

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FLUIDMASTER, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

DANCO, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00633
Patent 9,139,993 B1

Before MEREDITH C. PETRAVICK, JAMES A. TARTAL, and
ROBERT J. SILVERMAN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PETRAVICK, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ERRATA TO FINAL WRITTEN DECISION

On December 15, 2025, we issued a Final Written Decision.
Paper 45. The Final Written Decision contains typographical errors,
identified below, that incorrectly indicate that claim 13 was shown
unpatentable over Brown and Ho. The typographical errors are contrary to

our discussion and patentability analysis of claim 13 in section II.F.4 of the Final Written Decision. *See* Paper 45, 34–35. To correct the typographical errors, we modify our Final Written Decision as follows, with additions shown by underling:

On page 2, the fourth sentence of the fourth paragraph is corrected to read as follows:

For the reasons discussed below, we determine Petitioner establishes by a preponderance of the evidence that claims 1, 7–12, 14, 15, 19, and 20 are unpatentable, but has failed to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that claims 2–6, 13, and 16–18 are unpatentable.

On page 34, the only sentence of the second full paragraph is corrected to read as follows:

Petitioner fails to meet its burden to show by a preponderance of the evidence that claims 2 and 13 are unpatentable over Brown and Ho.

On page 51, the conclusion section is corrected to read as follows:

We determine that Petitioner shows by a preponderance of the evidence that claims 1, 7–12, 14, 15, 19, and 20 of the '993 patent are unpatentable, and has failed to show by a preponderance of the evidence that claims 2–6, 13, and 16–18 of the '993 patent are unpatentable.

In summary:

Claim(s)	35 U.S.C. §	Reference(s)/Basis	Claim(s) Shown Unpatentable	Claim(s) Not Shown Unpatentable
1, 2, 5, 7–15, 19, 20	103	Guoxin and Ho		1, 2, 5, 7–15, 19, 20
3, 4, 6, 16–18	103	Guoxin, Ho, and Quick Connection Art		3, 4, 6, 16–18
1, 2, 5, 7–15, 19, 20	103	Brown and Ho	1, 7– <u>12</u> , <u>14</u> , <u>15</u> , 19, 20	2, 5, <u>13</u>
3, 4, 6, 16–18	103	Brown, Ho, and Quick Connection Art		3, 4, 6, 16–18
1–20	102	Schuster		1–20
Overall Outcome			1, 7– <u>12</u> , <u>14</u> , <u>15</u> , 19, 20	2–6, <u>13</u> , 16– 18

On page 52, the order is corrected to read as follows:

ORDERED that claims 1, 7–12, 14, 15, 19, and 20 of U.S. Patent No. 9,139,993 B1 are unpatentable;

FURTHER ORDERD that claims 2–6, 13, and 16–18 of U.S. Patent No. 9,139,993 B1 have not been shown to be unpatentable.

In all other respects, the Final Written Decision is unchanged and our conclusions remain the same.

IPR2024-00633
Patent 9,139,993 B1

FOR PETITIONER:

Carolyn Toto
Evan Finkel
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
carolyn.toto@pillsburylaw.com
evan.finkel@pillsburylaw.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Jason Perilla
Kenneth Knox
Thomas Finch
PERILLA KNOX & HILDEBRANDT LLP
j.perilla@pkhip.com
k.knox@pkhip.com
t.finch@pkhip.com