

From: [Taeg Sang \(Tim\) Cho](#)
To: [Trials](#)
Cc: [Nuttall, Jay](#); [Cappaert, Kate](#); [Misbah, Sadaf](#); [Ho, Ben](#); [Winn, James](#); [\[MtrDist\] ST-Portsmouth-IPR](#); [Jonathan Lewis](#); [Cisco Portsmouth IPR Service](#); [Laura Avena](#)
Subject: RE: Case No. IPR2024-00506 Re: General Order: Related Matters Updates
Date: Friday, September 26, 2025 10:51:20 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Case No. IPR2024-00506

Dear Honorable Board,

Consistent with the joint position taken in the September 21, 2025 email to the Board, it is Cisco's position that no developments in Reexamination Control No. 90/019,441—including the issuance of the reexamination certificate—would affect, or be affected by, the decision in IPR2024-00506.

It is Portsmouth's position that the issuance of the reexamination certificate establishes that the USPTO recently considered the patentability of U.S. Patent No. 8,014,394 and found the challenged claims patentable and that this finding could be affected by a decision in IPR2024-00506.

Best regards,
Taeg Sang Cho, Counsel for Petitioner
Kate Cappaert, Counsel for Patent Owner

Taeg Sang (Tim) Cho | Partner
DESMARAIS LLP
T: (212) 808-1060 | E: tcho@desmaraisllp.com

From: Trials <Trials@USPTO.GOV>
Sent: Thursday, September 25, 2025 2:27 PM
To: Taeg Sang (Tim) Cho <TCho@desmaraisllp.com>; Trials <Trials@USPTO.GOV>
Cc: Nuttall, Jay <jnuttall@steptoe.com>; Cappaert, Kate <kcappaert@steptoe.com>; Misbah, Sadaf <smisbah@steptoe.com>; Ho, Ben <bho@steptoe.com>; Winn, James <jwinn@steptoe.com>; [MtrDist] ST-Portsmouth-IPR <ST-Portsmouth-IPR@Steptoe.com>; Jonathan Lewis <JLewis@desmaraisllp.com>; Cisco Portsmouth IPR Service <CiscoPortsmouthIPRService@desmaraisllp.com>; Laura Avena <LAvena@desmaraisllp.com>
Subject: [Ext] RE: Case No. IPR2024-00506 Re: General Order: Related Matters Updates

****EXTERNAL EMAIL** This email originated from outside the company. Do not click on any link unless you**

Counsel: The General Order entered in IPR2024-00506 (Paper 37) states that “[t]he parties must keep the Board apprised of developments regarding adjudication of validity or patentability of the challenged patent claims, or substantially similar patent claims, such as claim construction, findings of fact, or conclusions of law, in ‘any other judicial or administrative matter that would affect, or be affected by, a decision in the proceeding’ and is identified as a related matter under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).” The parties’ email states that they are unaware of any such developments, but does not identify any specific matters. Please confirm, in a single email by September 26, 2025, the parties’ representation that no developments in Reexamination Control No. 90/019,441 (ex parte reexamination certificate issued on July 14, 2025) would affect, or be affected by, a decision in IPR2024-00506.

Regards,

Andrew Kellogg,
Deputy Chief Clerk, Trials
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
USPTO
andrew.kellogg@uspto.gov
(571) 272-5366



From: Taeg Sang (Tim) Cho <TCho@desmaraisllp.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 21, 2025 10:45 AM
To: Trials <Trials@USPTO.GOV>
Cc: Nuttall, Jay <jnuttall@steptoe.com>; Cappaert, Kate <kcappaert@steptoe.com>; Misbah, Sadaf <smisbah@steptoe.com>; Ho, Ben <bho@steptoe.com>; Winn, James <jwinn@steptoe.com>; [MtrDist] ST-Portsmouth-IPR <ST-Portsmouth-IPR@Steptoe.com>; Jonathan Lewis <JLewis@desmaraisllp.com>; Cisco Portsmouth IPR Service <CiscoPortsmouthIPRService@desmaraisllp.com>; Laura Avena <LAvena@desmaraisllp.com>
Subject: Case No. IPR2024-00506 Re: General Order: Related Matters Updates

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Dear Honorable Board,

In the above-identified case, the parties are unaware of any adjudication of validity or patentability of the challenged patent claims, or substantially similar patent claims, such as claim construction, findings of fact, or conclusions of law, in any other judicial or administrative matter that would affect, or be affected by, a decision in the proceeding.

Best regards,

Taeg Sang Cho, Counsel for Petitioner

Kate Cappaert, Counsel for Patent Owner

Taeg Sang (Tim) Cho | Partner

DESMARAIS LLP

T: (212) 808-1060 | E: tcho@desmaraisllp.com

This email may contain confidential and privileged material for the use of the intended recipient. Any review, use, or distribution by anyone other than the addressee is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and delete all copies of this message.

This email may contain confidential and privileged material for the use of the intended recipient. Any review, use, or distribution by anyone other than the addressee is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and delete all copies of this message.