

From: Luthra Ajay-MGI1164 <aluthra@motorola.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2002 12:00 AM
To: 'jvt-experts@mail.imtc.org'
Subject: [jvt-experts] RE: Special position + MC memory analysis adhoc group
Attachments: Special Position_v5.doc; MC memory analysis_v3.doc; footer.txt

Dear Experts,

Attached are the updated test condition files. Also, experimenters are welcome to do more tests than specified here.

Experiments related to D-134 are done by the Level Constraint ad-hoc group and not this group.

Ajay

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Luthra Ajay-MGI1164
> Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2002 1:45 PM
> To: jvt-experts@mail.imtc.org
> Subject: RE: [jvt-experts] RE: Special position + MC memory analysis
> adhoc group

>

>

> OK. I have not heard any objections. So, let us go with the HHR
> testing method below. I will send the updated Test Condition files
> tomorrow. Thanks.

>

> Regards,

>

> Ajay

>

> > -----Original Message-----

> > From: Jani.Lainema@nokia.com [mailto:Jani.Lainema@nokia.com]
> > Sent: Wednesday, September 18, 2002 7:41 AM
> > To: Ajay Luthra; jvt-experts@mail.imtc.org
> > Subject: RE: [jvt-experts] RE: Special position + MC memory analysis
> > adhoc group

> >

> >

> > Hi Ajay, All,

> >

> > Proposal (i) sounds good. What sounds even better is that someone
> > volunteers to create and distribute the sequences so that we all end
> > up with the same material... ;)

> >

> > Best Regards,

> >

> > Jani

> >

> > > -----Original Message-----

>>> From: ext Luthra Ajay-MGI1164 [mailto:aluthra@motorola.com]
>>> Sent: Tuesday, September 17, 2002 8:44 PM
>>> To: 'Jvt-Experts \\\(E-mail\\)'
>>> Subject: [jvt-experts] RE: Special position + MC memory
>> analysis adhoc
>>> group
>>>
>>>
>>> As I was afraid of, issues related to HHR testing conditions are
>>> becoming unnecessarily more and more complex ... sigh :-(.
>>>
>>> Let me reset the HHR testing condition. There are more than one
>>> ways to do it. Let me suggest that we go back to our good old side
>>> by side comparison method for the work of this adhoc group. All
>>> the experimenters understand and know how to do that. Plus, for
>>> CIF and QCIF we are going to do side by side testing only. So,
>>> that should be ok for HHR also.
>>>
>>> With regard to which 352 pixels to pick horizontally, there were
>>> three possibilities proposed:
>>>
>>> (i) Pick the right most 352 pixels or (ii) take the left most
>>> 704 pixels (1,2,3 ... 704) and throw away even number of pixels or
>>> (iii) use MPEG-2 test model method.
>>>
>>> They all have their pluses and minuses that I am sure you all are
>>> familiar with. Couple of experimenters (Ulrich and
>>> Sekiguchi-san) for the MC memory Analysis part are proposing the
>>> first one above. I would suggest that we accept their proposal.
>>> Any comments?
>>>
>>> With regards,
>>> Ajay
>