

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

MONARCH NETWORKING SOLUTIONS LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00561
Patent 8,130,775 B2

Before THU A. DANG, BRIAN J. MCNAMARA, and
ROBERT J. WEINSCHENK, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

DANG, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Granting Patent Owner's Motion for
Pro Hac Vice Admission of Alden G. Harris
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

On March 7, 2024, Patent Owner filed a motion requesting *pro hac vice* admission of Alden G. Harris. Paper 7 (“Motion” or “Mot.”). Patent Owner also submitted a Declaration from Alden G. Harris in support of the Motion. Ex. 2001 (“Declaration”).

Petitioner has not opposed the Motion.

For the reasons provided below, Patent Owner’s Motion is *granted*.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing a motion for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (representative “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission”).

Based on the facts set forth in the Motion and the accompanying Declaration, we conclude that Mr. Harris has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Patent Owner in this proceeding, has demonstrated sufficient litigation experience and familiarity with the subject matter of this proceeding, and meets all other requirements for admission *pro hac vice*. *See* Ex. 2001. Accordingly, Patent Owner has established good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Harris. Mr. Harris will be permitted to serve as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

We note that Patent Owner filed a Power of Attorney including Mr. Harris in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b). Paper 6, 2.

Patent Owner has filed a Mandatory Notice identifying Mr. Harris as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3). Paper 5, 3.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED that Patent Owner's Motion (Paper 7) for *pro hac vice* admission of Alden G. Harris is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Harris is authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel only in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Harris be familiar with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide¹ (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and comply with the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Harris is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

¹ Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2024-00561
Patent 8,130,775 B2

FOR PETITIONER:

Todd Briggs
James Glass
Nima Hefazi
Kevin Johnson
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP
toddbriggs@quinnemanuel.com
jimglass@quinnemanuel.com
nimahefazi@quinnemanuel.com
kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

R. Allan Bullwinkel
Michael F. Heim
Christopher L. Limbacher
Alden G. Harris
HEIM PAYNE & CHORUSH, LLP
abullwinkel@hpcllp.com
mheim@hpcllp.com
climbacher@hpcllp.com