Trials@uspto.gov
571-272-7822

Paper 62
Date: October 21, 2025

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. and FORTINET, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

INFOEXPRESS INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00539
Patent 8,051,460 B2

Before CHRISTOPHER M. KAISER, JOHN A. HUDALLA, and AARON W. MOORE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

KAISER, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Conduct of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

On September 18, 2025, we entered a Final Written Decision in this proceeding determining that Petitioner had not demonstrated, by a preponderance of the evidence, that claims 1–6, 10–14, 16–22, 27, 29, and 30 of U.S. Patent No. 8,051,460 B2 are unpatentable. Paper 60 ("Decision"). The Decision discusses or cites information that has been designated confidential under the Protective Order that was entered in this

case. *See* Paper 53 (granting Motions to Seal). Accordingly, we have entered the Decision in the Board's P-TACTS system as "Board and Parties Only" to afford the parties an opportunity to identify any confidential information to which we have referred in the Decision.

Petitioner and Patent Owner are to file jointly, within ten (10) business days of the entry of this Order, a proposed redacted version of the Decision, or, in the alternative, a Notice stating that the Decision does not contain any confidential information. *See* Paper 14, 3 ("Redactions to documents filed in these proceedings should be limited to the minimum amount necessary to protect confidential information, and the thrust of the underlying argument or evidence must be clearly discernible from the redacted versions.").

We remind the parties that documents in a proceeding may be made available to the public. 37 C.F.R. § 42.14; Paper 14, 3 ("We also advise the parties that information subject to a protective order may become public if identified in a final written decision in these proceedings, and that a motion to expunge the information will not necessarily prevail over the public interest in maintaining a complete and understandable file history.").

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED that, within ten (10) business days of the entry of this Order, Petitioner and Patent Owner are to file jointly a proposed redacted version of the Decision, or, in the alternative, a Notice stating that the Decision does not contain any confidential information.

For PETITIONER:

Scott Border
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
sborder@winston.com

Patrick McPherson
Patrick Muldoon
David Dotson
DUANE MORRIS LLP
pdmcpherson@duanemorris.com
pcmuldoon@duanemorris.com
dcdotson@duanemorris.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Brian Michalek
Joseph Kuo
Andrew Schwerin
SAUL EWING LLP
brian.michalek@saul.com
joseph.kuo@saul.com
andrew.schwerin@saul.com