobile ad-hoc networks
Project: Noninfringement consulting

L35. 2022 **Mayer Brown LLP**
Case: Pantech Corp. v. Coolpad Group Ltd.
Eastern district of Texas, Texarkana division, Case No. 5:21-cv00065
Matter: Patent infringement, LTE wireless communication systems
Project: Infringement consulting

L36. 2022 **Fitch, Even, Tabin & Flannery**
Case: L2 Mobile Technologies LLC v. Ford Motor Company
District of Delaware, Case No. 1:21-cv-01409-JLH
Matter: Patent infringement, wireless communication systems
Project: Standard essentiality consulting

L37. 2022 **Susman Godfrey LLP**
Case: California Institute of Technology v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:21-cv-00446-JRG
Matter: Patent infringement, low-density parity-check codes
Project: Source code review, infringement expert report, deposition, supplemental infringement expert report

L38. 2022 **Devlin Law Firm**
Case: Dropbox, Inc. v. Motion Offense, LLC; Motion Offense, LLC v. Dropbox, Inc.
Western district of Texas, Waco division, Case No. 6:20-cv-00251-ADA; 6:21-cv-00758-ADA
Matter: Patent infringement, telecommunication systems and networking
Project: Source code review, infringement expert report, supplemental infringement expert report, validity expert report, supplemental validity expert report, deposition, jury trial testimony

L39. 2022 **Bayes PLLC**
Case: Guangdong OPPO Mobile Telecommunications Corp., Ltd. v. Nokia Solutions and Networks Oy
IPR2022-00632
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, PDU and SDU
Project: Declaration to support IPR petition, deposition, supplemental declaration, second deposition

L40. 2022 **Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett & Dunner LLP**
Case: NetApp, Inc. v. Proven Networks, LLC
IPR2022-00644
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, allocating resources
Project: Declaration to support IPR petition

L41. 2022 **Devlin Law Firm**
Case: Motion Offense, LLC v. Google, LLC
Western district of Texas, Waco division, Case No. 6:21-cv-00514-ADA
Matter: Patent infringement, telecommunication systems and networking
Project: Declaration regarding claim construction

L42. 2022 **Jenner & Block LLP**
Case: Netgear, Inc. v. WSOU Investments, LLC
IPR2022-00516; IPR2022-00606
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, telecommunication systems
Project: Two declarations to support two IPR petitions

L43. 2022 **Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP**
Case: BoxCast Inc. v. Resi Media LLC
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:21-cv-00217-JRG
Matter: Patent infringement, autonomous broadcasting
Project: Source code review, declaration regarding claim construction, declaration regarding validity, declaration regarding infringement, deposition

L44. 2022 **Fish & Richardson, P.C.**
Case: Apple Inc. v. Ericsson Inc.
IPR2022-00341; IPR2022-00346;
IPR2022-*To be assigned*; IPR2022-*To be assigned*;
IPR2022-*To be assigned*
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, wireless communication systems
Project: Five declarations to support five IPR petitions, deposition

L45. 2022 **Ropes & Gray LLP**
Case: Godo Kaisha IP Bridge 1 v. Nokia Corporation, Ericsson Inc. et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:21-CV-213-JRG; Case No. 2:21-CV-215-JRG
Matter: Patent infringement, wireless communication systems
Project: Declaration regarding claim construction

L46. 2022 **Fish & Richardson, P.C.**
Case: Apple Inc. and HP Inc. v. XR Communication Inc
IPR2022-00367; IPR2022-01155; IPR2022-01362
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, adaptive antennas
Project: Two declarations to support two IPR petitions, deposition, declaration to support petitioners' reply

L47. 2021 **Fitch, Even, Tabin & Flannery**
Case: L2 Mobile Technologies LLC v. Google LLC
Western district of Texas, Waco division, Case No. 6:21-cv-00358-ADA
Matter: Patent infringement, wireless communication systems
Project: Claim construction consulting

L48. 2021 **Morgan Lewis & Bockius LLP**
Case: Intellectual Tech LLC v. Zebra Technologies Corporation
Western district of Texas, Waco division, Case No. 6:19-cv-00628-ADA
Matter: Patent infringement, RFID tags
Project: Source code review, claim construction consulting, invalidity expert report

L49. 2021 **Carter Arnett**
Case: Correct Transmission LLC v. Adtran, Inc.
Northern district of Alabama, Northeastern division, Case No. 5:21-cv-00690-LCB
Matter: Patent infringement, telecommunication systems, switches and routers
Project: Declaration regarding claim construction

L50. 2021 **Carter Arnett**
Case: Juniper Networks, Inc. v. Correct Transmission, LLC
IPR2021-00463; IPR2021-00682; IPR2022-00815
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, network communication systems, switches and routers
Project: Two declarations to support 2 Patent Owner's responses, two depositions; declaration to support Patent Owner's preliminary response

L51. 2021 **King & Wood Mallesons LLP**
Case: ToT Power Control, S.L. v. AT&T Mobility et al.; ToT Power Control, S.L. v. T-Mobile USA, Inc. et al.
Western district of Texas, Waco division, Case No. 6:21-CV-00107-ADA; 6:21-CV-00109-ADA
Matter: Patent infringement, WCDMA cellular systems
Project: Declaration regarding claim construction

L52. 2021 **Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett & Dunner LLP**
Case: Xiaomi Communications Co., Ltd. v. Koninklijke KPN N.V.
IPR2022-00025
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, network communication systems
Project: Declaration to support IPR petition

L53. 2021 **Carter Arnett**
Case: Juniper Networks, Inc. v. Smart Path Connections, LLC
IPR2021-001170; IPR2021-01356; IPR2022-00240; IPR2022-00815
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, network communication systems, switches and routers
Project: Declaration to support Patent Owner's preliminary response, three declarations to support three Patent Owner's responses, two depositions

L54. 2021 **Devlin Law Firm**
Case: Dyfan LLC v. Target Corporation
District of Delaware, Case No. 6:19-cv-00179-ADA
Matter: Patent infringement, network communications
Project: Declaration to support summary judgement, deposition

L55. 2021 **Alston & Bird LLP**
Case: Stingray IP Solutions v. Signify N.V., et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:21-cv-00043-JRG; Case No. 2:21-cv-00044-JRG
Matter: Patent infringement, mobile ad-hoc networks
Project: Declaration regarding claim construction

L56. 2021 **Cole Schotz P.C.**
Case: SkyBell Technologies, Inc. v. Vivint Smart Home, Inc., SimpliSafe, Inc., and Arlo Technologies Inc
In the Matter of Certain IP Camera Systems Including Video Doorbells and Components Thereof, ITC Investigation No. 337-TA-1242
Matter: Patent infringement, wireless telecommunication systems
Project: Source code review, expert report infringement and domestic industry, rebuttal expert report, three-day deposition

L57. 2021 **Axinn, Veltrop & Harkrider LLP**
Case: Koninklijke Philips N.V. v. Thales DIS AIS USA, LLC et al.
In the Matter of Certain UMTS and LTE Cellular Communication Modules and Products Containing the Same, ITC Investigation No. 337-TA-1240
Matter: Patent infringement, wireless communication systems
Project: Expert report regarding non-infringement and no domestic industry, deposition, ITC hearing testimony

L58. 2021 **Morgan Lewis & Bockius LLP**
Case: SIPCO, LLC v. Aruba Networks, LLC and Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company
District of Delaware, Case No. 1:20-cv-00537-MN
Matter: Patent infringement, wireless communication systems
Project: Declaration regarding claim construction

L59. 2021 **Ropes & Gray LLP**
Case: Palo Alto Networks Inc. v. Centripetal Networks, Inc.
IPR2021-01150, IPR2021-01151, IPR2021-01155, IPR2021-01156, IPR2021-01270, PGR2021-00108, IPR2021-01520, IPR2021-01521
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, network security systems
Project: Seven declarations to support seven IPR petitions, declaration to support post grant review, deposition

L60. 2021 **Devlin Law Firm**
Case: CDN Innovations, LLC v. Grande Communications Networks, LLC
Eastern district of Texas, Sherman division, Case No. 4:20-cv-653-SDJ
Matter: Patent infringement, telecommunication systems

Project: Declaration to support infringement contentions

L61. 2021 **Banner Witcoff**
Case: Sisvel International S.A., 3G Licensing S.A. v. ZTE (USA) Inc. et al.
Northern district of Texas, Case No. 3:20-cv-01289-M
Matter: Patent infringement, wireless communication systems
Project: Declaration regarding claim construction, deposition

L62. 2021 **Paul Hastings LLP**
Case: G. Holdings Ltd. v. Samsung Electronics Co., et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:20-cv-00342-JRG
Matter: Patent infringement, electronic payment systems
Project: Declaration regarding claim construction

L63. 2021 **Morgan Lewis & Bockius LLP**
Case: Aruba Networks, LLC and Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company v. SIPCO, LLC
IPR2021-00787
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, wireless communication systems
Project: Declaration to support IPR petition

L64. 2021 **Jenner & Block LLP**
Case: Virentem Ventures LLC D/B/A Enounce v. TiVo Corp and Xperi Holding Corporation
District of Delaware, Case No. 20-787-MN
Matter: Patent infringement, telecommunication systems
Project: Declaration regarding claim construction

L65. 2021 **Carter Arnett**
Case: Correct Transmission LLC v. Adtran, Inc. and Juniper Networks, Inc.
Western district of Texas, Waco division, Case No. 6:20-cv-669-ADA
Matter: Patent infringement, telecommunication systems
Project: Source code review, declaration regarding claim construction

L66. 2021 **Fish & Richardson, P.C.**
Case: Quectel Wireless Solutions Co. Ltd. v. Koninklijke Philips N.V.
IPR2021-00558, IPR2021-00559, IPR2021-00561
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, wireless communication systems
Project: Three declarations to support three IPR petitions, declaration to support reply, two depositions

L67. 2021 **Goldberg Kohn Ltd**
Case: United States ex. rel. Todd Heath v. Wisconsin Bell, Inc
District of Columbia, Case No. 11-cv-01897
Matter: Networking systems

Project: Technology consulting

L68. 2021 **Fish & Richardson, P.C.**
Case: Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. v. Ericsson Inc.
IPR2021-00447, IPR2021-00588, IPR2021-00613, IPR2021-00614, IPR2021-00643, IPR2021-00645, IPR2021-00684
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, wireless communication systems
Project: Seven declarations to support seven IPR petitions

L69. 2020 **Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP**
Case: GREE Inc. v. Supercell Oy
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:19-cv-00413-JRG-RSP
Matter: Patent infringement, mobile gaming
Project: Source code review, infringement expert report, supplemental expert report, validity expert report, deposition

L70. 2020 **Banner Witcoff**
Case: Sisvel International S.A., 3G Licensing S.A. v. ZTE (USA) Inc. et al.
Northern district of Texas, Case No. 3:19-cv-01694-N
Matter: Patent infringement, wireless communication systems
Project: Declaration regarding claim construction, deposition

L71. 2020 **Fish & Richardson, P.C.**
Case: Cellco Partnership D/B/A Verizon Wireless v. Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd
IPR2020-01352, IPR202-01356, IPR2020-01357
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, network communication systems
Project: Three declarations to support 3 Patent Owner' responses

L72. 2020 **Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP**
Case: GREE Inc. v. Supercell Oy
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:20-cv-00113-JRG-RSP
Matter: Patent infringement, mobile gaming
Project: Source code review, declaration supporting claim construction, infringement expert report, validity expert report, supplemental report, deposition

L73. 2020 **Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP**
Case: GREE Inc. v. Supercell Oy
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:19-cv-00200-JRG-RSP, Case No. 2:19-cv-00237-JRG-RSP, Case No. 2:19-cv-00310-JRG-RSP; Case No. 2:19-cv-00311-JRG-RSP
Matter: Patent infringement, mobile gaming

Project: Source code review, four infringement expert reports, two supplemental infringement expert reports, four validity expert reports, two supplemental expert reports, two second supplemental expert reports, 3-day deposition, jury trial testimony

L74. 2020 **Sheridan Ross P.C.**
Case: Justservice.net LLC v. Dropbox, Inc.
Western district of Texas, Waco division, Case No. 6:20-CV-00070-ADA
Matter: Patent infringement, computer systems and networking
Project: Source code review, declaration regarding claim construction

L75. 2020 **Perkins Coie LLP**
Case: Huizhou TCL Mobile Communication Co. Ltd., TCT Mobile (US) Inc., and TCL Mobile Communication (HK) Co., Ltd. v. Wi-LAN Inc.
Matter: *Ex Partes* Reexamination, QoS enhancements for wireless IP networks
Project: Declaration to support Requesters

L76. 2020 **Perkins Coie LLP**
Case: Intel Corporation v. UNM Rainforest Innovations
IPR2020-01576, IPR2020-01578, IPR2020-*to be assigned*
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, wireless broadband
Project: Three declarations to support three IPR petitions

L77. 2020 **Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP**
Case: Motorola Solutions, Inc. v. Hytera Communications Corp. Ltd. et al.
Northern district of Illinois, Case No. 1:17-cv-01972
Matter: Patent infringement, two-way radios
Project: Declaration regarding claim construction, deposition

L78. 2020 **Fish & Richardson, P.C.**
Case: Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd et al. v. Verizon Communications, Inc. et al.
Western district of Texas, Waco division, Case No. 6-20-cv-00090
Matter: Patent infringement, video communication
Project: Source code review, declaration regarding claim construction, infringement expert report, validity expert report, deposition

L79. 2020 **Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP**
Case: GREE Inc. v. Supercell Oy
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:19-cv-00070-JRG-RSP, Case No. 2:19-cv-00071-JRG-RSP
Matter: Patent infringement, mobile gaming
Project: Source code review, two infringement expert reports, two supplemental infringement expert reports, two second supplemental

infringement expert reports, two rebuttal expert reports on validity, two-day deposition, seven declarations supporting Gree's opposition to Supercell's motions for summary judgement, jury trial testimony

L80. 2020 **Cooley LLP**
Case: Saint Lawrence Communications, LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc., et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:19-cv-00027-JRG
Matter: Patent infringement, AMR-WB, speech compression, coding and decoding
Project: Invalidity expert report

L81. 2020 **Prince Lobel Tye LLP**
Case: Intellectual Ventures I and II LLC v. VMware, Inc.
Western district of Texas, Austin division, Case No. 1:19-cv-01075-ADA
Matter: Patent infringement, networking systems
Project: Declaration regarding claim construction

L82. 2020 **Gibson, Dun & Crutcher LLP**
Case: Cellular Evolution LLC v. T-Mobile US, Inc. et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:19-cv-232-JRG
Matter: Patent infringement, cellular systems
Project: Invalidity consulting

L83. 2020 **Faegre Baker Daniels LLP**
Case: CommScope, Inc. v. Rosenberger Technology, et al.
District of New Jersey, Case No. 19-cv-15962-MCA-LDW
Matter: Trade secret software, base station antenna design
Project: Declaration, deposition

L84. 2020 **Ropes & Gray LLP**
Case: Canon, Inc. v. TCL Electronics Holdings Ltd., et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:18-cv-546-JRG
Matter: Patent infringement, communication interfaces
Project: Source code review, declaration regarding claim construction, deposition

L85. 2019 **Perkins Coie LLP**
Case: Huizhou TCL Mobile Communication Co. Ltd., TCT Mobile (US) Inc., and TCL Mobile Communication (HK) Co., Ltd. v. Wi-LAN Inc.
IPR2020-00302, IPR2020-00303
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, QoS enhancements for wireless IP networks
Project: Two declarations to support two IPR petitions

L86. 2019 **Fish & Richardson**
Case: Bell Northern Research v. Huawei, et al.
Southern district of California, Case No. 3:18-cv-1784-CAB-BLM
Matter: Patent infringement, wireless networks
Project: Invalidity consulting

L87. 2019 **K & L Gates LLP**
Case: EVS CODEC Technologies, LLC and Saint Lawrence Communications, LLC v. ZTE Corporation, et al.
Northern district of Texas, Dallas division, Case No. 3:19-cv-00385-MBH
Matter: Patent infringement, EVS, speech compression, coding and decoding
Project: Invalidity expert report

L88. 2019 **Feinberg Day Alberti Lim & Belloli LLP**
Case: Uniloc 2017 LLC v. AT&T Mobility LLC, et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:18-cv-00514-JRG
Matter: Patent infringement, wireless frequency bands and devices
Project: Two declarations regarding claim construction, deposition

L89. 2019 **Susman Godfrey LLP**
Case: Sol IP, LLC v. AT&T Mobility LLC, et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:18-cv-00526; 2:18- cv-00527; and 2:18-cv-00528
Matter: Patent infringement, Wi-Fi and LTE
Project: Validity consulting

L90. 2019 **Ropes & Gray LLP**
Case: Huawei Technologies Co. Ltd. v. Harris Global Communications, Inc.
IPR2019-01512, IPR2019-01631
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, routing and security in wireless networks
Project: Two declarations to support two IPR petitions

L91. 2019 **Ropes & Gray LLP**
Case: Harris Corporation v. Huawei Device USA, Inc. et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:18-cv-00439-JRG
Matter: Patent infringement, routing and security in wireless networks
Project: Declaration regarding claim construction

L92. 2019 **Erise IP**
Case: Semcon IP Inc. v. ASUSTeK Computer Inc.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:18-cv-00193-JRG
Matter: Patent infringement, adaptive power control

Project: Non-infringement expert report

L93. 2019 **Cooley LLP**
Case: Facebook Inc. v. BlackBerry Corp. et al.
Northern District of California, Oakland division, Case No. 4:18-cv-05434-JSW
Matter: Patent infringement, mobile computing
Project: Declaration regarding claim construction

L94. 2019 **Sidley Austin LLP**
Case: Semcon IP Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:18-cv-00192-JRG
Matter: Patent infringement, adaptive power control
Project: Expert report regarding patent marking, rebuttal report regarding patent marking, deposition

L95. 2019 **Oblon, McClelland, Maier & Neustadt, LLP**
Case: MV3 Partners LLC v. Roku, Inc.
Western district of Texas, Waco division, Case No. 6:18-cv-308-ADA
Matter: Patent infringement, mobile set top box
Project: Declaration regarding claim construction, deposition, Markman hearing and tech tutorial testimony

L96. 2019 **Banner & Witcoff, LTD.**
Case: Kathrein USA, Inc. v. Fractus S.A.
IPR2019-00954, IPR2019-00955, IPR2019-00956, IPR2019-00957
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, multiband antenna arrays
Project: Four declarations to support four IPR petitions

L97. 2019 **Fish & Richardson, P.C.**
Case: LG Electronics Inc. v. Saint Lawrence Communications LLC
Southern district of New York, Case No. 1:18-cv-11082-DLC
Matter: Patent infringement, EVS, speech compression, coding and decoding
Project: Declaration relating to motion for summary judgment, expert report, deposition

L98. 2019 **Ropes & Gray LLP**
Case: SIPCO, LLC v. Emerson Electric Co.
In the Matter of Certain Wireless Mesh Networking Products and Related Components Thereof, ITC Investigation No. 337-TA-1131
Matter: Patent infringement, links in wireless networks and remote monitoring
Project: Source code review, declaration regarding claim construction, invalidity expert report, rebuttal expert report regarding non-infringement and no domestic industry

L99. 2019 **Fish & Richardson, P.C.**
Case: Maxell Ltd. v. Huawei Technologies Co. Ltd., ZTE, et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Texarkana division, Case No. 5:18-cv-0033-RWS
Matter: Patent infringement, portable computing devices
Project: Declaration regarding claim construction

L100. 2019 **Ropes & Gray LLP**
Case: Emerson Electric Co. v. SIPCO, LLC
IPR2019-00548, IPR2019-00549
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, routing in wireless networks
Project: Two declarations to support two IPR petitions

L101. 2018 **Mishcon de Reya New York LLP; King & Wood Mallesons LLP**
Case: ChanBond, LLC v. Cox Communications, Inc.
District of Delaware, Case No. 1:15-cv-00849-RGA
Matter: Patent infringement, wideband signal distribution system
Project: Validity expert report, deposition, sur-reply expert report, second sur-reply expert report, second deposition, jury trial with settlement mid-trial

L102. 2018 **Fish & Richardson, P.C.**
Case: In re: Qualcomm Antitrust Litigation (Client: Apple)
Southern district of California, Case No. 3:17-cv-00108-GPC-MDD
Matter: Qualcomm antitrust litigation
Project: Two expert rebuttal reports, deposition

L103. 2018 **Susman Godfrey LLP**
Case: In re: Qualcomm Antitrust Litigation (Client: Class Action)
Northern district of California, Case No. 5:17-md-02773-LHK
Matter: Qualcomm antitrust litigation
Project: Expert declaration on standard essential patents, expert report on deemed essential patents, rebuttal expert report, deposition

L104. 2018 **284 Partners**
Case: Federal Trade Commission. v. Qualcomm Incorporated
Northern district of California, Case No. 5:17-cv-00220
Matter: Qualcomm antitrust litigation
Project: Expert report on standard essential patents, expert rebuttal report, deposition

L105. 2018 **Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP**
Case: Route1 Inc. v. Airwatch LLC
District of Delaware, Case No. 17-331-RGA
Matter: Patent infringement, remote access

Project: Source code review, declaration regarding claim construction, infringement expert report, validity expert report, reply expert report, deposition, three declarations regarding re-exam

L106. 2018 **Sidley Austin LLP**
Case: Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd v. Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd.
IPR2017-01471, IPR2017-01474, IPR2017-01475
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, 4G/LTE
Project: Three declarations to support three Patent Owner's responses, supplemental declaration, deposition

L107. 2018 **Fitzpatrick Cella Harper & Scinto**
Case: IPC Systems, Inc. v. Cloud9 Technologies, LLC
District of Delaware, Case No. 16-cv-443-GMS
Matter: Patent infringement, telephone stations and trading turrets
Project: Source code review, declaration regarding claim construction, supplemental declaration regarding claim construction

L108. 2018 **Haynes and Boone, LLP**
Case: LG Electronics Inc., et al. v. Wi-LAN Inc., et al.
IPR2018-00673, IPR2018-00674, IPR2018-00704, IPR2018-00705, IPR2018-00709, IPR2018-00710
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, bandwidth allocation
Project: Six declarations to support six IPR petitions, two depositions, two reply declarations

L109. 2018 **Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP**
Case: Cellular Communications Equipment v. ZTE, HTC Corporation, et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Case No. 6:16-cv-475-RWS
Matter: Patent infringement, LTE, power control, emergency notification
Project: Invalidity expert report, deposition

L110. 2018 **Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett & Dunner LLP**
Case: FanDuel, Inc. DraftKings, Inc., and Bwin.Party Digital Entertainment PLC. v. CG Technology Development, LLC
IPR2017-00902, IPR2017-01333, IPR2017-01491, IPR2017-01532
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, location-based gaming
Project: Four declarations to support four Patent Owner's responses, two supplemental declarations, four depositions

L111. 2018 **Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP**
Case: Hytera Communications Corp. Ltd. v. Motorola Solutions, Inc.
Northern district of Ohio, Case No. 1:17-cv-01794-DNC
Matter: Patent infringement, two-way radios

Project: Source code review, declaration regarding claim construction, rebuttal declaration regarding claim construction, deposition, infringement expert report, validity expert report, two-day deposition

L112. 2017 **Covington & Burling LLP**
Case: Sharp Corporation, et al. v. Hisense Co., Ltd., et al.
In the Matter of Certain Wi-Fi Enabled Electronic Devices and Components Thereof, ITC Investigation No. 337-TA-1072
Matter: Patent infringement, Wi-Fi, OFDMA
Project: Declaration regarding claim construction

L113. 2017 **Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP**
Case: Airwatch LLC and VMWare Inc. v. Route1 Inc.
IPR2017-02145
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, remote access
Project: Declaration to support Patent Owner's response

L114. 2017 **Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer**
Case: Uniloc USA, Inc. v. Google, Inc.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:17-cv-0214-JRG, 2:17-cv-0224-JRG, 2:17-cv-0231-JRG, 2:17-cv-0465-JRG, 2:17-cv-0466-JRG, 2:17-cv-0467JRG
Matter: Patent infringement, VoIP messaging
Project: Invalidity consulting

L115. 2017 **Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP**
Case: XR Communications, LLC. v. Ubiquiti Networks, Inc.
Central district of California, Case No. 2:17-cv-02968-AG(JCGx)
Matter: Patent infringement, Wi-Fi and adaptive antennas
Project: Declaration regarding claim construction, deposition

L116. 2017 **Covington & Burling LLP**
Case: Huawei Device USA Inc. v. Hitachi Maxell, Ltd.
IPR2018-00209, IPR2018-00210
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, base station selection, GPS/Cellular location
Project: Two declarations to support two IPR petitions

L117. 2017 **Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP**
Case: Hytera Communications Corp. Ltd. v. Motorola Solutions, Inc.
IPR2018-00128, IPR2017-02183
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, two-way radios
Project: Declaration to support IPR petition, deposition, two supplemental declarations, two depositions

L118. 2017 **Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett & Dunner LLP**
Case: Hytera Communications Corp. Ltd. v. Motorola Solutions, Inc.
IPR2017-02179, IPR2017-02183
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, two-way radios
Project: Two declarations to support two IPR petitions, deposition

L119. 2017 **Mayer Brown LLP**
Case: Silver Spring Networks, Inc. v. Sunrise Technologies, Inc.
Silver Spring Networks, Inc. v. Weatherproof Wireless, LLC
IPR2017-*To Be Assigned*, IPR2017-*To Be Assigned*
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, power meter
Project: Two declarations to support two IPR petitions

L120. 2017 **Covington & Burling LLP**
Case: Hitachi Maxell, Ltd. v. Huawei Device USA Inc. et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Texarkana division, Case No. 5:16-cv-00178-RWS
Matter: Patent infringement, 3G/4G
Project: Source code review, declaration regarding claim construction, invalidity expert report, non-infringement expert report, non-infringing alternatives expert report, two depositions

L121. 2017 **Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett & Dunner LLP**
Case: LG Electronics, Inc. et al. v. BLU Products, Inc. and CT Miami, LLC
In the Matter of Certain LTE Wireless Communication Devices and Components Thereof, ITC Investigation No. 337-TA-1051
Matter: Patent infringement, 4G/LTE
Project: Declaration regarding claim construction, second declaration regarding claim construction

L122. 2017 **Sidley Austin LLP**
Case: Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.
IPR2017-01979, IPR2017-01980, IPR2017-01986
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, 4G/LTE
Project: Three declarations to support three IPR petitions, deposition

L123. 2017 **Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett & Dunner LLP**
Case: Motorola Solutions, Inc. v. Hytera Communications Corp. Ltd. et al.
In the Matter of Certain Two-way Radio Equipment Systems, Related Software and Components Thereof, ITC Investigation No. 337-TA-1053
Matter: Patent infringement, two-way radio
Project: Source code review, declaration regarding claim construction, invalidity expert report, non-infringement expert report, deposition, ITC hearing testimony

L124. 2017 **Haynes and Boone, LLP**
Case: Rackspace US, Inc. v. Realtime Data LLC
IPR2017-01691
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, data compression
Project: Declaration to support IPR petition

L125. 2017 **Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP**
Case: ZTE (USA), HTC Corporation, et al. v. Cellular Communications Equipment
IPR2017-01508, IPR2017-01509
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, LTE, power control, emergency notification
Project: Two declarations to support two IPR petitions, two depositions

L126. 2017 **Alston & Bird LLP; Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice LLP**
Case: Itron, Inc. and Duke Energy Corp. v. Smart Meter Technologies
IPR2017-01199
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, power meter
Project: Declaration to support IPR petition, deposition

L127. 2017 **Haynes and Boone, LLP**
Case: Ericsson Inc. v. Regents of the University of Minnesota
IPR2017-01186, IPR2017-01200, IPR2017-01213
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, OFDM and MIMO
Project: Three declarations to support three IPR petitions

L128. 2017 **Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP**
Case: GENBAND US, LLC v. Metaswitch Networks Ltd, et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:16-cv-582-JRG-RSP
Matter: Patent infringement, Internet protocols and VoIP, switches and routers
Project: Expert report regarding essentiality

L129. 2017 **Mayer Brown LLP**
Case: Uniloc USA, Inc. et al. v. Avaya Inc., ShoreTel, Inc., et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Tyler division, Case Nos. 6:15-cv-1168-JRG
Matter: Patent infringement, instant messaging and conference calling
Project: Source code review, non-infringement consulting

L130. 2017 **Fish & Richardson P.C.**
Case: Nokia Solutions and Networks US LLC, et al. v. Huawei Technologies Co. Ltd., et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case Nos. 2:16-cv-753-JRG-RSP, 2:16-cv-754
Matter: Patent infringement, 4G/LTE
Project: Claim construction, two declarations

L131. 2017 **Rothwell Figg Ernst & Manbeck, PC; Pepper Hamilton LLP**
Case: Samsung Electronics, et al. v. Rembrandt Wireless Technologies, LP IPR2015-00555
Matter: *Ex Parte* Reexamination, Bluetooth
Project: Two declarations to support two Patent Owner's responses, supplemental declaration to support Patent Owner's reply

L132. 2016 **Sidley Austin LLP**
Case: Huawei Technologies Co., et al. v. Samsung Electronics Co, et al. and Samsung Research America v. Hisilicon Technologies Co, LTD Northern district of California, San Francisco division, Case No. 3:16-cv-2787-WHO
Matter: Patent infringement, 3G/4G/LTE
Project: Source code review, declaration regarding claim construction, declaration opposing summary judgement, infringement expert report, invalidity expert report, non-infringement expert report, validity expert report, two depositions

L133. 2016 **Bragalone Conroy PC**
Case: Securus Technologies, Inc. v. Global Tel*Link Corporation CBM2017-00034
Matter: Covered Business Method Review, call monitoring and recording
Project: Declaration to support CBM petition, deposition

L134. 2016 **Braxton, Hilton & Perrone PLLC**
Case: Biosonix, LLC. v. Hydrowave, LLC et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Case No. 2:16-cv-139-RC
Matter: Patent infringement, underwater transceivers
Project: Claim construction, Markman hearing and tech tutorial testimony

L135. 2016 **Gray Reed & McGraw**
Case: Optis Cellular Technology, LLC and PanOptis Patent Management, LLC. v. Blackberry Corporation, et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:16-cv-59-JRG-RSP, Case No. 2:16-cv-61-JRG-RSP, Case No. 2:16-cv-62-JRG-RSP
Matter: Patent infringement, LTE
Project: Claim construction, three declarations regarding claim construction, deposition

L136. 2016 **Ropes & Gray LLP; Davidson Berquist Jackson & Gowdey**
Case: SIPCO, LLC et al v. Emerson Electric Co. et al
Eastern district of Texas, Tyler division, Case No. 6:15-cv-907
Emerson Electric Co. et al v. SIPCO, LLC et al.
Northern district of Georgia, Atlanta division, Case No. 1:15-cv-00319-AT
Matter: Patent infringement, links in wireless networks and remote monitoring
Project: Source code review, invalidity consulting

L137. 2016 **McKool Smith**
Case: Regents of University of Minnesota v. AT&T Mobility LLC, et al.
District of Minnesota, Case No. 0:14-cv-04666-JRT-TNL
Matter: Patent infringement, LTE and MIMO
Project: Non-infringement and invalidity consulting

L138. 2016 **EIP US LLP**
Case: GENBAND US, LLC et al. v. Metaswitch Networks Ltd
IPR2015-01456, IPR2015-01457
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, media gateways, switches and routers
Project: Two declarations to support Patent Owner's responses, two depositions

L139. 2016 **Haynes and Boone, LLP**
Case: Cox Communications, Inc. v. AT&T Intellectual Property I, II, LP
IPR2015-01187, IPR2015-01227, IPR2015-01273, IPR2015-01536
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, cable networks
Project: Four declarations to support Patent Owner's responses, four depositions

L140. 2016 **Mayer Brown LLP**
Case: Odyssey Wireless v. Motorola Mobility LLC
Eastern district of North Carolina, Western division, Case No. 5:14-cv-491-D
Southern district of California, Case No. 3:15-cv-01741-H-RBB
Matter: Patent infringement, LTE
Project: Source code review, non-infringement consulting

L141. 2016 **Cooley LLP; Finnegan LLP**
Case: Saint Lawrence Comm. LLC v. Motorola Mobility LLC, ZTE (USA) Inc., et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:15-cv-000351-JRG, Case No. 2:15-cv-000349-JRG
Matter: Patent infringement, speech compression, coding and decoding
Project: Invalidity expert report, expert report regarding AMR-WB standard, expert report regarding Opus and Silk, supplemental expert report

regarding invalidity, two-day depositions, jury trial testimony for Motorola

L142. 2015 **Sidley Austin LLP**
Case: Evolved Wireless, LLC v. Microsoft Corp, et al.
District of Delaware, Case No. 15-cv-546
Matter: Patent infringement, LTE
Project: Prior art and invalidity consulting

L143. 2015 **McKool Smith**
Case: Optis Wireless Technology, LLC and PanOptis Patent Management, LLC. v. ZTE Corporation and ZTE (USA) Inc.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:15-cv-300-JRG-RSP
Matter: Patent infringement, cellular messages and multimedia attachments
Project: Source code review, claim construction, declaration

L144. 2015 **Fish & Richardson, P.C.**
Case: Saint Lawrence Comm. LLC v. LG Elec., Inc. et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:14-cv-1055-JRG
Matter: Patent infringement, speech compression, coding and decoding
Project: Invalidity expert report

L145. 2015 **Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett & Dunner LLP**
Case: LG Electronics, Inc. v. Cellular Communications Equipment LLC
IPR2016-00178
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, LTE
Project: Declaration to support IPR petition

L146. 2015 **McKool Smith**
Case: AT&T, et al. v. Cox Communication, Inc., et al.
District of Delaware, Case No. 14-1106-GMS
Matter: Patent infringement, cable networks
Project: Claim construction, declaration

L147. 2015 **McKool Smith**
Case: Ericsson Inc., et al. v. TCL Communication, et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:15-cv-00011-RSP
Matter: Patent infringement, wireless devices and systems
Project: Source code review, claim construction, declaration, infringement expert report, validity expert report, two-day depositions

L148. 2015 **Foley & Lardner LLP**
Case: Kyocera Communications, Inc. v. Cellular Communications Equipment LLC
IPR2015-01559, IPR2015-01564
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, LTE, power control, emergency notification
Project: Two declarations to support two IPR petitions

L149. 2015 **Fish & Richardson, P.C.**
Case: Fairfield Industries Inc. v. Wireless Seismic, Inc.
Southern district of Texas, Case No. 4:14-cv-02972-KPE
Matter: Patent infringement, wireless sensor networks
Project: Non-infringement expert report

L150. 2015 **Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP**
Case: GENBAND US, LLC v. Metaswitch Networks Ltd, et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:14-cv-33-JRG-RSP
Matter: Patent infringement, Internet protocols and VoIP, switches and routers
Project: Expert report regarding essentiality, non-infringement expert report, rebuttal expert report regarding non-practice, supplemental rebuttal expert report, three-day depositions, jury trial testimony

L151. 2015 **Duane Morris LLP; Foley & Lardner LLP**
Case: Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC v. Leap Wireless International, Cricket Communications, Inc.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:13-cv-00885-RSP
Matter: Patent infringement, OFDM and MIMO
Project: Non-infringement expert report, deposition

L152. 2015 **Hogan Lovells US LLP; Kenyon & Kenyon LLP**
Case: One-E-Way v. Beats Electronics, LLC, Sony Corporation, et al.
In the Matter of Certain Wireless Headsets, ITC Investigation No. 337-TA-943
Matter: Patent infringement, wireless communication
Project: Claim construction, declaration

L153. 2015 **McKool Smith**
Case: Solocron Media, LLC v. AT&T Inc., et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall division, Case No. 2:13-cv-1059-JRG
Matter: Patent infringement, ringtone download
Project: Claim construction, invalidity expert report

L154. 2015 **EIP US LLP**
Case: Good Technology Software, Inc. v. Mobile Iron, Inc.
IPR2015-00833, IPR2015-00836, IPR2015-01090
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, software management in wireless devices
Project: Three declarations to support three IPR petitions

L155. 2015 **McKool Smith**
Case: AirWatch LLC v. Good Technology Corp
Northern district of Georgia, Case No. 1:14-cv-02281-SCJ
Matter: Patent infringement, software management in wireless devices
Project: Claim construction, declaration

L156. 2015 **Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP**
Case: IXI Mobile (R&D) Ltd. et al. v. Apple Inc.
Southern district of New York, Case No. 14-cv-7594-RJS
Matter: Patent infringement, PDA and Bluetooth
Project: Invalidity consulting

L157. 2014 **Bragalone Conroy PC**
Case: Global Tel*Link Corporation v. Securus Technologies, Inc.
IPR2014-00785, IPR2014-00810, IPR2014-00824, IPR2014-00825, IPR2014-01278, IPR2014-01282, IPR2014-01283
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, VoIP call monitoring and recording, allocating telecommunication resources and information systems
Project: Seven declarations to support seven Patent Owner's responses, five depositions

L158. 2014 **Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP**
Case: Shopkick, Inc. v. Novitaz, Inc.
IPR2015-00277, IPR2015-00278
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, wireless customer service management
Project: Two declarations to support two IPR petitions

L159. 2014 **Paul Hastings LLP**
Case: Cellular Communications Equipment LLC v. AT&T, et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Tyler division, Case No. 6:13-cv-507-LED (Lead Case for Consolidation)
Matter: Patent infringement, 3G cellular communication
Project: Claim construction, declaration

L160. 2014 **Baker Botts LLP**
Case: Orlando Communications LLC v. AT&T, et al.
M.D. Florida, Case No. 6:14-cv-01021
Matter: Patent infringement, 3G/4G cellular communication
Project: Non-infringement and claim construction consulting

L161. 2014 **EIP US LLP**
Case: Good Technology Software, Inc. v. AirWatch, LLC
IPR2015-00248, IPR2015-00875
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, software management in wireless devices
Project: Two declarations to support two IPR petitions

L162. 2014 **Bragalone Conroy PC**
Case: Securus Technologies, Inc. v. Global Tel*Link Corporation
IPR2015-00153, IPR2015-00155, IPR2015-00156
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, VoIP call monitoring and recording
Project: Three declarations to support three IPR petitions, two depositions

L163. 2014 **Andrews Kurth LLP**
Case: Sony Mobile Communications (USA) v. Adaptix Inc.
IPR2014-01524, IPR2014-01525
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, subcarrier selection in LTE
Project: Two declarations to support two IPR petitions, deposition

L164. 2014 **Steptoe & Johnson LLP; Baker & McKenzie LLP**
Case: VTech Communications, Inc. and Uniden America Corporations v. Spherix Incorporated
IPR2014-01432
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, IP telephony
Project: Declaration to support IPR petition, deposition, reply declaration, deposition

L165. 2014 **Steptoe & Johnson LLP; Baker & McKenzie LLP**
Case: Spherix Inc. v. VTech Telecommunications Ltd., et al.
Spherix Inc. v. Uniden Corp, et al.
Northern district of Texas, Dallas division, Case No. 3:13-cv-3494 and 3:13-cv-3496
Matter: Patent infringement, IP telephony
Project: Claim construction, declaration, deposition

L166. 2014 **McKool Smith**
Case: Good Technology Corp. v. MobileIron, Inc.
Northern district of California, Case No. 5:12-cv-05826-PSG
Matter: Patent infringement, software management in wireless devices
Project: Claim construction, three declarations, claim invalidity expert report, non-infringement expert report, deposition, jury trial testimony

L167. 2014 **Lee & Hayes**
Case: Broadcom Corp. v. Ericsson, Inc.
IPR2013-00601, IPR2013-00602, and IPR2013-00636
Matter: *Inter Partes* Review, ARQ protocols

Project: Three declarations to support Patent Owner's responses, two declarations to support Patent Owner's Motion to Amend, deposition, two reply declarations

L168. 2014 **Sidley Austin LLP**
Case: Adaptix, Inc. v. Huawei Technologies Co., et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Case No. 6:13-cv-00438, 439, 440 and 441
Matter: Patent infringement, subcarrier selection in LTE
Project: Source code review, non-infringement consulting

L169. 2014 **Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett & Dunner LLP**
Case: Cell and Network Selection LLC v. Huawei Technologies Co., et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Case No. 6:13-cv-00404-LED-JDL
Matter: Patent infringement, base station selection in LTE
Project: Non-infringement consulting

L170. 2014 **Feinberg Day Alberti & Thompson LLP**
Case: DSS Technology Management, Inc. v. Apple Inc.
Eastern district of Texas, Tyler division, Case No. 6:13-cv-00919-JDL
Matter: Patent infringement, PDA and Bluetooth
Project: Claim construction and invalidity consulting

L171. 2014 **Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP**
Case: Digcom Inc. v. ZTE (USA), Inc.
District of Nevada, Case No. 3:13-cv-00178-RCJ-WGC
Matter: Patent infringement, cellular communication
Project: Claim construction consulting

L172. 2014 **Lott & Fischer**
Case: Zenith Electronics, LLC, et al. v. Craig Electronics, Inc.
Southern district of Florida, Case No. 9:13-cv-80567-DMM/DLB
Matter: Patent infringement, HDTV transmission and reception
Project: Opening expert report regarding nonessentiality

L173. 2013 **McKool Smith**
Case: Zenith Electronics, LLC, et al. v. Curtis International Ltd.
Southern district of Florida, Case No. 9:13-cv-80568-DMM/DLB
Matter: Patent infringement, HDTV transmission and reception
Project: Claim construction, declaration, deposition

L174. 2013 **Gibson Dunn**
Case: Straight Path IP Group v. Sharp Corp. and Sharp Electronics Corp.
In the Matter of Certain Point-to-Point Network Communication Devices and Products Containing Same, ITC Investigation No. 337-TA-892
Matter: Patent infringement, point-to-point network communication

Project: Non-infringement consulting

L175. 2013 **Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP; Cooley LLP**
Case: Monec Holding AG v. Motorola Mobility LLC, HTC, et al.
District of Delaware, Case No. 1:11-cv-798-LPS-SRF
Matter: Patent infringement, displaying books on tablets
Project: Non-infringement expert report for Motorola, non-infringement expert report for HTC, deposition

L176. 2013 **Gartman Law Group**
Case: Lone Star WiFi LLC v. Legacy Stonebriar Hotel, Ltd; et al.
Eastern district Of Texas, Tyler, Case No. 6:12-cv-957
Matter: Patent infringement, levels of access in Wi-Fi networks
Project: Claim validity consulting

L177. 2013 **White & Case, LLP**
Case: Nokia Corp and Nokia, Inc. v. HTC Corp and HTC America, Inc. and Google, Inc.
In the Matter of Certain Portable Electronic Communication Devices, Including Mobile Phones and Components Thereof, ITC Investigation No. 337-TA-885
Matter: Patent infringement, App download and installation
Project: Non-infringement consulting

L178. 2013 **Heim, Payne & Chorush, LLP**
Case: Rembrandt Wireless v. Samsung Electronics Co., et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Marshall, Case No. 2:13-cv-213-JRG-RSP
Matter: Patent infringement, Bluetooth
Project: Expert report regarding validity, deposition, jury trial testimony

L179. 2013 **Baker Hostetler; Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP**
Case: Comcast v. Sprint; and Nextel Inc.
Eastern district of Pennsylvania, Case No. 2:12-cv-00859-JD
Matter: Patent infringement, SMS/MMS in Cellular Networks
Project: Infringement expert report, validity expert report, reply expert report, declaration, two-day depositions, jury trial testimony

L180. 2013 **McKool Smith**
Case: Samsung Electronics America v. Ericsson Inc.
In the Matter of Certain Wireless Communications Equipment and Articles Therein, ITC Investigation No. 337-TA-866
Matter: Patent infringement, LTE uplink and downlink
Project: Source code review, prior art research, claim construction, claim invalidity expert report, non-infringement expert report, ITC hearing testimony

L181. 2012 **DLA Piper US LLP**
Case: CSR Technology Inc. v. Freescale Semiconductor, Inc.
USDC-San Francisco, Case No. 3:12-cv-02619-RS
Matter: Patent infringement, radio transceivers
Project: Claim construction, declaration

L182. 2012 **Fish & Richardson P.C.**
Case: GPNE Corp. v. Apple, Inc.; et al.
USDC-ND California, Case No. 5:12-cv-02885-LHK
Matter: Patent infringement, resource allocation in wireless networks
Project: Prior art research consulting

L183. 2012 **Polsinelli Shughart PC**
Case: Single Touch Interactive, Inc. v. Zoove Corporation
Northern district of California, Case No. 3:12-cv-00831-JSC
Matter: Patent infringement, abbreviated dialing, information delivery
Project: Claim construction, Markman hearing and tech tutorial testimony, two declarations

L184. 2012 **K & L Gates**
Case: EON Corp. IP Holdings, LLC v. Novatel Wireless, Inc.; et al.
DC-Tyler, Texas, Case No. 6:11-cv-00015-LED-JDL
Matter: Patent infringement, wireless modem and 3G services
Project: Non-infringement expert report, deposition

L185. 2012 **Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP**
Case: CSR Technology, Inc. v. Bandspeed, Inc.
Western district of Texas, Case No. 1:12-cv-297-LY
Matter: Patent infringement, packet identification in 2.4 GHz and 5 GHz
Project: Source code review, Markman hearing and tech tutorial testimony, infringement expert report

L186. 2012 **Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP**
Case: Wi-LAN v. HTC America, Inc., et al.
Eastern district of Texas, Case No. 6:10-cv-521-LED
Matter: Patent infringement, CDMA, Orthogonal Codes
Project: Source code review, non-infringement expert report, deposition, jury trial testimony

L187. 2012 **Dechert LLP**
Case: Hitachi v. TPV and Vizio, Inc.; and Vizio v. Hitachi, LTD.
Eastern district of Texas, Case No. 2:10-cv-260
Matter: Patent infringement, HD television transmission and reception
Project: Prior art research, claim invalidity consulting

L188. 2012 **Fish & Richardson P.C.; Covington & Burling; Alston & Bird; Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione**
Case: InterDigital Commc'n, LLC v. Huawei Tech. Co. LTD; LG Electronics, Inc.; Nokia, Inc.; and ZTE (USA) Inc.
Certain Wireless Devices With 3G Capabilities and Components Thereof, ITC Investigation No. 337-TA-800
Matter: Patent infringement, channel coding in UMTS, HSDPA
Project: Non-infringement consulting

L189. 2012 **Fish & Richardson P.C.; Covington & Burling; Alston & Bird; Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione**
Case: InterDigital Commc'n, LLC v. Huawei Tech. Co. LTD; LG Electronics, Inc.; Nokia, Inc.; and ZTE (USA) Inc.
District of Delaware, Case No. 1:11-cv-00654-UNA
Matter: Patent infringement, channel coding in UMTS, HSDPA
Project: Non-infringement consulting

L190. 2011 **O'Melveny & Myers LLP**
Case: MobileMedia Ideas, LLC v. Apple, Inc.
District of Delaware, Case No. 1:10-cv-00258-SLR-MPT
Matter: Patent infringement, voice control, call rejection in mobile phones
Project: Source code review, prior art research, declaration, claim invalidity expert report, non-infringement expert report, deposition, jury trial testimony

L191. 2011 **Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr**
Case: Apple, Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co.
Northern district of California, Case No. 5:11-cv-01846-LHK
Matter: Patent infringement, channel coding in CDMA, E-AGCH, TFCI
Project: Prior art research, claim construction consulting

L192. 2011 **Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP**
Case: Vizio, Inc. v. Renesas Electronics America, Inc.
ITC Investigation No. 337-TA-789
Matter: Patent infringement, HD television transmission and reception
Project: Claim invalidity consulting

L193. 2011 **Shapiro Cohen**
Case: TenXc Wireless Inc. v. Andrew LLC
TenXc Wireless Inc. v. Mobi Antenna Technologies Ltd.
Matter: Patent infringement, antenna design, sectorized cellular network
Project: Claim validity consulting

L194. 2010 **Fish & Richardson P.C.**
Case: Vizio, Inc., v. LG Electronics, Inc.
ITC Investigation No. 337-TA-733

Matter: Patent infringement, HD television transmission and reception
Project: Claim charts, claim construction expert report, deposition

L195. 2010 **Fish & Richardson P.C.**
Case: Vizio, Inc., v. LG Electronics, Inc.
District of Maryland, Case No. 1:09-cv-1481-BEL
Matter: Patent infringement, HD television transmission and reception
Project: Claim charts, claim construction expert report, deposition

L196. 2008 **Kaye Scholer LLP**
Case: eBay Inc. v. IDT.
Western district of Arkansas, Case No. 4:08-cv-4015-HFB
Matter: Patent infringement, long distance communication using Internet
Project: Prior art research, claim construction consulting

L197. 2008 **Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP**
Case: Commil USA, LLC v. Cisco Systems, Inc.
Eastern district of Texas, Case No. 2:07-cv-00341-DF-CE
Matter: Patent infringement, two-level wireless protocol
Project: Prior art research

L198. 2006 **Woodfill and Pressler**
Case: Charles Russell v. Interinsurance Exchange of the Auto Club
Harris County, Texas, Case No. 2005-19706
Matter: House fire and insurance claim
Project: Determining user location using cellular phone records, expert report, deposition, jury trial testimony

Consulting History

From: 11/2022 **Abe, Ikubo & Katayama (Client: ASUS Japan K.K.)**
To: 12/2022 Japan
Duties: Analyze 3GPP standards contribution.

From: 8/2022 **JLP Enterprise Holdings LLC**
To: 8/2022 Dallas, TX
Duties: Analyze patents for essentiality to 5G standards.

From: 5/2022 **Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission DOCKET UT-181051**
To: 12/2022 Olympia, WA
Duties: Analyze cause(s) of network failure, provide testimony on behalf of Staff, deposition, hearing testimony

From: 8/2021 **Carter Arnett (Client: G+ Communications)**
To: 5/2022 Dallas, TX

Duties: Analyze patents for essentiality to 4G and 5G standards.

From: 2/2021 **ExpertsDirect Pty Ltd**
To: 3/2021 Australia
Duties: Analyze antenna arrays.

From: 11/2020 **Licks Attorneys**
To: 1/2021 Brazil
Duties: Analyze patents for essentiality to 4G standards.

From: 6/2019 **Fish & Richardson, P.C. (Client: Huawei)**
To: 5/2022 Dallas, TX
Duties: Analyze patents for essentiality to 3G, 4G, and 5G standards.

From: 1/2013 **Heim, Payne & Chorush, LLP**
To: 3/2013 Houston, TX
Duties: Analyze patents on wireless technologies.

From: 4/2007 **Collin County Sheriff's Office**
To: 5/2007 McKinney, TX
Duties: Analyzed cellular record data and determined user location in a double-homicide investigation.

From: 4/2004 **Allegiant Integrated Solutions**
To: 5/2004 Fort Worth, TX
Duties: Designed and developed an integrated set of tools for fast deployment of wireless networks. The tools optimize the placement of Access Points and determine their respective channel allocations to minimize interference and maximize capacity.

From: 3/2002 **Input/Output Incorporated**
To: 4/2002 New Orleans, LA
Duties: Designed and implemented an algorithm in MATLAB for optimizing the frequency selection process used by sonar for scanning the bottom of the ocean.

From: 6/1998 **Teleware Corporation**
To: 7/1998 Seoul, South Korea
Duties: Designed and developed a software package for analyzing the capacity in a CDMA network to maximize the number of subscribers.

Employment History

From: 5/2023 **University of North Texas**
To: Present Denton, TX
Position: *Tenured Professor Department of Computer Science and Engineering*

Conducting research on MIMO and 5G cellular networks. Teaching telecommunication courses. Advising graduate and undergraduate students.

From: 1/2015 **University of North Texas**
To: 8/2021 Denton, TX
Position: *Associate Chair of Graduate Studies Department of Computer Science and Engineering*
In charge of all administrative duties related to the Master's and Ph.D. programs in the department.

From: 5/2008 **University of North Texas**
To: 5/2023 Denton, TX
Position: *Tenured Associate Professor Department of Computer Science and Engineering*
Conducting research on cellular networks and wireless sensor networks. Teaching wireless communication courses. Advising graduate and undergraduate students.

From: 9/2002 **University of North Texas**
To: 5/2008 Denton, TX
Position: *Assistant Professor Department of Computer Science and Engineering*
Conducting research on WCDMA/UMTS wireless networks. Teaching wireless communication and computer architecture courses. Advising graduate and undergraduate students.

From: 1/2002 **University of New Orleans**
To: 8/2002 New Orleans, LA
Position: *Assistant Professor Department of Electrical Engineering*
Designed and taught two new courses "Computer Systems Design I and II". Developed a Computer Engineering Curriculum with strong hardware-design emphasis. Formed a wireless research group. Advised graduate and undergraduate students.

From: 10/2000 **Comspace Corporation**
To: 12/2001 Coppell, TX
Position: *Senior Systems Engineer*
Designed, coded (in Matlab), and simulated Viterbi decoding, Turbo coding, trellis coded modulation (TCM), and Reed-Muller codes. Optimized soft decision parameters and interleavers for additive white Gaussian and Rayleigh faded channels. Extended the control and trunking of push-to-talk Logic Trunked Radio (LTR) to include one-to-one and one-to-many voice and data messaging.

From: 8/1997 **MinMax Corporation**
To: 5/1999 Saint Louis, MO

Position: *Research Associate*
Designed software packages that provide the tools to flexibly allocate capacity in a CDMA network and maximize the number of subscribers. Analyzed and simulated different audio compression schemes. Validated, simulated (logical and timing), and developed the hardware architecture for an ATM switch capable of channel group switching.

From: 8/1994 **Washington University**
To: 8/2000 Saint Louis, MO
Position: *Research and Teaching Assistant*
Taught, consulted, and graded Circuit Analysis at the undergraduate level and Network Design at the graduate level.

Publications

Conference Proceedings

C1. R. Chataut, **R. Akl**, U.K. Dey, "An Adaptive User Scheduling Algorithm for 6G Massive MIMO Systems," *25th IEEE International Conference on Advanced Communications Technology (IEEE ICACT 2023)*, February 2023, pp. 158-163.

C2. U.K. Dey, **R. Akl**, R. Chataut, "Performance Improvement in Cellular V2X (CV2X) by Using Massive MIMO Jacobi Detector," *2022 IEEE 19th International Conference on Smart Communities: Improving Quality of Life Using ICT, IoT and AI (HONET)*, December 2022, pp. 122-127.

C3. U.K. Dey, **R. Akl**, R. Chataut, "Performance Improvement in Cellular V2X (CV2X) by Using Low Density Parity Check (LDPC) Code," *2022 IEEE 13th Annual Ubiquitous Computing, Electronics & Mobile Communication Conference (UEMCON)*, October 2022, pp. 296-302.

C4. M. Robaei, **R. Akl**, "Distributed Compressed Sensing Karhunen-Loéve Expansion - Application to Millimeter-Wave Resource Allocation Through Group Beamforming," *2022 IEEE International Workshop Technical Committee on Communications Quality and Reliability (CQR)*, September 2022, pp. 7-12.

C5. R. Chataut, **R. Akl**, U.K. Dey, "Massive MIMO Uplink Signal Detector for 5G and Beyond Networks," *2022 IEEE Texas Symposium on Wireless and Microwave Circuits and Systems (WMCS)*, April 2022, 7 pgs.

C6. M. Robaei, **R. Akl**, R. Chataut, U.K. Dey, "Adaptive Millimeter-Wave Channel Estimation and Tracking," *2022 24th International Conference on Advanced Communication Technology (ICACT)*, February 2022, pp. 23-28.

C7. U.K. Dey, **R. Akl**, R. Chataut, "Throughput Improvement in Vehicular Communication by Using Low Density Parity Check (LDPC) Code," *IEEE*

CCWC 2022 The 12th Annual Computing and Communication Workshop and Conference, January 2022, pp. 836-843.

C8. U.K. Dey, **R. Akl**, R. Chataut, M. Robaei, "Selective MIMO in Vehicular Communication for Reliable Safety Services and High Speed Non-Safety Services," *2021 IEEE 12th Annual Ubiquitous Computing, Electronics & Mobile Communication Conference (UEMCON)*, 2021, pp. 785-790, 6 pgs.

C9. R. Chataut, **R. Akl**, U.K. Dey, "An Efficient and Fast-convergent Detector for 5G and Beyond Massive MIMO Systems," *2021 IEEE 12th Annual Ubiquitous Computing, Electronics & Mobile Communication Conference (UEMCON)*, 2021, pp. 866-872, 7 pgs.

C10. M. Robaei, **R. Akl**, "Millimeter-Wave Blockage Modeling And Mitigation," *2021 IEEE International Workshop Technical Committee on Communications Quality and Reliability (CQR 2021)*, 2021, 6 pgs.

C11. M. Robaei, **R. Akl**, "Characterizing Non-Stationary Millimeter-Wave Communication Using Fuzzy Entropy," *2021 55th Annual Conference on Information Sciences and Systems (CISS)*, 2021, 6 pgs.

C12. M. Robaei, **R. Akl**, R. Chataut, U.K. Dey, "Adaptive Millimeter-Wave Channel Estimation and Tracking," *2021 23rd International Conference on Advanced Communication Technology (ICACT)*, February 2021, pp. 23-28, 6 pgs.

C13. U.K. Dey, **R. Akl**, R. Chataut, M. Robaei, "Modified PHY Layer for High Performance V2X Communication using 5G NR," *IEEE UEMCON 11th IEEE Annual Ubiquitous Computing, Electronics & Mobile Communication Conference*, October 2020, pp. 137-142, 6 pgs.

C14. R. Chataut, **R. Akl**, "An Efficient and Fair Scheduling for Downlink 5G Massive MIMO Systems," *IEEE Texas Symposium on Wireless and Microwave Circuits and Systems*, June 2020, paper no.TSWMCS2020-39, 8 pgs.

C15. R. Chataut, **R. Akl**, "Efficient and Low-Complexity Iterative Detectors for 5G Massive MIMO Systems," *IEEE DCOSS 2020 International Conference on Distributed Computing in Sensor Systems*, May 2020, pp. 442-449, 8 pgs.

C16. U.K. Dey, **R. Akl**, R. Chataut, "High Throughput Vehicular Communication Using Spatial Multiplexing MIMO," *IEEE CCWC 2020 The 10th Annual Computing and Communication Workshop and Conference*, January 2020, paper no. 1570613408, 6 pgs.

C17. R. Chataut, **R. Akl**, M. Robaei, "Accelerated and Preconditioned Refinement of Gauss-Seidel Method for Uplink Signal Detection in 5G Massive MIMO

Systems," *IEEE CCWC 2020 The 10th Annual Computing and Communication Workshop and Conference*, January 2020, paper no. 1570605343, 7 pgs.

C18. M. Robaei, **R. Akl**, "Examining Spatial Consistency for Millimeter-Wave Massive MIMO Channel Estimation in 5G-NR," *IEEE ICCE 2020 The 38th International Conference on Consumer Electronics*, January 2020, paper no. 1570596880, 6 pages.

C19. R. Chataut, **R. Akl**, "Channel Gain Based User Scheduling for 5G Massive MIMO Systems," *IEEE HONET-ICT 2019 The 16th International Conference on Smart Cities: Improving Quality of Life Using ICT & IoT and AI*, October 2019, paper no. 1570565594, 5 pgs.

C20. M. Robaei, **R. Akl**, "Time-Variant Broadband mmWave Channel Estimation Based on Compressed Sensing," *IEEE UEMCON 2019 The 10th Annual Ubiquitous Computing, Electronics & Mobile Communication Conference*, October 2019, paper no. 1570577430, 7 pages.

C21. R. Chataut, **R. Akl**, U. Dey, "Least Square Regressor Selection Based Detection for Uplink 5G Massive MIMO Systems," *IEEE WAMICON 2019 The 20th Annual IEEE Wireless and Microwave Technology Conference*, April 2019, paper no. 1570524727, 6 pgs.

C22. R. Chataut, **R. Akl**, "Huber Fitting Based ADMM Detection for Uplink 5G Massive MIMO Systems," *IEEE UEMCON 2018 The 9th Annual Ubiquitous Computing, Electronics & Mobile Communication Conference*, November 2018, paper no. 1570492416, 5 pgs.

C23. R. Chataut, **R. Akl**, "Efficient and Low Complex Uplink Detection for 5G Massive MIMO Systems," *IEEE WAMICON 2018 The 19th Annual Wireless and Microwave Technology Conference*, April 2018, paper no. 1570431593, 6 pgs.

C24. R. Chataut, **R. Akl**, "Optimal Pilot Reuse Factor Based on User Environments in 5G Massive MIMO," *IEEE CCWC 2018 The 8th Annual Computing and Communication Workshop Conference*, January 2018, paper no. 1570413394, 6 pgs.

C25. S. Alotaibi, **R. Akl**, "Radio Resource Management in LTE Femtocell Networks," *IEEE NCA '17 International Symposium on Network Computing and Applications*, November 2017, paper no. 117, 5 pgs.

C26. U. Sawant, **R. Akl**, "Subcarrier Allocation in LTE Network Deployment with Mobility," *IEEE UEMCON 2017 8th Annual Ubiquitous Computing, Electronics and Mobile Communication Conference*, October 2017, paper no. 1570349184, 8 pgs.

C27. S. Alotaibi, **R. Akl**, "Packet Scheduling Bandwidth Type-Based Mechanism for LTE," *IEEE UEMCON 2017 8th Annual Ubiquitous Computing, Electronics and Mobile Communication Conference*, October 2017, paper no. 1570394639, 6 pgs.

C28. S. Alotaibi, **R. Akl**, "Dynamic Fractional Frequency Reuse (FFR) Scheme for Two-Tier Network in LTE," *IEEE UEMCON 2017 8th Annual Ubiquitous Computing, Electronics and Mobile Communication Conference*, October 2017, paper no. 1570394969, 6 pgs.

C29. U. Sawant, **R. Akl**, "Evaluation of Adaptive and Non Adaptive LTE Fractional Frequency Reuse Mechanisms," *IEEE WOCC 2017 The 26th Annual Wireless and Optical Communications Conference*, April 2017, paper no. 1570341174, 6 pgs.

C30. S. Alotaibi, **R. Akl**, "Range-Based Scheme for Adjusting Transmission Power for Femtocells in Co-Channel Deployment," *IEEE WTS 2017 The 16th Annual Wireless Telecommunications Symposium*, April 2017, paper no. 1570334744, 5 pgs.

C31. U. Sawant, **R. Akl**, "A Novel Metric to Study the Performance of Sectorized Fractional Frequency Reuse Techniques in LTE," *IEEE WTS 2017 The 16th Annual Wireless Telecommunications Symposium*, April 2017, paper no. 1570338498, 7 pgs.

C32. S. Alotaibi, **R. Akl**, "Dynamic Frequency Partitioning Scheme for LTE HetNet Networks Using Fractional Frequency Reuse," *IEEE WCNC '17 Wireless Communications and Networking Conference*, March 2017, paper no. 1570332420, 5 pgs., demo and poster.

C33. U. Sawant, **R. Akl**, "Performance Evaluation of Network Productivity for LTE Heterogenous Networks with Reward-Penalty Weights Assessment," *IEEE CCWC 2017 The 7th Annual Computing and Communication Workshop Conference*, January 2017, paper no. 1570328396, 6 pgs.

C34. S. Alotaibi, **R. Akl**, "Self-Adjustment Downlink Transmission Power for Femtocells in Co-Channel Deployment in Heterogeneous Networks," *IEEE CCWC 2017 The 7th Annual Computing and Communication Workshop Conference*, January 2017, paper no. 1570326815, 6 pgs.

C35. U. Sawant, **R. Akl**, "Performance Evaluation of Sectorized Fractional Frequency Reuse Techniques Using Novel Metric," *IEEE ISCC 2016 The Twenty-First IEEE Symposium on Computers and Communications*, June 2016, paper no. 1570275270, 7 pgs.

C36. R. Tidwell, S. Akumalla, S. Karlaputi, **R. Akl**, K. Kavi, and D. Struble, "Evaluating the Feasibility of EMG and Bend Sensors for Classifying Hand

Gestures," *1st International Conference on Multimedia and Human Computer Interaction*, July 2013, paper no. 63, 8 pgs.

C37. **R. Akl**, K. Pasupathy, and M. Haidar, "Anchor Nodes Placement for Effective Passive Localization," *2011 IEEE International Conference on Selected Topics in Mobile and Wireless Networks (iCOST)*, October 2011, paper no. 1569490799, pp. 127 - 132.

C38. **R. Akl**, P. Kadiyala, and M. Haidar, "Non-Uniform Grid-Based Routing in Sensor Networks," *9th IEEE Malaysia International Conference on Communications*, December 2009, paper no. 1569243649, pp. 536 - 540.

C39. M. Haidar, M. Al-Rizzo, Y. Chan, **R. Akl**, M. Bouharras, "Throughput Validation of an Advanced Channel Assignment Algorithm in IEEE 802.11 WLAN," *ICCSN 2009 – International Conference on Communication Software and Networks*, February 2009, paper no. P385, pp. 801 - 806.

C40. **R. Akl** and D. Keathly, "Robocamp: Encouraging Young Women to Embrace STEM," 4th Annual TETC Best Practices Conference, February 2009, 13 pgs.

C41. M. Haidar, R. Ghimire, M. Al-Rizzo, **R. Akl**, Y. Chan, "Channel Assignment in an IEEE 802.11 WLAN Based on Signal-to-interference Ratio," *IEEE CCECE – Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering: Communications and Networking*, May 2008, paper no. 1569092894, pp. 1169 - 1174.

C42. H. Al-Rizzo, M. Haidar, **R. Akl**, and Y. Chan, "Enhanced Channel Assignment and Load Distribution in IEEE 802.11 WLANs," *IEEE International Conference on Signal Processing and Communication*, November 2007, paper no. 1569042132, pp. 768 - 771.

C43. **R. Akl** and Y. Saravanos, "Hybrid Energy-Aware Synchronization Algorithm in Wireless Sensor Networks," *18th Annual IEEE International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications*, September 2007, paper no 692, 5 pgs.

C44. M. Haidar, **R. Akl**, and H. Al-Rizzo, "Channel Assignment and Load Distribution in a Power-Managed WLAN," *18th Annual IEEE International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications*, September 2007, paper no. 463, 5 pgs.

C45. D. Keathly and **R. Akl,** "Attracting and Retaining Women in Computer Science and Engineering: Evaluating the Results," *Proceedings of American Society for Engineering Education: ASEE Annual Conference*, June 2007, paper no. AC 2007-1229, 10 pgs.

C46. M. Haidar, **R. Akl**, H. Al-Rizzo, Y. Chan, R. Adada, "Optimal Load Distribution in Large Scale WLAN Networks Utilizing a Power Management Algorithm," *Proceedings of IEEE Sarnoff Symposium*, May 2007, 5 pgs.

C47. R. Dantu, P. Kolan, **R. Akl,** and K. Loper, "Classification of Attributes and Behavior in Risk Management Using Bayesian Networks," *Proceedings of IEEE Intelligence and Security Informatics Conference*, May 2007, pp. 71-74.

C48. **R. Akl** and A. Arepally, "Dynamic Channel Assignment in IEEE 802.11 Networks," *Proceedings of IEEE Portable 2007: International Conference on Portable Information Devices*, March 2007, pp 309-313.

C49. **R. Akl** and U. Sawant, "Grid-based Coordinated Routing in Wireless Sensor Networks," *Proceedings of IEEE CCNC 2007: Consumer Communications and Networking Conference*, January 2007, pp. 860-864.

C50. **R. Akl** and A. Arepally, "Simulation of Throughput in UMTS Networks with Different Spreading Factors," *Proceedings of IEEE VTC Fall 2006: Vehicular Technology Conference,* September 2006, pp. C1-5.

C51. A. Alhabsi, H. Al-Rizzo, and **R. Akl,** "Parity Assisted Decision Making for QAM Modulation," *International Conference on Mobile Computing and Wireless Communications*, September 2006, paper no. 1568988776, 5 pgs.

C52. **R. Akl** and R. Garlick**,** "Retention and Recruitment of Women in Computer Engineering," *ICEE 2006: International Conference on Engineering Education,* July 2006, paper no. 3318, 5 pgs.

C53. R. Garlick and **R. Akl,** "Intra-Class Competitive Assignments in CS2: A One-Year Study," *ICEE 2006: International Conference on Engineering Education,* July 2006, paper no. 3325, 5 pgs.

C54. **R. Akl**, D. Tummala, and X. Li, "Indoor Propagation Modeling at 2.4 GHz for IEEE 802.11 Networks," *WNET 2006: Wireless Networks and Emerging Technologies,* July 2006, paper no. 510-014, 6 pgs.

C55. P. Chen, K. Kavi, and **R. Akl,** "Performance Enhancement by Eliminating Redundant Function Execution," *Proceedings of IEEE: 39th Annual Simulation Symposium*, April 2006, pp. 143-150.

C56. **R. Akl** and S. Nguyen, "Capacity Allocation in Multi-cell UMTS Networks for Different Spreading Factors with Perfect and Imperfect Power Control," *Proceedings of IEEE CCNC 2006: Consumer Communications and Networking Conference*, January 2006, vol. 2, pp. 928-932.

C57. W. Li, K. Kavi, and **R. Akl**, "An Efficient Non-Preemptive Real-Time

Scheduling," *18th International Conference on Parallel and Distributed Computing Systems*, Las Vegas, NV, September 2005, pp. 154-160.

C58. S. Nguyen and **R. Akl**, "Approximating User Distributions in WCDMA Networks Using 2-D Gaussian," *CCCC20T 05: International Conference on Computing, Communications, and Control Technologies*, July 2005, 5 pgs.

C59. **R. Akl** and S. Park, "Optimal Access Point Selection and Traffic Allocation in IEEE 802.11 Networks," *Proceedings of 9th World Multiconference on Systemics, Cybernetics and Informatics (WMSCI 2005): Communication and Network Systems, Technologies and Applications*, July 2005, vol. 8, pp. 75-79.

C60. **R. Akl**, M. Naraghi-Pour, M. Hegde, "Throughput Optimization in Multi-Cell CDMA Networks," *IEEE WCNC 2005 - Wireless Communications, and Networking Conference*, March 2005, vol. 3, pp. 1292-1297.

C61. **R. Akl**, "Subscriber Maximization in CDMA Cellular Networks," *Proceedings of CCCT 04: International Conference on Computing, Communications, and Control Technologies*, August 2004, vol. 3, pp. 234-239.

C62. **R. Akl** and A. Parvez, "Global versus Local Call Admission Control in CDMA Cellular Networks," *Proceedings of CITSA 04: Communications, Information and Control Systems, Technologies and Applications*, July 2004, vol. 2, pp. 283-288.

C63. **R. Akl** and A. Parvez, "Impact of Interference Model on Capacity in CDMA Cellular Networks," *Proceedings of SCI 04: Communication and Network Systems, Technologies and Applications*, July 2004, vol. 3, pp. 404-408. Selected as **best paper** of those presented in the session: Tele-Communication Systems, Technologies and Application II.

C64. **R.G. Akl**, M.V. Hegde, M. Naraghi-Pour, P.S. Min, "Call Admission Control Scheme for Arbitrary Traffic Distribution in CDMA Cellular Systems," *IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference*, September 2000, vol. 1, pp. 465-470.

C65. **R.G. Akl**, M.V. Hegde, M. Naraghi-Pour, P.S. Min, "Cell Placement in a CDMA Network," *IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference*, September 1999, vol. 2, pp. 903-907.

C66. **R.G. Akl**, M.V. Hegde, P.S. Min, "Effects of Call Arrival Rate and Mobility on Network Throughput in Multi-Cell CDMA," *IEEE International Conference on Communications*, June 1999, vol. 3, pp. 1763-1767.

C67. **R.G. Akl**, M.V. Hegde, M. Naraghi-Pour, P.S. Min, "Flexible Allocation of Capacity in Multi-Cell CDMA Networks," *IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference*, May 1999, vol. 2, pp. 1643-1647.

Journal Publications

J1. R. Chataut, **R. Akl**, "An Adaptive User Scheduling Algorithm for 6G Massive MIMO Systems," *IEEE Transactions on Advanced Communications Technology*, November 2023, 8 pgs.

J2. R. Chataut, A. Phoummalayvane, **R. Akl**, "Unleashing the Power of IoT: A Comprehensive Review of IoT Applications and Future Prospects in Healthcare, Agriculture, Smart Homes, Smart Cities, and Industry 4.0," *Sensors 2023*, August 2023, 19 pgs.

J3. M. Robaei, **R. Akl**, "Quadratic Displacement Operators—Theory and Application to Millimeter-Wave Channel Tracking," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications,* January 2023, Vol. 22, No. 1, 15 pgs.

J4. M. Robaei, **R. Akl**, "Continuous Compressed Sensing Hilbert-Schmidt Integral Operator," *IEEE Access*, August 2022, pp. 80264-80276.

J5. R. Chataut, **R. Akl**, U.K. Dey, M. Robaei, "SSOR Preconditioned Gauss-Seidel Detection and Its Hardware Architecture for 5G and beyond Massive MIMO Networks," *Electronics 2021 ISSN 2079-9292*, 10(5), 578, *Special Issue MIMO for Next Generation Wireless Systems,* March 2021, 17 pgs.

J6. R. Chataut, **R. Akl**, "Massive MIMO Systems for 5G and Beyond Networks – Overview, Recent Trends, Challenges, and Future Research Direction," *Sensors 2020*, 20(10), 2753, May 2020.

J7. R. Chataut, **R. Akl,** "Massive MIMO Systems for 5G," *Encyclopedia 2020*, doi:10.32545, 2020, (ISSN 2309-3366).

J8. S. Alotaibi, **R. Akl**, "Range-Based Scheme for Adjusting Transmission Power of Femtocell in Co-Channel Deployment," *International Journal of Interdisciplinary Telecommunications and Networking*, IJITN Vol. 10, No. 4, pgs. 14-24, 2018.

J9. U. Sawant, **R. Akl**, "Adaptive and Non Adaptive LTE Fractional Frequency Reuse Mechanisms Mobility Performance," *Advances in Science, Technology and Engineering Systems Journal*, ASTES Vol. 3, No. 3, 02-11, 11 pgs., 2018.

J10. M. Haidar, H.M. Al-Rizzo, **R. Akl**, and Z. Elbazzal, "The Effect of an Enhanced Channel Assignment Algorithm in an IEEE 802.11 WLAN," *World Scientific and Engineering Academy and Society Transactions on Communications*, WSEAS, Vol. 8, Issue 12, December 2009.

J11. **R. Akl**, P. Kadiyala, and M. Haidar, "Non-Uniform Grid-Based Coordinated Routing in Wireless Sensor Networks," *Journal of Sensors*, article ID 491349,

volume 2009, 11 pages.

J12. M. Haidar, M. Al-Rizzo, Y. Chan, **R. Akl**, "User-Based Channel Assignment Algorithm in a Load-Balanced IEEE 802.11 WLAN," *International Journal of Interdisciplinary Telecommunications & Networking (IJITN)*, April-June 2009, 1(2), pp. 66-81.

J13. **R. Akl**, D. Keathly, and R. Garlick, "Strategies for Retention and Recruitment of Women and Minorities in Computer Science and Engineering," *iNEER Special Volume: Innovations 2007- World Innovations in Engineering Education and Research*, 9 pgs., 2007.

J14. R. Garlick and **R. Akl**, "Motivating and Retaining CS2 Students with a Competitive Game Programming Project," *iNEER Special Volume: Innovations 2007- World Innovations in Engineering Education and Research*, 9 pgs., 2007.

J15. **R. Akl** and S. Nguyen, "UMTS Capacity and Throughput Maximization for Different Spreading Factors," *Journal of Networks*, July 2006, vol. 1, issue 3, pp. 40-49. ISSN: 1796-2056

J16. W. Li, K. Kavi, and **R. Akl**, "A Non-preemptive Scheduling Algorithm for Soft Real-time Systems," *Journal of Computer and Electrical Engineering,* 2006, vol. 32, 18 pgs. ISSN: 0045-7906

J17. **R. Akl**, A. Parvez, and S. Nguyen, "Effects of Interference on Capacity in Multi-Cell CDMA Networks," *Journal of Systemics, Cybernetics and Informatics*, 2006, vol. 3, no. 1, p825612, 7 pgs. ISSN: 1690-4524

J18. **R.G. Akl**, M. Hegde and M. Naraghi-Pour, "Mobility-based CAC Algorithm for Arbitrary Traffic Distribution in CDMA Cellular Systems," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology,* March 2005*,* vol. 54, no. 2, pp. 639-651.

J19. **R.G. Akl**, M.V. Hegde, M. Naraghi-Pour, P.S. Min, "Multi-Cell CDMA Network Design," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, May 2001, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 711-722.

Technical Papers

T1. J. Williams, **R. Akl,** et al, "Flight Control Subsystem," *The Eagle Feather*, Special Section: Undergraduate Research Initiative in Engineering, University of North Texas, Vol. 7, 2010.

T2. **R.G. Akl**, M.V. Hegde, A. Chandra, P.S. Min, "CDMA Capacity Allocation and Planning," Technical Document, Washington University Department of Electrical Engineering WUEE-98, April 1998.

Book Chapters

B1. R. Akl, Y. Saravanos, and M. Haidar, "Chapter 18: Hybrid Approach for Energy-Aware Synchronization in Sensor Networks," *Sustainable Wireless Sensor Networks*, December 2010, pgs. 413-429, ISBN: 978-953-307-297-5.

B2. K. Kavi, **R. Akl** and A. Hurson, "Real-Time Systems: An Introduction and the State-of-the-Art," *Encyclopedia of Computer Science and Engineering*, John Wiley & Sons, Volume 4, January 2009, pgs. 2369-2377.

B3. **R. Akl** and K. Kavi, "Chapter 12: Modeling and Analysis using Computational Tools," *Introduction to Queuing Theory: Modeling and Analysis*, Birkhauser Boston, December 2008, pgs. 295-320.

Technical Presentations

P1. "Bio-Com Project," Raytheon, Richardson TX, May 2012, (invited).

P2. "Bio-Com Project," Net-Centric Software and Systems I/UCRC Meeting, Denton TX, December 2011, (invited).

P3. "Student Outreach Report: Robocamp," College of Engineering Advisory Board Meeting, Denton TX, May 2011, (invited).

P4. "Robocamp: Encouraging Young Women to Embrace STEM," 4th Annual TETC Best Practices Conference, Austin TX, February 2009, (invited).

P5. "Self-Configuring Wireless MEMS Network (demo)," Southern Methodist University, Dallas TX, January 2008, (invited).

P6. "Energy-aware Routing and Hybrid Synchronization in Sensor Networks," *Southern Methodist University,* Dallas TX, September 2007, (invited).

P7. "Retention and Recruitment of Women in Computer Engineering," *ICEE 2006: International Conference on Engineering Education,* Puerto Rico, July 2006, (refereed).

P8. "Capacity Allocation in Multi-cell UMTS Networks for Different Spreading Factors with Perfect and Imperfect Power Control," *IEEE CCNC 2006: Consumer Communications and Networking Conference*, Las Vegas, NV, January 2006, (refereed).

P9. "Research, Teaching, and Outreach," CSE Advisory Council Meeting, *UNT Research Park*, Denton, TX, December 2005, (invited).

P10. "Wi-Fi and WCDMA Network Design," *University of Arkansas*, Little Rock, AR,

April 2005, (invited).

P11. "Wi-Fi and WCDMA Network Design," *Southern Methodist University*, Dallas, TX, March 2005, (invited).

P12. "Current Research in Wireless at UNT," *Nortel Networks*, Richardson, TX, October 2004, (invited).

P13. "Subscriber Maximization in CDMA Cellular Networks," *International Conference on Computing, Communications, and Control Technologies*, Austin, TX, August 2004, (refereed).

P14. "Global versus Local Call Admission Control in CDMA Cellular Networks," *International Conference on Cybernetics and Information Technologies, Systems and Applications*, Orlando, FL, July 2004, (refereed).

P15. "Impact of Interference Model on Capacity in CDMA Cellular Networks," *8th World Multi-Conference on Systemics, Cybernetics, and Informatics*, Orlando, FL, July 2004, (refereed).

P16. "CDMA Network Design," IEEE Communications Society – New Orleans Chapter, New Orleans, LA, May 2002, (invited).

P17. "Cell Design to Maximize Capacity in CDMA Networks," Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, April 2002, (invited).

P18. "Call Admission Control Scheme for Arbitrary Traffic Distribution in CDMA Cellular Systems," *IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference*, Chicago, IL, September 2000, (refereed).

P19. "Cell Placement in a CDMA Network," *IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference*, September 1999, (refereed).

P20. "Effects of Call Arrival Rate and Mobility on Network Throughput in Multi-Cell CDMA," *IEEE International Conference on Communications*, June 1999, (refereed).

P21. "Flexible Allocation of Capacity in Multi-Cell CDMA Networks," *IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference*, May 1999, (refereed).

P22. "CCAP: A Strategic Tool for Managing Capacity of CDMA Networks," Teleware Co. Ltd., Seoul, South Korea, 1998, (invited).

Courses Developed

- CSCE 5933: LTE Physical Layer Using MATLAB.
  Research issues in the design of LTE physical layer and simulate using MATLAB. Topics include modulation and coding, OFDM, channel modeling, MIMO, and link adaption.

- CSCE 6590: Advanced Topics in Wireless Communications & Networks: 4G/LTE.
  Research issues in the design of next generation wireless networks: cellular systems, medium access techniques, signaling, mobility management, control and management for mobile networks, wireless data networks, Internet mobility, quality-of-service for multimedia applications, caching for wireless web access, and ad hoc networks.

- CSCE 5933: Fundamentals of VoIP.
  Fundamentals of VoIP, with emphasis on network infrastructure implementation and security. Topics include IP protocol suite, SS7, speech-coding techniques, quality of service, session initiation protocol, and security issues.

- CSCE 5540: Introduction to Sensor Networks.
  Topics include: design implications of energy (hardware and software), and otherwise resource-constrained nodes; network self-configuration; services such as routing under network dynamics, localization, time-synchronization and calibration; distributed data management, in-network aggregation and collaborative signal processing, programming tools and language support.

- CSCE 5510. Wireless Communication.
  Point-to-point signal transmission through a wireless channel, channel capacity, channel encoding, and multi-user transmissions. First, second, and third generation cellular systems, and mobility management.

- CSCE 3510. Introduction to Wireless Communication.
  Fundamentals of wireless communications and networking, with emphasis on first, second, and third generation cellular systems. Topics include point-to-point signal transmission through a wireless channel, cellular capacity, multi-user transmissions, and mobility management.

- CSCE 3020. Communications Systems.
  Introduction to the concepts of transmission of information via communication channels. Amplitude and angle modulation for the transmission of continuous-time signals. Analog-to-digital conversion and pulse code modulation. Transmission of digital data. Introduction to random signals and noise and their effects on communication. Optimum detection systems in the presence of noise.

- ENEE 3583. Computer Systems Design I (UNO).
  The design process of digital computer systems is studied from the instruction set level, system architecture level, and digital logic level. Topics include machine

organization, register transfer notation, processor design, memory design, and input/output considerations. Includes semester project.

- ENEE 3584. Computer Systems Design II (UNO).
  The design and evaluation of contemporary computer systems are analyzed to compare the performance of different architectures. Topics include performance metrics, computer arithmetic, pipelining, memory hierarchies, and multiprocessor systems.

- ENEE 3514. Computer Architecture Laboratory (UNO).
  Selected experiments examining programmable logic, VHDL and logic synthesis, and including a final design project, to accompany and complement the lecture course ENEE 3584. Three hours of laboratory.

Courses Taught

Spring 2024
- CSCE 3020.1: Communication Systems (no evaluation yet)

Fall 2023
- CSCE 3010.1: Signals and Systems (3.6 / 5.0)

Spring 2023
- CSCE 3020.1: Communication Systems (4.5 / 5.0)

Fall 2022
- CSCE 3010.1: Signals and Systems (4.4 / 5.0)
- CSCE 6950.743: Dissertation (no evaluation done)

Spring 2022
- CSCE 3020.1: Communication Systems (4.2 / 5.0)
- CSCE 6950.743: Dissertation (no evaluation done)

Fall 2021
- CSCE 3010.1: Signals and Systems (4.2 / 5.0)
- CSCE 5933.1: LTE Physical Layer Using MATLAB (5.0 / 5.0)
- CSCE 6950.743: Dissertation (no evaluation done)

Spring 2021
- CSCE 2610.2: Computer Organization (4.0 / 5.0)
- CSCE 3020.1: Communication Systems (4.2 / 5.0)
- CSCE 6950.743: Dissertation (no evaluation done)

Fall 2020
- CSCE 3010.1: Signals and Systems (4.1 / 5.0)
- CSCE 6940.743: 5G MIMO Systems (no evaluation done)
- CSCE 6950.743: Dissertation (no evaluation done)

Spring 2020
- CSCE 6940.743: 5G MIMO Systems (no evaluation done)
- CSCE 6950.743: Dissertation (no evaluation done)

Fall 2019
- CSCE 5933.3: LTE Physical Layer Using MATLAB (4.3 / 5.0)

- CSCE 6940.743: 5G MIMO Systems (no evaluation done)
- CSCE 6950.743: Dissertation (no evaluation done)

Spring 2019
- CSCE 6940.743: 5G MIMO Systems (no evaluation done)
- CSCE 6940.743: Software Defined Radios (no evaluation done)
- CSCE 6950.743: Dissertation (no evaluation done)

Fall 2018
- CSCE 5933.3: LTE Physical Layer Using MATLAB (4.8 / 5.0)
- CSCE 6940.743: 5G MIMO Systems (no evaluation done)
- CSCE 6950.743: Dissertation (no evaluation done)

Spring 2018
- CSCE 6940.743: 5G MIMO Systems (no evaluation done)
- CSCE 6940.743: Jitter-buffer Management and Interference in VoIP (no evaluation done)
- CSCE 6950.743: Dissertation (no evaluation done)

Fall 2017
- CSCE 5933.3: LTE Physical Layer Using MATLAB (4.9 / 5.0)
- CSCE 6940.743: 5G MIMO Systems (no evaluation done)
- CSCE 6940.743: VoLTE and VoWiFi (no evaluation done)
- CSCE 6950.743: Dissertation (no evaluation done)

Spring 2017
- CSCE 6950.743: Dissertation (no evaluation done)

Fall 2016
- CSCE 5933.3: LTE Physical Layer Using MATLAB (4.7 / 5.0)
- CSCE 6950.743: Dissertation (no evaluation done)

Spring 2016
- CSCE 5950.743: Thesis (no evaluation done)
- CSCE 6950.743: Dissertation (no evaluation done)

Fall 2015
- CSCE 3010.1: Signals and Systems (5.7 / 7.0)
- CSCE 5950.743: Thesis (no evaluation done)
- CSCE 6950.743: Dissertation (no evaluation done)

Spring 2015
- CSCE 5934.743: Directed Study (no evaluation done)
- CSCE 5950.743: Thesis (no evaluation done)
- CSCE 6950.743: Dissertation (no evaluation done)

Fall 2014
- CSCE 3010.1: Signals and Systems (3.32 / 4.00)
- CSCE 5950.743: Thesis (no evaluation done)
- CSCE 6950.743: Dissertation (no evaluation done)
- CSCE 6590.1: Advanced Topics in Wireless Communications & Networks: 4G/LTE (3.79 / 4.00)

Spring 2014
- CSCE 3510.1: Intro to Wireless Communication (808 – Highly Effective)

- CSCE 5510.1: Wireless Communications (808 – Highly Effective)
- CSCE 5950.743: Thesis (no evaluation done)
- CSCE 6950.743: Dissertation (no evaluation done)

Fall 2013

- CSCE 5950.743: Thesis (no evaluation done)
- CSCE 6950.743: Dissertation (no evaluation done)
- CSCE 6590.1: Advanced Topics in Wireless Communications & Networks: 4G/LTE (804 – Highly Effective)

Spring 2013

- CSCE 4890.743: Directed Study (no evaluation done)
- CSCE 5950.743: Thesis (no evaluation done)
- CSCE 6940.743: Individual Research (no evaluation done)
- CSCE 6950.743: Dissertation (no evaluation done)

Fall 2012

- CSCE 3010.1: Signals and Systems (793 – Highly Effective)
- CSCE 5540.1: Intro to Sensor Networks (814 – Highly Effective)
- CSCE 5950.743: Thesis (no evaluation done)
- CSCE 6950.743: Dissertation (no evaluation done)

Spring 2012

- CSCE 3020.1: Communication Systems (809 – Highly Effective)
- CSCE 3510.1: Intro to Wireless Communication (811 – Highly Effective)
- CSCE 5510.1: Wireless Communications (817 – Highly Effective)
- EENG 3810.1: Communication Systems (801 – Highly Effective)

Fall 2011

- CSCE 3010.1: Signals and Systems (793 – Highly Effective)
- CSCE 5540.1: Intro to Sensor Networks (824 – Highly Effective)

Spring 2011

- CSCE 3020.1: Communication Systems (820 – Highly Effective)
- CSCE 3510.1: Intro to Wireless Communication (812 – Highly Effective)
- CSCE 5510.1: Wireless Communications (812 – Highly Effective)
- EENG 3810.1: Communication Systems (826 – Highly Effective)

Fall 2010

- CSCE 3010.1: Signals and Systems (857 – Highly Effective)
- CSCE 5540.1: Intro to Sensor Networks (831 – Highly Effective)

Spring 2010

- CSCE 3020.1: Communication Systems (792 – Highly Effective)
- CSCE 3510.1: Intro to Wireless Communication (793 – Highly Effective)
- CSCE 5510.1: Wireless Communications (834 – Highly Effective)
- EENG 3810.1: Communication Systems (854 – Highly Effective)

Fall 2009

- CSCE 3010.1: Signals and Systems (4.40 / 5.00)
- CSCE 5540.1: Intro to Sensor Networks (4.70 / 5.00)
- EENG 2620.1: Signals and Systems (4.40 / 5.00)

Spring 2009

- CSCE 3020.1: Communication Systems (4.87 / 5.00)
- CSCE 3510.1: Intro to Wireless Communication (4.65 / 5.00)
- CSCE 5510.1: Wireless Communications (4.79 / 5.00)

Fall 2008
- CSCE 3010.1: Signals and Systems (4.91 / 5.00)
- CSCE 5540.2: Intro to Sensor Networks (4.10 / 5.00)
- EENG 2620.3: Signals and Systems (4.91 / 5.00)

Spring 2008
- CSCE 3020.1: Communication Systems (4.68 / 5.00)
- CSCE 3510.1: Intro to Wireless Communication (3.96 / 5.00)
- CSCE 5510.1: Wireless Communications (4.75 / 5.00)

Fall 2007
- CSCE 3010.1: Signals and Systems (4.57 / 5.00)
- CSCE 5540.2: Intro to Sensor Networks (4.01 /5.00)

Summer 2007
- CSCE 3020.1: Fund. of Communication Theory (no evaluation done)
- EENG 3810.1: Communication Systems (no evaluation done)

Spring 2007
- CSCE 5510.2: Wireless Communications (4.75 / 5.00)
- CSCE 5933.6: Fundamentals of VoIP (4.70 / 5.00)

Fall 2006
- CSCE 3010.1: Signals and Systems (4.58 / 5.00)
- CSCE 5540.1: Intro to Sensor Networks (4.70 / 5.00)
- EENG 2620.1: Signals and Systems (4.58 / 5.00)

Summer 2006
- CSCE 3020.1: Fund. of Communication Theory (no evaluation done)
- CSCE 3510.21: Intro to Wireless Communications (no evaluation done)
- CSCE 5510.21: Intro to Wireless Communications (no evaluation done)
- EENG 3810.1: Communication Systems (no evaluation done)

Spring 2006
- CSCE 2610.2: Computer Organization (3.69 / 5.00)
- CSCE 3010.1: Signals and Systems (4.41 / 5.00)
- EENG 2620.1: Signals and Systems (4.41 / 5.00)

Fall 2005
- CSCE 3510.1: Intro to Wireless Communications (4.52 / 5.00)
- CSCE 5510.1: Wireless Communications (4.46 / 5.00)
- CSCE 5933.6: Intro to Sensor Networks (4.60 / 5.00)

Summer 2005
- CSCE 3010.21: Signals and Systems (no evaluation done)
- CSCE 3510.21: Intro to Wireless Communications (no evaluation done)

Spring 2005
- CSCE 3510.02: Intro to Wireless Communications (4.46 / 5.00)
- CSCI 3100.02: Computer Organization (4.14 / 5.00)

Fall 2004

- CSCE 3510.01: Intro to Wireless Communications (4.15 / 5.00)
- CSCI 4510.01: Machine Structures (4.55 / 5.00)
- CSCI 5330.02: Intro to Wireless Communications (4.05 / 5.00)

Summer 2004

- CSCI 4330.22: Intro to Wireless Communications (no evaluation done)
- CSCI 4330.23: Intro to Wireless Communications (no evaluation done)
- CSCI 5330.22: Intro to Wireless Communications (no evaluation done)

Spring 2004

- CSCI 3100: Computer Organization (4.64 / 5.00)
- CSCI 4330: Intro to Wireless Communications (4.22 / 5.00)

Fall 2003

- CSCI 4510: Machine Structures (4.49 / 5.00)
- CSCI 5330: Intro to Wireless Communications (4.83 / 5.00)

Summer 2003

- CSCI 3100: Computer Organization (no evaluation done)

Spring 2003

- CSCI 3100: Computer Organization (3.84 / 5.00)

Fall 2002

- CSCI 4510: Machine Structures (4.38 / 5.00)

Funded Proposals

R1. "I/UCRC Industrial Membership - Ashum Corp," 2020. Krishna Kavi (PI), Robert Akl (co-PI), **$52,000.**

R2. "I/UCRC Industrial Membership - Ashum Corp," 2019. Krishna Kavi (PI), Robert Akl (co-PI), **$60,900.**

R3. "I/UCRC Industrial Membership - Ashum Corp," 2018. Krishna Kavi (PI), Robert Akl (co-PI), **$57,700.**

R4. "Robotics and App Design Summer Camp" under Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board: Engineering Summer Program. Requested amount is $11,727. Submitted 5/5/17. Robert Akl (PI), **$11,727.**

R5. "I/UCRC Industrial Membership - Ashum Corp," 2017. Krishna Kavi (PI), Robert Akl (co-PI), **$50,000.**

R6. "UNT GenCyber Summer Program: Inspiring the Next Generation of Cyber Stars in North Texas," National Security Agency (NSA). Requested amount is $85,000. Submitted 11/4/2016. Robert Akl (co-PI), **$85,000**.

R7. "App Design Summer Camp" under Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board: Engineering Summer Program. Requested amount is $12,900. Submitted 5/6/16. Robert Akl (PI), **$12,900.**

R8. "I/UCRC Industrial Membership - Ashum Corp," 2016. Krishna Kavi (PI), Robert Akl (co-PI), **$65,000.**

R9. "Robotics, Game and App Programming Summer Camps" under Texas Workforce Commission: Summer Merit Program. Requested amount is $63,000. Submitted 11/16/15. Robert Akl (PI), **$63,000**.

R10. "App Design Summer Camp" under Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board: Engineering Summer Program. Requested amount is $13,998. Submitted 5/1/15. Robert Akl (PI), **$13,988**.

R11. "I/UCRC Industrial Membership - Ashum Corp," 2015. Krishna Kavi (PI), Robert Akl (co-PI), **$40,000.**

R12. "App Design Summer Camp" under Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board: Engineering Summer Program. Requested amount is $12,500. Submitted 5/2/14. Robert Akl (PI), **$12,500**.

R13. "I/UCRC Industrial Membership - Ashum Corp," 2014. Krishna Kavi (PI), Robert Akl (co-PI), **$46,000.**

R14. "I/UCRC Industrial Membership - Ashum Corp," 2013. Krishna Kavi (PI), Robert Akl (co-PI), **$38,500.**

R15. "Robotics, Game and App Programming Summer Camps" under Texas Workforce Commission: Summer Merit Program. Requested amount is $63,000. Submitted 12/14/12. Robert Akl (PI), **$63,000**.

R16. "Bio-Com Project," funded by Raytheon under Net-Centric Software and Systems I/UCRC 2nd year. Requested amount is $30,000. Submitted 5/12/12. Krishna Kavi (PI), Robert Akl (co-PI), **$30,000.**

R17. "Bio-Com Project," funded by Raytheon under Net-Centric Software and Systems I/UCRC. Requested amount is $30,000. Submitted 5/12/11. Krishna Kavi (PI), Robert Akl (co-PI), **$30,000.**

R18. "Game Programming for Xbox 360 Summer Camp" under Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board: Engineering Summer Program. Requested amount is $20,000. Submitted 3/21/11. Robert Akl (PI), **$20,000**.

R19. "RoboCamps and Game Programming Summer Camps" under Texas Workforce Commission: Summer Merit Program. Requested amount is $63,000. Submitted 2/17/11. Robert Akl (PI), **$63,000**.

R20. "Game Programming for Xbox 360 Summer Camp" under Texas Higher

Education Coordinating Board: Engineering Summer Program. Requested amount is $13,000. Submitted 2/22/10. Robert Akl (PI), **$18,000.**

R21. "Robotics and Game Programming Summer Camps" under Texas Workforce Commission: Summer Merit Program. Requested amount is $63,000. Submitted 10/16/09. Robert Akl (PI), **$63,000**.

R22. "Micro Air Vehicle Design: A Collaborative Undergraduate Project for Electrical Engineering, Computer Engineering, and Computer Science Students," under UNT Undergraduate Research Initiative. Submitted 9/25/2009. Robert Akl (co-PI), **$8,000**.

R23. "Summer Merit Program" under Texas Workforce Commission. Requested amount is $42,000. Submitted 3/20/09. Robert Akl (PI), **$42,000**.

R24. "Robocamp at Stewpot" under Dallas Women's Foundation. Requested amount is $20,000. Submitted 2/23/09. Robert Akl (PI), **$18,600**.

R25. "Robocamp Jump Start" under Motorola Foundation Innovation Generation Grant. Requested amount is $29,852. Submitted 2/12/09. Robert Akl (PI), **$30,700**.

R26. "Engineering Summer Program" under Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board. Requested amount is $7,944. Submitted 2/13/09. Robert Akl (PI), **$11,111**.

R27. "Texas Youth in Technology" under Texas Workforce Commission. Requested amount is $152,393. Submitted 11/10/08. Robert Akl (PI), **$152,393**.

R28. "I/UCRC Center Proposal: Net-Centric Software and Systems," under NSF-07-537: Industry/University Cooperative Research Centers. Requested amount is $349,482. Submitted 9/26/08. Krishna Kavi (PI), Robert Akl (co-PI), **$60,000 per year for 5 years**.

R29. "Robocamp and Beyond" under Motorola Foundation Innovation Generation Grant. Requested amount is $30,000. Submitted 6/20/08. Robert Akl (PI), **$30,000**.

R30. Texas Youth in Technology" under Texas Workforce Commission. Requested amount is $30,000. Submitted 2/27/08. Robert Akl (PI), **$31,500**.

R31. "Robocamp Program for Young Women" under RGK foundation. Requested amount is $30,000. Submitted 11/5/07. Robert Akl (PI), **$15,000**.

R32. "Texas Youth in Technology" under Texas Workforce Commission. Requested amount is $102,514. Submitted 10/22/07. Robert Akl (PI), **$102,514**.

R33. “Women Art Technology” under Hispanic and Global Studies Initiatives Fund. Requested amount is $14,125. Submitted 9/30/07. Jennifer Way (PI), Robert Akl (co-PI), **$12,785**.

R34. “Robocamp Mobile Unit” under Motorola Foundation Innovation Generation Grant. Requested amount is $35,000. Submitted 6/20/07. Robert Akl (PI), **$30,000**.

R35. “ICER: UNT Engineering Challenge Camps” under NSF 0547299. Requested amount is $35,000. Submitted 4/27/07. Oscar Garcia (PI), Robert Akl (senior personnel), **$32,792**.

R36. “I/UCRC-Planning Proposal: UNT Research Site Proposal to join Embedded Systems I/UCRC,” under NSF-01-116: Industry/University Cooperative Research Centers. Requested amount is $10,000. Submitted 3/31/07. Krishna Kavi (PI), Robert Akl (co-PI), **$10,000**.

R37. “High-assurance NCCS: Ultra Dependability Integration Engineering,” Department of Defense. Requested amount is $20,000. Submitted 3/12/07. Krishna Kavi (PI), Robert Akl (co-PI), **$20,000**.

R38. “Recruiting and Retention Strategies for Computer Science at UNT” under Texas Technology Workforce Development Grant Program – 2005. Requested amount is $163,322. Submitted 3/17/05. Robert Akl (PI), **$125,322**.

R39. UNT Faculty Research Grant for Fall 2003, Robert Akl (PI), $5,000, **$4,000**.

R40. UNT Junior Faculty Summer Research Fellowship for Summer 2003, Robert Akl (PI), $5,000, **$5,000**.

Professional Associations and Achievements

Membership in Professional Organizations

- Senior Member IEEE
- Member, Federation Council of North Texas Universities
- Member, Eta Kappa Nu Electrical Engineering Honor Society
- Member, Golden Key National Honor Society
- Member, Tau Beta Pi Engineering Honor Society

Offices and Committee Assignments in Professional Organizations

- Technical Program Committee Member, IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference, IEEE WCNC
- Technical Program Committee Member, International Wireless Symposium, IWS
- Technical Program Committee Member, IEEE International Conference on

Computational Science, IEEE ICCS
- Technical Program Committee Member, IASTED International Conference on Wireless Communications, WC
- Technical Program Committee Member, WTS Wireless Telecommunications Symposium
- Technical Program Committee Member, Mosharaka International Conference on Computer Science and Engineering, Amman
- Invitation to serve as an NSF reviewer/panelist for Engineering Research Centers (ERC) proposals
- Technical Program Committee Member, 18th IEEE International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communication, Greece
- International Program Committee, IASTED International Conference on Wireless and Optical Communication, Canada
- Program Committee Member, Fifth Annual Wireless Telecommunications Symposium, CA
- Technical Publications Chair, IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference, Dallas TX
- Session Chair, International Conference on Computing, Commun. and Control Tech., Austin TX
- Session Chair, International Conference on Cybernetics and Information Technologies, Orlando FL
- Session Chair, 8th World Multi Conference on Systemics, Cybernetic, and Informatics, Orlando FL

Additional Responsibilities and Activities

- Reviewer, *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, 2012 – present
- Reviewer, *Journal of Sensor and Actuator Networks*, 2012 – present
- Reviewer, *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2011 – present
- Reviewer, *Elsevier Journal of Computers & Electrical Engineering*, 2008 – present
- Reviewer, *IEEE Globecom*, 2007 – present
- Reviewer, *IEEE International Conference on Advanced Networks and Telecommunication Systems (ANTS)*, 2008 – present
- Reviewer, *The International Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing Conference*, 2007 – present
- Reviewer, *Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking*, 2007 – present
- Reviewer, *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 2007 - present
- Reviewer, *International Journal of Communication Systems*, 2007 – present
- Reviewer, *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 2005 – present
- Reviewer, *Journal of Wireless Networks*, 2004 – present
- Reviewer, *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, 2004 – present
- Reviewer, *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2004 – present
- Reviewer, *ACM Crossroads*, 2004 – present

Honors and Awards

- Who's Who in America, 2012 Edition
- Winner of Tech Titan of the Future – University Level Award for UNT Robocamps for Girls, Metroplex Technology Business Council, 2010 with **$15,000 cash prize**.
- IEEE Professionalism Award, Ft Worth Chapter, 2008
- UNT College of Engineering Outstanding Teacher Award, 2008
- Certificate of Appreciation: IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference, Dallas, TX, 2005
- Certificate of Appreciation: Denton County Boosting Engineering, Science and Technology (BEST) Robotics Competition, 2004
- Summa Cum Laude Graduate, Ranked First in Undergraduate Class
- The Computer Science Departmental Award for Academic Excellence, Washington University, 1993
- The Dual Degree Engineering Award for Outstanding Senior, Washington University, 1993
- The 1992 Technical Writing Competition Award, The Society for Technical Communication