

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Ceva Santé Animale S.A.,
Egg-Chick Automated Technologies S.A.S, and
Ceva Animal Health, LLC
Petitioners,

v.

Targan, Inc.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2024-00595

U.S. Patent No. 10,806,124

PETITIONERS' REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a), Petitioners hereby request an oral argument in the present inter partes review. Per the Board's Scheduling Order, the oral argument is currently scheduled for May 6, 2025 (Paper 10, p. 13). Petitioners request an in-person hearing at the Alexandria, Virginia USPTO Headquarters with 60 minutes of oral argument per side and the opportunity to address all issues raised in the papers, including:

1. Whether claims 1 and 3 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 as obvious over Gershman in view of Pierre.

2. Whether claims 1 and 3 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over Yavnai in view of Pierre.

3. Whether claims 1, 6 and 7 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over Pierre in view of Goodwin.

4. Whether claims 1, 6 and 7 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over Pierre in view of Gershman.

5. Whether claim 2 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over Pierre and Goodwin in view of Tao.

6. Whether claim 8 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over Pierre and Gershman in view of Tao.

7. Whether claims 2, 4, 5, and 9-15 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over Gershman in view of Pierre and Tao.

8. Whether claims 2, 4, 8, and 9-18 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over Yavnai in view of Pierre and Tao.

Furthermore, Petitioners request argument on any issues on which the Board seeks clarification; any issues that Patent Owner may seek to raise on oral argument including rebuttal to any material in Patent Owner's presentation; any claim construction issues; any procedural and/or evidentiary issues; any additional issues raised in papers filed in this proceeding to include Patent Owner's not yet filed Sur-Reply to Petitioner's Reply; any additional issues raised in Motions to Strike and/or Exclude and Oppositions thereto; and any other filings, motions, pleadings, or issues deemed necessary by the Board for issuing a Final Written Decision.

If the Board has any questions or comments, the undersigned attorney respectfully requests a telephone conference.

Dated: March 17, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

Oblon, McClelland, Maier
& Neustadt, LLP

/s/ Andrew M. Ollis

Andrew M. Ollis (Reg. 40,749)

Philippe JC Signore (Reg. 43,922)

Cristina B. Lai (Reg. 79,800)

1940 Duke Street

Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Tel. (703) 413-3000

Fax. (703) 413-2220

cpdocketollis@oblon.com

psignore@oblon.com

clai@oblon.com

Attorneys for Petitioners

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e), the undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing Petitioners' Request for Oral Argument was served on March 17, 2025 by e-mail to:

Raymond N. Nimrod
James M. Glass
Matthew Robson
Trevor J. Quist
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP
raynimrod@quinnemanuel.com
jimglass@quinnemanuel.com
matthewrobson@quinnemanuel.com
trevorquist@quinnemanuel.com
qe-targan-ipr@quinnemanuel.com

Brian Drozd
Williams Mullen, P.C.
bdrozd@williamsmullen.com

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 17, 2025

/s/ Diana Bowen