

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MIANYANG BOE OPTOELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.,
WUHAN CHINA STAR OPTOELECTRONICS SEMICONDUCTOR
DISPLAY TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.,
TIANMAMICROELECTRONICS CO. LTD.,
and VISIONOX TECHNOLOGY, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

SAMSUNG DISPLAY COMPANY, LTD.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00620
Patent 9,330,593 B2

Before THOMAS L. GIANNETTI, TERRENCE W. MCMILLIN, and
JOHN A. HUDALLA, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

HUDALLA, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Conduct of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

On August 25, 2025, we entered a Final Written Decision in this proceeding determining that Petitioner had demonstrated, by a preponderance of the evidence, that claims 1, 2, and 15–18 of U.S. Patent

No. 9,330,593 B2 are unpatentable. Paper 38 (“Decision”). We also determined that Petitioner had not demonstrated, by a preponderance of the evidence, that claims 3–8, 14, 19–22, and 25 of U.S. Patent No. 9,330,593 B2 are unpatentable. *Id.* The Decision discusses or cites information that has been designated confidential under the Protective Order that was entered in this case. See Paper 29 (granting Motion to Seal), Paper 24, App. A (Protective Order). Accordingly, we have entered the Decision in the Board’s P-TACTS system as “Board and Parties Only” to afford the parties an opportunity to identify any confidential information to which we have referred in the Decision.

Petitioner and Patent Owner are to file jointly, within ten (10) business days of the entry of this Order, a proposed redacted version of the Decision, or, in the alternative, a Notice stating that the Decision does not contain any confidential information. See Paper 13, 3 (“Redactions to documents filed in these proceedings should be limited to the minimum amount necessary to protect confidential information, and the thrust of the underlying argument or evidence must be clearly discernible from the redacted versions.”).

We remind the parties that documents in a proceeding may be made available to the public. 37 C.F.R. § 42.14; Paper 13, 3 (“We also advise the parties that information subject to a protective order may become public if identified in a final written decision in these proceedings, and that a motion to expunge the information will not necessarily prevail over the public interest in maintaining a complete and understandable file history.”).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED that, within ten (10) business days of the entry of this Order, Petitioner and Patent Owner are to file jointly a proposed redacted version of the Decision, or, in the alternative, a Notice stating that the Decision does not contain any confidential information.

IPR2024-00620
Patent 9,330,593 B2

FOR PETITIONER:

K. Patrick Herman
Richard F. Martinelli
Xiang Wang
ORRICK HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP
P52PTABDocket@orrick.com
RFMPTABDocket@orrick.com
XW0PTABDocket@orrick.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

David A. Garr
Scott C. Weidenfeller
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
dgarr@cov.com
sweidenfeller@cov.com