

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MEDIATEK INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

MOSAID TECHNOLOGIES INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00599
Patent 7,224,563 B2

Before KEN B. BARRETT, JOHN F. HORVATH, and
ELIZABETH M. ROESEL, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

BARRETT, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Conduct of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

In related proceedings IPR2024-00598, IPR2024-00600, IPR2024-00718, IPR2024-00719, IPR2024-00720, and IPR2024-00721, Patent Owner, with our authorization, filed a claim construction order issued in the related district court litigation. *See, e.g.*, IPR2024-00598, Ex. 2005 (No. 2:23-cv-00129-JRG-RSP, Document 93). That district court claim construction order has not been filed in the above-captioned case. The order discusses the term “appropriate frequency” as recited in U.S. Patent No. 7,224,563, the patent challenged in the above-captioned case.

The Board’s Trial Practice Guide provides that “[p]arties should submit a prior claim construction determination by a federal court or the ITC in an AIA proceeding as soon as that determination becomes available.” Trial Practice Guide¹ 47.

The parties shall promptly file, as an exhibit in IPR2024-00599, the District Court’s claim construction order. No further briefing is authorized at this time.

It is so ORDERED.

¹ Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide (Nov. 2019), *available at* <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2024-00599
Patent 7,224,563 B2

PETITIONER:

Timothy Riffe
Joseph Colaianni
Linhong Zhang
Jared Hartzman
Tim Rawson
riffe@fr.com
colaianni@fr.com
lwzhang@fr.com
hartzman@fr.com
rawson@fr.com

PATENT OWNER:

David Higer
dhiger@winstead.com